

**ON THE POINTWISE ESTIMATION OF CESARO KERNEL OF  
 NEGATIVE ORDER WITH RESPECT TO WALSH-PALEY  
 SYSTEM**

V. TEVZADZE

ABSTRACT. Some pointwise properties of  $(C, \alpha)$  kernel  $(-1 < \alpha < 0)$  with respect to the Walsh–Paley system are established.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $r_0(x)$  be the function defined by

$$r_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1), \end{cases} \quad r_0(x+1) = r_0(x).$$

The Rademacher system is defined by

$$r_n(x) = r_0(2^n x), \quad n \geq 1, \text{ and } x \in [0, 1).$$

Let  $\psi_0(x), \psi_1(x), \psi_2(x), \dots$  represent the Walsh functions, i.e.  $\psi_0(x) = 1$ , and if  $k = 2^{n_1} + 2^{n_2} + \dots + 2^{n_s}$  is a positive integer with  $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_s$ , then

$$\psi_k(x) = r_{n_1}(x) \cdot r_{n_2}(x) \cdots r_{n_s}(x).$$

The idea of using the products of the Rademacher functions is to define the Walsh system originated by Paley [4].

Denote by  $K_n^\alpha(t)$  the kernel of the method  $(C, \alpha)$  and call it the  $(C, \alpha)$  kernel, or the Cesaro kernel:

$$K_n^\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^\alpha \psi_\nu(t),$$

$$A_k^\alpha = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \cdots (\alpha+k)}{k!} \quad (\alpha \neq -k).$$

It is well-known ([8], Ch. 3) that

- (1)  $A_n^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1};$
- (2)  $A_n^\alpha - A_{n-1}^\alpha = A_n^{\alpha-1};$
- (3)  $A_n^\alpha \sim n^\alpha.$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C10.

*Key words and phrases.* Walsh functions, Dirichlet kernel, Cesaro means.

Some properties of the  $(C, \alpha)$  kernel ( $\alpha > 0$ ) have been established by Fine [1], Yano [7], Gát [2], [3] and [5]. Using these properties they studied the problems of pointwise and uniform  $(C, \alpha)$  summability of Walsh–Fourier series.

In the present paper we study some pointwise properties of  $(C, \alpha)$  kernel ( $-1 < \alpha < 0$ ) with respect to the Walsh–Paley system. The results of this paper have been published without proof in [6].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The main results of the paper are presented in the form of the following propositions.

**Theorem 1.** *The estimation*

$$K_n^{-\alpha}(t) \leq c(\alpha) \cdot \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

holds.

**Theorem 2.** *For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \geq 2^m$  the equality*

$$\text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right) = \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^m-1}(t), \quad t \in [0, 1),$$

is valid.

## 3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

We shall need the following

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $p > 2^m - 1 + \alpha$  the sum*

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t)$$

is representable in the form

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} \ell_\nu A_{p-q_\nu}^{-\alpha-i},$$

where  $\ell_\nu, q_\nu, i$  are nonnegative integers depending only on the point  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  (and not depending on  $p$  and  $\alpha$ ); moreover,  $i + q_\nu \leq 2^m - 1$ .

*Proof.* Using the method of mathematical induction, we can verify that the lemma is valid for  $m = 1$ . We have

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^1-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) = A_p^{-\alpha} \psi_0(t) + A_{p-1}^{-\alpha} \psi_1(t).$$

Since on the interval  $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$

$$A_p^{-\alpha} \psi_0(t) + A_{p-1}^{-\alpha} \psi_1(t) = A_p^{-\alpha} + A_{p-1}^{-\alpha},$$

and on the interval  $\frac{1}{2} \leq t < 1$

$$A_p^{-\alpha} \psi_0(t) + A_{p-1}^{-\alpha} \psi_1(t) = A_p^{-\alpha} - A_{p-1}^{-\alpha} = A_p^{-\alpha-1},$$

our lemma for  $m = 1$  is valid. Let the lemma be valid for  $m - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we prove that the lemma is valid for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, we have

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) + \psi_{2^{m-1}}(t) \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t)$$

$$(\alpha > 0, \quad p > 2^m - 1 + \alpha).$$

We consider two cases: (1)  $\psi_{2^{m-1}}(t) = 1$ ; (2)  $\psi_{2^{m-1}}(t) = -1$ .

Let  $\psi_{2^{m-1}}(t) = 1$ . By the assumption

$$p - 2^{m-1} > 2^m - 1 + \alpha - 2^{m-1} > 2^{m-1} - 1 + \alpha,$$

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} c_{\nu} A_{p-m_{\nu}}^{-\alpha-i}$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} c_{\nu} A_{p-2^{m-1}-m_{\nu}}^{-\alpha-i}.$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} c_{\nu} A_{p-m_{\nu}}^{-\alpha-i} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} c_{\nu} A_{p-2^{m-1}-m_{\nu}}^{-\alpha-i} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} \ell_{\nu} A_{p-q_{\nu}}^{-\alpha-i}.$$

Let now  $\psi_{2^{m-1}} = -1$ . Since  $A_n^{\alpha} - A_{n-1}^{\alpha} = A_n^{\alpha-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) + \psi_{2^{m-1}}(t) \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) \\ (2) \quad &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \left( A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} - A_{p-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \right) \psi_{\nu}(t) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \left( A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} + A_{p-\nu-1}^{-\alpha-1} + \dots + A_{p-2^{m-1}-\nu-1}^{-\alpha-1} \right) \psi_{\nu}(t). \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption,

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-j-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} c_{\nu} A_{p-j-m_{\nu}}^{-\alpha-1-i}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{m-1} - 1,$$

hence from (2) we have

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} \psi_{\nu}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} c_{\nu} A_{p-j-m_{\nu}}^{-\alpha-1-i} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} \ell_{\nu} A_{p-q_{\nu}}^{-\alpha-1-i},$$

i.e. in both cases equation (1) holds. It is evident that in these cases

$$i + q_{\nu} \leq 2^m - 1.$$

Thus the lemma is proved. □

**Lemma 2.** For any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $p > 2^m + \alpha$  the equality

$$\text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) \right) = - \text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} \psi_{\nu}(t) \right), \quad t \in [0, 1),$$

is valid.

Lemma 2 follows directly from Lemma 1 if we take into account that in the conditions of Lemma 2  $\text{Sgn } A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} = -\text{Sgn } A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha-1}$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $t \in (0, 1)$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $\mathbb{N}$  is a set of natural numbers), such that  $2^{-m} \leq t < 2^{-m+1}$ . We write  $n \geq 1$  in the form  $n = p \cdot 2^m + q$ , where  $0 \leq q < 2^m$ . We have<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad K_n^{-\alpha}(t) &= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) = \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{p \cdot 2^m - 1} A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=p \cdot 2^m}^n A_{n-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \\
&= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{n-r \cdot 2^m - \nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{r \cdot 2^m + \nu}(t) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=0}^q A_{n-p \cdot 2^m - \nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{p \cdot 2^m + \nu}(t) \\
&= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{r=0}^{p-2} \psi_{r \cdot 2^m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{n-r \cdot 2^m - \nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \psi_{(p-1) \cdot 2^m}(t) \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{n-r \cdot 2^m - \nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \psi_{p \cdot 2^m}(t) \sum_{\nu=0}^q A_{q-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) = A_1 + A_2 + A_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate  $A_1$ . Using Abelian transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \sum_{r=0}^{p-2} \psi_{r \cdot 2^m}(t) \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{n-r \cdot 2^m - \nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \sum_{r=0}^{p-2} \psi_{r \cdot 2^m}(t) \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-2} A_{n-r \cdot 2^m - \nu}^{-\alpha-1} D_\nu(t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{r=0}^{p-2} \psi_{r \cdot 2^m} A_{n-(r+1)2^m+1}^{-\alpha} D_{2^m}(t) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_k(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \psi_i(t).$$

Since (see [8])

$$(4) \quad c_1(\alpha) n^\alpha \leq A_n^\alpha \leq c_2(\alpha) n^\alpha, \quad \alpha > -2,$$

<sup>1</sup>Here the use is made of the equality  $\psi_{r+s}(t) = \psi_r(t)\psi_s(t)$ , if in the binary expansion  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  the same terms are omitted.

and  $|D_k(t) \leq k, t \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |A_1| &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \cdot c(\alpha) \cdot 2^m \sum_{r=0}^{p-2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}} (n - r \cdot 2^m - \nu)^{-\alpha-1} \\
 (5) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \cdot c(\alpha) \cdot 2^m (n - (p-1) \cdot 2^m)^{-\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \cdot c(\alpha) 2^{m(1-\alpha)} \\
 &\leq c(\alpha) \cdot \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $A_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |A_2| &= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \psi_{(p-1)2^m}(t) \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{n-(p-1)2^m-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) \right| \\
 &\leq c(\alpha) \cdot \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} (n - (p-1)2^m - \nu)^{-\alpha} \\
 (6) \quad &\leq c(\alpha) \cdot \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} (2^m + q - \nu)^{-\alpha} \\
 &\leq c(\alpha) \cdot \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} (2^m - \nu)^{-\alpha} \leq c(\alpha) \cdot \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} 2^{m(1-\alpha)} \\
 &\leq c(\alpha) \cdot \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Estimate now  $A_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |A_3| &= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^q A_{q-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) \right| \leq c(\alpha) \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \left( 1 + \sum_{\nu=0}^q (q - \nu)^{-\alpha} \right) \\
 (7) \quad &\leq c(\alpha) \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} q^{1-\alpha} \leq c(\alpha) \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} 2^{m(1-\alpha)} \leq c(\alpha) \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (5), (6) and (7), from (3) we get

$$|K_n^{-\alpha}| \leq c(\alpha) \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{t^{1-\alpha}},$$

which was to be proved.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We use the method of mathematical induction. For  $m = 1$  the lemma is valid. Indeed,

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{2^1-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\nu}(t) = A_p^{-\alpha} \psi_0(t) + A_{p-1}^{-\alpha} \psi_1(t);$$

on the interval  $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$

$$A_p^{-\alpha} \psi_0(t) + A_{p-1}^{-\alpha} \psi_1(t) = A_p^{-\alpha} + A_{p-1}^{-\alpha} > 0,$$

and on the interval  $\frac{1}{2} \leq t < 1$

$$A_p^{-\alpha} \psi_0(t) + A_{p-1}^{-\alpha} \psi_1(t) = A_p^{-\alpha} - A_{p-1}^{-\alpha} = A_p^{-\alpha-1} < 0.$$

Since  $\psi_1(t) = 1$  if  $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\psi_1(t) = -1$  if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq t < 1$ , therefore

$$\text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^1-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right) = \text{Sgn} \psi_1(t).$$

Let the lemma be valid for  $m-1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and let us prove that the lemma is valid for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) + \psi_{2^{m-1}}(t) \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t).$$

Let  $\psi_{2^{m-1}} = 1$ . Since  $p - 2^{m-1} \geq 2^{m-1}$ , by virtue of the assumption,

$$\text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right) = \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^{m-1}-1}(t),$$

$$\text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right) = \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^{m-1}-1}(t).$$

Hence from (8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right) &= \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^{m-1}-1}(t) \\ &= \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^{m-1}-1}(t) \cdot \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^{m-1}}(t) \\ &= \text{Sgn} (\psi_{2^{m-1}-1}(t) \psi_{2^{m-1}}(t)) = \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^m-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

If, however,  $\psi_{2^{m-1}}(t) = -1$ , equality (8) yields

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} (A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} - A_{p-2^{m-1}-\nu}^{-\alpha}) \psi_\nu(t) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} (A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} + A_{p-\nu-1}^{-\alpha-1} + \dots + A_{p-2^{m-1}-\nu+1}^{-\alpha-1}) \psi_\nu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Lemma 1, for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{m-1} - 1$  we obtain

$$\text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right) = \text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-j-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} \psi_\nu(t) \right),$$

and consequently, using Lemma 2, from (9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right) &= \text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha-1} \psi_\nu(t) \right) \\ &= -\text{Sgn} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m-1} A_{p-\nu}^{-\alpha} \psi_\nu(t) \right) = -\text{Sgn} \psi_{2^m-1}(t) \\ &= \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^m-1}(t) \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^m-1}(t) = \text{Sgn} \psi_{2^m-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the theorem is proved.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. J. Fine. Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 41:588–591, 1955.
- [2] Gát, G. On the Fejr kernel functions with respect to the Walsh-Paley system. *Acta Acad. Paed. Agriensis Sectio Mathematicae*, 24:105–110, 1997.
- [3] B. Golubov, A. Efimov, and V. Skvortsov. *Walsh series and transforms*, volume 64 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991. Theory and applications, Translated from the 1987 Russian original by W. R. Wade.
- [4] R. Paley. A remarkable series of orthogonal functions. I., II. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser.*, 34:241–264, 265–279, 1932.
- [5] F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, and P. Simon. *Walsh series*. Adam Hilger Ltd., Bristol, 1990. An introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis, With the collaboration of J. Pál.
- [6] V. I. Tevzadze. Uniform convergence of Cesàro means of negative order of Fourier-Walsh series. *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR*, 102(1):33–36, 1981.
- [7] S. Yano. Cesàro summability of Fourier series. *J. Math. Tokyo*, 1:32–34, 1951.
- [8] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series. 2nd ed. Vol. I*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1959.

*Received April 15, 2005.*

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS,  
TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY,  
CHAVCHAVADZE STR. 1, TBILISI 0128,  
GEORGIA