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#### Abstract

We prove that congruence lattices of strongly Morita equivalent posemigroups with common joint weak local units are isomorphic. Moreover, the quotient posemigroups by the congruences that correspond to each other under this isomorphism are also strongly Morita equivalent.


## 1. Introduction

Morita theories have been studied for many different structures: for rings with or without identity, monoids, categories, etc. Our work belongs to the Morita theory of semigroups without identity, the study of which was initiated by Talwar ([7], [8]). Recently Tart (see [9]) initiated a research of Morita equivalent partially ordered semigroups (shortly posemigroups). One ingredient in Morita theories is the study of Morita invariants, these are the properties shared by all Morita equivalent structures. For example, a classical result about rings (see [1, Proposition 21.11]) states that Morita equivalent rings with identity have isomorphic ideal lattices. In [10] Tart considers Morita invariants of posemigroups. In [6], Morita invariants for unordered semigroups were considered, in particular it was proven that if two semigroups with certain kind of local units are strongly Morita equivalent then their congruence lattices are isomorphic. In this article we prove the analogue of that result for the ordered case.

[^0]Let $S$ be a posemigroup. A left $S$-poset is a poset $A$ together with a mapping (action) $S \times A \rightarrow$ $A,(s, a) \mapsto s a$ such that (i) $\left(s s^{\prime}\right) a=s\left(s^{\prime} a\right)$, (ii) $s \leq s^{\prime}$ implies $s a \leq s^{\prime} a$, (iii) $a \leq a^{\prime}$ implies $s a \leq s a^{\prime}$ for all $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ and $a, a^{\prime} \in A$. Right $T$-posets are defined similarly. A left $S$-poset and right $T$-poset $A$ is called an $(S, T)$-biposet (and denoted by ${ }_{S} A_{T}$ ) if $(s a) t=s(a t)$ for all $s \in S, a \in A$ and $t \in T$. A biposet morphism has to preserve both actions and the order. A biposet ${ }_{S} A_{T}$ is said to be unitary if $S A=A$ and $A T=A$.

The tensor product $A \otimes_{T} B$ of a right $T$-poset $A$ and a left $T$-poset $B$ is the quotient poset $(A \times$ $B) / \sim$ where $(a, b) \sim\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ if $(a, b) \preceq\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \preceq(a, b)$, and $(a, b) \preceq\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ iff there exist $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in T^{1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ and $b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n} \in B$ such that
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$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
a & \leq & a_{1} t_{1} & &  \tag{1}\\
a_{1} w_{1} & \leq & a_{2} t_{2} & t_{1} b & \leq & w_{1} b_{2} \\
a_{2} w_{2} & \leq & a_{3} t_{3} & t_{2} b_{2} & \leq & w_{2} b_{3} \\
& \cdots & & & \cdots & \\
a_{n} w_{n} & \leq & a^{\prime} & t_{n} b_{n} & \leq & w_{n} b^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

where $x u=x$ for every element $x \in\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ and $u y=y$ for every element $y \in\left\{b, b^{\prime}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ if $u \in T^{1}$ is the externally adjoined identity. For $(a, b) \in A \times B$, the equivalence class $[(a, b)]_{\sim}$ is denoted by $a \otimes b$. The order relation on $A \otimes_{T} B$ is defined by setting

$$
a \otimes b \leq a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow(a, b) \preceq\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $a \otimes b, a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime} \in A \otimes_{T} B$.
If $A$ is an $(S, T)$-biposet, then $A \otimes_{T} B$ is a left $S$-poset, where the action is defined by $s(a \otimes b)=$ $(s a) \otimes b$. Similarly, if $B$ is a $(T, S)$-biposet, then $A \otimes_{T} B$ is a right $S$-poset.

Definition 1 ([8], [9]). A unitary Morita context is a six-tuple $\left(S, T,{ }_{S} P_{T},{ }_{T} Q_{S}, \theta, \phi\right)$, where $S$ and $T$ are posemigroups, ${ }_{S} P_{T}$ and ${ }_{T} Q_{S}$ are unitary biposets and

$$
\theta:{ }_{S}\left(P \otimes_{T} Q\right)_{S} \rightarrow{ }_{S} S_{S}, \quad \phi:_{T}\left(Q \otimes_{S} P\right)_{T} \rightarrow_{T} T_{T}
$$

are biposet morphisms such that for every $p, p^{\prime} \in P$ and $q, q^{\prime} \in Q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(p \otimes q) p^{\prime}=p \phi\left(q \otimes p^{\prime}\right), \quad q \theta\left(p \otimes q^{\prime}\right)=\phi(q \otimes p) q^{\prime} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2 ([8], [9]). Posemigroups $S$ and $T$ are called strongly Morita equivalent if there exists a unitary Morita context $\left(S, T,{ }_{S} P_{T},{ }_{T} Q_{S}, \theta, \phi\right)$ such that the mappings $\theta$ and $\phi$ are surjective.

Let $\rho$ be a reflexive binary relation on a poset $A$. For $a, a^{\prime} \in A$ we write $a \leq a_{\rho}^{\prime}$ if there exist $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ such that

$$
a \leq a_{1} \rho a_{2} \leq a_{3} \rho \ldots \rho a_{n} \leq a^{\prime} .
$$

We note that the relation $\leq$ is reflexive and transitive.
Definition 3 ([3]). A congruence on a posemigroup $S$ is an equivalence relation $\rho$ on $S$ such that

1. $s \rho s^{\prime}$ implies $s x \rho s^{\prime} x$ and $x s \rho x s^{\prime}$ for every $s, s^{\prime}, x \in S$;
2. $s \leq s^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime} \underset{\rho}{\leq} s$ implies $s \rho s^{\prime}$ (the closed chains condition).

The multiplication of the quotient posemigroup $S / \rho$ is defined as usual and the order is given by

$$
[s]_{\rho} \leq\left[s^{\prime}\right]_{\rho} \Longleftrightarrow s_{\rho}^{\leq} s^{\prime} .
$$

Similarly, a biposet congruence is an equivalence relation that is compatible with both actions and satisfies the closed chains condition. We shall need biposet congruences induced by a binary relation. Our construction will be an analogue of the one given in [2]. Let ${ }_{S} A_{T}$ be an $(S, T)$-biposet
and let $H \subseteq A \times A$. Define a relation $\alpha(H)$ on $A$ by $a(H) a^{\prime}$ if and only if $a=a^{\prime}$ or there exist a natural number $n$ and $\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in H, u_{i} \in S^{1}, v_{i} \in T^{1}, i=1, \ldots, n$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=u_{1} x_{1} v_{1} \quad u_{2} x_{2}^{\prime} v_{2}=u_{3} x_{3} v_{3} \ldots \quad u_{n} x_{n}^{\prime} v_{n}=a^{\prime} \\
& u_{1} x_{1}^{\prime} v_{1}=u_{2} x_{2} v_{2} \quad u_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{\prime} v_{n-1}=u_{n} x_{n} v_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the relation $\alpha(H)$ is reflexive, transitive and compatible with both actions. Therefore, the relation $\nu(H)$ defined on $A$ by

$$
a \nu(H) a^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow a \underset{\alpha(H)}{\leq} a^{\prime} \text { and } a^{\prime} \underset{\alpha(H)}{\leq} a
$$

is an $(S, T)$-biposet congruence. The relation $\nu(H)$ is called the $(S, T)$-biposet congruence on ${ }_{S} A_{T}$ induced by $H$. We consider the quotient set $A / \nu(H)$ as an $(S, T)$-biposet with respect to the order given by

$$
[a]_{\nu(H)} \leq\left[a^{\prime}\right]_{\nu(H)} \Longleftrightarrow a \underset{\alpha(H)}{\leq} a^{\prime}
$$

and naturally defined actions.
Definition 4 ([6]). A posemigroup $S$ is said to have common joint weak local units if

$$
\left(\forall s, s^{\prime} \in S\right)(\exists u, v \in S)\left(s=u s v \wedge s^{\prime}=u s^{\prime} v\right) .
$$

As examples of semigroups with common joint weak local units we mention monoids, lower semilattices where every pair of elements has an upper bound (in particular lattices) and multiplicative semigroups of s-unital rings (in particular of rings with local units). Also, an ordinal sum of any set of semigroups with common joint weak local units is again a semigroup with common joint weak local units and a direct product of two semigroups with common joint weak local units is a semigroup with common joint weak local units.

## 2. The result

Theorem 1. If $S$ and $T$ are strongly Morita equivalent posemigroups with common joint weak local units, then there exists an isomorphism $\Pi: \operatorname{Con}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{Con}(T)$ of their congruence lattices. Moreover, if $\rho \in \operatorname{Con}(S)$, then the posemigroups $S / \rho$ and $T / \Pi(\rho)$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

Proof. Define the mappings $\Pi: \operatorname{Con}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{Con}(T)$ and $\Omega: \operatorname{Con}(T) \rightarrow \operatorname{Con}(S)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \Pi(\rho) y \Longleftrightarrow x \leq y \text { and } y \leq x, \\
& x \Omega(\tau) y \Longleftrightarrow x{\underset{\Pi_{\rho}}{\rho}}^{\Omega_{\tau}} y \text { and } y \underset{\Omega_{\tau}}{\leq} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho \in \operatorname{Con}(S), \tau \in \operatorname{Con}(T)$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Pi_{\rho}=\left\{\left(\phi(q \otimes s p), \phi\left(q \otimes s^{\prime} p\right)\right) \mid\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \in \rho, p \in P, q \in Q\right\} & \subseteq T \times T, \\
\Omega_{\tau}=\left\{\left(\theta(p \otimes t q), \theta\left(p \otimes t^{\prime} q\right)\right) \mid\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in \tau, p \in P, q \in Q\right\} & \subseteq S \times S
\end{array}
$$

We first show that the relation $\Pi_{\rho}$ is reflexive and compatible with multiplication.
Let $t \in T$ be an arbitrary element and let $t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in T$ be such that $t=t^{\prime} t^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\phi$ is surjective,
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Full Screen there exist $p^{\prime}, p^{\prime \prime} \in P$ and $q^{\prime}, q^{\prime \prime} \in Q$ such that $t^{\prime}=\phi\left(q^{\prime} \otimes p^{\prime}\right)$ and $t^{\prime \prime}=\phi\left(q^{\prime \prime} \otimes p^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Hence $t=\phi\left(q^{\prime} \otimes p^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(q^{\prime \prime} \otimes p^{\prime \prime}\right)=\phi\left(q^{\prime} \otimes p^{\prime} \phi\left(q^{\prime \prime} \otimes p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\phi\left(q^{\prime} \otimes \theta\left(p^{\prime} \otimes q^{\prime \prime}\right) p^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Because $\rho$ is reflexive, $\left(\theta\left(p^{\prime} \otimes q^{\prime \prime}\right), \theta\left(p^{\prime} \otimes q^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \in \rho$ and therefore $(t, t)=\left(\phi\left(q^{\prime} \otimes \theta\left(p^{\prime} \otimes q^{\prime \prime}\right) p^{\prime \prime}\right), \phi\left(q^{\prime} \otimes \theta\left(p^{\prime} \otimes q^{\prime \prime}\right) p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \in \Pi_{\rho}$. Thus $\Pi_{\rho}$ is reflexive.

Let now $\left(\phi(q \otimes s p), \phi\left(q \otimes s^{\prime} p\right)\right) \in \Pi_{\rho}$, where $\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \in \rho$, and let $t=\phi\left(q_{t} \otimes p_{t}\right) \in T$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi(q \otimes s p) t, \phi\left(q \otimes s^{\prime} p\right) t\right) & =\left(\phi\left(q \otimes s p \phi\left(q_{t} \otimes p_{t}\right)\right), \phi\left(q \otimes s^{\prime} p \phi\left(q_{t} \otimes p_{t}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\phi\left(q \otimes s \theta\left(p \otimes q_{t}\right) p_{t}\right), \phi\left(q \otimes s^{\prime} \theta\left(p \otimes q_{t}\right) p_{t}\right)\right) \in \Pi_{\rho},
\end{aligned}
$$

4 4 4 $\mid$ • $\mid$
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because $\left(s \theta\left(p \otimes q_{t}\right), s^{\prime} \theta\left(p \otimes q_{t}\right)\right) \in \rho$. Similarly we can prove compatibility with multiplication from the left.

Analogously one can show that $\Omega_{\tau}$ is reflexive and compatible with multiplication.
Now we show that the relations $\Pi(\rho)$ and $\Omega(\tau)$ are posemigroup congruences. Symmetricity and transitivity are clear from the definition. Reflexivity and compatibility with multiplication follow from the fact that the relations $\Pi_{\rho}, \Omega_{\tau}$ and $\leq$ are reflexive and compatible with multiplication. Let us check the closed chains condition. First we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t \leq t^{\prime} & \Leftrightarrow \exists t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in T: t \leq t_{1} \Pi(\rho) t_{2} \leq t_{3} \Pi(\rho) \ldots \Pi(\rho) t_{n} \leq t^{\prime} \\
& \Rightarrow t \leq t_{1} \underset{\Pi_{\rho}}{\leq} t_{2} \leq t_{3} \leq \ldots \underset{\Pi_{\rho}}{\leq} t_{n} \leq t^{\prime} \\
& \Rightarrow t \leq t^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously $t^{\prime} \underset{\Pi(\rho)}{\leq} t$ implies $t^{\prime} \underset{\Pi_{\rho}}{\leq} t$ and consequently, if $t \underset{\Pi(\rho)}{\leq} t^{\prime} \underset{\Pi(\rho)}{\leq} t$, then $t \Pi(\rho) t^{\prime}$. Similarly it can be proven that $s \underset{\Omega(\tau)}{\leq} s^{\prime} \underset{\Omega(\tau)}{\leq} s$ implies $s \Omega(\tau) s^{\prime}$. Thus we have seen that $\Pi(\rho)$ and $\Omega(\tau)$ are congruences.

Obviously $\Pi$ and $\Omega$ preserve order. So it remains to prove that $\Pi$ and $\Omega$ are inverses of each other. To prove that $\rho \subseteq(\Omega \Pi)(\rho)$, it suffices to show that $\rho \subseteq \Omega_{\Pi(\rho)}$. Let $\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \in \rho$ and let $u, v \in S$ be such that $u s v=s$ and $u s^{\prime} v=s^{\prime}$. If $u=\theta\left(p_{u} \otimes q_{u}\right)$ and $v=\theta\left(p_{v} \otimes q_{v}\right), p_{u}, p_{v} \in P, q_{u}, q_{v} \in Q$, then $\left(\phi\left(q_{u} \otimes s p_{v}\right), \phi\left(q_{u} \otimes s^{\prime} p_{v}\right)\right) \in \Pi_{\rho} \subseteq \Pi(\rho)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) & =\left(u s v, u s^{\prime} v\right)=\left(\theta\left(p_{u} \otimes q_{u} s \theta\left(p_{v} \otimes q_{v}\right)\right), \theta\left(p_{u} \otimes q_{u} s^{\prime} \theta\left(p_{v} \otimes q_{v}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\theta\left(p_{u} \otimes \phi\left(q_{u} \otimes s p_{v}\right) q_{v}\right), \theta\left(p_{u} \otimes \phi\left(q_{u} \otimes s^{\prime} p_{v}\right) q_{v}\right)\right) \in \Omega_{\Pi(\rho)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, to prove the inclusion $(\Omega \Pi)(\rho) \subseteq \rho$ we first show that $\Omega_{\Pi(\rho)} \subseteq \rho$. Suppose that $\left(\theta(p \otimes t q), \theta\left(p \otimes t^{\prime} q\right)\right) \in \Omega_{\Pi(\rho)}$ where $\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in \Pi(\rho)$. We shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in \Pi(\rho) \Longrightarrow \theta(p \otimes t q) \rho \theta\left(p \otimes t^{\prime} q\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption means that $t \leq t^{\prime}$ and $t^{\prime} \leq t$. The first fact means that there exist $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$, $u_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{n}^{\prime} \in T$ such that

$$
t \leq u_{1} \Pi_{\rho} u_{1}^{\prime} \leq u_{2} \Pi_{\rho} u_{2}^{\prime} \leq \ldots \leq u_{n} \Pi_{\rho} u_{n}^{\prime} \leq t^{\prime} .
$$

Hence, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exist $p_{i} \in P, q_{i} \in Q$ and $\left(s_{i}, s_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in \rho$ such that $u_{i}=\phi\left(q_{i} \otimes s_{i} p_{i}\right)$ and $u_{i}^{\prime}=\phi\left(q_{i} \otimes s_{i}^{\prime} p_{i}\right)$. Using this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(p \otimes t q) & \leq \theta\left(p \otimes u_{1} q\right)=\theta\left(p \otimes \phi\left(q_{1} \otimes s_{1} p_{1}\right) q\right) \\
& =\theta\left(p \otimes q_{1} s_{1} \theta\left(p_{1} \otimes q\right)\right)=\theta\left(p \otimes q_{1}\right) s_{1} \theta\left(p_{1} \otimes q\right) \\
& \rho \theta\left(p \otimes q_{1}\right) s_{1}^{\prime} \theta\left(p_{1} \otimes q\right)=\theta\left(p \otimes q_{1} s_{1}^{\prime} \theta\left(p_{1} \otimes q\right)\right) \\
& =\theta\left(p \otimes \phi\left(q_{1} \otimes s_{1}^{\prime} p_{1}\right) q\right)=\theta\left(p \otimes u_{1}^{\prime} q\right) \\
& \leq \theta\left(p \otimes u_{2} q\right) \rho \theta\left(p \otimes u_{2}^{\prime} q\right) \\
& \leq \ldots \leq \theta\left(p \otimes t^{\prime} q\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\theta(p \otimes t q) \underset{\rho}{\leq} \theta\left(p \otimes t^{\prime} q\right)$. Similarly $t^{\prime}{\underset{\Pi_{\rho}}{ }} t$ implies $\theta\left(p \otimes t^{\prime} q\right) \underset{\rho}{\leq} \theta(p \otimes t q)$. Since $\rho$ is a congruence, $\left(\theta(p \otimes t q), \theta\left(p \otimes t^{\prime} q\right)\right) \in \rho$, and therefore $\Omega_{\Pi(\rho)} \subseteq \rho$. If now $(x, y) \in \Omega(\Pi(\rho))$, then $x \underset{\Omega_{\Pi(\rho)}}{\leq} y \underset{\Omega_{\Pi(\rho)}}{\leq} x$, which implies $x \underset{\rho}{\leq} y \leq x$, and since $\rho$ is a congruence, $(x, y) \in \rho$. Consequently, $(\Omega \Pi)(\rho) \subseteq \rho$ and we have proven the equality $(\Omega \Pi)(\rho)=\rho$.

The proof of the equality $(\Pi \Omega)(\tau)=\tau$ is symmetric.

Now let us show that if $\rho \in \operatorname{Con}(S)$, then $S / \rho$ and $T / \Pi(\rho)$ are strongly Morita equivalent. Let $\rho \in \operatorname{Con}(S)$ and denote $\tau:=\Pi(\rho) \in \operatorname{Con}(T)$. We need to construct a Morita context containing $S / \rho$ and $T / \tau$. For this we define the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & :=\left\{\left(s p, s^{\prime} p\right) \mid\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \in \rho, p \in P\right\} \cup\left\{\left(p t, p t^{\prime}\right) \mid\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in \tau, p \in P\right\} \subseteq P \times P, \\
K & :=\left\{\left(q s, q s^{\prime}\right) \mid\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \in \rho, q \in Q\right\} \cup\left\{\left(t q, t^{\prime} q\right) \mid\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in \tau, q \in Q\right\} \subseteq Q \times Q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, let $\mu=\nu(H)$ and $\lambda=\nu(K)$ be the biposet congruences on ${ }_{S} P_{T}$ and ${ }_{T} Q_{S}$ induced by $H$ and $K$, respectively. On the quotient sets $P / \mu$ and $Q / \lambda$ we define the actions of the quotient posemigroups $S / \rho$ and $T / \tau$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{[s]_{\rho} \cdot[p]_{\mu}:=[s p]_{\mu},} & {[p]_{\mu} \cdot[t]_{\tau}:=[p t]_{\mu},} \\
{[q]_{\lambda} \cdot[s]_{\rho}:=[q s]_{\lambda},} & {[t]_{\tau} \cdot[q]_{\lambda}:=[t q]_{\lambda},}
\end{array}
$$

$p \in P, q \in Q, s \in S, t \in T$. Let $s \rho s^{\prime}$ and $p \mu p^{\prime}, s, s^{\prime} \in S, p, p^{\prime} \in P$. Since $H \subseteq \mu$ and $\mu$ is a left $S$-poset congruence, we obtain $s p \mu s^{\prime} p \mu s^{\prime} p^{\prime}$, and hence $s p \mu s^{\prime} p^{\prime}$. Similarly one can show that all the other definitions are correct. Obviously we obtain biacts. To prove that the first action is monotone in the first argument, we suppose that $[s]_{\rho} \leq\left[s^{\prime}\right]_{\rho}$ for $s, s^{\prime} \in S$. Then $s \leq_{\rho} s^{\prime}$, i.e. $s \leq s_{1} \rho s_{1}^{\prime} \leq s_{2} \rho \ldots \rho s_{n}^{\prime} \leq s^{\prime}$ for some $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{n}^{\prime} \in S$. This implies for each $p \in P$, $s p \leq s_{1} p H s_{1}^{\prime} p \leq \ldots \leq s_{n} p H s_{n}^{\prime} p \leq s^{\prime} p$, hence $s p \underset{\alpha(H)}{\leq} s^{\prime} p$ and $[s p]_{\mu} \leq\left[s^{\prime} p\right]_{\mu}$. On the other hand, assuming that $s \in S, p, p^{\prime} \in P$ and $[p]_{\mu} \leq\left[p^{\prime}\right]_{\mu}$, we have $p \underset{\alpha(H)}{\leq} p^{\prime}$. The last inequality clearly implies $s p \underset{\alpha(H)}{\leq} s p^{\prime}$, and so $[s p]_{\mu} \leq\left[s p^{\prime}\right]_{\mu}$. Thus we have obtained an $(S / \rho, T / \tau)$-biposet $P / \mu$. Analogously, $Q / \lambda$ is a $(T / \tau, S / \rho)$-biposet. Unitarity of $P / \mu$ and $Q / \lambda$ follows from the unitarity of $P$ and $Q$.

Define a mapping $\bar{\theta}: P / \mu \otimes Q / \lambda \rightarrow S / \rho$ by

$$
\bar{\theta}\left([p]_{\mu} \otimes[q]_{\lambda}\right):=[\theta(p \otimes q)]_{\rho},
$$

$p \in P, q \in Q$. Let us prove that $\bar{\theta}$ preserves the order. First we notice that, for all $p \in P, q \in Q$, $s, s^{\prime} \in S, u \in S^{1}, t, t^{\prime} \in T, v \in T^{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \in \rho & \Longrightarrow \theta(u s p \otimes q) \rho \theta\left(u s^{\prime} p \otimes q\right),  \tag{4}\\
\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in \tau & \Longrightarrow \theta(p t v \otimes q) \rho \theta\left(p t^{\prime} v \otimes q\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The first implication holds because $\theta$ is a left $S$-poset homomorphism and $\rho$ is compatible with multiplication. For the second implication we use that $\tau$ is compatible with multiplication and (3) holds.

Next we show that for all $x, x^{\prime}, p \in P, y, y^{\prime}, q \in Q$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[x]_{\mu} \leq\left[x^{\prime}\right]_{\mu} \Longrightarrow \theta(x \otimes q) \leq_{\rho} \theta\left(x^{\prime} \otimes q\right)}  \tag{6}\\
& {[y]_{\lambda} \leq\left[y^{\prime}\right]_{\lambda} \Longrightarrow \theta(p \otimes y){\underset{\rho}{\rho}}^{\leq} \theta\left(p \otimes y^{\prime}\right) .} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

If $[x]_{\mu} \leq\left[x^{\prime}\right]_{\mu}$, then $x \underset{\alpha(H)}{\leq} x^{\prime}$ and there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in P$ such that

$$
x \leq x_{1} \alpha(H) x_{1}^{\prime} \leq x_{2} \alpha(H) x_{2}^{\prime} \leq \ldots \leq x_{n} \alpha(H) x_{n}^{\prime} \leq x^{\prime},
$$

where for each $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ there exist a natural number $n_{j}$ and $\left(s_{i}, s_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in \rho,\left(t_{i}, t_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in \tau, p_{i} \in P$, $u_{i} \in S^{1}, v_{i} \in T^{1}, i=1, \ldots, n_{j}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{j}= & u_{1} s_{1} p_{1} v_{1} \quad u_{2} p_{2} t_{2}^{\prime} v_{2}=u_{3} s_{3} p_{3} v_{3} \ldots u_{n_{j}} p_{n_{j}} t_{n_{j}}^{\prime} v_{n_{j}}=x_{j}^{\prime} . \\
& u_{1} s_{1}^{\prime} p_{1} v_{1}=u_{2} p_{2} t_{2} v_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4) and (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(x_{j} \otimes q\right)= & \theta\left(u_{1} s_{1} p_{1} v_{1} \otimes q\right) \rho \theta\left(u_{1} s_{1}^{\prime} p_{1} v_{1} \otimes q\right)=\theta\left(u_{2} p_{2} t_{2} v_{2} \otimes q\right) \\
& \rho \theta\left(u_{2} p_{2} t_{2}^{\prime} v_{2} \otimes q\right)=\theta\left(u_{3} s_{3} p_{3} v_{3} \otimes q\right) \rho \ldots \rho \theta\left(x_{j}^{\prime} \otimes q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\theta(x \otimes q) \leq \theta\left(x_{1} \otimes q\right) \rho \theta\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \otimes q\right) \leq \theta\left(x_{2} \otimes q\right) \rho \theta\left(x_{2}^{\prime} \otimes q\right) \leq \ldots \leq \theta\left(x^{\prime} \otimes q\right) .
$$

Hence $\theta(x \otimes q) \leq \theta\left(x^{\prime} \otimes q\right)$. The proof of the implication (7) is analogous.
Suppose now that $[p]_{\mu} \otimes[q]_{\lambda} \leq\left[p^{\prime}\right]_{\mu} \otimes\left[q^{\prime}\right]_{\lambda}$ in $P / \mu \otimes Q / \lambda$. From (1) we obtain $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \in P$, $q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} \in Q, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in T^{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[p_{1}\right]_{\mu} } & \leq\left[p_{1}\right]_{\mu}\left[t_{1}\right]_{\tau} & & {\left[t_{1}\right]_{\tau}[]_{\lambda} }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the implications (6) and (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(p \otimes q) & \underset{\rho}{\leq} \theta\left(p_{1} t_{1} \otimes q\right)=\theta\left(p_{1} \otimes t_{1} q\right) \\
& \stackrel{\leq}{\rho} \theta\left(p_{1} \otimes w_{1} q_{2}\right)=\theta\left(p_{1} w_{1} \otimes q_{2}\right) \\
& \leq \cdots \underset{\rho}{\leq} \theta\left(p^{\prime} \otimes q^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$
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and therefore $[\theta(p \otimes q)]_{\rho} \leq\left[\theta\left(p^{\prime} \otimes q^{\prime}\right]_{\rho}\right.$. So $\bar{\theta}$ preserves the order. Let us show that $\bar{\theta}$ is a biposet morphism. For every $s, s^{\prime} \in S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\theta}\left([s]_{\rho}\left([p]_{\mu} \otimes[q]_{\lambda}\right)\right) & =\bar{\theta}\left([s p]_{\mu} \otimes[q]_{\lambda}\right)=[\theta(s p \otimes q)]_{\rho}=[s \theta(p \otimes q)]_{\rho} \\
& =[s]_{\rho}[\theta(p \otimes q)]_{\rho}=[s]_{\rho} \bar{\theta}\left([p]_{\mu} \otimes[q]_{\lambda}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly one can show that $\bar{\theta}$ preserves the right action. Surjectivity of $\bar{\theta}$ follows from the surjectivity of $\theta$. Analogously one can construct a surjective morphism $\bar{\phi}: Q / \lambda \otimes P / \mu \rightarrow T / \tau$ of $(T / \tau, T / \tau)$-biposets. The equalities (2) are easy to check.

If a posemigroup $S$ has an identity element 1 and ${ }_{S} A$ is a left $S$-poset then $S A=A$ if and only if $1 a=a$ for every $a \in A$. Thus the $S$-poset ${ }_{S} A$ over a monoid $S$ is unitary if and only if it is an $S$-poset in the sense of [5]. From Theorem 6 of [5] it follows that two posemigroups $S$ and $T$ with identity elements are strongly Morita equivalent if and only if they are Morita equivalent as pomonoids (in the sense of [5]). So we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Congruence lattices of Morita equivalent pomonoids are isomorphic.
In [4] one can find a list of non-isomorphic Morita equivalent monoids. These can be considered as Morita equivalent pomonoids with trivial order, and hence Corollary 1 applies to them. Moreover, an example of non-isomorphic Morita equivalent pomonoids with non-trivial order is given in [5].

Suppose that semigroups $S$ and $T$ with common joint weak local units are strongly Morita equivalent. We may consider $S$ and $T$ as posemigroups with trivial order and they will be strongly Morita equivalent as posemigroups. By Theorem 1 their lattices of posemigroup congruences are isomorphic. But for semigroups with trivial order the posemigroup congruences are precisely the semigroup congruences. Hence we have the following result.
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Corollary 2 ([6]). Congruence lattices of strongly Morita equivalent semigroups with common joint weak local units are isomorphic.

In Theorem 1, we have proven that congruence lattices of strongly Morita equivalent posemigroups with common joint weak local units are isomorphic. As pointed out in [10], in general congruence lattices of strongly Morita equivalent posemigroups need not be isomorphic.
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