ON THE FRAGMENTAL STRUCTURES

M. A. KAMAL AND N. S. MAHMOUD

ABSTRACT. In this work we study the fragment structures over a ring extension R of a ring R_0 . The defining conditions of the fragments with the partial actions on the descending chains of R_0 -modules measure how far they are from being R-modules. The category of R-fragments lies between the categories of R_0 -modules and of R-modules. Inspite of R-fragments, in a general setting, are far from being R-modules; they behave, in some ways, the same as R-modules. We prove some important results for finitely spanned fragments and some of their related properties.

1. Introduction

Let R be a ring with unity 1. Let $R_0 \subseteq R_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq R$ be a positive filtration of R; with $1 \in R_0$. An abelian group (M, +) with the descending chain

$$M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq M_n \supseteq \ldots$$

of subgroups of M is called a left R-fragment with respect to the mappings $\varphi_{i,j}: R_i \times M_j \to M_{j-i}$ (for all i, j; with $j \geq i$) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. $\varphi_{i,j|_{B_q \times M_r}} = \varphi_{q,r}$; for all $q \le i \le j \le r$.
- 2. $\varphi_{i,j}(\alpha, m+n) = \varphi_{i,j}(\alpha, m) + \varphi_{i,j}(\alpha, n)$; for all $\alpha \in R_i$, $m, n \in M_j$, and $i \leq j$.
- 3. $\varphi_{i,j}(\alpha+\beta,m) = \varphi_{i,j}(\alpha,m) + \varphi_{i,j}(\alpha,m)$; for all $\alpha,\beta \in R_i, m \in M_j$, and $i \leq j$.
- 4. $\varphi_{i,i}(\alpha,\varphi_{i,(i+j)}(\beta,m)) = \varphi_{(i+j),(i+j)}(\alpha\beta,m)$; for all $\alpha \in R_i, \beta \in R_j$, and $m \in M_{i+j}$.
- 5. $\varphi_{i,j}(1,m) = m$; for all $m \in Mj$.

Received February 23, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A87.

A right R-fragment can be defined in a similar fasion. For all $\alpha \in R_i$ and $m \in M_j$, we write αm for $\varphi_{i,j}(\alpha,m)$. We shall usually say simply that M, rather than $M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq M_n \supseteq \ldots$ with respect to $\varphi_{i,j}: R_i \times M_j \to M_{j-i}$ (for all i,j; with $j \ge i$), is a left R-fragment. This allows some ambiguity, for a given chain of abelian groups may admit more than one left R-fragment structure. We clear this out by fixing a chain of subgroups of M, $M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq M_n \supseteq \ldots$ and certain maps $\varphi_{i,j}: R_i \times M_j \to M_{j-i}$.

Observe that, any left R-module M can be considered as a left R-fragment with respect to the chain $M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq M_n \supseteq \ldots$, where $M_i = M$ for all i, and $R_0 \subseteq R_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq R$ is any positive filtration of R, with rm taken to be the left multiplication of elements of R by elements of M as a left R-module; for all $r \in R_i$, $m \in M_j = M$. Observe also that, each abelian subgroup M_i , in the chain of a left R-fragment M, is a left R_0 -module.

Let M be a left R-fragment, we denote $\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i$ by B(M) and call it the body of M. If the filtration of R is exhaustive; i.e. $R = \bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$, then the left actions of the elements of R_i on the elements of M_j (for all i, j) induce an R-module structure on B(M). It is clear that B(M) is the largest abelian subgroup of M on which the left actions of R_i on M_j form a left R-module structure.

In this paper, R will be a filtered ring with a positive exhaustive filteration $\{R_i\}_{i\in I}$; i.e. $R_0 \subseteq R_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq R$, and $R = \bigcup_{i\in I} R_i$.

Let M be a left R-fragment. We simply say X is subset of M, for any subset X of M_0 ; and we say x is an element of M for any element x of M_0 . Let $x \in M$ be an arbitrary element, then either $x \in M_n$ and $x \notin M_{n+1}$ for some positive integer n, or $x \in B(M)$. In the first case x is called of depth n (denoted by $d_M(x) = n$), while in the second case we say x has an infinite depth (i.e. $d_M(x) = \infty$). It is clear that $d_M(0) = \infty$.

Let $N = N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq N_n \supseteq \ldots$ be a chain of subgroups of a left R-fragment M. N is called a subfragment of M if $N_i \subseteq M_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots$), and for all i, j with $j \ge i$, $rx \in N_{j-i}$ for all $r \in R_i$ and $x \in N_j$. If $N_i = 0$ for all i, then N is called the zero subfragment, and is denoted by 0.

2. Nontrivial subfragments

A subfragment N of a left R-fragment M is called a nontrivial subfragment in case of if $R_i x \subseteq N_0$, for $x \in N_0$ with $d_M(x) \ge i$, then $x \in N_i$. N is called an improper (otherwise it is called proper) subfragment of M if, for some $j \ge 0$, $N_0 = M_j$, for each $i \ge 1$ there exists $k(i) \ge 1$ such that $N_i = M_{j+k(i)}$.

Lemma 1. Let M be a left R-fragment such that $M_i = M_{i+1}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots$ If N is a nontrivial subfragment such that N_0 is an R-submodule of M, then $N_i = N_{i+1}$ for all i.

Corollary 2. Let M be a left R-fragment such that the filteration of R is given by $R_0 = R_1 = \ldots = R$, and $M_i = M_{i+1}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots$; i. e. M is a left R-module. Then the chain of any nontrivial subfragment N of M must be of the form $N_0 = N_1 = N_2 = \ldots = N_n = \ldots$ for some R-submodule N_0 of M.

Lemma 3. Let N be a subfragment of a left R-fragment M such that $N_0 = M_0$. If N is nontrivial, then $N_i = M_i$ for all i; i.e. N = M.

Proof. If
$$x \in M_k$$
, then $R_k x M_0 = N_0$. This yields $x \in N_k$, and hence $M_k = N_k$ for all k .

Let M be a left R-fragment, and $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of subfragments of M. Then the chain

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} N_0^i \supseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} N_1^i \supseteq \dots \supseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} N_n^i \supseteq \dots$$

of subgroups of M forms a subfragment. This subfragment of M is called the fragment intersection of the family $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$, and is denoted by $\bigcap_{i\in I} N^i$. Observe that

$$\bigcap_{i\in I} N^i := \bigcap_{i\in I} N_0^i.$$

Let $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$ be a chain of subfragments of M, and consider the following chain

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} N_0^i \supseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} N_1^i \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} N_k^i \supseteq \ldots$$

of subgroups of M. It is clear that it forms a chain of a subfragment of M. This subfragment is called the fragment union of the chain $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$.

Lemma 4. The intersection of nontrivial subfragments of a left R-fragment is nontrivial.

Proof. Let $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of nontrivial subfragments of a left R-fragment M, and let $x\in\bigcap_{i\in I}N^i_0$. Then the nontriviality of each N^i yeiles $x\in\bigcap_{i\in I}N^i_j$, whenever $R_jx\subseteq\bigcap_{i\in I}N^i_0$ and $d_M(x)\geq j$.

3. Subfragment spanned by a subset

Let M be a left R-fragment, and X be a subset of M. Define the subset L(X) of M by:

$$L(X) = \{r_n(r_{n-1}((r_0x))) : x \in X, \text{ for some } n r_i \in R_i,$$

and $i \ge d_M(r_{i-1}(\dots(r_0x)); i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}.$

A subfragment N of M is said to be strictly containing X if $L(X) \subseteq N$.

Lemma 5. Let $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of subsets of a left R-fragment M. Then:

- 1) $X_i \subseteq L(X_i)$, and if $X_i \subseteq X_j$, then $L(X_i) \subseteq L(X_j)$.
- 2) $L(\bigcup_{i \in I} X_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} L(X_i)$.

Proof is clear.

Lemma 6. Let X be a subset of a left R-fragment M, then the intersection of all subfragments, which are strictly containing X, is strictly containing X.

Proof is clear.

Let Γ be the set of all nontrivial subfragments of M which are strictly containing a subset X. By Lemma 4, and Lemma 6, the intersection $\bigcap \Gamma$ is again a nontrivial subfragment of M, which is strictly containing X. We call it the subfragment spanned by X, and is denoted by $\prec X \succ$. If X is the empty set, then by convention $\prec X \succ = 0$.

Lemma 7. Let M be a left R-fragment, and X be a subset of M. Define

$$N_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n t_i : t_i \in L(X), n \text{ is a positive integer} \right\},$$

$$N_k = \left\{ y \in N_0 : d_M(y) \ge k, \text{ and } R_k y \subseteq N_0 \right\}; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then

$$N := N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq N_n \supseteq \dots$$

is a subfragment of M, and the subfragment spanned by X is just N.

Proof. It is clear that, from the definition of N_k , that

$$N := N_0 \supset N_1 \supset N_2 \supset N_n \supset \dots$$

is a descending chain of abelian subgroups of M. Now let $y \in N_k$ and $r_i \in R_i$, where $i \leq k$. Since $d_M(y) \geq k$, we have that $r_i y \in M_{k-i}$; and hence $d_M(r_i y) \geq k - i$. We have

$$R_{k-i}(r_iy) \subseteq R_{k-1}(R_iy) \subseteq R_ky \subseteq N_0;$$

and thus $r_i y \subseteq N_{k-i}$. This shows that N is a subfragment of M. It is clear, from the definition of N_0 , that $L(X) \subseteq N_0$; i.e. N is strictly containing X. It is also clear, from the definition of N_i , that N is nontrivial. Therefore $\forall X \succ \subseteq N$. To show that $N \subseteq X$, let K be any nontrivial subfragment which is strictly containing X. Since $L(X) \subseteq K$, we have that N_0 is contained in K_0 . Now let $y \in N_m$, for some positive integer m. Hence $R_m y \subseteq N_0 \subseteq K_0$, and thus the nontriviality of K yeilds $y \in K_m$. Therefore $N \subseteq \forall X \succ$.

If X is a subset of a left R-fragment M such that $\langle X \rangle = M$, then X is said to span M, and X is called a spanning set for M. M with a finite spanning set is said to be finitely spanned. M with a single element spanning set is a cyclic fragment.

Corollary 8. If X is a spanning set for a left R-fragment M, then the chain of M is given by:

$$M_k = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i : y_i \in L(X), d_M(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \ge k, n \text{ is a positive integer} \right\}; \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Corollary 9. If X is a spanning set for a left R-fragment M, with $d_M(x) = \infty$ for all $x \in X$, then $M_i = M_{i+1}$ for all i; i.e. the fragment structure forms a left R-module structure on M.

A nontrivial subfragment K of a left R-fragment M is said to be a strict subfragment if K is strictly containing K_0 . By Lemma 5, we have that $L(X) \subseteq K_0$ for any subset X of K_0 , and that $L(K_0) = K_0$.

Lemma 10. The following are equivalent for a nontrivial subfragment K of M:

- 1) K is strict.
- 2) $\prec X \succ$ is contained in K, for any subset X of K,
- 3) $K_i = M_i \cap K_0$, for all $i = 0, 1, 2 \dots$,
- 4) $d_M(x) = d_K(x)$, for all $x \in K$.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) is clear.

- 2) \Rightarrow 3) It is clear that, for all i, $K_i \subseteq M_i \cap K_0$. Now let $x \in M_i \cap K_0$. Then by 2), $R_i x \subseteq \forall x \succ \subseteq K_0$. But since K is nontrivial, we have that $x \in K_i$. Hence $K_i = M_i \cap K_0$.
- 3) \Rightarrow 4) It is clear that $d_K(x) \leq d_M(x)$ for all $x \in K$. Now let $d_M(x) = n$, $x \in K$, then $x \in K_0 \cap M_n = K_n$, and $x \notin K_{n+1}$ (due to $K_{n+1} \subseteq M_{n+1}$); i.e. $d_K(x) = n$. It is clear that $d_K(x) = \infty$ whenever $d_M(x) = \infty$.
- $4) \Rightarrow 1$) Let X be a subset of K. By 4), it follows that $L(X) \subseteq K$; i.e. K is strictly containing X for all subsets X of K. Hence we have 1).

Remarks. 1) From Corollary 8, it is clear that a left R-module M is finitely generated if and only if it is finitely spanned as a natural left R-fragment.

- 2) If M is a left R-fragment, and if the factor R_0 -module M_n/M_{n+i} is finitely generated for all $n=0,1,\ldots$, then M need not be a finitely spanned fragment. In fact $M_n/M_{n+i}=0$ is finitely generated for every R-module M, and for all $n=0,1,\ldots$
 - 3) The intersection of an arbitrary family of strict subfragments of a left R- -fragment is strict.

Let M be a left R-fragment, and let $\{N^i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a family of subfragments of M, where the chain of N^i is given by

$$N_0^i \supseteq N_1^i \supseteq N_2^i \supseteq \ldots \supseteq N_n^i \supseteq \ldots;$$

 $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the following chain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} N_0^i \supseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_1^i \supseteq \dots \supseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_n^i \supseteq \dots$$

forms a subfragment of M (denoted by $\sum_{i=1}^{m} N^{i}$) called the fragment sum of the family $\{N^{i}\}_{i=1}^{m}$. If each N^{i} is a strict subfragment, then we define the fragment sum $\sum_{i=1}^{m} N^{i}$ to be the subfragment of M spanned by the subset

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} N_0^i$$
. It is clear, by Lemma 5, that

$$L\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} N_0^i\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} L\left(N_0^i\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} N_0^i.$$

Hence
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} N^{i}\right)_{0} = \langle \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} N_{0}^{i} \rangle_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_{0}^{i}$$
, whenever each of N^{i} is strict.

Remark. If $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$ is an arbitrary collection of strict subfragments of a left R-fragment M, then motivated by the finite sum of strict subfragments, we define the fragment sum $\sum_{i\in I} N^i$ of $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$ to be the subfragment of M spanned by $\bigcup_{i\in I} N^i_0$.

Lemma 11. Let $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$ be an arbitrary collection of strict subfragments of a left R-fragment M. Then

$$K := \bigcup \left\{ \sum_{i \in F} Ni : F \text{ is a finite subset of } I \right\},$$

with the chain

$$K_0 \supset K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \ldots \supset K_n \supset \ldots$$

where

$$K_n = \bigcup \left\{ (\sum_{i \in F} N^i)_n : F \text{ is a finite subset of } I \right\},$$

is a strict subfragment of M.

Proof. It is clear that K with the given chain is a subfragment of M. Let $x \in K_0$ such that $R_j x \subseteq K_0$, and $j \leq d_M(x)$. Then $x \in (\sum_{i \in F} N^i)_0$ for some finite subset F of I. Since $\sum_{i \in F} N^i$ is a strict subfragment of M, we have by Lemma 10 that $d_{N(F)}(x) = d_M(x) = m \geq j$, where $N(F) := \sum_{i \in F} N^i$; i.e. $x \in (\sum_{i \in F} N^i)_m$. It follows that

$$R_j x \subseteq (\sum_{i \in F} N^i)_{m-j} \subseteq (\sum_{i \in F} N^i)_0$$

(due to $\sum_{i \in F} N^i$ a subfragment of M). Since $\sum_{i \in F} N^i$ is nontrivial, we have that

$$x \in (\sum_{i \in F} N^i)_i \subseteq K_i.$$

This shows that K is nontrivial. Since

$$d_M(x) = d_{N(F)}(x) \le d_K(x) \le d_M(x),$$

whenever $x \in \sum_{i \in F} N^i := N(F)$, and $x \in K_0$, it follows that

$$d_M(x) = d_K(x)$$

for all $x \in K_0$. Therefore K is strict.

Theorem 12. Let M be a left R-fragment, and $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of strict subfragments of M. Then

$$\sum_{i \in I} N^i = \bigcup \left\{ \sum_{i \in F} N^i : F \text{ is a finite subset of } I \right\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5,

$$L(\bigcup_{i\in I} N_0^i) = \bigcup_{i\in I} L(N_0^i) = \bigcup_{i\in I} N_0^i;$$

and hence

In Lemma 11, we have seen that $K := \bigcup \{ \sum_{i \in F} N^i : F \text{ is a finite subset of } I \}$, with the given chain, is a strict subfragment of M. From the definition of the fragment sum of the family $\{N^i\}_{i \in I}$, we deduce that $\sum_{i \in I} N^i := N$

is also a strict subfragment of M. Since $K_0 = N_0$, and $d_N(x) = d_M(x) = d_K(x)$ for all $x \in N_0(=K_0)$, it follows that K = N.

Theorem 13. Let M be a left R-fragment. Then M is finitely spanned if and only if for every family $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$ of strict subfragments of M,

$$\sum_{i \in I} N^i = M \text{ implies } \sum_{i \in F} N^i = M,$$

for some finite subset F of I.

Proof. Let X be a finite spanning set for M, and let $\{N^i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of strict subfragments of M, with $\sum\limits_{i\in I}N^i=M$. It follows that $X\subseteq\sum\limits_{i\in F}N^i_0$ for some finite subset F of I. Hence $M=\prec X\succ\subseteq\sum\limits_{i\in F}N^i$, and therefore $M=\sum\limits_{i\in F}N^i$.

It is clear that $M = \sum_{x \in M_0} \langle x \rangle$. Thus, by assumption, $M = \sum_{x \in X} \langle x \rangle = X$ for some finite subset X of M_0 ; i.e. M is finitely spanned.

Theorem 14. Let M be a left R-fragment. If for each i, M_i is finitely generated as an R_0 -module, then every improper subfragment of M is finitely spanned.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may show that M is finitely spanned. Let $M_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n R_0 x_i$. We claim that

M as an R-fragment is spanned by X, where $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$. To this end, let $m \in M_k$. Then $m = \sum_{j=1}^s r_j y_j$, where $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_s\}$ is a generating set for M_k as an R_0 -module, and $r_j \in R_0$. But for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, we have

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} x_j,$$

where $\beta_{ij} \in R_0$. Hence

$$m \in \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i : y_i \in L(X), d_M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i) \ge k \right\};$$

and therefore

$$M_k = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i : y_i \in L(X), d_M(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \ge k \right\}; \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Therefore we have our claim.

4. Factor fragments

Just as for modules, there is a factor fragment of a left R-fragment with respect to each of strict subfragments. Let M be a left R-fragment and let N be a strict subfragment. Then it is easy to see that the chain of abelian factor groups

$$M_0/N_0 \supset (M_1 + N_0)/N_0 \supset \ldots \supset (M_n + N_0)/N_0 \supset \ldots$$

is a left R-fragment relative to the left multiplication defined via

$$r(x+N_0) = rx + N_0$$

for all $x \in M_n$, $x \in M_n$, and $r \in R_m$, where $m \le n$.

The resulting fragment (denoted by M/N), is called the left R-factor fragment of M relative to N. Since N is a strict subfragment, then the given left multiplication is well defined. In fact if $f_n: M_n/(N_0 \cap M_n) \to (M_n + N_0)/N_0$ are the natural abelian group isomorphisms (n = 0, 1, 2, ...), where $f_0 = 1$, then the sequence of monomorphisms

$$M_0/N_0 \stackrel{g_1}{\leftarrow} M_1/N_1 \stackrel{g_2}{\leftarrow} M_2/N_2 \stackrel{g_3}{\leftarrow} \dots M_n/N_n \stackrel{g_{n+1}}{\leftarrow} \dots,$$

where $g_n = (f_{n-1}^{-1} | L_n) f_n$ and $L_n := (M_n + N_0)/N_0$, gives rise to the chain

$$M_0/N_0 \supseteq g_1(M_1/N_1) \supseteq g_1g_2(M_2/N_2) \supseteq \ldots \supseteq g_1g_2 \ldots g_n(M_n/N_n) \supseteq \ldots$$

Hence we may consider the chain of the factor R-fragment of M relative to N as:

$$M_0/N_0 \supseteq M_1/N_1 \supseteq M_2/N_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq M_n/N_n \supseteq \ldots,$$

where the left multiplication is give by:

$$r(m+N_n) = rm + N_{n-i},$$

for all $m + N_n \in M_n/N_n$ and $r \in R_i$ where $i \ge n$.

Lemma 15. Let M be a left R-fragment spanned by a subset X, and let N be a strict subfragment of M. Then M/N is spanned by $\overline{X} = \{x + N_0 : x \in X\}$.

Proof. From the definition of the left multiplication of R on M/N, we have that

$$r_n(r_{n-1}((r_0x))) + N_0 = r_n(r_{n-1}(\dots(r_0(x+N_0)))),$$

for all $x \in X$, n is a non negative integer, and $r_i \in R_i$; where $i \leq d_M(r_{i-1}(\dots(r_0x)))$, and $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Hence, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots$, we have that

$$(M/N)_k = (M_k + N_0)/N_0 = \left[\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i : y_i \in L(X), d_M(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \ge k \right\} + N_0 \right]/N_0$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + N_0) : y_i \in L(X), d_M(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \ge k \right\} = \prec \overline{X} \succ_k .$$

Corollary 16. Let M be a finitely spanned left R-fragment, and let N be a strict subfragment of M. Then M/N is finitely spanned.

Theorem 17. Let M be a left R-fragment, and let N be a strict subfragment of M. If M/N and N are finitely spanned, then M is finitely spanned.

•First •Prev •Next •Last •Go Back •Full Screen •Close •Quit

Proof. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a spanning set of M/N, and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}$ be a spanning set of N. We claim that M is spanned by

$$X := \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\},\$$

where $x_i \in M_0$ such that $x_i + N_0 = \alpha_i$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n). Now let $m \in M_k$, it follows that $m + N_0 = \sum_{i=1}^s t_i, t_i \in L(\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n\})$, and $d_{M/N}(\sum_{i=1}^s t_i) \geq k$. Hence $m + N_0 = (\sum_{i=1}^s b_i) + N_0$, where $b_i \in L(\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\})$, and $d_M(\sum_{i=1}^s b_i) \geq k$. Since N is a strict subfragment of M, we have that $d_N(m - \sum_{i=1}^s b_i) \geq k$. Hence $m - \sum_{i=1}^s b_i$, as an element of N_k , can be written as $m - \sum_{i=1}^s b_i = \sum_{i=1}^\lambda c_i$, where $c_i \in L(\{\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_m\})$. Therefore

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} c_i \in \{\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}\} \succ_k;$$

i.e.

$$M_k = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i : y_i \in L(X), d_M(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \ge k \right\}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus we have our claim.

5. Homomorphisms of Fragments

Let M and N be two left R-fragments. By a fragment homomorphism $f: M \to N$ we mean a function $f: M_0 \to N_0$ such that the following two conditions are satisfied:

- 1. $d_M(m) \leq d_N(f(m))$, for all $m \in M_0$,
- 2. $f(rm_1 + sm_2) = rf(m_1) + sf(m_2)$, for all $m_1, m_2 \in M_0$ and $r \in R_i, s \in R_j$, with $i \leq d_M(m_1)$, $j \leq d_M(m_2)$.

Let M and N be two left R-fragments, and let $f: M \to N$ be a fragment homomorphism. Then the chain

$$f(M_0) \supseteq f(M_1) \supseteq f(M_2) \supseteq \ldots \supseteq f(M_n) \supseteq \ldots$$

forms a subfragment of N. This subfragment is called the fragment image of f and is denoted by Im f. If K is a subfragment of the fragment N, then the chain

$$f^{-1}(K_0) \supseteq f^{-1}(K_1) \cap M_1 \supseteq f^{-1}(K_2) \cap M_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq f^{-1}(K_n) \cap M_n \supseteq \dots$$

is a subfragment of M. It is called the fragment inverse image of K under f, and is denoted by $f^{-1}(K)$. The kernel of f (denoted by $\operatorname{Ker} f$) is given by $f^{-1}(0)$, where 0 is the zero subfragment of N. f is called an epimorphism in case of the fragment image $\operatorname{Im} f$ and N, as fragments, are equal. It is called a monomorphism in case of the subfragment $\operatorname{Ker} f$ of M is the zero fragment. A homomorphism which is monomorphism and epimorphism is called an isomorphism.

Proposition 18. Let M and N be two left R-fragments, and let $f: M \to N$ be a fragment homomorphism. If K is a strict subfragment of N, then $f^{-1}(K)$ is a strict subfragment of M.

Proof. It is clear that

$$f^{-1}(K_0) \cap M_i = f^{-1}(K_0) \cap f^{-1}(N_i) \cap M_i$$
$$= f^{-1}(K_0 \cap N_i) \cap M_i = f^{-1}(K_i) \cap M_i = f^{-1}(K_i)$$

(due to K strict), for each i = 0, 1, 2, ...; i.e. $f^{-1}(K)$ is a strict.

Corollary 19. Let M and N be two left R-fragments, and let $f: M \to N$ be a fragment homomorphism. Then kerf is a strict subfragment.

Proposition 20. Let M and N be two left R-fragments, and let $f: M \to N$ be a fragment homomorphism. If M is spanned by a subset X, then Imf is spanned by f(X). Moreover if $g: M \to N$ is also a fragment homomorphism, then f = g if and only if f(x) = g(x) for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It is clear that $f(r_n(r_{n-1}...((r_0x)))) = r_n(r_{n-1}...((r_0f(x))))$, for each $r_n(r_{n-1}...((r_0x))) \in L(X)$. It follows that

$$f(M_k) = f\left(\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i : y_i \in L(X), d_M(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \ge k\right\}\right)$$

$$= \left\{\sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i) : y_i \in L(X), d_M(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \ge k\right\}$$

$$= \left\{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i : t_i \in L(f(X)), d_{f(M)}(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i) \ge k\right\},$$

for each $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ This shows that $\text{Im} f = \langle f(X) \rangle$.

Now let f(x) = g(x) for all $x \in X$. It is easy to check that the chain

$$K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq K_n \supseteq \dots$$
, where $K_i = \{m \in M_i : f(m) = g(m)\},$

is a strict subfragment of M. Since X is contained in K, we have that K = M; and hence f = g.

The converse is obvious.

Corollary 21. Homomorphic image of a finitely spanned fragment is finitely spanned.

- 1. Anderson F. W. and Fuller K. R., Rings and categories of modules, Springer Verlag, New York, (1974).
- 2. Nawal S. Mahmoud, Fragmented Structures over filtered rings and applications to valuation theory, Ph. D. Thesis, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, (1994).
- 3. Nawal S. Mahmoud, and F. Van Oystaeyen, An introduction to fragmented Structures over filtered rings, Comm. Alg. 23(3), (1995), 975–993.

M. A. Kamal, Ain Shams University, Faculty of Education, Mathematics Department, Roxy Cairo, Egypt, e-mail: mahmoudkamal333@hotmail.com

N. S. Mahmoud, Ain Shams University, Faculty of Education, Mathematics Department, Roxy Cairo, Egypt, e-mail: nawalsayed2003@yahoo.com