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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we show that the three prime numbers 3,7 and 13 , which repeatedly occur in various myths, in the Bible, in fables and fairy tales, possess a remarkable property, distinguishing them from other integers.

The $n$-th prime is denoted as usual by $p_{n}$; additionally we put $p_{0}=1$. In case of a more complicated argument we sometimes use the alternative notation $P(n)=p_{n}$. Further we denote by

$$
S(x)=\sum_{p \leq x} p
$$

the sum of all primes less than or equal to any real number $x$. Hence

$$
S(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}
$$

where $p_{n}$ is the biggest prime less than or equal to $x$.

Let us write in a table the initial segments of the following four sequences: the nonnegative integers $n$, the prime numbers $p_{n}$ in their natural order, the sequence $P\left(p_{n}\right)$ of the prime numbers with prime subscripts, and the sequence $S\left(p_{n}\right)$ of sums of primes up to the $n$-th prime $p_{n}$.

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $p_{n}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 |
| $P\left(p_{n}\right)$ | 2 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 17 | 31 | 41 | 59 | 67 | 83 | 109 | 127 | 157 | 179 |
| $S\left(p_{n}\right)$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 17 | 28 | 41 | 58 | 77 | 100 | 129 | 160 | 297 | 138 |

Table 1.

We can see that the items $P\left(p_{n}\right)$ and $S\left(p_{n}\right)$ in the third and fourth row of Table 1 coincide just for $n=2, n=4$ and $n=6$. This means that by adding all the prime numbers up to $x=p_{n}$ in the second row of the table we obtain the prime number $p_{x}=P(x)$ in the third row just for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \leq x} p=p_{x} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the following scheme

it may seem natural to refer to integers $x$ satisfying (1.1) as to "transcending". However, since these three solutions are exactly the primes $3,7,13$, we shall call them "mythical". The verification that they satisfy (1.1) is straightforward:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2+3=5=p_{3} \\
2+3+5+7=17=p_{7} \\
2+3+5+7+11+13=41=p_{13}
\end{array}
$$

Before we prove the Theorem, let us discuss the "mythical trinity" more closely. Recall that $3=p_{2}, 7=p_{4}$ and $13=p_{6}$. This means that the number 3 is doubly distinguished by (1.1). Namely, it satisfies (1.1) (hence, it "transcends"), on the other hand, equation (1.1) is satisfied exactly by the first three primes with even indices $p_{2}, p_{4}$ and $p_{6}$.

Looking at the four sequences $n, p_{n}, P\left(p_{n}\right)$ and $S\left(p_{n}\right)$, again, we may try to iterate the idea of "transcending". More precisely, we modify (1.1) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{x} p_{i}=P\left(p_{x}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we now include the summand $p_{0}=1$ which was not included in (1.1). It is natural to consider (1.2) only for prime numbers $x$ which satisfy (1.1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{3} p_{i}=1+2+3+5=11=p_{5}=P\left(p_{3}\right) \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{7} p_{i}=1+2+3+5+7+11+13+17=59=p_{17}=P\left(p_{7}\right), \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{13} p_{i}=239=p_{52} \neq 179=p_{41}=P\left(p_{13}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion, the number 13 does not satisfy the "second order transcendency" equation (1.2). Hence, from the trinity which advanced from the first round, the number 13 fails to transcend again. This perhaps could justify the belief in the "unlucky" 13 .

To finish the introduction, let us have a look at the "third order transcendency". The corresponding equation reads as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{x} p_{p_{i}}=P\left(p_{p_{x}}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider the validity of (1.3) for $x=3$ and $x=7$, we find that
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=0}^{3} p_{p_{i}}=2+3+5+11=21 \neq P\left(p_{p_{3}}\right)=p_{11}=31  \tag{1.4}\\
& \sum_{i=0}^{7} p_{p_{i}}=2+3+5+11+17+31+41+59=169 \neq P\left(p_{p_{7}}\right)=p_{59}=277 \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$
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This means that while only the number 13 fails at the "second transcendency", the remaining two numbers fail at the "third transcendency". However, it is worth noticing that the sums yield $21=3 \cdot 7$ in (1.4), and $169=13^{2}$ in (1.5). Thus the results can be expressed by means of numbers from our trinity, again.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

Let $\pi(x)$ denote the number of prime numbers which are less than or equal to $x, \log x$ be the natural logarithm of $x$, and $\lfloor x\rfloor$ be the (lower) integer part of $x$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \leq x} p=\sum_{n=1}^{x}(\pi(n)-\pi(n-1)) n=-\sum_{n=1}^{x} \pi(n)+\pi(x)(\lfloor x\rfloor+1) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the following inequalities (see [1, page 228])

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi(x)<\frac{x}{\log x}\left(1+\frac{3}{2 \log x}\right) & \text { for } x>1 \\
\pi(x)>\frac{x}{\log x}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \log x}\right) & \text { for } x \geq 59 \tag{2.2}
\end{array}
$$

we obtain from (2.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{p \leq x} p & =-\int_{2}^{x} \pi(u) \mathrm{d} u+\pi(x)(\lfloor x\rfloor+1) \\
& >-\int_{2}^{x} \frac{u}{\log u}\left(1+\frac{3}{2 \log u}\right) \mathrm{d} u+\pi(x)(\lfloor x\rfloor+1) \\
& >-\int_{2}^{x} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\log u}-\frac{3}{2} \int_{2}^{x} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\log ^{2} u}+x \frac{x}{\log x}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \log x}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating by parts we see that

$$
\int_{2}^{x} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\log u}=\frac{x^{2}}{2 \log x}-\frac{2^{2}}{2 \log 2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{2}^{x} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\log ^{2} u},
$$

therefore (2.3) yields:
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \leq x} p>\frac{x^{2}}{2 \log x}+\frac{x^{2}}{2 \log ^{2} x}-2 \int_{2}^{x} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\log ^{2} u}+\frac{2}{\log 2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $u \log ^{-2} u$ is decreasing for $1<u \leq \mathrm{e}^{2}$ and increasing for $u \geq \mathrm{e}^{2}$, it holds that

$$
\int_{2}^{x} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\log ^{2} u}=\int_{2}^{\mathrm{e}^{2}} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\log ^{2} u}+\int_{\mathrm{e}^{2}}^{x} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\log ^{2} u}<\left(\mathrm{e}^{2}-2\right) \frac{2}{\log ^{2} 2}+\left(x-\mathrm{e}^{2}\right) \frac{x}{\log x}
$$

The last inequality and (2.4) imply now that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \leq x} p>\frac{x}{2 \log x}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{x^{2}}{\log ^{2} x}+\frac{2 \mathrm{e}^{2} x}{\log ^{2} x}-B \quad \text { for } x \geq 59 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B=\frac{2}{\log 2}\left(\frac{2}{\log 2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2}-2\right)-1\right)
$$

Using the upper bound (see [1, page 247])

$$
p_{n}<n \log n+n \log \log n \quad \text { for } n \geq 6
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \leq x} p-p_{\lfloor x\rfloor}>x(f(x)-g(x)) \quad \text { for } \quad x \geq 59 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\frac{x}{2 \log x}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{x}{\log ^{2} x}+\frac{2 \mathrm{e}^{2}}{\log ^{2} x}-\frac{1}{x} B, \\
& g(x)=\log x+\log \log x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall show that there is an $x_{0}>59$ such that $f(x)-g(x)>0$ for $x>x_{0}$. To this end it

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \log x}\left(1-\frac{4}{\log x}\right)+\frac{1}{\log ^{3} x}\left(6-\frac{4 \mathrm{e}^{2}}{x}\right)+\frac{1}{x^{2}} B
$$

Using (2.7) we now see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(x)-g^{\prime}(x) & \geq \frac{1}{2 \log x}\left(1-\frac{4}{\log x}\right)+\frac{2}{\log ^{3} x}+\frac{B}{x^{2}}-\frac{1}{x}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \log x}\left[1-\left(\frac{4}{\log x}+\frac{2}{x}\right)\right]+\left(\frac{2}{\log ^{3} x}-\frac{1}{x}\right)+\frac{B}{x^{2}} \quad \text { for } x \geq \mathrm{e}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if $x_{0} \geq \mathrm{e}^{2}$ is such that

$$
2 x>\log ^{3} x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for } x \geq x_{0} \text {, } \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{x}+\frac{4}{\log x}<1 \quad \text { for } x \geq x_{0} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
f^{\prime}(x)-g^{\prime}(x)>0 \quad \text { for } x \geq x_{0},
$$

It suffices to choose $x_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{5} \approx 143.413>59$ because then

$$
f\left(x_{0}\right) \approx 362.436>g\left(x_{0}\right) \approx 6.609,
$$

as well as

$$
\frac{2}{x_{0}}+\frac{4}{\log x_{0}}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{e}^{5}}+\frac{4}{5}<\frac{1}{2^{4}}+\frac{4}{5}<1
$$
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Thus for every integer $x \geq 149$ we have

$$
\sum_{p \leq x} p>p_{x}
$$

Notice that $149=p_{35}$. It remains to show that among the integers $1 \leq x \leq p_{35}$ just the primes 3 , 7 and 13 satisfy (1.1). To this end notice that for each $n \geq 0$ the condition $p_{n} \leq x<p_{n+1}$ implies

$$
S(x)=\sum_{p \leq x} p=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=S\left(p_{n}\right) .
$$

Using a computer let us extend Table 1 up to $n=35$ and by adding a fifth row containing the initial segment of the sequence $P\left(p_{n+1}-1\right)$. Now, any column of the new Table 2 corresponds to the interval $p_{n} \leq x<p_{n+1}$.

As readily seen, for $n \geq 10$, i.e. for $x \geq 29$, we already have

$$
P\left(p_{n}\right)<P\left(p_{n+1}-1\right)<S\left(p_{n}\right)=S(x),
$$

whenever $p_{n} \leq x<p_{n+1}$, exactly as for $n \geq 35$, i.e. for $x \geq 149$.
On the other hand, for $n \in\{0,1,3,5,7\}$, i.e. for $x \in\{1 ; 2 ; 5,6 ; 11,12 ; 17,18\}$ we have

$$
S(x)=S\left(p_{n}\right)<P\left(p_{n}\right)<P\left(p_{n+1}-1\right),
$$

excluding any counterexample $p_{n} \leq x<p_{n+1}$ to (1.1), as well.
Finally, for $n \in\{8,9\}$ we have

$$
P\left(p_{n}\right)<S(x)=S\left(p_{n}\right)<P\left(p_{n+1}-1\right),
$$

so that a counterexample $p_{n} \leq x<p_{n+1}$ could perhaps occur. Fortunately, for $n=8$, we have $p_{8}=19$, so that all the primes
$p_{19}=67, \quad p_{20}=71$
differ from the sum $S(x)=77$ for $19 \leq x<23$.

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $p_{n}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 |
| $P\left(p_{n}\right)$ | 2 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 17 | 31 | 41 | 59 | 67 | 83 | 109 | 127 | 157 | 179 | 191 |
| $S\left(p_{n}\right)$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 17 | 28 | 41 | 58 | 77 | 100 | 129 | 160 | 197 | 238 | 281 |
| $P\left(p_{n+1}-1\right)$ | 2 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 29 | 37 | 53 | 61 | 79 | 107 | 113 | 151 | 173 | 181 | 199 |


| $n$ | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $p_{n}$ | 47 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 71 | 73 | 79 | 83 | 89 | 97 | 101 |
| $P\left(p_{n}\right)$ | 211 | 241 | 277 | 283 | 331 | 353 | 367 | 401 | 431 | 461 | 509 | 547 |
| $S\left(p_{n}\right)$ | 328 | 381 | 440 | 501 | 568 | 639 | 712 | 791 | 874 | 963 | 1060 | 1161 |
| $P\left(p_{n+1}-1\right)$ | 239 | 271 | 281 | 317 | 349 | 359 | 397 | 421 | 457 | 503 | 541 | 557 |


| $n$ | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $p_{n}$ | 103 | 107 | 109 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 139 | 149 |
| $P\left(p_{n}\right)$ | 563 | 587 | 599 | 617 | 709 | 739 | 773 | 797 | 859 |
| $S\left(p_{n}\right)$ | 1264 | 1371 | 1480 | 1593 | 1720 | 1851 | 1988 | 2127 | 2276 |
| $P\left(p_{n+1}-1\right)$ | 577 | 593 | 613 | 701 | 733 | 769 | 787 | 857 | 863 |

Table 2.
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Similarly, for $n=9$, we have $p_{9}=23$, and all the primes

$$
p_{23}=83, \quad p_{24}=89, \quad p_{25}=97, \quad p_{26}=101, \quad p_{27}=103, \quad p_{28}=107
$$

are distinct from the sum $S(x)=100$ for $23 \leq x<29$, again.
There remain the columns for $n \in\{2,4,6\}$, corresponding to our mythical numbers and their "prime interval companions" $x \in\{3,4 ; 7,8,9,10 ; 13,14,15,16\}$.

Perhaps it is worthwhile to notice the "almost mythical" number $x=26=2 \cdot 13$ for which the sum

$$
\sum_{p \leq 26} p=100
$$

and the prime $p_{26}=101$ differ just by 1 .

## 3. Supplement

In our opinion, the so-called natural numbers tell us about laws of this world a lot more than we are able to admit or comprehend. So for example, the recently proved Fermat's theorem on nonexistence of nontrivial integer solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}+y^{n}=z^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n>2$, together with the long ago known fact that there are infinitely many integer solutions of this equation for $n=2$, seem apparently related in a strange or even mysterious way to the validity of the Pythagorean theorem which is essentially the basis of the Euclidean geometry.

Similarly, we can mention the theorem saying that the Diofantic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+u^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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has an integer solution for every natural number $n$. Probably its most elegant proof makes use of the multiplicative property of the quaternion norm given by

$$
|q|^{2}=q q^{*}=q_{0}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}+q_{3}^{2}
$$

where $q=q_{0}+q_{1} \mathrm{i}+q_{2} \mathrm{j}+q_{3} \mathrm{k}$ is an arbitrary quaternion and $q^{*}=q_{0}-q_{1} \mathrm{i}-q_{2} \mathrm{j}-q_{3} \mathrm{k}$ is its adjoint. On the other hand, this result seems essential to allow for the very existence of Hamilton's quaternions as a four-dimensional non-commutative and associative division algebra over reals with the above norm. A deep theorem states that there are up to isomorphisms just three continuous associative division algebras over the field of reals: the real numbers themselves, the complex numbers, and the quaternions, with dimensions 1,2 and 4 , respectively. Moreover, the quaternion multiplication, through the formula

$$
p q=\langle p, q\rangle+p_{0} \vec{q}+q_{0} \vec{p}+(\vec{p} \times \vec{q}),
$$

is closely related to the spatial vector product $\vec{p} \times \vec{q}$ of the vector parts $\vec{p}=p_{1} \mathrm{i}+p_{2} \mathrm{j}+p_{3} \mathrm{k}$, $\vec{q}=q_{1} \mathrm{i}+q_{2} \mathrm{j}+q_{3} \mathrm{k}$ of the quaternions $p, q$, and their pseudoscalar product

$$
\langle p, q\rangle=p_{0} q_{0}-p_{1} q_{1}-p_{2} q_{2}-p_{3} q_{3}
$$

determining the geometry of the Minkowski's four-dimensional time-space in Einstein's Special Theory of Relativity.

We find it very interesting that the equation (1.1) specifies precisely the three prime numbers 3,7 and 13. As if equations (3.1) and (3.2) decided about geometry and physics and (1.1) about myths.

We add the following to the latter: Analogously to the definition of the factorial $n!=1 \cdot 2 \cdot \ldots \cdot n$, we introduce the summarial

$$
n!=1+2+\ldots+n .
$$
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If we then compute the summarials of the three solutions of (1.1), we obtain

$$
3!+=6, \quad 7!=28, \quad 13!+=91 .
$$

The first two summarials are the first two perfect numbers. The third one is not perfect, however, both the number as well as the sum of its proper divisors can be expressed as products

$$
91=7 \cdot 13, \quad 1+7+13=21=3 \cdot 7
$$

of pairs of the mythical primes. This means that the last summarial does not give rise to perfection but just to some kind of "quasiperfection" - the number 13 returns in some sense, accompanied with 3 and 7 .

We see that the three solutions of (1.1) satisfy many remarkable relations and this is perhaps the reason why they became selected.
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