

## ON THE CHERN–WEIL HOMOMORPHISM IN FINSLER SPACES

Z. KOVÁCS

*Dedicated to Professor Árpád Vercza on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to devise a Chern–Weil-type construction for a Finsler manifold  $(M, \mathcal{L})$  which is determined only by the manifold  $M$  and by the Finslerian fundamental function  $\mathcal{L}$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The focus in this paper is to set up a framework in which the famous Chern–Weil homomorphism can be formulated on a Finsler manifold. Most of the basic notations in this paper are the same as in [GHV73]. Background information on Finsler geometry can be found e.g. in [Mat86] and [AP94].

Let  $(M, \mathcal{L})$  be a Finsler space, the horizontal projection determined by the Finslerian fundamental function  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $h$ .  $h$  can be interpreted as a  $\tau_{TM}$ -valued 1-form on  $TM$ :  $h \in A^1(TM; \tau_{TM}) \cong \text{Hom}(\tau_{TM}; \tau_{TM})$ . The horizontal subbundle of  $\tau_{TM}$  will be denoted by  $HM$ ,  $\text{Sec } HM = \mathfrak{X}_h(TM)$ .

Denote by  $(A(TM), \wedge)$  the graded algebra of differential forms on  $TM$ . From  $h$  one can derive a first order graded derivative  $d_h: A(TM) \rightarrow A(TM)$

$$\begin{aligned} d_h \omega(X_0, \dots, X_p) &= \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i h X_i \omega(X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_p) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j]_h, X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_p) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega \in A^p(TM)$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) is a  $p$ -form,  $X_i \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$  ( $i = 0 \dots p$ ),  $[X, Y]_h = [hX, Y] + [X, hY] - h[X, Y]$ , furthermore  $d_h f(X) = (hX)f$  ( $f \in A^0(TM) \equiv C^\infty(TM)$ ) ([FN56] or [Mic87]). It is easy to see that  $d_h^2 = 0$  iff the Frölicher–Nijenhuis bracket of the operator pair  $(h, h)$  is zero:  $[h, h] = 0$ . In the Finslerian case this condition means that the torsion  $R^1$  of the unique Cartan connection vanishes, i.e. the horizontal distribution is integrable.

In the Finslerian case this special situation was studied in [ACD87] and their main result is the following:

**Theorem.** *If  $R^1 = 0$  then the cohomology groups of  $d_h$  are isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology groups of an integral manifold of the nonlinear connection associated to  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Chern–Weil homomorphism, twisted cohomology, Frölicher–Nijenhuis theory.

This research was supported by the grant FKFP 0690/99.

In this paper we do not suppose the integrability of the horizontal distribution.

## 2. TOOLS

**Forms.** Let  $\nabla^C: \mathfrak{X}(TM) \times \mathfrak{X}_h TM \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_h TM$  be the Cartan connection of the Finsler space  $(M, \mathcal{L})$ . Then  $(\nabla, h)$ , where  $\nabla: \mathfrak{X}(TM) \times \mathfrak{X}_h TM \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_h TM$ ,  $\nabla_X Y = \nabla^C_{hX} Y$ , is the so called *h-connection* of the Finsler space.

By easy calculations, one can show the following statement:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $(\nabla, h)$  be the h-connection of the Finsler space. The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla: A(TM; HM) &\rightarrow A(TM; HM), \\ (\nabla\Psi)(X_0, \dots, X_p) &= \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \nabla_{X_i} \Psi(X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_p) + \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \Psi([X_i, X_j]_h, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_p) \end{aligned}$$

( $\Psi \in A^p(TM; HM)$  ( $p > 0$ ); for  $p = 0$ :  $(\nabla\sigma)(X) = \nabla_X \sigma$ ) is a first order graded derivation of the graded algebra of  $HM$ -valued forms on  $TM$  in the sense of [Mic87] i.e.  $\nabla(\omega \wedge \Psi) = d_h \omega \wedge \Psi + (-1)^p \omega \wedge \nabla \Psi$ ;  $\omega \in A^p(TM)$ ,  $\Psi \in A(TM; HM)$ .

We will use the following construction in the next section. Let  $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  be vector bundles with the same base  $B$  and let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m; \xi_0)$ .  $\phi$  determines a map  $\phi_* \in \text{Hom}(A(B; \xi_1), \dots, A(B; \xi_m); A(B; \xi_0))$  as follows.

$$\phi_*(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) = \phi(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$$

for  $\sigma_i \in A^0(B; \xi_i) \equiv \text{Sec} \xi_i$  and for elements in  $A^{p_i}(B; \xi_i)$  this map is determined by

$$\phi_*(\omega_1 \wedge \sigma_1, \dots, \omega_m \wedge \sigma_m) = (\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_m) \wedge \phi_*(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$$

where  $\omega_i \in A(B)$ ,  $\sigma_i \in A^0(B; \xi_i)$  ( $i = 1 \dots m$ ).  $\phi_*$  satisfies the following identity:

$$(1) \quad \phi_*(\Psi_1, \dots, \omega \wedge \Psi_i, \dots, \Psi_m) = (-1)^{q_i q} \omega \wedge \phi_*(\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m)$$

where  $\Psi_i \in A^{p_i}(B; \xi_i)$ ,  $q_i = p_1 + \dots + p_{i-1}$  ( $i \geq 2$ ),  $q_1 = 0$ ,  $\omega \in A^q(B)$ , and moreover,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\phi_*(\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m)(X_1, \dots, X_p) = \\ &= \frac{1}{p_1! \dots p_m!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \varepsilon(\sigma) \phi(\Psi_1(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots), \dots, \Psi_m(\dots, X_{\sigma(p)})) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Psi_i \in A^{p_i}(B; \xi_i)$ ,  $X_i \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$  ( $i = 1 \dots p$ ),  $p = p_1 + \dots + p_m$ .

**Invariant polynomials.** Let  $F$  be a real vector space. An *invariant polynomial of degree  $p$*  is a symmetric map

$$f_F^p \in \text{Hom}(\overset{\perp}{L}(F; F), \dots, \overset{\perp}{L}(F; F); \overset{p}{\mathbb{R}})$$

such that for all  $a \in \text{GL}(F)$

$$(3) \quad f_F^p(\text{Ad}(a)\alpha_1, \dots, \text{Ad}(a)\alpha_p) = f_F^p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$$

where  $\alpha_i \in L(F; F)$  ( $i = 1 \dots p$ ) is a linear operator and  $\text{Ad}: \text{GL}(F) \rightarrow \text{GL}(L(F; F))$  is the adjoint representation. By the invariance condition (3) one can extend  $f_F^p$  to the bundle of linear operators over the vector bundle  $\xi$  with base manifold  $B$  and the typical fiber  $F$ .

$$f^p \in \text{Hom}(\overset{\perp}{L}\xi, \dots, \overset{\perp}{L}\xi; B \times \overset{p}{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \text{Sec}(\overset{\perp}{L}\xi \otimes \dots \otimes \overset{p}{L}\xi)^*.$$

This  $f^p$  is called invariant polynomial in  $\xi$  of degree  $p$ .

**Curvature.** Let  $R^2 \in A^2(TM; L_{HM})$  denote the curvature of the Cartan connection.

**Proposition 2.** *The  $h$ -Finsler connection  $(\nabla, h)$  in  $HM$  satisfies:*

$$[(\nabla R^2)(X, Y, Z)](W) = \underset{(X, Y, Z)}{\mathfrak{S}} \{P^2(R^1(X, Y)(hZ)(W))\}$$

where  $P^2$  is the  $h\nu$ -curvature,  $R^1 = \frac{1}{2}[h, h]$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_{(X, Y, Z)}$  is the symbol of the cyclic sum with respect to  $X, Y, Z$ .

### 3. CONSTRUCTION OF $d_h$ -CLOSED FORMS

**Theorem.** *Let  $(\nabla, h)$  be the  $h$ -Finsler connection,  $f^p$  an invariant polynomial in  $HM$ . If  $\nabla R^2 = 0$  then  $d_h f_*^p(R^2, \dots, R^2) = 0$ , i.e.  $f_*^p(R^2, \dots, R^2)$  is a  $d_h$ -closed  $2p$ -form.*

*Proof.* We have found the adequate ideas, so the proof of the theorem is quite easy. First we prove the following statement:

**Lemma.** *Let  $f \in \underset{\perp}{\text{Hom}}(L_{HM}, \dots, L_{HM}; TM \times \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Sec}(\underset{\perp}{L_{HM}} \otimes \dots \otimes \underset{\perp}{L_{HM}})^*$ . If  $\nabla_X f = 0$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$  then*

$$(4) \quad d_h f_*(\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_p) = \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{q_i} f_*(\Omega_1, \dots, \nabla \Omega_i, \dots, \Omega_p),$$

where  $\Omega_i \in A^{r_i}(TM; L_{HM})$  ( $i = 1 \dots p$ ),  $q_i = r_1 + \dots + r_{i-1}$  ( $i = 2 \dots p$ ),  $q_1 = 0$ .

(Concerning  $f_* \in \underset{\perp}{\text{Hom}}(A(TM; L_{HM}), \dots, A(TM; L_{HM}); A(TM))$  see (2)!)

Clearly,  $A^{r_i}(TM; L_{HM}) \cong A^{r_i}(TM) \otimes \text{Sec} L_{HM}$ . If  $\alpha_i \in \text{Sec} L_{HM}$  ( $i = 1 \dots p$ ) then (4) reduces to:

$$d_h f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) = \sum_{i=1}^p f_*(\alpha_1, \dots, \nabla \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_p).$$

We have  $(d_h f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p))(X) = hX f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ . On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p f_*(\alpha_1, \dots, \nabla \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_p)(X) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^p f(\alpha_1, \dots, (\nabla \alpha_i)(X), \dots, \alpha_p).$$

Together with the previous line this proves the statement.

Let  $\Omega_i \in A^{r_i}(TM; L_{HM})$  ( $i = 1 \dots p$ ),  $\Omega_i = \omega_i \wedge \alpha_i$  ( $\omega_i \in A^{r_i}(TM)$   $i = 1 \dots p$ ), and  $q = r_1 + \dots + r_p$ . By induction we infer

$$\begin{aligned} d_h f_*(\omega_1 \wedge \alpha_1, \dots, \omega_p \wedge \alpha_p) &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{q_i} \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_h \omega_i \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_p \wedge f_*(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) + \\ &\quad + (-1)^q \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_p \wedge d_h f_*(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(\omega_1 \wedge \alpha_1, \dots, \nabla(\omega_i \wedge \alpha_i), \dots, \omega_p \wedge \alpha_p) &= \\ &= \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_h \omega_i \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_p \wedge f_*(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{r_i} (-1)^{r_i+1} \dots (-1)^{r_p} \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_p \wedge f_*(\alpha_1, \dots, \nabla \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_p). \end{aligned}$$

We proved the lemma.

Now, for an invariant polynomial  $f^p$ ,  $\nabla_X f^p = \nabla_{hX}^C f^p = 0$  and applying the lemma for  $\Omega_i = R^2$  we get the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

## 4. REMARKS

**Pseudocomplexes.** For  $d_h$  we have a sequence of graded vector spaces

$$(PS) \quad \dots \longrightarrow A^{p-1}(TM) \xrightarrow{d_h} A^p(TM) \xrightarrow{d_h} A^{p+1}(TM) \longrightarrow \dots$$

where  $d_h \circ d_h$  is not necessarily zero. Following I. Vaisman [Vai68], for (PS) we use the name of *pseudocomplex*. Of course, when  $[h, h] = 0$  then (PS) is a usual cochain complex.

In the case of non-vanishing  $d_h^2$  the most natural way to define cohomology groups is by

$$H^p(d_h, TM) = \text{Ker } d_h / \text{Im } d_h \cap \text{Ker } d_h.$$

These  $H^p(d_h, TM)$  cohomology groups are usual cohomology groups of several cochain complexes. We put

$$(\widetilde{PS}) \quad \dots \longrightarrow A^{p-1}(\widetilde{TM}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}_h} A^p(\widetilde{TM}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}_h} A^{p+1}(\widetilde{TM}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

where

$$A^p(\widetilde{TM}) = \text{Ker } d_h \circ d_h.$$

and  $\widetilde{d}_h$  is the restriction of  $d_h$  to  $A^p(\widetilde{TM})$ . Then it is easy to check that in the case of  $(\widetilde{PS})$   $\widetilde{d}_h^2 = 0$  holds and the cochain complex  $(\widetilde{PS})$  has the same cocycles and coboundaries as the pseudocomplex (PS) itself ([HL75], [Vai93]).

**Finsler spaces with the condition  $\nabla R^2 = 0$ .** There are several examples for Finsler spaces with vanishing curvature  $P^2$ . This condition implies the required identity  $\nabla R^2 = 0$ , c.f. Proposition 2. These spaces are the so called *Landsberg spaces* ([Koz96], [Mat96]).

## REFERENCES

- [ACD87] O. Amici, B. Casciaro, and S. Dragomir. On the cohomology of Finsler manifolds. In *Topics in differential geometry*, volume 46 of *Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 57–82, 1987.
- [AP94] M. Abate and G. Patrizio. *Finsler Metrics – A Global Approach*, volume 1591 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [Che79] S. S. Chern. Appendix: Geometry of characteristic classes. In *Complex Manifolds Without Potential Theory*. Springer-Verlag, (1979).
- [FN56] A. Frölicher and A. Nijenhuis. Theory of vector valued differential forms, part 1. *Indag. Math.*, **18**:338–359, (1956).
- [GHV73] W. Greub, S. Halperin, and R. Vanstone. *Connections, Curvature and Cohomology I, II*. Academic Press, New York, (1972, 1973).
- [HL75] S. Halperin and D. Lehmann. Cohomologies et classes caractéristiques des choux de bruxelles. In *Differential Topology and Geometry – Proceedings of the Colloquium Held at Dijon, 1974*, volume 484 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 79–120, 1975.
- [Koz96] L. Kozma. On Landsberg spaces and holonomy of Finsler manifolds. In David Bao, Shing shen Chern, and Zhongmin Shen, editors, *Finsler Geometry*, volume 196 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 177–186. American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [Mat86] M. Matsumoto. *Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*. Kaiseisha Press, (1986).
- [Mat96] M. Matsumoto. Remarks on Berwald and Landsberg spaces. In David Bao, Shing shen Chern, and Zhongmin Shen, editors, *Finsler Geometry*, volume 196 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 79–81. American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [Mic87] P. W. Michor. Remarks on the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket. In *Differential geometry and its applications*, pages 197–220, Brno, Czechoslovakia, (1987). Reidel.
- [Vai68] I. Vaisman. Les pseudocomplexes de cochaines et leurs applications geometriques. *Ann. Stiin. Univ. Al. I. Cuza*, **14**:107–136, (1968).
- [Vai93] I. Vaisman. New examples of twisted cohomologies. *Bolletino U. M. I.*, (**7**) **7-B**:355–368, (1993).

*Received November 4, 2000*

*E-mail address:* `kovacs@zeus.nyf.hu`

COLLEGE OF NYÍREGYHÁZA,  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,  
NYÍREGYHÁZA, P.O. BOX 166.,  
H-4401, HUNGARY