

THE CONGRUENCE VARIETY OF METAABELIAN GROUPS IS NOT SELF-DUAL

G. CZÉDLI

ABSTRACT. A lattice identity is given such that it holds but its dual fails in the normal subgroup lattices of metaabelian groups. Thus the congruence variety of metaabelian groups is not self-dual; this is the first example for a modular congruence variety which is not self-dual.

For a ring R with unit element let $\mathcal{L}(R)$ denote the class of lattices embeddable in submodule lattices of R -modules. Then $\mathbf{HL}(R)$, the variety generated by $\mathcal{L}(R)$, is a self-dual congruence variety by Hutchinson [6, Thm. 7, and 5]. On the other hand, non-modular congruence varieties need not be self-dual by Day and Freese [2]. The $\mathbf{HL}(R)$ have been the only known congruence varieties for a long time, leading to the impression that the congruence variety of Abelian groups, alias $\mathbf{HL}(\mathbf{Z})$, could be the largest modular congruence variety. This picture was refuted in two steps. First, an unpublished work of Kiss and Pálffy [7] showed that the congruence lattice of a certain metaabelian group cannot be embedded in the congruence lattice of any Abelian group. Developing these ideas further, Pálffy and Szabó [8, 9] have recently shown that the congruence variety of certain group varieties are not subvarieties of $\mathbf{HL}(\mathbf{Z})$. This leads to the problem whether every modular congruence variety is self-dual, cf. Pálffy and Szabó [9, Problem 4.2] for a slightly different formulation. The aim of the present paper is to give a negative solution.

For a variety V let $\mathbf{Con}(V)$ denote the congruence variety of V , i.e., the lattice variety generated by the congruence lattices of all algebras in V . Let \mathbf{M} be the variety of metaabelian groups. \mathbf{M} is defined by the identity $[x, y]z = z[x, y]$ where $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. By the elementary properties of the commutator (cf., e.g.,

Received March 11, 1993.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1991 *Revision*). Primary 08B10; Secondary 06C99.

Key words and phrases. Congruence variety, congruence modularity, normal subgroup lattice, metaabelian group.

This research was partially supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research grant no. 1903.

Gorenstein [3, Ch. 2.2]) it is easy to see that \mathbf{M} satisfies the identities

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} [a, b]^{-1} &= [b, a] = [a^{-1}, b] = [a, b^{-1}] \\ ba &= ab[a, b]^{-1} \\ [ab, c] &= [a, c][b, c], \quad [a, bc] = [a, b][a, c] \\ b^l a^k &= a^k b^l [a, b]^{-kl} \quad (k, l \in \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathbf{A} be the variety of Abelian groups and let \mathbf{M}_4 be the variety generated by the quaternion group. Then \mathbf{M}_4 is a subvariety of \mathbf{M} , and it is defined by the identities $[x, y]z = z[x, y]$, $x^4 = 1$ and $[x, y]^2 = 1$. Pálffy and Szabó [8, 9] gave identities satisfied in $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ but not in $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$. However, the duals of their identities do the same, so we have to consider another identity. In the variables $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{13}$ let us consider the following lattice terms:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= (\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13})(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11})) \\ q_1 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \quad q_2 = \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}, \quad q_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_{10} \\ q_4 &= \alpha_3 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9, \quad q_5 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{12}, \\ q_6 &= \alpha_2 + \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}, \quad q_7 = \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9, \\ q_8 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \quad q_9 = \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11} \\ q_{10} &= \alpha_3 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_9 + \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}, \quad q_{11} = \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11} \\ q_{12} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_{13}, \quad q_{13} = \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9, \quad \text{and} \\ q &= q_1 + (q_2 q_3 + q_4 q_5)(q_6 q_7 + q_8 q_9)(q_{10} q_{11} + q_{12} q_{13}). \end{aligned}$$

Let μ_{13} denote the identity

$$p \leq q,$$

and let μ_{13}^d denote the dual of μ_{13} . Note that μ_{13} was found by modifying, in fact weakening, the dual of the identity in Pálffy and Szabó [8].

Theorem.

- (A) μ_{13} holds in $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M})$.
- (B) μ_{13}^d fails in $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M})$.

We will actually show that μ_{13}^d fails even in $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$. Therefore the modular congruence varieties $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M})$ and $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$ are not self-dual.

Proof. (B) The rather long calculations required by this part of the proof were done by a personal computer; here we outline the algorithm only. (A Pascal program, Borland's Turbo Pascal 6.0, is available from the author upon request.) The Wille — Pixley algorithm [10, 11] offers a standard way to check if a lattice identity holds in the congruence variety of a variety with permuting congruences. Like in [6], we can construct a strong Mal'cev condition (MC) such that (MC) holds

in \mathbf{M}_4 iff μ_{13}^d holds in $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$. This Mal'cev condition is a finite collection of n -ary term symbols f_k and equations of the form

$$(2) \quad f_l(x_{1C}, x_{2C}, \dots, x_{nC}) = f_r(x_{1C}, x_{2C}, \dots, x_{nC}) \quad \text{or}$$

$$(3) \quad f_l(x_{1C}, x_{2C}, \dots, x_{nC}) = x_j$$

where C is a partition on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and iC denotes the smallest element of the C -block containing i . Suppose μ_{13}^d holds in $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$, then there exist group terms f_k such that all the equations (2) and (3) of (MC) are valid identities in \mathbf{M}_4 . By Pálffy and Szabó [9] or the identities (1) each n -ary group term $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in \mathbf{M}_4 can be uniquely represented in the form

$$(4) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i} \prod_{i<j} [x_i, x_j]^{b_{ij}}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$. Here $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i}$ and $\prod_{i<j} [x_i, x_j]^{b_{ij}}$ are called the Abelian part and the commutator part of g , respectively.

The variety of Abelian groups of exponent four is a subvariety of \mathbf{M}_4 , whence (MC) holds in it. Since it is term equivalent to the variety of modules over \mathbf{Z}_4 , we can use the algorithm described in [6] to determine the $a_i^{(k)}$, the exponents occurring in the Abelian part of f_k according to (4). Luckily enough, these $a_i^{(k)}$ are uniquely determined by (MC).

Now let C_1, \dots, C_w be the blocks of a partition C such that the minimal representatives $c_i \in C_i$ satisfy $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_w$. For a term g of the form (4) the term $g(x_{1C}, \dots, x_{nC})$ can be written in the (unique) form

$$\prod_{i=1}^w x_{c_i}^{d_i} \prod_{i<j} [x_{c_i}, x_{c_j}]^{t_{ij}}.$$

Here $d_i = \sum_{j \in C_i} a_j$. To determine the t_{ij} for $i < j$ let us consider an $u \in C_i$ and a $v \in C_j$. If $u < v$ then $[x_u, x_v]^{b_{uv}}$ turns into $[x_{c_i}, x_{c_j}]^{b_{uv}}$. If $u > v$ then $[x_v, x_u]^{b_{vu}}$ turns into $[x_{c_j}, x_{c_i}]^{b_{vu}} = [x_{c_i}, x_{c_j}]^{-b_{vu}}$ and exchanging the places of $x_{c_j}^{a_v}$ and $x_{c_i}^{a_u}$ in the Abelian part enters $[x_{c_i}, x_{c_j}]^{-a_u a_v}$ as well. Combining all these effects we obtain that

$$(5) \quad t_{ij} = \sum_{\substack{u<v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} b_{uv} - \sum_{\substack{u>v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} (b_{vu} + a_u a_v).$$

Therefore, if the a_i and b_{ij} for f_k are denoted by $a_i^{(k)}$ and $b_{ij}^{(k)}$, (2) implies

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{u<v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} b_{uv}^{(l)} - \sum_{\substack{u>v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} (b_{vu}^{(l)} + a_u^{(l)} a_v^{(l)}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u<v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} b_{uv}^{(r)} - \sum_{\substack{u>v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} (b_{vu}^{(r)} + a_u^{(r)} a_v^{(r)}) \end{aligned}$$

for all meaningful $i < j$. The equations (6) and the analogous equations derived from (3) constitute a system of linear equations over the two-element field with the $b_{uv}^{(k)}$ being the unknowns. Using some reductions, including the one offered by [1, Prop. 2] or its special case for groups [9, Lemma 1.1], the system eventually considered consists of 130 equations for 108 unknowns. Since this system proved to be unsolvable, μ_{13}^d fails in $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M})$.

(A) Assume that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{13}$ are congruences of a metaabelian group $G \in \mathbf{M}$ and y_1 is an element of $[1]p$, the $p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{13})$ -block of the group unit 1. From the permutability of group congruences and $(1, y_1) \in p$ we infer that there exists an element $y_2 \in G$ such that $(1, y_2) \in \alpha_{12}$ and $(y_2, y_1) \in \alpha_{13}$. Parsing the lattice term p further we obtain elements $y_3, y_4, \dots, y_{13} \in G$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1, y_4) \in \alpha_4, & \quad (y_4, y_3) \in \alpha_5, & \quad (y_3, y_5) \in \alpha_1, & \quad (y_5, y_7) \in \alpha_6, \\ (y_7, y_1) \in \alpha_7, & \quad (y_3, y_6) \in \alpha_2, & \quad (y_6, y_9) \in \alpha_8, & \quad (y_9, y_1) \in \alpha_9, \\ (y_3, y_8) \in \alpha_3, & \quad (y_8, y_{10}) \in \alpha_{10}, & \quad (y_{10}, y_1) \in \alpha_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the group elements

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= y_1 y_5^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_2] [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_3, y_6]^{-1} [y_3, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1}, \\ f_2 &= y_3^{-1} y_5^{-1} y_6 y_8 [y_1, y_3] [y_1, y_5]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_2, y_8]^{-1} [y_3, y_5]^{-1} [y_3, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1}, \\ f_3 &= y_3^{-1} y_6 y_8 [y_2, y_3] [y_2, y_8]^{-1} [y_3, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1}, \\ f_4 &= y_1 y_5^{-1} y_8 [y_2, y_5] [y_2, y_8]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (1, f_2) \in q_1, & \quad (f_2, f_1) \in q_{11}, & \quad (f_2, f_1) \in q_{10}, \\ (f_1, y_1) \in q_{12}, & \quad (f_1, y_1) \in q_{13}, & \quad (f_2, f_3) \in q_3, \\ (f_2, f_3) \in q_2, & \quad (f_3, y_1) \in q_4, & \quad (f_3, y_1) \in q_5, & \quad (f_2, f_4) \in q_6, \\ (f_2, f_4) \in q_7, & \quad (f_4, y_1) \in q_8, & \quad (f_4, y_1) \in q_9. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the relations of (7) follows easily from (1) and the definitions. E.g., to verify $(f_2, f_1) \in q_{11}$ we can compute as follows. Since 1, y_4 and y_3 are pairwise congruent modulo q_{11} and so are y_1 and y_8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 \ q_{11} \ 1^{-1} y_5^{-1} y_6 y_1 [y_1, 1] [y_1, y_5]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_2, y_1]^{-1} [1, y_5]^{-1} [1, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} &= \\ y_5^{-1} y_6 y_1 [y_1, y_5^{-1}] [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} &= \\ y_5^{-1} y_1 y_6 [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_1, y_5^{-1}] [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} &= \\ y_1 y_5^{-1} [y_1, y_5^{-1}]^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_1, y_5^{-1}] [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} &= \\ y_1 y_5^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} \quad \text{and} & \\ f_1 \ q_{11} \ y_1 y_5^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_2] [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [1, y_6]^{-1} [1, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} &= \\ y_1 y_5^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1}, & \end{aligned}$$

showing $(f_2, f_1) \in q_{11}$. From (7) it follows that $(1, y_1) \in q$. Therefore the p -class of 1 is included in the q -class of 1. By the canonical bijection between group congruences and normal subgroups we conclude that μ_{13} holds in $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M})$.

Problem. Note that, in spite of some particular positive results of Haiman [4], it is still an open question if the variety generated by all linear lattices is self-dual. Thus it would be interesting to know if μ_{13} holds in every linear lattice, but we do not know even if it holds in the normal subgroup lattice of any group.

Acknowledgement. I am indebted to Emil W. Kiss for drawing my attention to $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$ in 1987 and for the conversation leading to the algorithm described in (B).

References

1. Czédli G., *Notes on congruence implication*, Archivum Mathematicum (Brno) **27** (1991), 149–153.
2. Day A. and Freese R., *A characterization of identities implying congruence modularity. I*, Canad. J. Math. **32** (1980), 1140–1167.
3. Gorenstein D., *Finite groups*, Harper and Row, New York-Evanston-London, 1968.
4. Haiman M., *Proof theory for linear lattices*, Advances in Math. **58** (1985), 209–242.
5. Hutchinson G., *A duality principle for lattices and categories of modules*, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra **10** (1977), 115–119.
6. Hutchinson G. and Czédli G., *A test for identities satisfied in lattices of submodules*, Algebra Universalis **8** (1978), 269–309.
7. Kiss E. W. and Pálffy P. P., Oral communication.
8. Pálffy P. P. and Szabó Cs., *An identity for subgroup lattices of Abelian groups*, Algebra Universalis, (to appear).
9. ———, *Congruence varieties of groups and Abelian groups*, preprint.
10. Pixley A. F., *Local Mal'cev conditions*, Canad. Math. Bull. **15** (1972), 559–568.
11. Wille R., *Kongruenzklassengeometrien*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mat. 113, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

G. Czédli, JATE Bolyai Institute, Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, H-6720 Hungary; *e-mail*: h1031cze@huella.bitnet