

## ACCRETIVE METRIC PROJECTIONS

L. VESELÝ

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that all metric projections onto closed subsets of a normed linear space  $X$  are accretive if and only if  $X$  is an inner-product space. Instead of all closed sets it suffices to consider more special classes of sets in  $X$ .

**Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a real normed linear space and let  $2^X$  be the set of all its subsets. A multivalued mapping  $A: X \rightarrow 2^X$  is termed **accretive** if  $\|x - y + t(\bar{x} - \bar{y})\| \geq \|x - y\|$  whenever  $t > 0$ ,  $\bar{x} \in A(x)$ ,  $\bar{y} \in A(y)$ . Accretive mappings have been intensively studied in connections with semi-groups of nonexpansive mappings and with differential equations and inclusions in Banach spaces. In Hilbert spaces, accretive operators coincide with monotone operators. We refer the reader to [3], [4] for basic facts about accretive operators and their applications.

For a set  $F \subset X$  we define  $P_F(x) = \{\bar{x} \in F : \|x - \bar{x}\| = \text{dist}(x, F)\}$ . The mapping  $P_F: X \rightarrow 2^F \subset 2^X$  is called **metric projection onto  $F$** . We put  $P_F^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X : y \in P_F(x)\}$  for any  $y \in X$ .

If  $X$  is an inner product space, it is easy to prove that both  $P_F$  and  $P_F^{-1}$  are accretive for any  $F \subset X$ . It is natural to ask whether this property extends to more general spaces. H. Berens and U. Westphal [2] proved that the accretivity of all  $P_F^{-1}$  is equivalent to the existence of an inner product generating the norm of  $X$ . Our aim is to prove that a similar situation appears for metric projections themselves. Clearly we can confine ourselves to metric projections onto closed sets, since  $P_{\bar{F}}(x) \supset P_F(x)$  for any  $x \in X$  and any  $F \subset X$ . We shall show that it is possible to consider all two-points sets or, if  $\dim(X) \geq 3$ , all lines only.

**Results.** We need two well-known characterizations of inner product spaces in terms of orthogonality. For  $x, y \in X$  let us write

$$\begin{aligned} x \# y & \text{ if } \|x + y\| = \|x - y\| \quad (\text{James orthogonality}), \text{ and} \\ x \perp y & \text{ if } \|x + ty\| \geq \|x\| \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{Birkhoff orthogonality}). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1** (cf. [1, (4.1) and (12.11)]).

(a) *If the implication  $x \# y \implies x \perp y$  holds in  $X$ , then  $X$  is an inner-product space.*

---

Received December 12, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 41A65, 47H06; Secondary 46B99, 46C10.

(b) If  $\dim(X) \geq 3$  and the Birkhoff orthogonality is left-additive (i.e.  $(x+y) \perp v$  whenever  $x \perp y$  and  $y \perp v$ ), then  $X$  is an inner-product space.

**Theorem 2.** For a normed linear space  $X$  the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is an inner product space.
- (ii)  $P_F$  is accretive for any closed  $F \subset X$ .
- (iii)  $P_Q$  is accretive for any  $Q = \{a, b\} \subset X$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Let  $\bar{x} \in P_F(x)$ ,  $\bar{y} \in P_F(y)$ ,  $t > 0$ . Then  $\|x - \bar{x}\| \leq \|x - \bar{y}\|$  and  $\|y - \bar{y}\| \leq \|y - \bar{x}\|$ . Hence  $\|x - y + t(\bar{x} - \bar{y})\|^2 \geq \|x - y\|^2 + 2t\langle x - y, \bar{x} - \bar{y} \rangle = \|x - y\|^2 + t(\|x - \bar{y}\|^2 - \|x - \bar{x}\|^2 + \|y - \bar{x}\|^2 - \|y - \bar{y}\|^2) \geq \|x - y\|^2$ . The implication (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) is obvious.

We shall use Theorem 1(a) for the proof of (iii)  $\implies$  (i). Let  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \# y$ ,  $Q = \{-y, y\}$ . Then  $P_Q(x) = P_Q(0) = Q$ . For any  $t > 0$  the definition of accretivity implies  $\|x - 2ty\| \geq \|x\|$  (because  $-y \in P_Q(x)$  and  $y \in P_Q(0)$ ) and  $\|x + 2ty\| \geq \|x\|$  (because  $y \in P_Q(x)$  and  $-y \in P_Q(0)$ ). Hence  $x \perp y$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Now let us consider various classes of convex sets. We begin with hyperplanes.

**Theorem 3.** For a normed linear space  $X$  the following two assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is strictly convex (i.e. the unit sphere does not contain any nontrivial line segment).
- (ii)  $P_H$  is accretive for any closed hyperplane  $H \subset X$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Let  $X$  be strictly convex and  $H \subset X$  be a closed hyperplane containing the origin. Then either  $H$  is a Chebyshev hyperplane or  $P_H(x) = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in X \setminus H$ , and in both cases  $P_H$  is singlevalued and linear on  $D(P_H) = \{x \in X \mid P_H(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ , [5]. For any  $x, y \in D(P_H)$  and any  $t > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x - y + t(P_H(x) - P_H(y))\| = \|x - y + tP_H(x - y)\| \\ & \geq (1+t)\|x - y\| - t\|(x - y) - P_H(x - y)\| \geq (1+t)\|x - y\| - t\|(x - y) - 0\| = \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $P_H$  is accretive.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i). Let  $x, v \in X$  be such that  $\|x\| = \|x - v\| = \|x + v\| = 1$ . Take a nonzero functional  $f \in X^*$  such that  $f(x) = \|f\|$  and denote  $H = f^{-1}(0)$ . Then  $v \in P_H(x)$  and  $0 \in P_H(x + v)$ . Consequently  $\|v\| = \|(x + v) - x\| \leq \|(x + v) - x + (0 - v)\| = 0$ , since  $P_H$  is accretive by (ii). This implies (i).  $\square$

**Corollary.** Let  $\dim(X) = 2$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is strictly convex.
- (ii)  $P_M$  is accretive for any subspace  $M \subset X$ .

The following theorem shows that for spaces of dimension greater than 2 the Corollary does not hold.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a normed linear space with  $\dim(X) \geq 3$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is an inner-product space.
- (ii)  $P_C$  is accretive for any closed convex  $C \subset X$ .
- (iii)  $P_M$  is accretive for any closed subspace  $M \subset X$ .
- (iv)  $P_L$  is accretive for any 1-dimensional subspace  $L \subset X$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii) follows from Theorem 2. The implications (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iv) are obvious. We shall prove (iv)  $\implies$  (i) using Theorem 1(b).

Let  $x, y, v \in X, x \perp v$  and  $y \perp v$ . If  $v = 0$  then  $(x + y) \perp v$  holds trivially. Let  $v \neq 0, L = \text{span}\{v\}$ . Then the definition of the Birkhoff orthogonality implies  $0 \in P_L(-x)$  and  $tv \in P_L(y + tv)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The accretivity of  $P_L$  implies  $\|y + tv + x\| \leq \|y + tv + x + stv\|$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s > 0$ . Introducing the substitution  $r = st$  we get

$$\|y + (r/s)v + x\| \leq \|y + (r/s)v + x + rv\| \quad \text{whenever } r \in \mathbb{R}, s > 0.$$

After passing  $s \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain  $(x + y) \perp v$  and the proof is complete by Theorem 1(b).  $\square$

### References

1. Amir D., *Characterizations of Inner Product Spaces*, Operator Theory: Advances and Appl. 20, Birkhäuser Basel, 1986.
2. Berens H. and Westphal U., *Kodissipative metrische Projektionen in normierten linearen Räumen*, Linear Spaces and Approximation (P. L. Butzer and B. Sz.-Nagy, eds.), ISNM 40, Birkhäuser Basel, 1978, pp. 120–130.
3. Brézis H., *Opérateurs Maximaux Monotones et Semi-groupes de Contractions dans les Espaces de Hilbert*, Math. Studies 5, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
4. Deimling K., *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
5. Holmes R. B., *A Course on Optimization and Best Approximation*, Lecture Notes in Math. 257, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

L. Veselý, Charles University, Faculty of Math. and Phys., KMA, Sokolovská 83, 186 00 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia