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#### Abstract

By means of Gát's methods in [2] our aim is to prove the almost everywhere convergence of some sub-sequences of $\left(\sigma_{n} f\right)_{n}$ to $f$, for every integrable function $f$ on unbounded Vilenkin groups. These are in fact sub-sequences of the form $\left(\sigma_{a_{n} M_{n}} f\right)_{n}$, where the numbers $a_{n}$ are bounded. This result can be considered as a generalization of Gát's result concerning the almost everywhere convergence of the sequence $\left(\sigma_{M_{n}} f\right)_{n}$ on unbounded Vilenkin groups for every integrable function $f$.


## 1. Introduction

Many results concerning the a.e. convergence of the Fejér means $\left(\sigma_{n} f\right)_{n}$ have been obtained for Vilenkin groups. On bounded groups, mean convergence holds almost everywhere for integrable functions [4]. However, using different methods on unbounded groups, G. Gát [1] proved this result for $L^{p}$ functions when $p>1$, and obtained in [2] that $\sigma_{M_{n}} f \rightarrow f$, a.e. for every integrable function $f$. The same author [3] established the mean convergence almost everywhere of the full sequence for integrable functions on rarely unbounded groups. In the present paper we establish the almost everywhere convergence of sub-sequences of the form $\left(\sigma_{a_{n} M_{n}} f\right)_{n}$, where the numbers $a_{n}$ are bounded, to the integrable function $f$.

Let ( $m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}, \ldots$ ) be an unbounded sequence of integers not less than 2 . We denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of positive integers and let $\mathbb{N}=\mathbb{P} \cup\{0\}$. Let $G:=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{m_{n}}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{m_{n}}$ denotes the discrete group of order $m_{n}$, with addition $\bmod m_{n}$. Each element from $G$ can be represented as a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$, where $x_{n} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, m_{n}-1\right\}$, for every integer $n \geq 0$. Addition in $G$ is obtained coordinatewise.

[^0]The topology on $G$ is generated by the subgroups $I_{n}:=\left\{x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i} \in G, x_{i}=\right.$ 0 for $i<n\}$, and their translations $I_{n}(y):=\left\{x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i} \in G, x_{i}=y_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i<n\right\}$. Sometimes we write $I_{n}(y)$ in the form $I_{n}(y)=I_{n}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)$.

Define the sequence $\left(M_{n}\right)_{n}$ as follows: $M_{0}=1$ and $M_{n+1}=m_{n} M_{n}$.
If $\mu\left(I_{n}\right)$ denotes the normalized product measure of $I_{n}$ then it can be easily seen that $\mu\left(I_{n}\right)=M_{n}^{-1}$.

The generalized Rademacher functions are defined by

$$
r_{n}(x):=e^{\frac{2 \pi i x_{n}}{m_{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in G,
$$

For every non-negative integer $n$, there exists a unique sequence $\left(n_{i}\right)_{i}$ so that $n=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_{i} M_{i}$.
and the system of Vilenkin functions by

$$
\psi_{n}(x):=\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} r_{i}^{n_{i}}(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in G
$$

The Fourier coefficients, the partial sums of the Fourier series, the mean values, the Dirichlet kernels, the Fejér means, and the Fejér kernels with respect to the Vilenkin system are respectively defined as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{f}(n)=\int f(x) \bar{\psi}_{n}(x) d x, \quad S_{n} f=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}(k) \psi_{k}, \quad E_{n}(f)=S_{M_{n}} f, \\
D_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_{k}, \quad \sigma_{n} f=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_{k} f, \quad K_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} D_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

for every $f \in L^{1}(G)$.
It can be easily seen that

$$
S_{n} f(y)=\int D_{n}(y-x) f(x) d x, \quad D_{M_{n}}(x)=M_{n} 1_{I_{n}}(x),
$$

and

$$
E_{n} f(y)=M_{n} \int_{I_{n}(y)} f(x) d x
$$

Let $A, s, j$ be fixed positive integers such that $j \leq A$ and $s<m_{A}$, then following G.Gát in the definition of the operators $H_{j, A}$ and $H_{j}$ in [2], we define the operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f(y)=M_{A-j} \mid \int{\underset{x}{x_{A-j} \neq y_{A-j}}} I_{A}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{A-j-1}, x_{A-j}, y_{A-j+1}, \ldots, y_{A-1}\right) \\
\\
\left.f(x) \bar{r}_{A}^{s}(x) \frac{1}{1-r_{A-j}(y-x)} d x \right\rvert\,,
\end{gathered}
$$

and for fixed $s, j$

$$
\tilde{H}_{j}^{s} f(y)=\sup _{A: s<m_{A}} \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f(y)
$$

The notation $C$ will be used for an absolute positive constant that may vary in different contexts.

## 2. Main Results

Lemma 2.1. For every fixed s the operator $\tilde{H}_{1}^{s}$ is bounded on $L^{2}$.
Proof. Let $f \in L^{2}$. Using the proof of [2, Lemma 2.3.] we can write

$$
\tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s} f=H_{1, A}\left(f \bar{r}_{A}^{s}\right),
$$

from which we get

$$
\left\|\tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s} f\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|H_{1, A}\left(f \bar{r}_{A}^{s}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\left\|f \bar{r}_{A}^{s}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\|f\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

Since

$$
\tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s} f=\tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s}\left(E_{A+1} f\right),
$$

and

$$
\tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s}\left(E_{A} f\right)=0,
$$

it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sup _{A: s<m_{A}} \tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s} f\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\left\|\sup _{A: s<m_{A}} \tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s}\left(E_{A+1} f-E_{A} f\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{A: s<m_{A}}\left\|\tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s}\left(E_{A+1} f-E_{A} f\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{A}\left\|\left(E_{A+1} f-E_{A} f\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\|f\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.2. For every fixed s the operator $\tilde{H}_{1}^{s}$ is of weak type $\left(L^{1}, L^{1}\right)$.
Proof. We proceed as in the proof of [2, Lemma 2.4.]. Namely, let $f \in L^{1}$ be such that

$$
\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset \bigcup_{j=\alpha}^{\beta} I_{k}(z, j)=I
$$

where $I_{k}(z, j)=I_{k+1}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k-1}, j\right)$, for some fixed $z \in G$ and $j \in\{\alpha, \alpha+$ $1, \ldots, \beta\} \subset\left\{0,1, \ldots, m_{k}-1\right\}$.

If $s<\min \left(m_{k}, m_{k+1}\right)$, then suppose that $\int_{I} f d \mu=0, \int_{I} f \bar{r}_{k}^{s} d \mu=0$ and $\int_{I} f \bar{r}_{k+1}^{s} d \mu=0$. We construct the set $6 I$ as done in [2, Lemma 2.4.].

Take any $y \in I_{k}(z) \backslash 6 I$. Clearly,

$$
\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f(y)=\tilde{H}_{1, k+1}^{s} f(y)=H_{1, k+1}\left(\bar{r}_{k+1}^{s} f\right)(y) .
$$

From the proof of [2, Lemma 2.4.] it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{I_{k}(z) \backslash 6 I} \tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f(y) d y & =\int_{I_{k}(z) \backslash 6 I} \tilde{H}_{1, k+1}^{s} f(y) d y \\
& =\int_{I_{k}(z) \backslash 6 I} H_{1, k+1}\left(\bar{r}_{k+1}^{s} f\right)(y) d y \leq C\|f\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if $y \in I_{k-1}(z) \backslash I_{k}(z)$, we get

$$
\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f(y)=\tilde{H}_{1, k}^{s} f(y)=H_{1, k}\left(f \bar{r}_{k}^{s}\right)(y)=0
$$

because $\int_{I} f \bar{r}_{k}^{s} d \mu=0$.
For $y \in I_{l-1}(z) \backslash I_{l}(z)$ for any $l \leq k-1$, we get

$$
\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f(y)=\tilde{H}_{1, l}^{s} f(y)=0,
$$

because $\int_{I} f d \mu=0$.
It follows that

$$
\int_{G \backslash 6 I} \tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f(y) d y \leq C\|f\|_{1} .
$$

If $m_{k+1} \leq s<m_{k}$, then we only suppose that $f$ satisfies $\int_{I} f d \mu=0$ and $\int_{I} f \bar{r}_{k}^{s} d \mu=0$.
Then it is easily seen that $\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f(y)=0$ for every $y \in G \backslash 6 I$.
If $m_{k} \leq s<m_{k+1}$, then for $\int_{I} f d \mu=0$ and $\int_{I} f \bar{r}_{k+1}^{s} d \mu=0$, we get in a similar way that

$$
\int_{I_{k}(z) \backslash 6 I} \tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f(y) d y \leq C\|f\|_{1},
$$

moreover, $\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f(y)=0$ for every $y \in G \backslash I_{k}(z)$.
Finally, if $s \geq \max \left(m_{k}, m_{k+1}\right)$, then we only suppose that $\int_{I} f d \mu=0$. In this case we also obtain that $\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f(y)=0$ for every $y \in G \backslash 6 I$

We follow the steps in the proof of [2, Lemma 2.4.] and introduce a decomposition lemma but this latter will be the same as the decomposition made in [5, Lemma 2]. For an arbitrary function $f \in L^{1}$, if $\lambda>0$ is such that $\|f\|_{1} \leq \lambda$ and $\left(\alpha_{k}\right)_{k}$ is a sequence of integers defined by $\alpha_{k}=-s$ if $s<m_{k}$ and $\alpha_{k}=0$ otherwise, there exist mutually disjoint intervals $J_{j}=\bigcup_{l=\alpha_{j}}^{\beta_{j}} I_{k_{j}}\left(z^{j}, l\right), j \in \mathbb{P}$, and integrable functions $b$ and $g$ such that
(1) $f=b+g$,
(2) $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq C \lambda$,
(3) $\|g\|_{1} \leq C\|f\|_{1}$,
(4) $\operatorname{supp}(b) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} J_{j}$,
(5) $\int_{J_{j}} b d \mu=\int_{J_{j}} b r_{k_{j}}^{\alpha_{k_{j}}} d \mu=0$, for every $j \in \mathbb{P}$,
(6) $\int_{J_{j}}|b| d \mu \leq C \int_{J_{j}}|f| d \mu$, for every $j \in \mathbb{P}$,
(7) $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(J_{j}\right) \leq \frac{\|f\|_{1}}{\lambda}$.

In [5, Lemma 2] it was proved that for every $j \in \mathbb{P}$ there exist constants $a_{k_{j}}$ and $b_{k_{j}}$ such that

$$
b(x)=f(x)-a_{k_{j}}-b_{k_{j}} \bar{r}_{k_{j}}^{\alpha_{k_{j}}}(x), \quad \forall x \in J_{j} .
$$

We introduce the functions

$$
h_{j}(x)=\left[b(x)-\left(\mu\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\int_{J_{j}} f \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu\right) r_{k_{j}+1}^{s}(x)\right] 1_{J_{j}}(x), \quad j \in \mathbb{P},
$$

if $s<m_{k_{j}+1}$ and $h_{j}(x)=b(x) 1_{J_{j}}(x)$, otherwise.
Notice that for $s<m_{k_{j}+1}$

$$
\int_{J_{j}} h_{j} d \mu=\int_{J_{j}} b d \mu-\left(\mu\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{-1} \int_{J_{j}} f \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu \int_{J_{j}} r_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu=0
$$

because $\int_{J_{j}} r_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu=0$. But also, since $\int_{J_{j}} r_{k_{j}+1}^{s} \bar{r}_{k_{j}}^{s} d \mu=0$, we have

$$
\int_{J_{j}} h_{j} \bar{r}_{k_{j}}^{s} d \mu=\int_{J_{j}} b \bar{r}_{k_{j}}^{s} d \mu-\left(\mu\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{-1} \int_{J_{j}} f \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu \int_{J_{j}} r_{k_{j}+1}^{s} \bar{r}_{k_{j}}^{s} d \mu=0 .
$$

Besides

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{J_{j}} h_{j} \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu= & \int_{J_{j}} b \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu-\int_{J_{j}} f \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu \\
= & \int_{J_{j}} f \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu-a_{k_{j}} \int_{J_{j}} \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu \\
& -b_{k_{j}} \int_{J_{j}} \bar{r}_{k_{j}}^{\alpha_{k_{j}}} \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu-\int_{J_{j}} f \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s \geq m_{k_{j}+1}$, we obviously have

$$
\int_{J_{j}} h_{j} d \mu=\int_{J_{j}} h_{j} r_{k_{j}}^{\alpha_{k_{j}}} d \mu=0
$$

In this way we have proved that

$$
\int_{G \backslash 6 J_{j}} \tilde{H}_{1}^{s} h_{j}(y) d y \leq C\left\|h_{j}\right\|_{1} \leq C \int_{J_{j}}|f| d \mu, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{P} .
$$

Following the steps used in [2, Lemma 2.4.], we obtain that

$$
\mu\left(\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_{j}>\lambda\right) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\|h_{j}\right\|_{1} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1} .
$$

From

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =b+g=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\mu\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\int_{J_{j}} f \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu\right) r_{k_{j}+1}^{s}(x) 1_{J_{j}}+g \\
& =: \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_{j}+G .
\end{aligned}
$$

The mutually disjoint intervals $\left(J_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{P}}$ were constructed such that

$$
\left(\mu\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}\left|\int_{J_{j}} f \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu\right| \leq\left(\mu\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{-1} \int_{J_{j}}|f| d \mu \leq 3 \lambda
$$

Therefore, the function $G$ remains bounded. Moreover,

$$
\|G\|_{1} \leq\|g\|_{1}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\mu\left(J_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}\left|\int_{J_{j}} f \bar{r}_{k_{j}+1}^{s} d \mu\right| \int_{J_{j}} d \mu \leq\|g\|_{1}+\|f\|_{1} \leq C\|f\|_{1} .
$$

Proceeding as in [2, Lemma 2.4.], we get

$$
\mu\left(\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} G>\lambda\right) \leq C \frac{\left\|\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} G\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \leq C \frac{\|G\|_{2}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}\|G\|_{1} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1}
$$

Finally,

$$
\mu\left(\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} f>2 \lambda\right) \leq \mu\left(\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_{j}>\lambda\right)+\mu\left(\tilde{H}_{1}^{s} G>\lambda\right) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1} .
$$

Lemma 2.3. There exists an absolute constant $C>0$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{P}$, $f \in L^{1}$ and $\lambda>0$, we have

$$
\mu\left(\tilde{H}_{j}^{s} f>2 \lambda\right) \leq \frac{j^{2} C}{2^{j} \lambda}\|f\|_{1} .
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}_{j}^{s} f & =\sup _{j \leq A, s<m_{A}} \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f \leq \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sup _{\substack{j \leq A, s<m_{A} \\
A \equiv k \\
\bmod j}} \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sup _{\substack{j \leq A, s<m_{A} \\
A \equiv k \\
\bmod 2 j}} \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f+\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sup _{\substack{j \leq A, s<m_{A} \\
\bmod j, A \neq k}} \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f .
\end{aligned}
$$

using the properties of the operators $H_{j, k}^{N}$ introduced in [2, Lemma 2.5.], it is easily seen that we only need to prove that for every $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, j-1\}$ the operators

$$
2^{j} \sup _{\substack{j \leq A \leq N j+k \\ A \equiv k \\ m o d}} \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f,
$$

and

$$
2^{j} \sup _{A \equiv k}^{\substack{j \leq A \leq N j+k \\ \bmod j, A \neq k}} \bmod 2 j^{\bmod },
$$

are of weak type ( $L^{1}, L^{1}$ ) uniformly on $N$.
We use a similar function as the permutation $\alpha$ introduced in [2, Lemma 2.5.]. Put

- $\alpha^{\prime}(n)=n$, if $n \geq N j+k$ or $n \neq k+2 l j, k-1+(2 l+1) j$, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $\alpha^{\prime}(k+2 j l)=k+(2 l+1) j-1$, if $2 l<N$,
- $\alpha^{\prime}(k+(2 l+1) j-1)=k+2 l j$, if $2 l<N$.

Let $G^{\prime}$ be the Vilenkin group generated by the sequence $\left(m_{\alpha^{\prime}(i)}\right)_{i}$. Then, for $A \leq N j+k, A \equiv k \bmod j$ with $A \neq k \bmod 2 j$, we have $\alpha^{\prime}(A-j)=A-1$, $\alpha^{\prime}(A-1)=A-j$, but $\alpha^{\prime}(A)=A$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f(y)=M_{A-j} \mid \int_{x_{A-j} \neq y_{A-j}} I_{A}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{A-j-1}, x_{A-j}, y_{A-j+1}, \ldots, y_{A-1}\right) \\
& \left.f(x) \bar{r}_{A}^{s}(x) \frac{1}{1-r_{A-j}(y-x)} d x \right\rvert\, \\
&=M_{A-j}\left|\int_{x_{A-1}^{\prime} \neq y_{A-1}^{\prime}}^{\cup} \underset{I_{A}\left(y_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{A-j-1}^{\prime}, \left.y_{A-j}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(y_{A-j+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{A-1}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{s} \frac{1}{1-r_{A-1}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right)} d x^{\prime} \right\rvert\,\right.}{ } \begin{array}{l}
M_{A-j} \\
M_{A-1}
\end{array}\right| \\
& \tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s} f^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \leq 2^{1-j} \tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s} f^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i}=\left(x_{\alpha^{\prime}(i)}\right)_{i} \in G^{\prime}$, for every $x \in G,\left(r_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n}$ is the convenient set of Rademacher functions for $G^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime}$ is defined on $G^{\prime}$ by $f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=f(x)$.

Following the steps of [2, Lemma 2.5.] we get that

$$
2^{j} \sup _{\substack{j \leq A \leq N j+k \\ A=k \\ \bmod j \\ A \neq k}} \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f
$$

is of weak type ( $L^{1}, L^{1}$ ) uniformly on $N$.
In a similar way if we introduce the permutation $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ :

- $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(n)=n$, if $n \geq N j+k$ or $n \neq k+(2 l+1) j, k-1+2 l j$, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(k+(2 l+1) j)=k+(2 l+2) j-1$, if $2 l+1<N$,
- $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(k+(2 l+2) j-1)=k+(2 l+1) j$, if $2 l+1<N$,
let $G^{\prime \prime}$ be the Vilenkin group generated by the sequence $\left(m_{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(i)}\right)_{i}$.
If $A=k+2 l j, l \in \mathbb{P}$, we have $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(A-j)=A-1, \alpha^{\prime \prime}(A-1)=A-j$, but $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(A)=A$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f(y) & =M_{A-j} \mid \int_{x_{A-j} \neq y_{A-j}} I_{A}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{A-j-1}, x_{A-j}, y_{A-j+1}, \ldots, y_{A-1}\right) \\
& f\left(\left.x \bar{r}_{A}^{s}(x) \frac{1}{1-r_{A-j}(y-x)} d x \right\rvert\,\right. \\
& =M_{A-j} \mid \int_{\substack{x_{A-1} \neq y_{A-1}^{\prime \prime}}} I_{A}\left(y_{0}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, y_{A-j-1}^{\prime \prime}, y_{A-j}^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\bar{y}_{A-j+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, x_{A-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \left.^{s} \frac{1}{1-r_{A-1}^{\prime \prime}\left(y^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right)} d x^{\prime \prime} \right\rvert\, \\
& =\frac{M_{A-j}}{M_{A-1}} \tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s} f^{\prime \prime}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq 2^{1-j} \tilde{H}_{1, A}^{s} f^{\prime \prime}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{i}=\left(x_{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(i)}\right)_{i} \in G^{\prime \prime}$, for every $x \in G,\left(r_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}$ is the convenient set of Rademacher functions for $G^{\prime \prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ is defined on $G^{\prime \prime}$ by $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=f(x)$.

Consequently,

$$
2^{j} \sup _{\substack{j \leq A \leq N j+k \\ A \equiv k \leq \bmod 2 j}} \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f
$$

is of weak type ( $L^{1}, L^{1}$ ) uniformly on $N$, and the lemma is proved.
Lemma 2.4. Let $s \in \mathbb{P}$ be fixed. Then the operator

$$
\sup _{N: s<m_{N}}\left|r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * f\right|
$$

is of weak type $\left(L^{1}, L^{1}\right)$.
Proof. We first prove that the mentioned operator is bounded on $L^{2}$. For $g \in L^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * g\right\|_{2}^{2} & =M_{N}^{2} \int\left|\int_{I_{N}(y)} \bar{r}_{N}^{s}(x) g(x) d x\right|^{2} d y \\
& \leq M_{N}^{2} \int\left(\int_{I_{N}(y)}\left|\bar{r}_{N}^{s}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)\left(\int_{I_{N}(y)}|g(x)|^{2} d x\right) d y \\
& =M_{N} \int\left(\int_{I_{N}(y)}|g(x)|^{2} d x\right) d y=\|g\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} *\left(E_{N} f\right)=0$ and $r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} *(f)=r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} *\left(E_{N+1} f\right)$, the same argument used in Lemma 2.1 gives that $\sup _{N: s<m_{N}}\left|r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * f\right|$ is bounded on $L^{2}$.

Now we use the decomposition mentioned in Lemma 2.2 with the same notations for some fixed function $f \in L^{1}$ and $\lambda>\|f\|_{1}$. Put $b_{j}=b \cdot 1_{J_{j}}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{P}$. We can write $f=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j}+g$.

Let $y \in G \backslash\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} J_{j}\right)$, then for every $j \in \mathbb{P}, N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s<m_{N}$,

$$
\int_{I_{N}(y)} \bar{r}_{N}^{s}(x) b_{j}(x) d x=0
$$

From which we get

$$
\int_{I_{N}(y)} \bar{r}_{N}^{s}(x) b(x) d x=0,
$$

consequently,

$$
\sup _{N: s<m_{N}}\left|\left(r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * b\right)(y)\right|=0
$$

Using the boundedness of the operator on $L^{2}$ and the argument used in Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu\left(\left\{\sup _{N: s<m_{N}}\left|r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * f\right|>2 \lambda\right\} \cap\left(G \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} J_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \mu\left(\left\{\sup _{N: s<m_{N}}\left|r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * b\right|>\lambda\right\} \cap\left(G \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} J_{j}\right)\right) \\
&+\mu\left(\sup _{N: s<m_{N}}\left|r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * g\right|>\lambda\right) \\
&= \mu\left(\sup _{N: s<m_{N}}\left|r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * g\right|>\lambda\right) \leq C \frac{\|g\|_{1}}{\lambda} \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{1}}{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.5. Let $f \in L^{1}, l \in \mathbb{P}$ fixed. Then $S_{a_{N} M_{N}} f \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere uniformly on $a_{N} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, \min \left(l, m_{N}-1\right)\right\}$.
Proof. Let $a_{N} \leq \min \left(l, m_{N}-1\right)$. We write $D_{a_{N} M_{N}}$ in the following form

$$
D_{a_{N} M_{N}}=\sum_{i=0}^{M_{N}-1} \psi_{i}+\sum_{i=M_{N}}^{2 M_{N}-1} \psi_{i}+\ldots+\sum_{i=\left(a_{N}-1\right) M_{N}}^{a_{N} M_{N}-1} \psi_{i}=D_{M_{N}}+\sum_{s=1}^{a_{N}-1} r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}}
$$

Since $\sup _{N: s<m_{N}}\left|r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * f\right|$ is of weak type $\left(L^{1}, L^{1}\right)$, and from $r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * g \rightarrow 0$, for every polynomial $g$, repeating the method of [2, Theorem 2.1.], we get that $r_{N}^{s} D_{M_{N}} * f \rightarrow 0$, almost everywhere. The result follows from the fact that $D_{M_{N}} * f \rightarrow f$, almost everywhere.
Theorem 2.6. Let $f \in L^{1}, l \in \mathbb{P}$ fixed. Then $\sigma_{a_{N} M_{N}} f \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere uniformly on $a_{N} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, \min \left(l, m_{N}-1\right)\right\}$.
Proof. Let $a_{N} \leq \min \left(l, m_{N}-1\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{a_{N} M_{N}} & =\frac{1}{a_{N} M_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{a_{N} M_{N}} D_{k} \\
& =\frac{1}{a_{N} M_{N}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{M_{N}} D_{k}+\sum_{k=M_{N}+1}^{2 M_{N}} D_{k}+\ldots+\sum_{k=\left(a_{N}-1\right) M_{N}+1}^{a_{N} M_{N}} D_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{a_{N} M_{N}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{M_{N}} D_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{M_{N}} D_{M_{N}+k}+\ldots+\sum_{k=1}^{M_{N}} D_{\left(a_{N}-1\right) M_{N}+k}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{a_{N} M_{N}} \sum_{s=0}^{a_{N}-1} \sum_{k=1}^{M_{N}} D_{s M_{N}+k} \\
& =\frac{1}{a_{N} M_{N}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{M_{N}} D_{k}+\sum_{s=1}^{a_{N}-1} \sum_{k=1}^{M_{N}}\left(D_{s M_{N}}+r_{N}^{s} D_{k}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{a_{N}} \sum_{s=1}^{a_{N}-1} D_{s M_{N}}+\frac{1}{a_{N} M_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{M_{N}} D_{k}+\frac{1}{a_{N} M_{N}} \sum_{s=1}^{a_{N}-1} r_{N}^{s} \sum_{k=1}^{M_{N}} D_{k} \\
& =\frac{1}{a_{N}} \sum_{s=1}^{a_{N}-1} D_{s M_{N}}+\frac{1}{a_{N}} K_{M_{N}}+\frac{1}{a_{N}} \sum_{s=1}^{a_{N}-1} r_{N}^{s} K_{M_{N}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using [2, Theorem 2.1.] and Theorem 2.5, in order to prove that $\sigma_{a_{N} M_{N}} f=$ $K_{a_{N} M_{N}} * f \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere uniformly on $a_{N} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, \min \left(l, m_{N}-\right.\right.$ $1)\}$, it suffices to prove that $r_{N}^{s} K_{M_{N}} * f \rightarrow 0$, almost everywhere uniformly on $s \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, \min \left(l, m_{N}-1\right)\right\}$.

We use the method of [2, Theorem 2.1.]. Namely, we prove that the operator $\sup _{A: s<m_{A}}\left|r_{A}^{s} K_{M_{A}} * f\right|$ is of weak type $\left(L^{1}, L^{1}\right)$, then noticing that $r_{A}^{s} K_{M_{A}} * g$ A:s<mA
vanishes whenever the polynomial $g$ is constant on $I_{N}$-cosets, the result will follow.

In fact, since $K_{M_{A}}(z)=\frac{M_{t}}{1-r_{t}(z)}$ if $z-z_{t} e_{t} \in I_{A}, K_{M_{A}}(z)=\frac{M_{A}+1}{2}$ if $z \in I_{A}$, and $K_{M_{A}}(z)=0$ otherwise, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(r_{A}^{s} K_{M_{A}} * f\right)(y)\right|=\left|\int K_{M_{A}}(y-x) \bar{r}_{A}^{s}(x) f(x) d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\int_{I_{A}(y)} K_{M_{A}}(y-x) \bar{r}_{A}^{s}(x) f(x) d x\right| \\
& \quad+\sum_{t=0}^{A-1}\left|\int_{I_{t}(y) \backslash I_{t+1}(y)} K_{M_{A}}(y-x) \bar{r}_{A}^{s}(x) f(x) d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq S_{M_{A}}|f|(y)+\sum_{t=0}^{A-1} M_{t}\left|\int_{\bigcup_{x_{t} \neq y_{t}} I_{A}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{t-1,}, x_{t}, y_{t+1}, \ldots, y_{A-1}\right)} f(x) \bar{r}_{A}^{s}(x) \frac{1}{1-r_{t}(y-x)} d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq S_{M_{A}}|f|(y)+\sum_{t=0}^{A-1} \tilde{H}_{A-t, A}^{s} f(y) \\
& \quad=S_{M_{A}}|f|(y)+\sum_{j=1}^{A} \tilde{H}_{j, A}^{s} f(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\sup _{A: s<m_{A}}\left|r_{A}^{s} K_{M_{A}} * f\right|(y) \leq \sup _{A: s<m_{A}} S_{M_{A}}|f|(y)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{H}_{j}^{s} f(y) .
$$

Following the steps in the proof of [2, Theorem 2.1.] and replacing $H_{j}$ by $\tilde{H}_{j}^{s}$, the result follows by applying Lemma 2.3.
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