

## UPPER SIGNED *k*-DOMINATION NUMBER OF DIRECTED GRAPHS

## H. ARAM, S. M. SHEIKHOLESLAMI AND L. VOLKMANN

ABSTRACT. Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let D = (V, A) be a finite simple digraph in which  $d_D^-(v) \geq k - 1$  for all  $v \in V$ . A function  $f: V \to \{-1, 1\}$  is called a signed k-dominating function (SkDF) if  $f(N^-[v]) \geq k$  for each vertex  $v \in V$ . An SkDF f of a digraph D is minimal if there is no SkDF  $g \neq f$  such that  $g(v) \leq f(v)$  for each  $v \in V$ . The maximum values of  $\sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ , taken over all minimal signed k-dominating functions f, is called the *upper signed k-domination number*  $\Gamma_{kS}(D)$ . In this paper, we present a sharp upper bound for  $\Gamma_{kS}(D)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, D is a finite simple digraph with vertex set V(D) = V and arc set A(G) = A. A digraph without directed cycles of length 2 is an oriented graph. The order n(D) = n of a digraph D is the number of its vertices and the number of its arcs is the size m(D) = m. We write  $d_D^+(v) = d^+(v)$  for the outdegree of a vertex v and  $d_D^-(v) = d^-(v)$  for its indegree. The minimum and maximum indegree and minimum and maximum outdegree of D are denoted by  $\delta^-(D) = \delta^-$ ,  $\Delta^-(D) = \Delta^-$ ,  $\delta^+(D) = \delta^+$  and  $\Delta^+(D) = \Delta^+$ , respectively. If uv is an arc of D, then we also write  $u \to v$  and say that v is an out-neighbor of u and u is an in-neighbor of v. For every vertex

Go back Full Screen

Received January 14, 2011.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C20, 05C69, 05C45.

Key words and phrases. Signed k-dominating function; minimal signed k-dominating function; upper signed k-domination number; directed graph.



 $v \in V$ , let  $N_D^-(v) = N^-(v)$  be the set consisting of all vertices of D from which arcs go into v and let  $N_D^-[v] = N^-(v) = N^-(v) \cup \{v\}$ . If  $X \subseteq V(D)$ , then D[X] is the subdigraph induced by X. If  $X \subseteq V(D)$  and  $v \in V(D)$ , then E(X, v) is the set of arcs from X to v and  $d_X^-(v) = |E(X, v)|$ . For a real-valued function  $f: V(D) \to \mathbb{R}$  the weight of f is  $w(f) = \sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ , and for  $S \subseteq V$ , we define  $f(S) = \sum_{v \in S} f(v)$ , so w(f) = f(V). Consult [4] for the notation and terminology which are not defined here.

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and let D be a digraph such that  $\delta^{-}(D) \geq k-1$ . A signed k-dominating function (abbreviated SkDF) of D is a function  $f: V \to \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $f[v] = f(N^{-}[v]) \geq k$  for every  $v \in V$ . An SkDF f of a digraph D is minimal if there is no SkDF  $g \neq f$  such that  $g(v) \leq f(v)$  for each  $v \in V$ . The maximum values of  $\sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ , taken over all minimal signed k-dominating functions f, is called the upper signed k-domination number  $\Gamma_{kS}(D)$ . For any SkDF f of D we define  $P = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = 1\}$  and  $M = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = -1\}$ . The concept of the signed k-dominating function of digraphs D was introduced by Atapour et al. [1].

The concept of the upper signed k-domination number  $\Gamma_{kS}(G)$  of undirected graphs G was introduced by Delić and Wang [2]. The special case k = 1 was defined and investigated in [3].

In this article, we present an upper bound on the upper signed k-domination number of digraphs. We make use of the following result.

**Lemma 1.** An SkDF f of a digraph D is minimal if and only if for every  $v \in V$  with f(v) = 1, there exists at least one vertex  $u \in N^+[v]$  such that f[u] = k or k + 1.

*Proof.* Let f be a minimal signed k-dominating function of D. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a vertex  $v \in V(D)$  such that f(v) = 1 and  $f[u] \ge k + 2$  for each  $u \in N^+[v]$ . Then the mapping  $g: V(D) \to \{-1, 1\}$ , defined by g(v) = -1 and g(x) = f(x) for  $x \in V(D) - \{v\}$ , is clearly an SkDF of D such that  $g \neq f$  and  $g(u) \le f(u)$  for each  $u \in V(D)$ , a contradiction.

Conversely, let f be a signed k-dominating function of D such that for every  $v \in V$  with f(v) = 1, there exists at least one vertex  $u \in N^+[v]$  such that f[u] = k or k + 1. Suppose to the





contrary that f is not minimal. Then there is an SkDF g of D such that  $g \neq f$  and  $g(u) \leq f(u)$  for each  $u \in V(D)$ . Since  $g \neq f$ , there is a vertex  $v \in V$  such that g(v) < f(v). Then g(v) = -1 and f(v) = 1 because  $f(v), g(v) \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Since g is an SkDF of  $D, g[u] \geq k$  for each  $u \in N^+[v]$ . It follows that  $f[u] = g[u] + 2 \geq k + 2$  for each  $u \in N^+[v]$  which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

## 2. An upper bound

**Theorem 2.** Let k be a positive integer and let D be a digraph of order n with minimum indegree  $\delta^- \geq k - 1$  and maximum indegree  $\Delta^-$ . Then

$$\Gamma_{kS}(D) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Delta^{-}(\delta^{-}+k+4) - \delta^{-}+k+2}{\Delta^{-}(\delta^{-}+k+4) + \delta^{-}-k-2}n & \text{if} \quad \delta^{-}-k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{\Delta^{-}(\delta^{-}+k+5) - \delta^{-}+k+1}{\Delta^{-}(\delta^{-}+k+5) + \delta^{-}-k-1}n & \text{if} \quad \delta^{-}-k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If  $\delta^- = k - 1$  or k, then the result is clearly true. Let  $\delta^- \ge k + 1$  and let f be a minimal SkDF such that  $\Gamma_{ks}(D) = f(V(D))$ . Suppose that  $P = \{v \in V(D) \mid f(v) = 1\}, M = \{v \in V(D) \mid f(v) = -1\}, p = |P| \text{ and } q = |M|$ . Then  $\Gamma_{ks}(D) = f(V) = |P| - |M| = p - q = n - 2q$ . Since f is an SkDF,

$$(d^-(v) - d^-_M(v)) + 1 - d^-_M(v) \ge k$$

for each  $v \in P$ . It follows that  $d_M^-(v) \leq \frac{\Delta^- - k + 1}{2}$  when  $v \in P$ . Similarly,  $d_M^-(v) \leq \frac{\Delta^- - k - 1}{2}$  when  $v \in M$ . Define  $A_i = \{v \in P \mid d_M^-(v) = i\}$ ,  $a_i = |A_i|$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- + 1 - k}{2} \rfloor$  and  $B_i = \{v \in M \mid d_M^-(v) = i\}$ ,  $b_i = |B_i|$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- - 1 - k}{2} \rfloor$ . Then the sets  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor}$  form a partition of P and  $B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor}$  form a partition of M.





Since f is a minimal SkDF, it follows from Lemma 1 that for every  $v \in P$ , there is at least one vertex  $u_v \in N^+[v]$  such that  $f[u_v] \in \{k, k+1\}$ . For each  $v \in A_0$ , since v has no in-neighbor in M,

$$f[v] = d^{-}(v) + 1 \ge \delta^{-} + 1 \ge k + 2.$$

Therefore  $u_v \notin A_0$  for each  $v \in P$ .

Let  $T = \{u \mid u \in N^+(A_0) \text{ and } f[u] = k \text{ or } k+1\}$ . If  $0 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{\delta^- - k - 1}{2} \rfloor$  and  $v \in A_i$ , then we have  $f[v] = d^-(v) + 1 - 2i \ge k + 2$ . Similarly, if  $0 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{\delta^- - k - 3}{2} \rfloor$  and  $v \in B_i$ , then we have  $f[v] = d^-(v) - 1 - 2i \ge k + 2$ . This implies that

$$T \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\lfloor (\delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} A_i \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} B_i \right).$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{If } \lfloor \frac{\delta^- - k + 1}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- - k + 1}{2} \rfloor \text{ and } v \in T \cap A_i, \text{ then } d^-(v) + 1 - 2i = f[v] = k \text{ or } k + 1 \text{ which implies } \\ & \text{that } d^-(v) = 2i + k \text{ or } 2i + k - 1. \text{ Hence each } v \in T \cap A_i \text{ has at most } i + k \text{ in-neighbors in } A_0 \text{ and } \\ & \text{so } T \cap A_i, \text{ has at most } (i + k) |T \cap A_i| \text{ in-neighbors in } A_0. \text{ Similarly, if } \lfloor \frac{\delta^- - k - 1}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta^- - k - 1}{2} \rfloor, \\ & \text{then } T \cap B_i \text{ has at most } (i + k + 2) |T \cap B_i| \text{ in-neighbors in } A_0. \end{split}$$

Since f is a minimal SkDF of D and  $f[v] = d^-(v) + 1 \ge \delta^- + 1 \ge k + 2$  for every  $v \in A_0$ , we deduce that  $N^+(v) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $v \in A_0$ . Note that

$$A_0 \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\lfloor (\delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} N^- (T \cap A_i)\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} N^- (T \cap B_i)\right)$$





Thus

$$a_{0} \leq \left| \bigcup_{\lfloor (\delta^{-}-k+1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k+1)/2 \rfloor} N^{-}(T \cap A_{i}) \right| + \left| \bigcup_{\lfloor (\delta^{-}-k-1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k-1)/2 \rfloor} N^{-}(T \cap B_{i}) \right|$$

$$= \sum_{\lfloor (\delta^{-}-k+1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k+1)/2 \rfloor} |N^{-}(T \cap A_{i})| + \sum_{\lfloor (\delta^{-}-k-1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k-1)/2 \rfloor} |N^{-}(T \cap B_{i})|$$

$$\leq \sum_{\lfloor (\delta^{-}-k+1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k+1)/2 \rfloor} (i+k)a_{i} + \sum_{\lfloor (\delta^{-}-k-1)/2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k-1)/2 \rfloor} (i+k+2)b_{i}.$$

Obviously,

(3)

2) 
$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} b_i.$$

Since the number e(M, V(D)) of arcs cannot be more than  $q\Delta^-$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i \le q\Delta^-.$$

**Case 1.** 
$$\delta^- - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$
.  
Then  $\lfloor (\delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor = (\delta^- - k)/2$  and  $\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor = (\delta^- - k - 2)/2$ . Note that  $i + k + 1 \le i(\delta^- + k + 2)/(\delta^- - k)$  when  $i \ge \frac{\delta^- - k}{2}$  and  $i + k + 3 \le i(\delta^- + k + 4)/(\delta^- - k - 2)$  when  $i \ge \frac{\delta^- - k - 2}{2}$ .

Go back Full Screen Close

**∢ ▶ ▶** 



By (1), (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{split} n &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta - k+1)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta - k+1)/2 \rfloor} b_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\delta^- - k-2)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k+1)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\delta^- - k-4)/2 \rfloor} b_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k-2)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k-1)/2 \rfloor} b_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\delta^- - k-2)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k+1)/2 \rfloor} (i+k+1)a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\delta^- - k-4)/2 \rfloor} b_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k-2)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k-1)/2 \rfloor} (i+k+3)b_i \\ &\leq b_0 + \frac{\delta^- + k + 2}{\delta^- - k} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k+1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \frac{\delta^- + k + 4}{\delta^- - k - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k-1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i \\ &\leq b_0 + \frac{\delta^- + k + 4}{\delta^- - k - 2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k+1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k-1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i \right) \leq q + \frac{\delta^- + k + 4}{\delta^- - k - 2} q \Delta^-. \end{split}$$

By solving the above inequality for q, we obtain that

$$q \ge \frac{n(\delta^- - k - 2)}{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 4) + \delta^- - k - 2}.$$

 Image: Close



Hence,

$$\Gamma_{ks}(D) = n - 2q \le \frac{\Delta^{-}(\delta^{-} + k + 4) - \delta^{-} + k + 2}{\Delta^{-}(\delta^{-} + k + 4) + \delta^{-} - k - 2}n.$$

**Case 2.**  $\delta^- - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Then  $\lfloor (\delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor = (\delta^- - k + 1)/2$  and  $\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor = (\delta^- - k - 1)/2$ . Note that  $i + k + 1 \le i(\delta^- + k + 3)/(\delta^- - k + 1)$  when  $i \ge \frac{\delta^- - k + 1}{2}$  and  $i + k + 3 \le i(\delta^- + k + 5)/(\delta^- - k - 1)$  when  $i \ge \frac{\delta^- - k - 1}{2}$ . By (1), (2) and (3), we get

$$a \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} b_i$$

$$=\sum_{i=0}^{(\delta^{-}-k-1)/2} a_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^{-}-k+1)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k+1)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\delta^{-}-k-3)/2 \rfloor} b_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^{-}-k-1)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k-1)/2 \rfloor} b_i$$

$$(4) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{(\delta^{-}-k-1)/2} a_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^{-}-k+1)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k+1)/2 \rfloor} (i+k+1)a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{(\delta^{-}-k-3)/2} b_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^{-}-k-2)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k-1)/2 \rfloor} (i+k+3)b_i$$
  
$$\leq b_0 + \frac{\delta^{-}+k+3}{\delta^{-}-k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k+1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \frac{\delta^{-}+k+5}{\delta^{-}-k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^{-}-k-1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i$$

$$< b_0 + \frac{\delta^- + k + 5}{\delta^- - k - 1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i \right) \le q + \frac{\delta^- + k + 5}{\delta^- - k - 1} q \Delta^-.$$





By solving the inequality (4) for q, we obtain

$$q \ge \frac{n(\delta^{-} - k - 1)}{\Delta^{-}(\delta^{-} + k + 5) + \delta^{-} - k - 1}$$

Thus

$$\Gamma_{ks}(D) = n - 2q \le \frac{\Delta^{-}(\delta^{-} + k + 5) - \delta^{-} + k + 1}{\Delta^{-}(\delta^{-} + k + 5) + \delta^{-} - k - 1}n.$$

This completes the proof.

The associated digraph D(G) of a graph G is the digraph obtained when each edge e of G is replaced by two oppositely oriented arcs with the same ends as e. We denote the associated digraph  $D(K_n)$  of the complete graph  $K_n$  of order n by  $K_n^*$  and the associated digraph  $D(C_n)$  of the cycle  $C_n$  of order n by  $C_n^*$ .

Let  $V(K_6^*) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_6\}$  and  $V(C_{46}^*) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_{46}\}$ . Assume that D is obtained from  $K_6^* + C_{46}^*$  by adding arcs which go from  $v_i$  to  $u_j$  for  $1 \le i \le 3$  and  $1 \le j \le 46$ . Then  $\delta^-(D) = 5$ . Let k = 1 and define  $f: V(D) \to \{-1, 1\}$  by  $f(v_1) = f(v_2) = -1$  and f(x) = 1 for otherwise. Obviously f is a minimal signed dominating function of D with  $\omega(f) = 48$ . This example shows that the bound in Theorem 2 is sharp for k = 1.

**Corollary 3.** Let D be an r-inregular digraph of order n. For any positive integer  $k \leq r-1$ ,

$$\Gamma_{kS}(D) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{r^2 + r(k+3) + k + 2}{r^2 + r(k+5) - k - 2}n & \text{if} \quad \delta^- - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{r^2 + r(k+4) + k + 1}{r^2 + r(k+6) - k - 1}n & \text{if} \quad \delta^- - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

<b>44 4 &gt; &gt;</b>
Go back
Full Screen
Close
Quit



**Corollary 4.** Let D be a nearly r-inregular digraph of order n. For any positive integer  $k \leq r-1$ ,

$$\Gamma_{kS}(D) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{r^2 + r(k+2) + k + 3}{r^2 + r(k+4) - k - 3}n & \text{if} \quad \delta^- - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{r^2 + r(k+3) + k + 2}{r^2 + r(k+5) - k - 2}n. & \text{if} \quad \delta^- - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

- Atapour M., Sheikholeslami S. M., Hajypory R. and Volkmann L., The signed k-domination number of directed graphs, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 8 (2010), 1048–1057.
- 2. Delić D. and Wang C. P., Upper signed k-domination in a general graph, Inform. Process. Lett. 110 (2010), 662–665.
- 3. Tang H. and Chen Y., Upper signed domination number, Discrete Math. 308 (2008), 3416-3419.
- 4. West D. B., Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice-Hall, Inc, 2000.

H. Aram, Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem, Tabriz, I. R. Iran

S. M. Sheikholeslami, Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem, Tabriz, I. R. Iran, *e-mail*: s.m.sheikholeslami@azaruniv.edu

L. Volkmann, Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik, RWTH-Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany, *e-mail*: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de



Go ba
-------

Full Screen

Close