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#### Abstract

In this paper a fifth-order numerical scheme is developed and implemented for the solution of the homogeneous heat equation $u_{t}=\alpha u_{x x}$ with a nonlocal boundary condition as well as for the inhomogeneous heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}+s(x, t)$ with a nonlocal boundary condition. The results obtained show that the numerical method based on the proposed technique is fifth-order accurate as well as $L$-acceptable. In the development of this method second-order spatial derivatives are approximated by fifth-order finite-difference approximations which give a system of first order, linear, ordinary differential equations whose solution satisfies a recurrence relation which leads to the development of algorithm. The algorithm is tested on various heat equations and no oscillations are observed in the experiments. This method is based on a partial fraction technique which is useful in parallel processing and it does not require complex arithmetic.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we have considered the heat equation in one-dimension with a nonlocal boundary condition. Much attention has been paid to the development, analysis and implementation of accurate methods for the numerical solution of this typical problem in the literature.

Many physical phenomena are modeled by nonclassical boundary value problems with nonlocal boundary conditions. These can be classified into two types: (i) boundary-value problems with
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nonlocal initial conditions or (ii) boundary-value problems with nonlocal boundary conditions. The present work focuses on the second group of nonlocal boundary-value problems. A number of sequential procedures (other than the new scheme) have been suggested in the literature: see, for instantce $[3,7,9,10,11]$.

In the present paper the method of lines, the semi discretization approach, will be used to transform the model partial differential equation (PDE) into a system of first-order, linear, ordinary differential equations (ODEs), the solution of which satisfies a recurrence relation involving a matrix exponential function. A suitable rational approximation will be used to approximate such exponential functions which will lead to an $L_{0}$-acceptable algorithm and may be parallelized through the partial fraction splitting technique.

## 2. Homogeneous heat equation with nonlocal BOUNDARY CONDITION

This section considers the problem of obtaining numerical approximation to the concentration $u=u(x, t)$ which satisfies the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad 0<x<1, \quad 0<t \leq T, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=f(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, t)=g(t), \quad 0<t \leq T \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and the nonlocal boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x=M(t), \quad 0<t \leq T, \quad 0<x<1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f, g$ and $M$ are known functions and are assumed to be sufficiently smooth to produce a smooth classical solution of $u . \alpha$ is any positive constant and $T$ is a given positive constant.

### 2.1. Discretization and recurrence relation

Choosing a positive odd integer $N \geq 7$ and dividing the interval [ 0,1 ] into $N+1$ subintervals each of width $h$, so that $h=1 /(N+1)$, and the time variable $t$ into time steps each of length $l$ gives rectangular mesh points with co-ordinates $\left(x_{m}, t_{n}\right)=(m h, n l)$ where ( $m=0,1,2, \ldots, N+1$ and $n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ covering the region $R=[0<x<1] \times[t>0]$ and its boundary $\partial R$ consisting of lines $x=0, x=1$ and $t=0$.

Assuming that $u(x, t)$ is at least seven times continuously differentiable with respect to variable $x$ and that these derivatives are uniformly bounded, the space derivative in (1) may be approximated to the fifth-order accuracy at some general point $(x, t)$ of the mesh by using the seven-point formula

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}= & \frac{1}{h^{2}}\{a u(x-2 h, t)+b u(x-h, t)+c u(x, t)+d u(x+h, t)  \tag{5}\\
& +e u(x+2 h, t)+f u(x+3 h, t)+g u(x+4 h, t)\}
\end{align*}
$$

After expanding the terms $u(x-2 h, t), u(x-h, t), u(x+h, t), u(x+2 h, t), u(x+3 h, t)$ and $u(x+4 h, t)$ about $(x, t)$ by using the Taylor's expansion and then equating the different powers of $h$ on both sides we have

$$
a=\frac{-13}{180}, b=\frac{19}{15}, c=\frac{-7}{3}, d=\frac{10}{9}, e=\frac{1}{12}, f=\frac{-1}{15}, g=\frac{1}{90} .
$$

So by substituting the values of $a, b, c, d, e, f$ and $g$ in (5)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}= & \frac{1}{180 h^{2}}\{-13 u(x-2 h, t)+228 u(x-h, t)-420 u(x, t) \\
& +200 u(x+h, t)+15 u(x+2 h, t)-12 u(x+3 h, t)  \tag{6}\\
& +2 u(x+4 h, t)\}-\frac{h^{5}}{90} \frac{\partial^{7} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{7}}+O\left(h^{6}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (6) is valid only for $(x, t)=\left(x_{m}, t_{n}\right)$ with $m=2,3, \ldots, N-3$. To achieve the same accuracy at the points $\left(x_{i}, t_{n}\right)$, for $i=1, N-2, N-1, N$, special formulae (as derived above)are used in this paper which approximate $\partial^{2} u(x, t) / \partial x^{2}$ not only to fifth-order but also with the dominant error term $(-1 / 90) h^{5} \partial^{7} u(x, t) / \partial x^{7}$ for $x=x_{1}, x_{N-2}, x_{N-1}, x_{N}$ and $t=t_{n}$. These approximations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}= & \frac{1}{180 h^{2}}\{124 u(x-h, t)-56 u(x, t)-528 u(x+h, t) \\
& +925 u(x+2 h, t)-740 u(x+3 h, t)+366 u(x+4 h, t)  \tag{7}\\
& -104 u(x+5 h, t)+13 u(x+6 h, t)\}-\frac{1}{90} h^{5} \frac{\partial^{7} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{7}}+O\left(h^{6}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}= & \frac{1}{180 h^{2}}\{-2 u(x-4 h, t)+16 u(x-3 h, t)-69 u(x-2 h, t) \\
& +340 u(x-h, t)-560 u(x, t)+312 u(x+h, t)-41 u(x+2 h, t)  \tag{8}\\
& +4 u(x+3 h, t)\}-\frac{1}{90} h^{5} \frac{\partial^{7} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{7}}+O\left(h^{6}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}= & \frac{1}{180 h^{2}}\{-4 u(x-5 h, t)+30 u(x-4 h, t)-96 u(x-3 h, t) \\
& +155 u(x-2 h, t)-60 u(x-2 h, t)-336 u(x, t)+200 u(x+h, t)  \tag{9}\\
& -9 u(x+72 h, t)\}-\frac{1}{90} h^{5} \frac{\partial^{7} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{7}}+O\left(h^{6}\right), \\
\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}= & \frac{1}{180 h^{2}}\{9 u(x-6 h, t)-76 u(x-5 h, t)+282 u(x-4 h, t) \\
& -600 u(x-3 h, t)+785 u(x-2 h, t)-444 u(x-h, t)-84 u(x, t) \\
& +128 u(x+h, t)\}-\frac{1}{90} h^{5} \frac{\partial^{7} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{7}}+O\left(h^{6}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for the mesh points $\left(x_{1}, t_{n}\right),\left(x_{N-2}, t_{n}\right),\left(x_{N-1}, t_{n}\right)$ and $\left(x_{N}, t_{n}\right)$, respectively.

### 2.2. Treatment of the non-local boundary condition

The integral in (4) is approximated by using Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ quadrature rule as

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{b} u(x, t) d x \approx \frac{h}{3}\left[u(0, t)+4 \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{N+1}{2}} u(2 i-1, t)\right. & +2 \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{N+1}{2}-1} u(2 i, t)  \tag{11}\\
& +u(N+1, t)]+O\left(h^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here (11) serves as the boundary condition at zero and near zero.
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Applying (1) together with (6)-(10) to all interior mesh points of the grid at time level $t=t_{n}$ produces a system of ordinary differential equations of the form

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{U}(t)}{d t}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}(t)+\mathbf{v}(t), \quad t>0
$$

with initial distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{U}(0)=\mathbf{f} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\mathbf{U}(t)=\left[U_{1}(t), U_{2}(t), U_{3}(t), \ldots, U_{N}(t)\right]^{T}, \quad \mathbf{f} \quad=\quad\left[f\left(x_{1}\right), f\left(x_{2}\right), f\left(x_{3}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.f\left(x_{N}\right)\right]^{T}, T$ denoting transpose and matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{A}=\frac{\alpha}{180 h^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
-56 & -528 & 925 & -740 & 366 & -104 & 13 & & & 0  \tag{14}\\
228 & -420 & 200 & 15 & -12 & 2 & & & & \\
-13 & 228 & -420 & 200 & 15 & -12 & 2 & & & \\
& -13 & 228 & -420 & 200 & 15 & -12 & 2 & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & -13 & 228 & -420 & 200 & 15 & -12 & 2 \\
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{5} & \alpha_{6} & \alpha_{7} & \ldots & \alpha_{N-1} & \alpha_{N} \\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{5} & \beta_{6} & \beta_{7} & \ldots & \beta_{N-1} & \beta_{N} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \gamma_{5} & \gamma_{6} & \gamma_{7} & \ldots & \gamma_{N-1} & \gamma_{N} \\
\delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4} & \delta_{5} & \delta_{6} & \delta_{7} & \ldots & \delta_{N-1} & \delta_{N}
\end{array}\right]_{N \times N}
$$

where
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$\alpha_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-8 \text { when } i \text { is odd } \\ -4 \text { when } i \text { is even }\end{array}\right.$ and $\alpha_{N-5}=-17, \alpha_{N-4}=220, \alpha_{N-3}=-424, \quad \alpha_{N-2}=192$, $\alpha_{N-1}=11, \alpha_{N}=-20$,
$\beta_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-16 \text { when } i \text { is odd } \\ -8 \text { when } i \text { is even }\end{array}\right.$ and $\beta_{N-6}=-18, \quad \beta_{N-5}=8, \quad \beta_{N-4}=-85, \quad \beta_{N-3}=332$, $\beta_{N-2}=-576, \beta_{N-1}=304, \beta_{N}=-57$,
$\gamma_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}36 \text { when } i \text { is odd } \\ 18 \text { when } i \text { is even }\end{array} \quad\right.$ and $\quad \gamma_{N-6}=32, \quad \gamma_{N-5}=48, \quad \gamma_{N-4}=-60$, $\gamma_{N-3}=173, \quad \gamma_{N-2}=96, \quad \gamma_{N-1}=-318, \quad \gamma_{N}=236$,
$\delta_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-512 \text { when } i \text { is odd } \\ -256 \text { when } i \text { is even }\end{array}\right.$ and $\delta_{N-6}=-503, \quad \delta_{N-5}=-332, \quad \delta_{N-4}=-230, \quad \delta_{N-3}=-856$, $\delta_{N-2}=273, \delta_{N-1}=-700, \delta_{N}=-596$,
and the column vector

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}(t)=\frac{\alpha}{180 h^{2}}[ & 124 g(t),-13 g(t), 0,0, \ldots,-2 g(t)-\frac{6}{h} M(t),-4 g(t) \\
& \left.+\frac{12}{h} M(t)+9 g(t)+\frac{-27}{h} M(t),-128 g(t)+\frac{384}{h} M(t)\right]^{T} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of the system (12) subject to (13) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{U}(t)=\exp (t \mathbf{A}) f+\int_{0}^{t} \exp [(t-s) \mathbf{A}] \mathbf{v}(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}(s)$ is due to the boundary condition and the source term. The solution given by (16) satisfies the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{U}(t+l)=\exp (l A) \mathbf{U}(t)+\int_{t}^{t+l} \exp [(t+l-s) A] \mathbf{v}(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t=0, l, 2 l, \ldots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eigenvalues of matrix A are calculated using MATLAB for $N=9,19,39,79,99$ and 199 and it is

To approximate the matrix exponential in (17) we use the rational approximation [12] for real scalar $\theta$ which is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{M}(\theta)=\frac{\sum_{K=0}^{M-1} b_{K} \theta^{K}}{\sum_{K=0}^{M} a_{K}(-\theta)^{K}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a positive integer and $a_{0}=1, a_{M}, b_{M-1} \neq 0$ and $a_{K} \geq 0$ for all $K=1,2,3, \ldots, M$. Matching $E_{M}(\theta)$ with the first $M+1$ terms of the Maclaurin's expansion of $\exp (\theta)$ leads to the following relations in the parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{M}=(-1)^{M-1} \sum_{K=0}^{M-1}(-1)^{K} \frac{a_{K}}{(M-K)!} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{K}=\sum_{i=0}^{K}(-1)^{i} \frac{a_{i}}{(K-i)!}, \quad K=0,1,2, \ldots, M-1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The magnitude of the coefficient of the error term is


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{M}=\sum_{K=0}^{M-1} \frac{(M-K)(-1)^{K+1} a_{K}}{(M-K+1)!} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this method we are concerned with $E_{5}(\theta)$, so, for $M=5$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{5}(\theta)=\frac{1+b_{1} \theta+b_{2} \theta^{2}+b_{3} \theta^{3}+b_{4} \theta^{4}}{1-a_{1} \theta+a_{2} \theta^{2}-a_{3} \theta^{3}+a_{4} \theta^{4}-a_{5} \theta^{5}}=\frac{p(\theta)}{q(\theta)} \quad(\text { say }) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1}=\left(1-a_{1}\right), & b_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-a_{1}+a_{2}\right),
\end{array} b_{3}=\left(\frac{1}{6}-\frac{a_{1}}{2}+a_{2}-a_{3}\right),, ~ a_{5}=\left(\frac{1}{120}-\frac{a_{1}}{24}+\frac{a_{2}}{6}-\frac{a_{3}}{2}+a_{4}\right), ~ \$ \frac{1}{24}-\frac{a_{1}}{6}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}-a_{3}+a_{4}\right), \quad ~ b b_{4}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

choosing the values of parameters $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ and $a_{5}$ as $91 / 20,481 / 120,107 / 80$, $691 / 3600$ and $1 / 100$ respectively.

The quadrature term appearing in recurrence relation (17) is approximated as

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t}^{t+l} \exp ((t+l-s) \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{v}(s) \mathrm{d} s= & \mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{v}\left(s_{1}\right)+\mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{v}\left(s_{2}\right)+\mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{v}\left(s_{3}\right)  \tag{23}\\
& +\mathbf{W}_{4} \mathbf{v}\left(s_{4}\right)+\mathbf{W}_{5} \mathbf{v}\left(s_{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{1} \neq s_{2} \neq s_{3} \neq s_{4} \neq s_{5}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{1}, \mathbf{W}_{2}, \mathbf{W}_{3}, \mathbf{W}_{4}, \mathbf{W}_{5}$ are matrices. It can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{5} s_{j}^{k-1} \mathbf{W}_{j}=M_{k}, \quad k=1,2,3,4,5 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k}=\mathbf{A}^{-1}\left\{t^{k-1} E-(t+l)^{k-1} I+(k-1) M_{k-1}\right\}, \quad k=1,2,3,4,5 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $s_{1}=t, s_{2}=t+\frac{l}{4}, s_{3}=t+\frac{l}{2}, s_{4}=t+\frac{3 l}{4}, s_{5}=t+l$ and solving the system (25) for
$\mathbf{W}_{1}, \mathbf{W}_{2}, \mathbf{W}_{3}, \mathbf{W}_{4}, \mathbf{W}_{5}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{W}_{1}= & -\frac{1}{3 l^{4}}\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)^{5}\left\{768 I+288 l \mathbf{A}+44 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}+3 l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right.  \tag{26}\\
& \left.-\left(768 I-480 l \mathbf{A}+140 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}-25 l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}+3 l^{4} \mathbf{A}^{4}\right) E\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{W}_{2}= & \frac{16}{3 l^{4}}\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)^{5}\left\{192 I+84 l \mathbf{A}+14 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}+l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right.  \tag{27}\\
& \left.-\left(192 I-108 l \mathbf{A}+26 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}-3 l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right) E\right\}, \\
\mathbf{W}_{3}= & -\frac{4}{l^{4}}\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)^{5}\left\{384 I+192 l \mathbf{A}+38 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}+3 l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right.  \tag{28}\\
& \left.+\left(384 I+192 l \mathbf{A}-38 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}+3 l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right) E\right\}, \\
\mathbf{W}_{4}= & \frac{16}{3 l^{4}}\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)^{5}\left\{192 I+108 l \mathbf{A}+26 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}+3 l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right.  \tag{29}\\
& \left.-\left(192 I-84 l \mathbf{A}+14 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}-l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right) E\right\}, \\
\mathbf{W}_{5}= & -\frac{1}{3 l^{4}}\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)^{5}\left\{768 I+480 l \mathbf{A}+140 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}+25 l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}+3 l^{4} \mathbf{A}^{4}\right.  \tag{30}\\
& \left.-\left(768 I-288 l \mathbf{A}+44 l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}-3 l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right) E\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

Using $E=P Q$, due to (22) where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left(I-a_{1} l \mathbf{A}+a_{2} l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}-a_{3} l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}+a_{4} l^{4} \mathbf{A}^{4}-a_{5} l^{5} \mathbf{A}^{5}\right)^{-1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=I+b_{1} l \mathbf{A}+b_{2} l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}+b_{3} l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}+b_{4} l^{4} \mathbf{A}^{4}, \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (26)-(30) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W}_{1}= & \frac{l}{360}\left\{28 I+\left(668-3100 a_{1}+11520 a_{2}-30720 a_{3}+46080 a_{4}\right) l \mathbf{A}\right. \\
& +\left(-21+100 a_{1}-260 a_{2}+1920 a_{4}\right) l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(18-75 a_{1}+240 a_{2}-540 a_{3}+720 a_{4}\right) l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right\} P,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W}_{2}= & \frac{2 l}{45}\left\{8 I+\left(-154+760 a_{1}-2880 a_{2}+7680 a_{3}-11520 a_{4}\right) l \mathbf{A}\right. \\
& +\left(1+10 a_{1}-100 a_{2}+480 a_{3}-1200 a_{4}\right) l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(-1+5 a_{1}-20 a_{2}+60 a_{3}-120 a_{4}\right) l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right\} P,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W}_{3}= & \frac{l}{30}\left\{4 I+\left(322-1540 a_{1}+5760 a_{2}-15360 a_{3}+23040 a_{4}\right) l \mathbf{A}\right. \\
& +\left(23-130 a_{1}+580 a_{2}-1920 a_{3}+3840 a_{4}\right) l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(3-15 a_{1}+60 a_{2}-180 a_{3}+360 a_{4}\right) l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right\} P, \\
\mathbf{W}_{4}= & \frac{2 l}{45}\left\{8 I+\left(-158+760 a_{1}-2880 a_{2}+7680 a_{3}-11520 a_{4}\right) l \mathbf{A}\right. \\
& +\left(-21+110 a_{1}-460 a_{2}+1440 a_{3}-2640 a_{4}\right) l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(-3+15 a_{1}-60 a_{2}+180 a_{3}-360 a_{4}\right) l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3}\right\} P,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W}_{5}= & \frac{l}{360}\left\{28 I+\left(640-3100 a_{1}+11520 a_{2}-30720 a_{3}+46080 a_{4}\right) l \mathbf{A}\right. \\
& +\left(125-640 a_{1}+2620 a_{2}-7680 a_{3}+13440 a_{4}\right) l^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2} \\
& +\left(25-125 a_{1}+500 a_{2}-1500 a_{3}+2640 a_{4}\right) l^{3} \mathbf{A}^{3} \\
& \left.+\left(3-15 a_{1}+60 a_{2}-180 a_{3}+360 a_{4}\right) l^{4} \mathbf{A}^{4}\right\} P .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.3. Development of Algorithm

Assuming that $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5},\left(r_{i} \neq 0\right)$ are distinct real zeros of $q(\theta)$, the denominator of $E_{5}(\theta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{-1}=\prod_{i=1}^{5}\left(I-\frac{l}{r_{i}} \mathbf{A}\right) \\
& E=\sum_{j=1}^{5} p_{j}\left(I-\frac{l}{r_{j}} \mathbf{A}\right)^{-1} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
p_{j}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{5}\left(1-\frac{r_{j}}{r_{i}}\right)}\left\{1+b_{1} r_{j}+b_{2} r_{j}^{2}+b_{3} r_{j}^{3}+b_{4} r_{j}^{4}\right\},
$$

$j=1,2,3,4,5$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}_{k}=\frac{m_{k} l}{360} \sum_{j=1}^{5} p_{5 k+j}\left(I-\frac{l}{r_{1}} \mathbf{A}\right)^{-1}, \quad k=1,2,3,4,5 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $m_{1}=1, m_{2}=16, m_{3}=12, m_{4}=16, m_{5}=1$, and for $j=1,2,3,4,5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{5+j}= & \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{5}\left(1-\frac{r_{j}}{r_{i}}\right)} \\
& \times\left\{28+\left(668-3100 a_{1}+11520 a_{2}-30720 a_{3}+46080 a_{4}\right) r_{j}\right. \\
& +\left(-21+100 a_{1}-260 a_{2}+1920 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(18-75 a_{1}+240 a_{2}-540 a_{3}+720 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Acta matica } \\
& \text { Mathematica } \\
& \text { Univernianae } \\
& = \\
& p_{10+j}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{5}\left(1-\frac{r_{j}}{r_{i}}\right)} \\
& \times\left\{8+\left(-154+760 a_{1}-2880 a_{2}+7680 a_{3}-11520 a_{4}\right) r_{j}\right. \\
& +\left(1+10 a_{1}-100 a_{2}+480 a_{3}-1200 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(-1+5 a_{1}-20 a_{2}+60 a_{3}-120 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{3}\right\} \\
& p_{15+j}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{5}\left(1-\frac{r_{j}}{r_{i}}\right)} \\
& \times\left\{4+\left(322-1540 a_{1}+5760 a_{2}-15360 a_{3}+23040 a_{4}\right) r_{j}\right. \\
& +\left(23-130 a_{1}+580 a_{2}-1920 a_{3}+3840 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(3-15 a_{1}+60 a_{2}-180 a_{3}+360 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{3}\right\} \\
& p_{20+j}=\times \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{5}\left(1-\frac{r_{j}}{r_{i}}\right)} \\
& \left\{8+\left(-158+760 a_{1}-2880 a_{2}+7680 a_{3}-11520 a_{4}\right) r_{j}\right. \\
& +\left(-21+110 a_{1}-460 a_{2}+1440 a_{3}-2640 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(-3+15 a_{1}-60 a_{2}+180 a_{3}-360 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{25+j}= & \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{5}\left(1-\frac{r_{j}}{r_{i}}\right)} \\
& \times\left\{28+\left(640-3100 a_{1}+11520 a_{2}-30720 a_{3}+46080 a_{4}\right) r_{j}\right. \\
& +\left(125-640 a_{1}+2620 a_{2}-7680 a_{3}+13440 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{2} \\
& +\left(25-125 a_{1}+500 a_{2}-1500 a_{3}+2640 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{3} \\
& \left.+\left(3-15 a_{1}+60 a_{2}-180 a_{3}+360 a_{4}\right) r_{j}^{4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (17) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{U}(t+l)=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \mathbf{A}_{i}^{-1}\left\{p_{i} \mathbf{U}(t)+\frac{l}{360}\left\{p_{i+5} \mathbf{v}(t)+16 p_{i+10} \mathbf{v}\left(t+\frac{l}{4}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+12 p_{i+15} \mathbf{v}\left(t+\frac{l}{2}\right)+16 p_{i+20} \mathbf{v}\left(t+\frac{3 l}{4}\right)+p_{i+25} \mathbf{v}(t+l)\right\}\right\} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$
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where

$$
A_{i}=I-\frac{l}{r_{i}} \mathbf{A}, \quad i=1,2,3,4,5 .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{U}(t+l)=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \mathbf{y}_{i}(t) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{i},(i=1,2,3,4,5)$ are the solutions of the systems

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}=p_{i} \mathbf{U}(t)+\frac{l}{360}\left\{p_{i+5} \mathbf{v}(t)+16 p_{i+10} \mathbf{v}\left(t+\frac{l}{4}\right)+12 p_{i+15} \mathbf{v}\left(t+\frac{l}{2}\right)\right. \\
 \tag{37}\\
\left.+16 p_{i+20} \mathbf{v}\left(t+\frac{3 l}{4}\right)+p_{i+25} \mathbf{v}(t+l)\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Inhomogeneous heat equation with non-local <br> bOUNDARY CONDITION

This section considers the problem of obtaining numerical approximation to the concentration $u=u(x, t)$ which satisfies the inhomogeneous partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+s(x, t), \quad 0<x<1, \quad 0<t \leq T \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the initial condition (2), the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(1, t)=g(t), \quad 0<t \leq T \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(which is different from (3)) and the nonlocal boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} u(x, t) \mathrm{d} x=M(t), \quad 0<t \leq T, \quad 0<b<1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f, g, M$ and $s$ are known functions, assumed to be sufficiently smooth to produce a smooth solution of $u$, and $T$ is a given positive constant.

The existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence on data of the solution to this problem have been studied by $[4,5,6]$.
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Applying (31) and (6)-(10) to all the interior mesh points of the grid at time level $t=t_{n}$ and using the boundary conditions (39) and (40) produce a system of ordinary differential equations of the form (12) with initial distribution (13) with matrix A given by

$$
\mathbf{A}=\frac{1}{180 h^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{5} & \alpha_{6} & \ldots & & 0  \tag{41}\\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{5} & \beta_{6} & \ldots & & \\
-13 & 228 & -420 & 200 & 15 & -12 & 2 & & \\
& -13 & 228 & -420 & 200 & 15 & -12 & 2 & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & -13 & 228 & -420 & 200 & 15 & -12 & 2 \\
& & & -13 & 228 & -420 & 200 & 15 & -12 \\
& & -4 & 16 & -69 & 340 & -560 & 312 & -41 \\
0 & & 9 & -76 & 282 & 155 & 60 & -300 & 785 \\
-434 & -800 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right]_{N \times N}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=-552, \alpha_{2}=-776, \alpha_{3}=429, \alpha_{4}=-988, \alpha_{5}=-130, \alpha_{6}=-352, \\
& \alpha_{7}=-483 \text { and } \alpha_{i}= \begin{cases}-248 & \text { when } i \text { is even } \\
-496 & \text { when } i \text { is odd } \\
-124 & \text { when } i=J\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=280, \quad \beta_{2}=-394, \quad \beta_{3}=252, \beta_{4}=41, \beta_{5}=40, \beta_{6}=28 \text { and } \\
& \beta_{i}= \begin{cases}52 & \text { when } i \text { is even } \\
26 & \text { when } i \text { is odd } \\
13 & \text { when } i=J\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J=\frac{b}{h}$ and the column vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}(t)=\frac{1}{180 h^{2}}\left[\frac{372}{h} M(t), \frac{-39}{h} M(t), 0,0, \ldots, 2 g(t), 4 g(t),-9 g(t), 128 g(t)\right]^{T} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Numerical Experiments

In this section the numerical methods described in this paper are applied to several problems from the literature and results obtained are compared with exact solutions as well as with the results existing in the literature.

Example 1. Consider (1)-(4) with

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x)=\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} x\right), \quad 0<x<1, \\
g(t)=\exp \left(-\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} t\right), 0<t<1 \\
M(t)=\frac{2}{\pi} \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} t\right), \quad 0<t \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

The theoretical solution of the problem (see [9]) is

$$
u(x, t)=\exp \left(-\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} t\right) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} x\right)
$$

The relative errors $\frac{\left|\mathbf{U}_{\text {approx }}-\mathbf{U}_{\text {exact }}\right|}{\mathbf{U}_{\text {exact }}}$ for the results of $u(0.5,0.1)$ at $h=l=0.05,0.025,0.01,0.005$, $0.0025,0.001$, using the new scheme discussed in this paper as well as using the implicit method [3], Galerkin technique [7], Keller-Box method [10], the Rung Kutta Chebyshev (RKC) scheme [11] and the Saulyev's explicit scheme [9] are shown in Table 1. CPU time for different values of $h$ are also given in Table 2.

| Acta <br> Mathematica | Table 1. Relative errors of various spatial lengths in Example 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Universianae | $h$ | Implicit | Galerkin | Keller-Box | RKC | Saulyev I | Fifth-order |
|  | 0.0500 | $9.1 \times 10^{-03}$ | $9.9 \times 10^{-02}$ | $9.4 \times 10^{-02}$ | $9.8 \times 10^{-02}$ | $9.6 \times 10^{-03}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-07}$ |
| 2 | 0.0250 | $2.3 \times 10^{-03}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-02}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-02}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-02}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-03}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-08}$ |
|  | 0.0100 | $3.8 \times 10^{-04}$ | $4.9 \times 10^{-03}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-03}$ | $6.1 \times 10^{-03}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-04}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{-10}$ |
|  | 0.0050 | $9.4 \times 10^{-05}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-03}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-03}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-03}$ | $9.6 \times 10^{-05}$ | $9.0 \times 10^{-11}$ |
|  | 0.0025 | $2.3 \times 10^{-05}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-04}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-04}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-04}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-05}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-12}$ |
|  | 0.0010 | $4.1 \times 10^{-06}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-05}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-05}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-05}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-06}$ | $9.8 \times 10^{-11}$ |

Table 2. CPU time in Example 1 for fifth-order scheme.

| $h$ | CPU Time <br> (in seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0500 | 0.001 |
| 0.0250 | 0.032 |
| 0.0125 | 0.145 |
| 0.0100 | 0.234 |
| 0.0050 | 1.781 |
| 0.0025 | 19.750 |

Example 2. Consider (38) with (2), (39) and (40)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\sin (\pi x), \quad 0<x<1, \quad g(t)=0, \quad 0<t<1, \\
& b=0.75, \quad M(t)=\frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{2 \pi} \exp \left(-\pi^{2} t\right), \quad 0<t \leq 1, \\
& s(x, t)=0, \quad 0<t \leq 1, \quad 0<x<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The theoretical solution of this problem (see [8]) is

$$
u(x, t)=\exp \left(-\pi^{2} t\right) \sin (\pi x) .
$$

The results for Example 2 are given in Table 3. Calculations are performed for different values of $h=0.01,0.005,0.0025,0.001$ and $l=0.01,0.005,0.0025,0.001$ for comparison purpose.

Example 3. Again consider (38) with (2), (39) and (40)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(x)=\exp (x), 0<x<1 & g(t)=\frac{e}{1+t^{2}}, 0<t<1 \\
b=0.3 & M(t)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{0.3}-1}{1+t^{2}}, 0<t \leq \\
s(x, t)=\frac{-\left(1+t^{2}\right) \exp (x)}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}, 0<t \leq 1,0<x<1 . &
\end{array}
$$

and with the theoretical solution (see $[1,8]$ )

$$
u(x, t)=\frac{\exp (x)}{1+t^{2}}
$$

The results regarding this example are given in Table 4 and Table 5. In Table 4, the relative errors of the results of $u(x, t)$ with $h=0.01,0.005,0.0025,0.001$, and $l=0.01,0.005,0.0025,0.001$, using the fifth-order scheme discussed in this paper as well as using the implicit finite-difference

Table 3. Maximum errors in Example 2 at $t=1$.

| $h$ | Numerical Methods | $l=0.01$ | $l=0.005$ | $l=0.0025$ | $l=0.001$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | The implicit scheme The pade scheme Fifth-order scheme | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 6.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ 4.9 \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 3.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{4 . 6} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{3 . 2} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{3 . 0} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}} \end{gathered}$ |
| 0.005 | The implicit scheme The pade scheme Fifth-order scheme | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 5.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{1 . 1} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{5 . 0} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 4}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{1 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 4}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} 4.0 & \times 10^{-04} \\ 3.0 & \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{1 . 2} & \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 4}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 0.0025 | The implicit scheme The pade scheme Fifth-order scheme | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 7.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 6.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{1 . 1} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{3 . 0} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 4}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 3.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{2 . 1} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 5}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} 2.0 & \times 10^{-04} \\ 1.0 & \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{7 . 6} & \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 5}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 0.001 | The implicit scheme The pade scheme Fifth-order scheme | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{2 . 6} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 4}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 3.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{3 . 5} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 5}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} 3.0 & \times 10^{-05} \\ 5.0 & \times 10^{-06} \\ \mathbf{8 . 7} & \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 6}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-06} \\ \mathbf{2 . 1} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 7}} \end{gathered}$ |

scheme of [2] and the Pade scheme of [8], are shown. While absolute errors for $l=0.001$ and $h=0.01$ for different values of $t$ are given in Table 5 and are compared with the results of [1].

Table 4. Maximum errors in Example 3 at $t=1$.

| $h$ | Numerical Methods | $l=0.01$ | $l=0.005$ | $l=0.0025$ | $l=0.001$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | The implicit scheme <br> The pade scheme <br> Fifth-order scheme | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 6.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{3 . 7} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{3 . 0} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 5.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{3 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ 4.3 \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}} \end{gathered}$ |
| 0.005 | The implicit scheme The pade scheme Fifth-order scheme | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 5.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{9 . 6} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{6 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} 5.0 & \times 10^{-04} \\ 4.0 & \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{1 . 7} & \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 3.0 & \times 10^{-04} \\ 3.0 & \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{1 . 2} & \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 0.0025 | The implicit scheme The pade scheme Fifth-order scheme | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 4.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{2 . 5} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{6 . 2} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ & 3.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ & \mathbf{2 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 2.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{1 . 1} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}} \end{gathered}$ |
| 0.001 | The implicit scheme The pade scheme Fifth-order scheme | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 1.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{2 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 3}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \times 10^{-04} \\ 3.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ \mathbf{9 . 6} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{- 1 3}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \times 10^{-05} \\ 4.0 \times 10^{-06} \\ \mathbf{7 . 5} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 3}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} 9.0 & \times 10^{-05} \\ 5.0 & \times 10^{-06} \\ \mathbf{1 . 3} & \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 3}} \end{aligned}$ |

Example 4. Now consider (38) with (2), (39) and (40)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\ln (x+2.5), \quad 0<x<1, \quad g(t)=\ln (2 t+3.5), \quad 0<t<1, \quad b=0.5, \\
& M(t)=(2 t+3) \ln (2 t+3)-(2 t+2.5) \ln (2 t+2.5)-0.5, \quad 0<t \leq 1, \\
& s(x, t)=\frac{2(x+2 t+3)}{(x+2 t+2.5)^{2}}, \quad 0<t \leq 1, \quad 0<x<1
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 5. Results in Example 3 at $l=0.001$ and $h=0.01$.

| $x$ | $t$ | Exact solution | Approximate solution |  | Absolute relative error |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Wavelet <br> Galerkin | Fifth-order <br> scheme | Wavelet <br> Galerkin | Fifth-order <br> scheme |
| 0.25 | 0.025 | 1.2832234020614 | 1.283193 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 8 3 2 2 3 4 0 2 0 3 2 5}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
|  | 0.050 | 1.2808233582920 | 1.280765 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 8 0 8 2 3 3 5 8 2 7 5 4}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
|  | 0.100 | 1.2713122937502 | 1.271207 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 7 1 3 1 2 2 9 3 7 2 7 2}$ | $8.3 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
| 0.50 | 0.025 | 1.6476914635354 | 1.647651 | $\mathbf{1 . 6 4 7 6 9 1 4 6 3 5 2 2 7}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
|  | 0.050 | 1.6446097364344 | 1.644534 | $\mathbf{1 . 6 4 4 6 0 9 7 4 6 3 1 0 6}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
|  | 0.100 | 1.6323972977229 | 1.632272 | $\mathbf{1 . 6 3 2 3 9 7 2 9 7 6 8 5 1}$ | $7.7 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
| 0.75 | 0.025 | 2.1156777180389 | 2.115633 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 5 6 7 7 7 1 8 0 2 5 4}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
|  | 0.050 | 2.1117207148256 | 2.111648 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 1 7 2 0 7 1 4 8 0 2 9}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
|  | 0.100 | 2.0960396304086 | 2.095934 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 9 6 9 3 9 6 2 0 3 7 4 8}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |

The theoretical solution of this problem (see [1]) is

$$
u(x, t)=\ln (x+2 t+2.5)
$$
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The results regarding Example 4 are given in Table 6. Calculations are performed for $x=0.25$, $0.50,0.75$ and $t=0.025,0.05,0.10$. The results for fifth-order scheme are compared with the exact ones and with the results of [1]. The CPU time for $h=0.025$ and $l=0.001$ for different values of $t$ are given in Table 7.

Table 6. Results in Example (4) at $l=0.001$ and $h=0.01$.

| $x$ | $t$ | Exact solution | Approximate solution |  | Absolute relative error |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Wavelet <br> Galerkin | Fifth-order <br> scheme | Wavelet <br> Galerkin | Fifth-order <br> scheme |
| 0.25 | 0.025 | 1.0296194171812 | 1.029611 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 2 9 6 1 9 4 1 7 1 7 7 4}$ | $8.5 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
|  | 0.050 | 1.0473189942806 | 1.047302 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 4 7 3 1 8 9 9 4 2 7 6 5}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
|  | 0.100 | 1.0818051703517 | 1.081772 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 8 1 8 0 5 1 7 0 3 4 7 3}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| 0.50 | 0.025 | 1.1151415906193 | 1.115134 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 5 1 4 1 5 9 0 6 1 1 9}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
|  | 0.050 | 1.1314021114911 | 1.131388 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 1 4 0 2 1 1 1 4 7 8 0}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
|  | 0.100 | 1.1631508098057 | 1.163125 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 3 1 5 0 8 0 9 7 8 6 4}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
| 0.75 | 0.025 | 1.1939224684724 | 1.193917 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 3 9 2 2 4 6 8 4 6 4 8}$ | $4.5 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
|  | 0.050 | 1.2089603458370 | 1.208951 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 8 9 6 0 3 4 5 8 2 4 1}$ | $7.9 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
|  | 0.100 | 1.2383742310433 | 1.238358 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 8 3 7 4 2 3 1 0 2 4 3}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-05}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |

Table 7. CPU time in Example 4 when $h=0.025$ and $l=0.001$.

| $t$ | CPU Time <br> (in seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.025 | 0.203 |
| 0.05 | 0.359 |
| 0.10 | 0.735 |
| 0.25 | 1.782 |
| 0.50 | 3.313 |
| 1.0 | 6.985 |

Example 5. Once again consider (38) with (2), (39) and (40)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\pi^{2} \cos x, \quad 0<x<1, \quad g(t)=\left(\pi^{2}+t\right) \cos (1.0), \quad 0<t<1, \quad b=0.75 \\
& M(t)=\left(\pi^{2}+t\right) \sin (0.75), \quad 0<t \leq 1, \\
& s(x, t)=\left(1+\pi^{2}+t\right) \cos x, \quad 0<t \leq 1, \quad 0<x<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The theoretical solution of the problem (see [1]) is

$$
u(x, t)=\left(\pi^{2}+t\right) \cos x
$$

Experiments are performed for $x=0.25,0.50,0.75$ and $t=0.025,0.05,0.10$. and the results are given in Table 8 where these are compared with the results of [1].

## 5. Conclusion

The results obtained by using the fifth-order scheme are highly accurate when compared with those results which have already existed in the literature. It is noted that the method is fifth-order accurate except for very small values of $l$ and $h$ when errors accumulate due to a large number of arithmetic operations.

So it is clear that the new scheme is the best candidate for the solution of model problems. This technique can be coded easily on serial or parallel computers.

It is worth mentioning that the use of real arithmetic and multiprocessor architecture can save remarkable CPU time rather than methods based on complex arithmetic.

Table 8. Results in Example 5 at $l=0.001$ and $h=0.001$.

| $x$ | $t$ | Exact solution | Approximate solution |  | Absolute relative error |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Wavelet <br> Galerkin | Fifth-order <br> scheme | Wavelet <br> Galerkin | Fifth-order <br> scheme |
| 0.25 | 0.025 | 9.5870051121283 | 9.587025 | $\mathbf{9 . 5 8 7 0 0 5 1 1 2 0 5 8 8}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 2}^{\mathbf{7}} \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
|  | 0.050 | 9.6112279226711 | 9.611272 | $\mathbf{9 . 6 1 1 2 2 7 9 2 2 6 2 3 0}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
|  | 0.100 | 9.6596735437566 | 9.659767 | $\mathbf{9 . 6 5 9 6 7 3 5 4 3 7 3 7 2}$ | $9.8 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| 0.50 | 0.025 | 8.6833322791998 | 8.683344 | $\mathbf{8 . 6 8 3 3 3 2 7 9 1 3 6 3}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
|  | 0.050 | 8.7052718432470 | 8.705298 | $\mathbf{8 . 7 0 5 2 7 1 8 4 3 1 3 7 6}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
|  | 0.100 | 8.7491509713415 | 8.749208 | $\mathbf{8 . 7 4 9 1 5 0 9 7 1 2 0 0 9}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
| 0.75 | 0.025 | 7.2397719021870 | 7.239781 | $\mathbf{7 . 2 3 9 7 7 1 9 0 2 1 3 8 6}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
|  | 0.050 | 7.2580641239088 | 7.258080 | $\mathbf{7 . 2 5 8 0 6 4 1 2 3 8 2 2 6}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |
|  | 0.100 | 7.2946485673525 | 7.294680 | $\mathbf{7 . 2 9 4 6 4 8 5 6 7 2 2 7 3}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 1}}$ |

1. Behriy S. H., A wavelet-Galerkin method for inhomogeneous diffusion equation subject to the specification of mass, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 (2002), 9745-9753.
2. Cannon J.R. and Van der Hock J., An implicit finite schemefor the diffusion equation subject to the specification of mass in a portion of domain, in Numerical solutions of partial differential equations (Parkville,1981), North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 1982, 527-539.
3. Cannon J. R., The one dimension heat equation. Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications. Men10 park(CA) 1984; 23: 347-355.

| 4 | 4 | $\gg$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Go back

Full Screen
4. Cannon J. R. and Van Der Hoek J., Diffusion subject to the specification of mass, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 115 (1986), 517-529.
5. Cannon J. R., Esteva S. P. and Van Der Hoek J., A Galerkin procedure for the diffusion equation subject to the specification of mass, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 24 (1987), 499-515.
6. Cannon J. R. and Lin Y., A Galerkin procedure for diffusion equations with boundary integral conditions. Intern. J. Energy Sci, 28(7) (1990), 579-587.
7. Cannon J. R. and Matheson A. A, Numerical procedure for diffusion subject to the specification of mass, Int. J. Eng. Sci. 131 (1993), 347-355.
8. Deghan M., On the numerical solution of diffusion equation with a nonlocal boundary condition, Math. Prob. in Engg. 2 (2003), 81-92.
9. Dehghan M., The one dimensional heat equation subject to a boundary integral specification, Chaos Solitons and Fractals 32 (2007), 661-675.
10. Ewing R. E. and Lin T. A., Class of parameter estimation techniques of fluid flow in porous media, Adv. Water Resour. 14 (1991), 89-97.
11. Makarov V. L. and Kulyev D. T., Solution of a boundary value problem for a quasi linear parabolic equation with nonclassical boundary conditions, Different equt. 21 (1985), 296-305.
12. Taj M. S. A., PhD. Thesis, Brunel University Uxbridge, Middlesex, England (1995).
M. A. Rehman, Department of Mathematics, GC University, Lahore 54000, Pakistan, e-mail: aziz3037@yahoo.com
M. S. A. Taj, Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Defence Road, Off Raiwind Road, Lahore, Pakistan,
e-mail: shahadat259@yahoo.com
M. M. Butt, Department of Mathematics, GC University, Lahore 54000, Pakistan, e-mail: buttlahore2003@yahoo.com

Close


[^0]:    Received December 24, 2008; revised June 8, 2009.
    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 65M06, 65N40.
    Key words and phrases. heat equation; non-local boundary condition; fifth-order numerical methods; method of lines; parallel algorithm.

