Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis
22 (2006), 237–245
www.emis.de/journals
ISSN 1786-0091

RECENT RESULTS ON THE DERIVED LENGTH OF LIE SOLVABLE GROUP ALGEBRAS

TIBOR JUHÁSZ

Abstract. Let G be a group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order p^n and F a field of characteristic p. We obtain the description of the group algebras FG of Lie derived length 3.

1. Introduction and results

Let us consider the group algebra FG of a group G over a field F as a Lie algebra with the usual bracket operation and define the *Lie derived series* and the *strong Lie derived series* of FG as follows: let $\delta^{[0]}(FG) = \delta^{(0)}(FG) = FG$ and

$$\delta^{[n+1]}(FG) = \big[\delta^{[n]}(FG), \delta^{[n]}(FG)\big],$$
$$\delta^{(n+1)}(FG) = \big[\delta^{(n)}(FG), \delta^{(n)}(FG)\big]FG,$$

where $[X, Y]$ is the additive subgroup generated by all Lie commutators $[x, y] = xy - yx$ with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. We say that FG is *Lie solvable* if there exists m such that $\delta^{[m]}(FG) = 0$, and similarly, if $\delta^{(n)}(FG) = 0$ for some n then FG is said to be *strongly Lie solvable*. The minimal integers m, n for which $\delta^{[m]}(FG) = 0$ and $\delta^{(n)}(FG) = 0$ are called the *Lie derived length* and the *strong Lie derived length* of FG and they are denoted by $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG)$ and $\mathrm{dl}^L(FG)$, respectively. I. B. S. Passi, D. S. Passman and S. K. Sehgal [6] proved that a group algebra FG is Lie solvable if and only if one of the following conditions holds: (i) G is abelian; (ii) $\mathrm{char}(F) = p$ and the commutator subgroup G' of G is a finite p-group; (iii) $\mathrm{char}(F) = 2$ and G has a subgroup H of index 2 whose commutator subgroup H' is a finite 2-group. As it is well-known, a group algebra FG is strongly Lie solvable if either G is abelian or $\mathrm{char}(F) = p$ and G' is a finite p-group.

It is obvious that $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = \mathrm{dl}^L(FG) = 1$ if and only if G is abelian. The group algebras FG with $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = 2$ are known as *Lie metabelian* group

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S34, 17B30.
Key words and phrases. Group algebras, Lie derived length.

algebras. F. Levin and G. Rosenberger described these group algebras in [4], namely, a noncommutative group algebra FG of characteristic p is Lie metabelian if and only if one of the following conditions holds: (i) $p = 3$ and G' is central of order 3; (ii) $p = 2$ and G' is central and elementary abelian of order dividing 4. Moreover, they proved that $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = 2$ if and only if $\mathrm{dl}^L(FG) = 2$.

M. Sahai in [9] gave the full description of the strongly Lie solvable group algebras of strong derived length 3 for odd characteristic, and showed that the statements $\delta^{[3]}(FG) = 0$ and $\delta^{(3)}(FG) = 0$ are equivalent, provided $\mathrm{char}(F) \geq 7$. In the other cases the question is still open. Further examples can be found in R. Rossmanith's papers [7, 8] for group algebras with Lie derived length at most 3 of characteristic 2.

The introductory results on the Lie derived length of Lie solvable group algebras are in A. Shalev's papers [10, 11].

In this article we continue the study which we started in [3, 1]. In [3] the Lie solvable group algebras FG whose Lie derived lengths are maximal are given in the case when G is a nilpotent group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order p^n. Later [1], we investigated the non-nilpotent case.

To describe the Lie solvable group algebras of derived length 3 seems a difficult problem. A partial solution can be found here; we indeed prove the following

Theorem 1. *Let G be a group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order p^n and let F be a field of characteristic p. Then $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) *$p = 7$, $n = 1$ and G is nilpotent;*
(ii) *$p = 5$, $n = 1$ and either $x^g = x^{-1}$ for all $x \in G'$ and $g \notin C_G(G')$ or G is nilpotent;*
(iii) *$p = 3$, $n = 1$ and G is not nilpotent;*
(iv) *$p = 2$ and*
  a) *$n = 2$;*
  b) *$n = 3$ and G is of class 4;*
  c) *G has an abelian subgroup of index 2.*

A. Shalev proved (see Proposition C in [11]): if G is an abelian-by-cyclic p-group of class two with $p > 2$ and $\mathrm{char}(F) = p$, then $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = \lceil \log_2(t(G')+1) \rceil$, where $t(G')$ denotes the nilpotent index of the augmentation ideal of FG' and $\lceil r \rceil$ the upper integral part of a real number r. We generalize this result for the case when the nilpotency class of G is not necessary two.

Theorem 2. *Let G be an abelian-by-cyclic p-group with $p > 2$ such that $\gamma_3(G) \subseteq (G')^p$ and let F be a field of characteristic p. Then*

$$\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = \mathrm{dl}^L(FG) = \lceil \log_2 t(G') + 1 \rceil.$$

In this article $\omega(FG)$ denotes the augmentation ideal of FG; for a normal subgroup $H \subseteq G$ we denote by $\mathfrak{I}(H)$ the ideal $FG \cdot \omega(FH)$. For $x, y \in G$

let $x^y = y^{-1}xy$, $(x,y) = x^{-1}x^y$. By $\zeta(G)$ we mean the center of the group G, by $\gamma_n(G)$ the n-th term of the lower central series of G with $\gamma_1(G) = G$. Furthermore, we denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n.

2. Preliminaries and proofs

Proposition 1. *Let G be a group and $\operatorname{char}(F) = 2$. If H is a subgroup of index 2 of G whose commutator subgroup H' is a finite 2-group, then*

$$\operatorname{dl}_L(FG) \le \lceil \log_2 t(H') \rceil + 3.$$

Proof. Firstly, suppose that H is an abelian subgroup of index 2 of G. Then $G = \langle H, b\rangle$ for some b and every $x \in FG$ has a unique representation in the form $x = x_1 + x_2 b$, where $x_1, x_2 \in FH$. It is easy to see that the map $u \mapsto \overline{u} = b^{-1}ub$ $(u \in FH)$ is an automorphism of order 2 of FH and for all $x, y \in FG$

$$\begin{aligned}[x,y] &= [x_1 + x_2 b, y_1 + y_2 b] \\ &= (x_2\overline{y_2} + \overline{x_2}y_2)b^2 + \big((x_1 + \overline{x_1})y_2 + x_2(\overline{y_1} + y_1)\big)b \\ &\equiv w_1 b \pmod{\zeta(FG)},\end{aligned}$$

where $w_1 \in FH$ and $\zeta(FG)$ denotes the center of FG. Similarly, for $u, v \in FG$ we have $[u,v] \equiv w_2 b \pmod{\zeta(FG)}$ for some $w_2 \in FH$. Hence

$$\big[[x,y],[u,v]\big] = [w_1 b, w_2 b] = (w_1\overline{w_2} + \overline{w_1}w_2)b^2 \in FH.$$

Since the elements of the form $\big[[x,y],[u,v]\big]$ with $x, y, u, v \in FG$ generate $\delta^{[2]}(FG)$ and FH is a commutative algebra, $\delta^{[3]}(FG) = 0$, as asserted.

Let now H be nonabelian. It is clear that H' is normal in G and H/H' is an abelian subgroup of index 2 of G/H', so we can use the result proved above to get $\delta^{[3]}\big(F(G/H')\big) = 0$. In view of $F(G/H') \cong FG/\mathfrak{I}(H')$ we have $\delta^{[3]}(FG) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(H')$. Hence an easy induction on k yields $\delta^{[3+k]}(FG) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(H')^{2^k}$ for all $k \ge 0$. Consequently, if $2^k \ge t(H')$, that is $k \ge \lceil \log_2 t(H') \rceil$, then $\delta^{[3+k]}(FG) = 0$, which implies the statement. □

Let G be a group with commutator subgroup $G' = \langle x \mid x^{2^n} = 1\rangle$, where $n \ge 3$. It is well known that the automorphism group $\operatorname{aut}(G')$ of G' is a direct product of the cyclic group $\langle\alpha\rangle$ of order 2 and the cyclic group $\langle\beta\rangle$ of order 2^{n-2} where the action of these automorphisms on G' is given by $\alpha(x) = x^{-1}$, $\beta(x) = x^5$. For $g \in G$, let τ_g denote the restriction to G' of the inner automorphism $h \mapsto h^g$ of G. The map $G \to \operatorname{aut}(G)$, $g \mapsto \tau_g$ is a homomorphism whose kernel coincides with the centralizer $C = C_G(G')$. Clearly, the map $\varphi : G/C \to \operatorname{aut}(G')$ given by $\varphi(gC) = \tau_g$ is a monomorphism. In [3] we introduced the subset

$$G_\beta = \big\{ g \in G \mid \varphi(gC) \in \langle\beta\rangle \big\}$$

of G. Evidently, G_β is a subgroup of index not greater than 2. It is shown in [3] that $G = G_\beta$ if and only if G has nilpotency class at most n, furthermore

under this condition $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = n+1$. Combining this fact with Proposition 1 we obtain the following statement.

Corollary 1. *Let G be a group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order 2^n and let $\mathrm{char}(F) = 2$. If G'_β has order 2^r, then*

$$r + 1 \leq \mathrm{dl}_L(FG) \leq r + 3.$$

Proof. If $G = G_\beta$ then Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 in [3] say $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = r + 1$. Otherwise, G_β is of index 2 in G and we can apply Proposition 1 to get $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) \leq r + 3$. Furthermore, Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 of [3] ensure that $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG_\beta) = r + 1$. Since $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG_\beta) \leq \mathrm{dl}_L(FG)$, the corollary is true. □

Let $\mathrm{char}(F) = 2$ and $H = \langle x \mid x^{2^n} = 1\rangle$. We claim that if $r > 0$ and the k_j's are odd positive integers for $1 \leq j \leq r$ then the element

$$\varrho = (x^{k_1} + 1)(x^{k_2} + 1) \cdots (x^{k_r} + 1) \in FH$$

is equal to zero if and only if $r \geq 2^n$.

Indeed, $\varrho \in \omega^r(FH)$ and if $r \geq 2^n$ then $\varrho = 0$, because $t(H) = 2^n$. Assume now $r < 2^n$. Applying the identity

$$(x^{k_j} + 1) = (x^{k_j - 1} + 1)(x + 1) + (x^{(k_j-1)/2} + 1)^2 + (x + 1)$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq r$, we can write $\varrho = (x + 1)^r + \varrho_1$, where ϱ_1 is the sum of elements of weight greater than r. Clearly, $(x+1)^r \in \omega^r(FH) \setminus \omega^{r+1}(FH)$ and $\varrho_1 \in \omega^{r+1}(FH)$, hence $\varrho \in \omega^r(FH) \setminus \omega^{r+1}(FH)$ and $\varrho \neq 0$.

In the sequel we shall use freely this fact.

In the proof of the next lemmas we will use that $C' \subseteq G' \cap \zeta(G)$. This inclusion is indeed valid, because for $a, b, c \in G$ the well-known Hall-Witt identity states that

$$(a, b^{-1}, c)^b (b, c^{-1}, a)^c (c, a^{-1}, b)^a = 1.$$

Evidently, if $b, c \in C$ then this formula yields that $(b, c, a) = 1$, which guarantees our statement.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a group with commutator subgroup $G' = \langle x \mid x^{2^n} = 1\rangle$, where $n > 3$, let $\mathrm{char}(F) = 2$ and assume that $\exp(G/C) \leq 2$. Then $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ if and only if C is abelian and $G/C = \langle aC\rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$.*

Proof. Since $\exp(G/C) \leq 2$, only the following cases are possible:

Case 1: either G/C is trivial or $G/C = \langle bC\rangle$ where $x^b = x^{2^{n-1}+1}$. Clearly, G has nilpotency class at most 3, therefore by Theorem 1 in [3] we have $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = n + 1$.

Case 2: $G/C = \langle aC\rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$. Then $C' \subseteq G' \cap \zeta(G) = \langle x^{2^{n-1}}\rangle$. If $C' = \langle 1\rangle$ then C is an abelian subgroup of index 2 of G and Proposition 1 implies that $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = 3$. Now, let $C' = \langle x^{2^{n-1}}\rangle$. Then we can choose $b, c \in C$ such that

$$(c, a) = x, \qquad (c, b) = x^{2^{n-1}}, \qquad (a, b) \in \langle x^2\rangle.$$

Indeed, let us consider the map $\varphi : C \to G'$, where $\varphi(c) = (c, a)$, which is an epimorphism because $G' = (a, C)$. Of course, $H = \varphi^{-1}\big(\langle x^2\rangle\big)$ is a proper subgroup of C. Let $u \in C \setminus \zeta(C)$ and $c \in C \setminus \big(H \cup C_C(u)\big)$ be such that $(c, a) = x$. Obviously, $(c, u) = x^{2^{n-1}}$. If $(a, u) \in \langle x^2\rangle$ then set $b = u$, otherwise $b = cu$. It is easy to see that the elements b and c satisfy the conditions stated. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\big[[c,a],[c^{-1}a,c]\big],\ \big[[c,a],[c^{-1}ba,c]\big]\Big]\\
&\quad=\big[[ac(x+1),a(x^{-1}+1)],\ [ac(x+1),ba(x^{2^{n-1}-1}+1)]\big]\\
&\quad=\big[a^2cx^{-1}(x+1)^3,\ ba^2c\big((b,a)x^{-1}+1\big)(x^{2^{n-1}+1}+1)(x+1)\big]\\
&\quad=a^4bc^2x^{-1}\big((b,a)x^{-1}+1\big)(x^{2^{n-1}+1}+1)(x+1)^{2^{n-1}+4}
\end{aligned}$$

belongs to $\delta^{[3]}(FG)$ and is not equal to zero, thus $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) > 3$.

Case 3: $G/C = \langle dC\rangle$, where $x^d = x^{2^{n-1}-1}$. Since $G' = (d, C)$, similarly as before, we can choose $c \in C$ such that $(c, d) = x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\big[[c,d],[d^{-1}c,d]\big],\ \big[[c,d],[c,dc]\big]\Big]\\
&\qquad=\Big[\big[dc(x+1),c(x+1)\big],\ \big[dc(x+1),dc^2(x+1)\big]\Big]\\
&\qquad=\big[dc^2(x+1)^{2^{n-1}+1},\ d^2c^3x(x^{2^{n-1}-1}+1)(x+1)^2\big]\\
&\qquad=d^3c^5x(x^{2^{n-1}-1}+1)(x^{2^{n-2}-1}+1)^2(x+1)^{2^{n-1}+2}
\end{aligned}$$

is a nonzero element in $\delta^{[3]}(FG)$ so $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) > 3$.

Case 4: $G/C = \langle aC, bC\rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$ and $x^b = x^{2^{n-1}+1}$. Then

$$G' = \langle (ab,b)\rangle (ab,C)(b,C)C' = \langle (a,b)\rangle (ab,C)(b,C),$$

because $C' \subseteq \langle x^{2^{n-1}}\rangle$. Since G' is cyclic, G' coincides with either $\langle (a,b)\rangle$ or (ab,C) or (b,C).

Assume that $G' = (ab,C)$ and set $H = \langle ab, C\rangle$. Then H satisfies the hypothesis of Case 3 of this lemma, so $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) \geq \mathrm{dl}_L(FH) > 3$. We get the same result in the case $G' = (b,C)$.

There remains the possibility that $(a,b) = y$ is of order 2^n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\big[[a,b],[b^{-1}a,b]\big],\ \big[[a,b],[b,ab]\big]\Big]\\
&\quad=\Big[\big[ba(y+1),a(y+1)\big],\ \big[ba(y+1),ab^2(y^{2^{n-1}-1}+1)\big]\Big]\\
&\quad=\big[ba^2(y^{2^{n-1}-2}+1)(y+1),\ b^3a^2y^{-1}(y^{2^{n-1}-2}+1)(y+1)\big]\\
&\quad=b^4a^4y^{-1}(y^{-1}+1)^4(y^{2^{n-1}+1}+1)(y+1)^{2^{n-1}+1}\neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and the statement is valid. □

Lemma 2. *Let G be a group with commutator subgroup $G' = \langle x \mid x^{16} = 1\rangle$ and let* $\mathrm{char}(F) = 2$. *Then* $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ *if and only if G has an abelian subgroup of index* 2.

Proof. By the previous lemma, the statement is true if $\exp(G/C) \leq 2$. The other possible cases are:

Case 1: $G/C = \langle bC\rangle$, where $x^b = x^5$. Since then $G = G_\beta$, Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 in [3] state that $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = 5$.

Case 2: $G/C = \langle dC\rangle$, where $x^d = x^{-5}$. Then $G' = (d, C)$ and, as before, we can choose $c \in C$ such that $(c, d) = x$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\big[[c,d],[d^{-1}c,d]\big],\ \big[[c,d],[c,dc]\big]\Big]&\\ =\Big[\big[dc(x+1),c(x+1)\big],\ \big[dc(x+1),dc^2(x^{-5}+1)\big]\Big]&\\ =\big[dc^2(x^{-4}+1)(x+1),\ d^2c^3(x^{-5}+1)(x+1)^2\big]&\\ = b^3c^5x^6(x^{-5}+1)(x^9+1)(x+1)^9&\end{aligned}$$

belongs to $\delta^{[3]}(FG)$ and is not zero.

Case 3: $G/C = \langle aC, bC\rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$ and $x^b = x^5$. Then by similar arguments as in the last case of the previous lemma we can restrict ourselves to the case when $(a, b) = x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\big[[a,b],[b^{-1}a,b]\big],\ \big[[a,b],[b,ab]\big]\Big]&\\ =\Big[\big[ba(x+1),a(x+1)\big],\ \big[ba(x+1),ab^2(x^{-5}+1)\big]\Big]&\\ =\big[ba^2(x^{10}+1)(x+1),\ b^3a^2(x^{10}+1)(x^7+1)\big]&\\ = b^4a^4x^3(x^5+1)^4(x+1)^6 \neq 0,&\end{aligned}$$

which was to be proved. □

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose first that $p > 7$. Then Theorem A in [10] states that $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) \geq \lceil \log_2(p+1)\rceil \geq 4$. For odd $p \leq 7$ the statement follows directly from Theorem 1 in [3], Theorem 1 in [1].

Let $G' = \langle x \mid x^{2^n} = 1\rangle$. The result follows from Theorem 1 in [3] for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. For $n > 3$, using induction on n, we shall show that if $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ then C is abelian and $G/C = \langle aC\rangle$, where $x^a = x^{-1}$ (i.e. G has an abelian subgroup of index 2). Indeed, by Lemma 2, this is true for $n = 4$. Let now $n > 4$ and $\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) = 3$ and assume that the statement is true for every group with commutator subgroup of order less than 2^n. Set $H = \langle x^{2^{n-1}}\rangle \subset G'$. Then $\mathrm{dl}_L\big(F(G/H)\big) = 3$ and $(G/H)' = G'/H = \langle xH\rangle$, and by inductive hypothesis we get

$$(xH)^{gH} = x^gH = x^{(-1)^k}H$$

for all $g \in G$. It follows that $x^g = x^i$ with $i \in \{-1, 1, 2^{n-1}-1, 2^{n-1}+1\}$, i.e. $\exp(G/C) \leq 2$ and the statement follows from Lemma 1. □

Example. Let G_i be a finite nonabelian 2-group of order 2^m and exponent 2^{m-2} from the list in [5]. The group algebras of G_i have been examined by several authors, for example V. Bovdi [2]. Our results enable us to determine the derived length of FG_i over a field F of characteristic 2. Using Proposition 1 and Theorem 1 we get

$$\mathrm{dl}_L(FG_i) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if either } i \in \{2,3\} \text{ and } m = 4 \text{ or } i \in \{1,4,5,9,10\}; \\ 4, & \text{if } \quad i \in \{15,16,18,20,24,25\} \quad \text{and} \quad m > 5; \\ 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $G'_{17} \cong G'_{26} \cong C_2 \times C_2$. Then we applied Theorem 3 in [4] to compute the derived length.

Now let us turn to Theorem 2.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a group with commutator subgroup of order p^n and $\mathrm{char}(F) = p$. If $\gamma_3(G) \subseteq (G')^p$ then for all $m \geq 1$*

$$\big[\omega^m(FG'), \omega(FG)\big] \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+p-1}.$$

Moreover, if G' is abelian, then for all $m, k \geq 1$

$$\big[\mathfrak{I}(G')^m, \mathfrak{I}(G')^k\big] \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+k+1}.$$

Proof. We use induction on m. For every $y \in G'$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$[y-1, g-1] = [y, g] = gy\big((y,g)-1\big) \in \mathfrak{I}\big(\gamma_3(G)\big) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^p.$$

This shows that the statement holds for $m = 1$, because all elements of the form $g - 1$ with $g \in G$ constitute an F-basis of $\omega(FG)$.

Now, assume that $\big[\omega^m(FG'), \omega(FG)\big] \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+p-1}$ for some m. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\big[\omega^{m+1}(FG'), \omega(FG)\big] \\ &\quad \subseteq \omega^m(FG')\big[\omega(FG'), \omega(FG)\big] + \big[\omega^m(FG'), \omega(FG)\big]\omega(FG') \\ &\quad \subseteq \omega^m(FG')\mathfrak{I}(G')^p + \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+p-1}\omega(FG') \subseteq \mathfrak{I}(G')^{m+p}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of the first assertion is complete. The second one is a consequence of the first one, because

$$\mathfrak{I}(G') = \omega(FG)\omega(FG') + \omega(FG').$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Write $G = \langle A, x\rangle$, where A is abelian and normal in G. Clearly, $G' = (A, x)$ is abelian. We shall show that for all $c \in A$ and $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2^n-1} \in G'$ and j not divisible by p there exists $\varrho \in \mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}$ such that

$$x^j c(1-z_1)(1-z_2)\cdots(1-z_{2^n-1}) + \varrho \in \delta^{[n]}(FG).$$

We use induction on n. Let first $n = 1$ and $2k \equiv j$ modulo the order of x. Then $G' = (A, x^k)$ and $z_1 = (a_1, x^k) \cdots (a_s, x^k)$ for some $a_1, \ldots, a_s \in A$, thus

$$x^j c(1 - z_1) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{s} x^j c\big(1 - (a_i, x^k)\big) \pmod{\mathfrak{I}(G')^2}. \tag{1}$$

Since p is an odd prime, we can choose the elements u_i, v_i such that $u_i^2 = ca_i^{-1}$ and $v_i^2 = ca_i$. Then $u_i, v_i \in A$, $(u_i v_i)^2 = c^2$ and $(u_i^{-1} v_i)^2 = a_i^2$ which implies $u_i v_i = c$ and $u_i^{-1} v_i = a_i$. Setting $w_i = x^k u_i (x^k)^{-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}[x^k w_i, x^k v_i] &= x^j (w_i^{x^k} v_i - w_i v_i^{x^k}) \\ &= x^j w_i^{x^k} v_i \big(1 - (w_i^{-1} v_i, x^k)\big) = x^j c\big(1 - (a_i, x^k)\big),\end{aligned}$$

because $(w_i^{-1} v_i, x^k) = (u_i^{-1} v_i, x^k) = (a_i, x^k)$. Now by (1) it follows that

$$x^j c(1 - z_1) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{s} [x^k w_i, x^k v_i] \pmod{\mathfrak{I}(G')^2}, \tag{2}$$

which proves our statement for $n = 1$.

Now, assume that $j, c, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2^n-1}$ have already been given, and let $2k \equiv j$ modulo the order of x. We can apply the method above to find elements $w_i, v_i \in A$ such that the congruence (2) holds. Set

$$f_i = x^k w_i (1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^{n-1}})$$

and

$$g_i = x^k v_i (1 - z_{2^{n-1}+1}) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n-1}).$$

for $1 \le i \le s$. By the induction hypothesis there exist $\varrho_1^{(i)}, \varrho_2^{(i)} \in \mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^{n-1}}$ such that $f_i + \varrho_1^{(i)}, g_i + \varrho_2^{(i)} \in \delta^{[n-1]}(FG)$. Evidently,

$$\big[f_i + \varrho_1^{(i)}, g_i + \varrho_2^{(i)}\big] = [f_i, g_i] + [f_i, \varrho_2^{(i)}] + [\varrho_1^{(i)}, g_i] + [\varrho_1^{(i)}, \varrho_2^{(i)}] \in \delta^{[n]}(FG).$$

According to Lemma 3 the last three summands are in $\mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\big[f_i, g_i\big] &= x^k w_i \big[(1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^{n-1}}), x^k v_i\big](1 - z_{2^{n-1}+1}) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n-1}) \\ &\quad + x^k v_i \big[x^k w_i, (1 - z_{2^{n-1}+1}) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n-1})\big](1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^{n-1}}) \\ &\quad + \big[x^k w_i, x^k v_i\big](1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n-1})\end{aligned}$$

and the first two summands on the right-hand side belong to $\mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}$ by Lemma 3. So,

$$\big[f_i + \varrho_1^{(i)}, g_i + \varrho_2^{(i)}\big] \equiv \big[x^k w_i, x^k v_i\big](1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n-1}) \pmod{\mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}},$$

for all $1 \le i \le s$. Summing this over all possible i, we get

$$x^j c(1 - z_1)(1 - z_2) \cdots (1 - z_{2^n-1}) + \varrho \in \delta^{[n]}(FG),$$

for some $\varrho \in \mathfrak{I}(G')^{2^n}$, as we claimed.

It follows that $\delta^{[n]}(FG)$ has nonzero elements while $2^n - 1 < t(G')$. Hence

$$\mathrm{dl}_L(FG) \ge \lceil \log_2 t(G') + 1 \rceil$$

and the result follows immediately from Proposition 1 in [3]. □

References

[1] Z. Balogh and T. Juhász. Lie derived lengths of group algebras of groups with cyclic derived subgroup. To appear in Commun. Algebra.

[2] V. Bovdi. On a filtered multiplicative basis of group algebras. II. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 6(3):353–368, 2003.

[3] T. Juhász. On the derived length of Lie solvable group algebras. *Publ. Math.*, 68(1-2):243–256, 2006.

[4] F. Levin and G. Rosenberger. Lie metabelian group rings. Group and semigroup rings, Proc. Int. Conf., Johannesburg/South Afr. 1985, North-Holland Math. Stud. 126, 153-161 (1986)., 1986.

[5] Y. Ninomiya. Finite p-groups with cyclic subgroups of index p^2. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 36:1–21, 1994.

[6] I. Passi, D. Passman, and S. Sehgal. Lie solvable group rings. *Can. J. Math.*, 25:748–757, 1973.

[7] R. Rossmanith. Lie centre-by-metabelian group algebras in even characteristic. I. *Isr. J. Math.*, 115:51–75, 2000.

[8] R. Rossmanith. Lie centre-by-metabelian group algebras in even characteristic. II. *Isr. J. Math.*, 115:77–99, 2000.

[9] M. Sahai. Lie solvable group algebras of derived length three. *Publ. Mat., Barc.*, 39(2):233–240, 1995.

[10] A. Shalev. The derived length of Lie soluble group rings. I. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 78(3):291–300, 1992.

[11] A. Shalev. The derived length of Lie soluble group rings. II. *J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser.*, 49(1):93–99, 1994.

Received Apryl 10, 2006.

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Eszterházy Károly College,
H-3300 Eger, P.O. Box 43,
Hungary
E-mail address: juhaszti@ektf.hu