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#### Abstract

An attempt has been made in this paper to investigate some set theoretic properties of a function suitably defined on the space of all sequences of non-negative real numbers endowed with Fréchet metric.


## 0 . Introduction

Inspiration for this paper arises from the papers [1], [2] where the authors proved several interesting theorems in relation to Borel and Baire classifications of functions defined by the exponent of convergence of the family of all non-decreasing sequences of real numbers, the first term of which is at least $\gamma$ where $\gamma$ is a positive real number, endowed with Fréchet metric. Our approach in this paper is somewhat different. Instead of taking the family of all non-decreasing sequences $x=\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of real numbers with $\xi_{1}>0$, we consider the set of all sequences of non-negative real
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 numbers with Fréchet metric and after defining a function suitably different from [1], [2] we study the behaviour of the function from various aspects.[^0]Let $X$ be the set of all real sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with Fréchet metric $d(x, y)$ given by

$$
d(x, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \frac{\left|x_{k}-y_{k}\right|}{1+\left|x_{k}-y_{k}\right|}
$$

where $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}, y=\left\{y_{k}\right\} \in X$.
The metric space $(X, d)$ is complete. Let $S$ denote the set of all sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of non-negative real numbers with Fréchet metric. The convergence in this space is considered to be the point-wise convergence.

Let $x \in S$ and $r>0$. We denote by $B(x, r)$, the open sphere with $x$ as the center and $r$ as the radius. It follows easily that if $x_{n}=y_{n}$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots, N$, then $y \in B\left(x, \frac{1}{2^{N}}\right)$. If $x, y$ etc. are points of $S$, we shall represent them generally by $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}, y=\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ etc. Also $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the set of real numbers. On the space $S$ we shall define a real function $\phi: S \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ as follows

$$
\phi(x)=\inf \left\{p>1: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{-x_{n}}<\infty\right\}, \quad \text { for } x=\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in S .
$$

It may happen that $\phi(x)=+\infty$. We shall study some properties of $\phi: S \rightarrow[1, \infty)$. The interval $[1, \infty)$ is considered with usual topology.

Proposition 0.1. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \in S, a_{n}>0$ be such that $\sum_{n}^{\infty} 1 / a_{n}<\infty$ and $\sup a_{n}^{1 / x_{n}}>0$, where $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in S, x_{n}>0$. Then there exists $a>0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-x_{n}}<\infty$.

Proof. Take $a=\sup a_{n}^{1 / x_{n}}$. Then $a>0$. Since $\sup a_{n}^{1 / x_{n}}=a$, we have $a_{n}^{1 / x_{n}} \leq a$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-x_{n}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 / a_{n}<\infty$. Hence the result.

In support of the proposition we present an example.

Example. Let $x_{n}=\log n, n>1$ and $a_{n}=n^{2}$. Then $a_{n}^{1 / x_{n}}=\left(n^{2}\right)^{1 / \log n}=\left(e^{2 \log n}\right)^{1 / \log n}=e^{2}$, for each $n>1$. Take $a=e^{2}$.

Proposition 0.2. $(S, d)$ is complete and has the power of continuum.
Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}^{(r)}\right\}_{r} \in S$ be any sequence converging to $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. Since the convergence in $S$ is the point-wise convergence in the sense of Fréchet metric, it follows that $x \in S$ and $S$ becomes a closed set. Let $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in S$. Then we have a sequence $x^{(r)}=\left\{x_{n}^{(r)}\right\}_{n} \in S$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} x^{(r)}=x$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{k}^{(r)} & =x_{k}, & & \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots r \\
\text { and } x_{k}^{(r)} & =x_{k}+1, & & \text { for } k>r ; r \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $S$ becomes a perfect set and therefore $S$ has the cardinal number $c$ where $c$ is the power of continuum and hence ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is complete.

## 1. Some set theoretic properties of the function $\phi$

Theorem 1.1. The function $\phi: S \rightarrow(1, \infty)$ is onto but not one-to-one.
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Proof. Let $A=\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a monotonic increasing sequence with $a_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is well known ([4, p. 40]) that there exists a unique $\lambda=\lambda(A), 0 \leq \lambda(A) \leq \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-\sigma}=+\infty, \quad \text { for each } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma>0, \sigma<\lambda \\
\text { and } \quad & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-\sigma}<+\infty, \quad \text { for each } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma>0, \sigma>\lambda,
\end{array}
$$
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$$
\lambda(A)=\inf \left\{\sigma>0: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-\sigma}<+\infty\right\} .
$$

Now, we can choose such a sequence $A=\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $\lambda(A)=+\infty$.
We know [5] that the function $\lambda:(0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is onto. Then for $1<a<\infty$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{a_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
a=\inf \left\{\sigma>0: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_{k}}^{-\sigma}<+\infty\right\} .
$$

Now, we show that there exists $y \in S$ such that $\phi(y)=a$.
Let $t=\frac{a}{\log a}$ and choose $y=\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S$ such that $y_{k}=\log a_{n_{k}}^{t}$. Now, for any real number $b>a$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(b)^{-\log a_{n_{k}}^{t}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(e)^{(-\log b) \log a_{n_{k}}^{t}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_{k}}^{-t \log b}<+\infty,
$$

since $t \log b>a$.
Again if $c$ is a real number such that $1<c<a$, then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(c)^{-\log a_{n_{k}}^{t}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(e)^{(-\log c) \log a_{n_{k}}^{t}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_{k}}^{-t \log c}=+\infty
$$

since $t \log c<a$.
Therefore

$$
\inf \left\{p>1: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-\log a_{n_{k}}^{t}}<\infty\right\}=a
$$

i.e. $\phi(y)=a$.

We now show that $\phi$ is not one-to-one.

Let $a \in(1, \infty)$. Then there exists $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S$ such that $\phi(x)=a$, i.e.

$$
a=\inf \left\{p>1: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{-x_{n}}<\infty\right\} .
$$

Let $y_{n}=x_{n+1}$, for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$. Then $y=\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S$. Clearly

$$
\inf \left\{p>1: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{-y_{n}}<\infty\right\}=a
$$

i.e. $\phi(y)=a$. So $\phi(x)=\phi(y)$ when $x \neq y$. Therefore, $\phi$ is not one-to-one.

Theorem 1.2. The sets $H^{t}=\{x \in S: \phi(x)<t\}$ and $H_{t}=\{x \in S: \phi(x)>t\}$ belong to the third additive Borel class for every $t \in(-\infty, \infty)$.

Proof. If $t \leq 1$, then $H^{t}=\phi$ and the theorem is true.
Let $t>1$. Then,


$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{t} & =\{x \in S: \phi(x)<t\} \\
& =\left\{x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in S: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(a)^{-x_{i}}<\infty\right\}, \text { for some } a>1 \text { and } 1<a<t, \\
& =\left\{x \in S: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(t-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{-x_{i}}<\infty\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k \geq k_{0}$ and $k_{0}$ is the least positive integer such that $a=t-1 / k>1$.

We consider $F(k)=\left\{x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in S: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a^{-x_{i}}<\infty\right\}$, for some $a>1$ and $1<a<t$, $k=k_{0}, k_{0}+1, k_{0}+2, \ldots$ Then

$$
F(k)=\bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{x: a^{-x_{q+m}}+a^{-x_{q+m+1}}+\ldots+a^{-x_{q+m+n}} \leq \frac{1}{p}\right\}
$$

Set

$$
F(k, p, q, m, n)=\left\{x: a^{-x_{q+m}}+a^{-x_{q+m+1}}+\ldots+a^{-x_{q+m+n}} \leq \frac{1}{p}\right\}
$$

Let $x^{(r)}=\left\{x_{n}^{r}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in F(k, p, q, m, n)$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} x^{(r)}=x$. So $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} a^{-x_{n}{ }^{(r)}}=a^{-x_{n}}$ for each $n=$ $q+m, q+m+1, q+m+2, \ldots, q+m+n$, whence $x \in F(k, p, q, m, n)$. Consequently, each of the set $F(k, p, q, m, n)$ is closed. This proves that $H^{t}$ is an $F_{\sigma \delta \sigma}$ set. Hence, the set $\{x \in S: \phi(x)<t\}$ belongs to the third additive Borel class.

We now investigate the set $H_{t}$.
If $t<1$, then $H_{t}=S$ and the theorem is true.
If $t \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{t} & =\{x \in S: \phi(x)>t\} \\
& =\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in S: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(t+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{-x_{i}}=\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the set $G(k)=\left\{x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in S: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(a)^{-x_{i}}=\infty\right\}$, where $a=t+1 / k, k=1,2,3, \ldots$ . Then,

$$
G(k)=\bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\{x \in S: \sum_{i=1}^{q+m}(a)^{-x_{i}} \geq p\right\}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

It is clear that each of the sets $G(k, p, q, m)=\left\{x \in S: \sum_{i=1}^{q+m}(a)^{-x_{i}} \geq p\right\}$ is closed. Therefore, the set

$$
\{x \in S: \phi(x)>t\}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} G(k, p, q, m)
$$

is an $F_{\sigma \delta \sigma}$ set, i.e. $H_{t}$ belongs to the third additive Borel class.
Theorem 1.3. The set $H^{t}=\{x \in S: \phi(x)<t\}$ is of first category for every $t \in(-\infty, \infty)$.
Proof. It follows from the previous theorem that

$$
H^{t}=\bigcup_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(k, p, q, m, n)=\bigcup_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} F(k, p)
$$

where

$$
F(k, p)=\left\{x \in S: \underset{q=1}{\exists} \underset{m=1}{\forall} \underset{n=1}{\forall}\left\{a^{-x_{q+m}}+a^{-x_{q+m+1}}+\ldots+a^{-x_{q+m+n}} \leq \frac{1}{p}\right\}\right\}
$$

In order to show that each of the set $F(k, p)$ is of first category in $S$, it is sufficient to show that $F(k, p)$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ set and its complement is dense in $S$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$. Let $u=\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ and $B(u, \varepsilon)$ be an open sphere with $u$ as the center and $\varepsilon$ as the radius. Let $r$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} 1 / 2^{i}<\varepsilon$. Define a sequence $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $S$ as follows: $x_{i}=u_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots r$.

If $x_{r} \leq r+1$, take $x_{h}=\frac{1}{h}$, for $h=r+1, r+2, \ldots$
If $x_{r}>r+1$, set $x_{j}=u_{r}$, for $j=r+1, r+2, \ldots, l-1$, where $l$ is the smallest positive integer for which $l \geq x_{r}$ and $x_{h}=\frac{1}{h}, h=l, l+1, l+2, \ldots$.

Therefore, we can find an integer $q$ such that $x_{i}=1 / i$ for $i=q, q+1, q+2, \ldots$. Clearly $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n} \in B(u, \varepsilon)$. For every integer $q$, there exist integers $m$ and $n$ such that

$$
a^{-1 /(q+m+1)}+a^{-1 /(q+m+2)}+\ldots \ldots+a^{-1 /(q+m+n)}=\sum_{\alpha=q+m+1}^{q+m+n} a^{-1 / \alpha}>\frac{1}{p}
$$

since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-1 / n}$ is divergent. Thus, the complement of $F(k, p)$ is dense in $S$. Also each of the set $F(k, p, q, m, n)$ is closed and hence

$$
F(k, p)=\bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(k, p, q, m, n)
$$

is an $F_{\sigma}$ set. Then $F(k, p)$ is of first category in $S$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(k) & =\left\{x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in S: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a^{-x_{i}}<\infty\right\} \text { for some } a>1, \quad 1<a<t \\
& =\{x \in S: \phi(x)<t\}=H^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $H^{t}=\bigcup_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} F(k, p)$ is of first category in $S$.
Theorem 1.4. The set $\{x \in S: \phi(x)=\infty\}$ is residual in $S$.
Proof. By Theorem 1.3, the set

$$
\{x \in S: \phi(x)<\infty\}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\{x \in S: \phi(x)<n\}
$$

is of first category in $S$ and also the space $S$ is complete. Hence, the set $\{x \in S: \phi(x)=\infty\}$ is residual in $S$.

Theorem 1.5. The function $\phi$ is discontinuous everywhere in $S$.
Proof. Let $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\} \in S$. We choose a sequence $y=\left\{y_{k}\right\} \in S$ such that $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$. Let $\delta>0$. It is sufficient to show that there exists a sequence $z=\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ in the neighborhood $B(x, \delta)$ such that $\phi(z)=\phi(y)$. For $\delta>0$, let $l$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} 1 / 2^{i}<\delta$. Now, we consider the sequence $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$
z_{k}= \begin{cases}x_{k}, & \text { for } k \leq l \\ y_{k}, & \text { for } k>l\end{cases}
$$

It is clear that $z \in B(x, \delta)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(z) & =\inf \left\{p>1: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-z_{k}}<\infty\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p>1:\left(\sum_{k=1}^{l} p^{-x_{k}}+\sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} p^{-y_{k}}\right)<\infty\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p>1: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-y_{k}}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{l} p^{-x_{k}}-\sum_{k=1}^{l} p^{-y_{k}}\right)<\infty\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{p>1: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-y_{k}}<\infty\right\}, \\
& =\phi(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\phi$ is discontinuous everywhere in $S$.

Corollary 1.6. $\phi$ does not belong to the first Baire class.
We now investigate the connected property of $\phi: S \rightarrow(1, \infty)$. Here we show that for any arbitrary subset of $(1, \infty)$, there exists a connected pre-image in $S$ under $\phi$. For this purpose we introduce the following lemma.

Lemma 1.7. For $a \in(1, \infty)$, we consider the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{a}^{i}=\left\{y(t)=\left\{y_{k}\right\} \in S: y_{k}\right. & =t \cdot x_{k}, \quad \text { for } k \leq i, \quad \text { and } \\
y_{k} & \left.=x_{k}, \quad \text { for } k>i, \quad 0<t \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi(x)=a$, for some $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in S$. Then $D_{a}=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_{a}^{i}$ is connected and $\phi\left(D_{a}\right)=a$.

Proof. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in D_{a}, D_{a}$ is nonempty. It is clear that $\phi\left(D_{a}\right)=a$. Now our goal is to show that $D_{a}$ is connected. For this purpose we define a function $f:(0,1] \rightarrow S$ by

$$
f(t)=y(t) \text {, for } t \in(0,1] \text { and } y(t) \in D_{a}^{i} .
$$

It is clear that $f$ is continuous in $t$ on $(0,1]$. So, $f(0,1]=D_{a}^{i}$ is a connected set in $S$. Again $f(1)=\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in D_{a}^{i}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_{a}^{i} \neq \phi$. Thus $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_{a}^{i}=D_{a}$ is connected.

Theorem 1.8. Let $B$ be an arbitrary nontrivial subset of $(1, \infty)$. Then there exists a connected

Proof. Let $a \in B$. Since $\phi$ is onto, there exists $x=\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in S$ such that $\phi(x)=a$. Define the set $D_{a}=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_{a}^{i}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{a}^{i}=\left\{y(t)=\left\{y_{k}\right\} \in S: y_{k}\right. & =t \cdot x_{k}, \text { for } k \leq i, \quad \text { and } \\
y_{k} & \left.=x_{k}, \text { for } k>i, \quad 0<t \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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where $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $D=\bigcup_{a \in B} D_{a}$. Then by the previous lemma, $\phi\left(D_{a}\right)=a$. Therefore $\phi(D)=B$. We are to show that $D$ is connected. Let $a_{1}, a_{2} \in B$ be such that $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$. Then there exist $x^{(1)}=\left\{x_{n}^{(1)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $x^{(2)}=\left\{x_{n}^{(2)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in S$ such that $\phi\left(x^{(1)}\right)=a_{1}$ and $\phi\left(x^{(2)}\right)=a_{2}$. Let $y=\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in D_{a_{1}}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in D_{a_{1}}$, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
y_{n}= \begin{cases}t \cdot x_{n}^{(1)}, & \text { for } n \leq i, \\ x_{n}^{(1)}, & \text { for } k>i, 0<t \leq 1 \text { and } i \in \mathbb{N} .\end{cases}
$$

We choose $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} 1 / 2^{k}<\varepsilon$. We construct a sequence $z=\left\{z_{k}\right\} \in S$ as follows

$$
z_{k}= \begin{cases}y_{k}, & \text { for } k \leq j, \\ x_{k}^{(2)}, & \text { for } k>j ; k \in \mathbb{N}\end{cases}
$$

Then $z \in D_{a_{2}}$ and $d(y, z)<\varepsilon$. This shows that every $\varepsilon$-ball of $y$ contains a member of $D_{a_{2}}$. So $y \in \overline{D_{a_{2}}}$, where the symbol 'bar' indicates the closure of the set. Hence $D_{a_{1}} \subseteq \overline{D_{a_{2}}}$. Similarly $D_{a_{2}} \subseteq \overline{D_{a_{1}}}$. Therefore, $D_{a_{1}}$ and $D_{a_{2}}$ are not separated. This implies that no two of the sets $\left\{D_{a_{i}}\right.$, $\left.a_{i} \in B\right\}$ are separated. Thus $D$ is connected. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1.9. The function $\phi: S \rightarrow(1, \infty)$ is not Darboux.
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