## COMPOSITION OPERATOR ON THE SPACE OF FUNCTIONS TRIEBEL-LIZORKIN AND BOUNDED VARIATION TYPE

## M. MOUSSAI

Abstract. For a Borel-measurable function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\sup _{t>0} t^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup _{|h| \leq t}\left|f^{\prime}(x+h)-f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty, \quad(0<p<+\infty)
$$

we study the composition operator $T_{f}(g):=f \circ g$ on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in the case $0<s<1+(1 / p)$.

## 1. Introduction and the main result

The study of the composition operator $T_{f}: g \rightarrow f \circ g$ associated to a Borel-measurable function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, consists in finding a characterization of the functions $f$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f}\left(F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \subseteq F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The investigation to establish (1.1) was improved by several works, for example the papers of Adams and Frazier [1, 2], Brezis and Mironescu [6], Maz'ya and Shaposnikova [9], Runst and
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Sickel [12] and [10]. There were obtained some necessary conditions on $f$; from which we recall the following results. For $s>0,1<p<+\infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq+\infty$

- if $T_{f}$ takes $L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $f$ is locally Lipschitz continuous.
- if $T_{f}$ takes the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $f$ belongs locally to $F_{p, q}^{s}(\mathbb{R})$.

The first assertion is proved in [3, Theorem 3.1]. The proof of the second assertion can be found in [12, Theorem 2, 5.3.1].

Bourdaud and Kateb [4] introduced the functions class $U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, the set of Lipschitz continuous functions $f$ such that their derivatives, in the sense of distributions, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}\left(f^{\prime}\right):=\left(\sup _{t>0} t^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup _{|h| \leq t}\left|f^{\prime}(x+h)-f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p}<+\infty, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are endowed with the seminorm

$$
\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}:=\inf \left(\|g\|_{\infty}+A_{p}(g)\right)
$$

where the infimum is taken over all functions $g$ such that $f$ is a primitive of $g$. In [4] the authors, proved the acting of the operator $T_{f}$ on Besov space $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $1 \leq p<+\infty, 1<s<1+(1 / p)$ and $f \in U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $f(0)=0$. In [5] the same result holds for $0<s<1+(1 / p)$.

In this work we will study the composition operator $T_{f}$ on $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for a function $f$ which belongs to $U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, then we will obtain a result of type (1.1). To do this, we introduce the set $\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of the functions $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}:=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left\|g_{x_{j}^{\prime}}\right\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p}<+\infty
$$
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where $B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Wiener space of the primitives of functions of bounded $p$-variation (see Subsection 2.2 below for the definition) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{x_{j}^{\prime}}(y):=g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, y, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove the following statement.
Theorem 1.1. Let $0<p, q<+\infty$ and $0<s<1+(1 / p)$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left(\|g\|_{p}+\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all functions $g \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all $f \in U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $f(0)=0$. Moreover, for all such $f$, the operator $T_{f}$ takes $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Remark. (i) Since $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $T_{f}$ maps from $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1.
(ii) Since the Bessel potential spaces $H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=F_{p, 2}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1<p<\infty$, Theorem 1.1 covers the results of composition operators in case $H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ instead of $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some properties of the needed function spaces $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main result where in a first step we study the case of 1 -dimensional which is the main tool when we prove Theorem 1.1. Also, our proof uses various Sobolev and Peetre embeddings, Fubini and Fatou properties, etc. In Section 4 we give some corollaries and prove the sharp estimate of (1.4).

Notation. We work with functions defined on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. All spaces and functions are assumed to be real-valued. We denote by $C_{b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the Banach space of bounded continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ endowed with the supremum. Let $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ ) denotes the $C^{\infty}$-functions with compact support (resp. the Schwartz space of all $C^{\infty}$ rapidly decreasing
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functions and its topological dual). With $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ we denote the $L_{p}$-norm. We define the differences by $\Delta_{h} f:=f(\cdot+h)-f$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $E$ is a Banach function space on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we denote by $E^{\text {loc }}$ the collection of all functions $f$ such that $\varphi f \in E$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. As usual, constants $c, c_{1}, \ldots$ are strictly positive and depend only on the fixed parameters $n, s, p, q$; their values may vary from line to line.

## 2. Function spaces

### 2.1. Triebel-Lizorkin spaces

Let $0<a \leq \infty$. For all measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we set

$$
M_{p, q}^{s, u, a}(f):=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\int_{0}^{a} t^{-s q}\left(\frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{|h| \leq t}\left|\Delta_{h} f(x)\right|^{u} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{q / u} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t}\right)^{p / q} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Definition 2.1. Let $0<p<+\infty$ and $0<q \leq+\infty$. Let $s$ be a real satisfying

$$
1<s<2 \quad \text { and } \quad s>n \max \left(\frac{1}{p}-1, \frac{1}{q}-1\right) .
$$

Then, a function $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ belongs to $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if

$$
\|f\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}:=\|f\|_{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{p, q}^{s-1,1, \infty}\left(\partial_{j} f\right)<+\infty
$$

The set $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a quasi Banach space for the quasi-norm defined above. For the equivalence of the above definition with other characterizations we refer to [15, Theorem 3.5.3] from which we recall the following statement.
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Proposition 2.2. Let $0<p<+\infty$ and $0<q, u \leq+\infty$. Let $s$ be a real satisfying

$$
1<s<2 \quad \text { and } \quad s>n \max \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{u}\right) .
$$

Then, a function $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ belongs to $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p}+M_{p, q}^{s, u, \infty}(f)<+\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the expression (2.1) is an equivalent quasi-norm in $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Moreover, this assertion remains true if one replaces $M_{p, q}^{s, u, \infty}$ by $M_{p, q}^{s, u, a}$ for any fixed $a>0$.

The argument of the equivalence of above quasi-norms that we can replace the integration for $t \in] 0,+\infty[$ by $t \leq a$ for a fixed positive number $a$ is the part of the integral for which $t>a$ can be easily estimated by the $L_{p}$-norm.

Embeddings. Triebel-Lizorkin spaces are spaces of equivalence classes w.r.t. almost everywhere equality. However, if such an equivalence class contains a continuous representative, then usually we work with this representative and call also the equivalence class a continuous function. Later on we need the following continuous embeddings:
(i) The spaces $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are monotone with respect to $s$ and $q$, more exactly $F_{p, \infty}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $F_{p, q}^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, \infty}^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if $t<s$ and $0<q \leq \infty$.
(ii) With Besov spaces, we have $B_{p, 1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, \infty}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
(iii) If either $s>n / p$ or $s=n / p$ and $0<p \leq 1$, then $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow C_{b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

For various further embeddings we refer to $[14,2.3 .2,2.7 .1]$ or $[12,2.2 .2,2.2 .3]$.
The Fatou property. Well-known the Triebel-Lizorkin space has the Fatou property, cf. [8]. We will briefly recall it. Any $f \in F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be approximated (in the weak sense in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ ) by a
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sequence $\left(f_{j}\right)_{j \geq 0}$ such that any $f_{j}$ is an entire function of exponential type

$$
f_{j} \in F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c\|f\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

with a positive constant $c$ independent of $f$. Vice versa, if for a tempered distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, there exists a sequence $\left(f_{j}\right)_{j \geq 0}$ such that

$$
f_{j} \in F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad A:=\limsup _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<+\infty
$$

and $\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} f_{j}=f$ in the sense of distributions, then $f$ belongs to $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and there exists a constant $c>0$ independent of $f$ such that $\|f\|_{F_{p, q},\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c A$.

### 2.2. Functions of bounded variation

For a function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{p}(g):=\sup \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|g\left(b_{k}\right)-g\left(a_{k}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

taken over all finite sets $\left] a_{k}, b_{k}[; k=1, \ldots, N\}\right.$ of pairwise disjoint open intervals. A function $g$ is said to be of bounded $p$-variation if $\nu_{p}(g)<+\infty$. Clearly, by considering a finite sequence with only two terms, we obtain $|g(x)-g(y)| \leq \nu_{p}(g)$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $g$ is a bounded function. The set of (generalized) primitives of functions of bounded $p$-variation is denoted by $B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and endowed with the seminorm

$$
\|f\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}:=\inf \nu_{p}(g),
$$

where the infimum is taken over all functions $g$ whose $f$ is the primitive. For more details about this space we refer to [11] or [5]. However, we need to recall some embeddings

$$
\begin{equation*}
B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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(equality in case $p=1$ ), see [ 5 , Theorem 5] for the proof which is given for $1<p<+\infty$ and can be easily extended to $0<p \leq 1$, see also [7, Theorem 9.3]. The Peetre embedding theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{B}_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1+(1 / p)}(\mathbb{R}), \quad(1 \leq p<+\infty) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dotted space is the homogeneous Besov space.
Example. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We put $u_{\alpha}(x):=|x+\alpha|-|\alpha|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$
f_{\alpha}(x, y):=u_{\alpha}(x) \chi_{[0,1]}(y)+u_{\alpha}(y) \chi_{[0,1]}(x), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where $\chi_{[0,1]}$ denotes the indicatrix function of $[0,1]$. Clearly that $\nu_{p}\left(u_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)=2$ and $\left\|\chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}=0$. Then it holds $f_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with $\left\|f_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}=4$. The $\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ space is defined in Section 1.

## 3. Proof of the result

Theorem 1.1 can be obtained from the following statement.
Proposition 3.1. Let $0<p, q<+\infty, 0<u<\min (p, q)$ and $0<s<1 / p$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p, q}^{s, u, \infty}\left((f \circ g)^{\prime}\right) \leq c\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $f \in U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and all real analytic functions $g$ in $B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.
Proof. For a better readability we split our proof in two steps.
Step 1. Let us prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p, q}^{s, u, a}\left((f \circ g)^{\prime}\right) \leq c a^{(1 / p)-s}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a>0$ and all $f \in U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and all real analytic functions $g$ in $B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Assume first $a=1$. By the assumptions on $f$ and $g$ it holds $(f \circ g)^{\prime}=\left(f^{\prime} \circ g\right) g^{\prime}$. We have $\left\|(f \circ g)^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ and

$$
\left|\Delta_{h}\left(\left(f^{\prime} \circ g\right) g^{\prime}\right)(x)\right| \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left|\Delta_{h} g^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|\left|\Delta_{h}\left(f^{\prime} \circ g\right)(x)\right| .
$$

Hence

$$
M_{p, q}^{s, u, 1}\left((f \circ g)^{\prime}\right) \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} M_{p, q}^{s, u, 1}\left(g^{\prime}\right)+V(f ; g),
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(f ; g) \\
& :=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{-s q}\left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{-t}^{t}\left|\Delta_{h}\left(f^{\prime} \circ g\right)(x)\right|^{u}\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{u} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{q / u} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t}\right)^{p / q} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Estimate of $M_{p, q}^{s, u, 1}\left(g^{\prime}\right)$. By writing $\int_{0}^{1} \cdots=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2-j-1}^{2^{-j}} \cdots$ and by an elementary computation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{-s q}\left(\frac{1}{t} \int_{-t}^{t}\left|\Delta_{h} g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{u} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{q / u} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t} & \leq c_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j-1}}^{2^{-j}} t^{-s q} \sup _{|h| \leq t}\left|\Delta_{h} g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t} \\
& \leq c_{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s q} \sup _{|h| \leq 2^{-j}}\left|\Delta_{h} g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\alpha:=\min (1, p / q)$. By using the monotonicity of the $\ell_{r}$-norms (i.e. $\ell_{1} \hookrightarrow \ell_{1 / \alpha}$ ) and by the Minkowski inequality w.r.t $L_{p /(\alpha q)}$, since $q<+\infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{p, q}^{s, u, 1}\left(g^{\prime}\right) & \leq c_{1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s \alpha q} \sup _{|h| \leq 2^{-j}}\left|\Delta_{h} g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{\alpha q}\right)^{p /(\alpha q)} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq c_{2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s \alpha q}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup _{|h| \leq 2^{-j}}\left|\Delta_{h} g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{(\alpha q) / p}\right)^{1 /(\alpha q)} \\
& \leq c_{3}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(s-(1 / p)) \alpha q}\right)^{1 /(\alpha q)}\|g\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the embedding (2.3) and the assumption on $s$, the desired estimate holds.
Estimate of $V(f ; g)$. In (3.3) the integral with respect to $h$ can be limited to the interval $[0, t]$ denoting the corresponding expression by $V_{+}(f ; g)$. Let us notice that the estimate with respect to $[-t, 0]$ will be completely similar.

Again, by applying the Minkowski inequality twice, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{+}(f ; g) \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{h}^{1} t^{-(s+(1 / u)) q}\left|\Delta_{h}\left(f^{\prime} \circ g\right)(x)\right|^{q}\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t}\right)^{u / q} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{p / u} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\Delta_{h}\left(f^{\prime} \circ g\right)(x)\right|^{p}\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{u / p}\left(\int_{h}^{\infty} t^{-(s+(1 / u)) q} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t}\right)^{u / q} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{1 / u} \\
& \leq c\left(\int_{0}^{1} h^{-(s u+1)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\Delta_{h}\left(f^{\prime} \circ g\right)(x)\right|^{p}\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{u / p} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{1 / u} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 1: Assume that $g^{\prime}$ does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}$. By the Mean Value Theorem and by the change of variable $y=g(x)$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{+}(f ; g) \\
& \leq c_{1}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{1-(1 / p)}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h^{-(s u+1)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}|v| \leq h\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}} \sup \left|f^{\prime}(v+y)-f^{\prime}(y)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{u / p} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{1 / u} \\
& \leq c_{2}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h^{u((1 / p)-s)-1} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{1 / u} \\
& \leq c_{3}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: Assume that the set of zeros of $g^{\prime}$ is nonempty. Then it is a discrete set whose complement in $\mathbb{R}$ is the union of a family $\left(I_{l}\right)_{l}$ of open disjoint intervals. For any $h>0$, we denote by $I_{l, h}^{\prime}$ the set of $x \in I_{l}$ whose distance to the boundary of $I_{l}$ is greater than $h$. We set

$$
I_{l, h}^{\prime \prime}:=I_{l} \backslash I_{l, h}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad g_{l}:=g_{I_{I_{l}}} .
$$

Clearly the function $g_{l}$ is a diffeomorphism of $I_{l}$ onto $g\left(I_{l}\right)$. Let us notice that $I_{l, h}^{\prime}$ is an open interval, possibly empty. In case it is not empty, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g\left(g_{l}^{-1}(y)+h\right)-y\right| \leq h \sup _{I_{l}}\left|g^{\prime}\right|, \quad \forall y \in g_{l}\left(I_{l, h}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $I_{l, h}^{\prime \prime}$ is an interval of length at most $2 h$ or the union of two such intervals, and $g^{\prime}$ vanishes at one of the endpoints of these or those intervals.

We write $V_{+}(f ; g) \leq V_{1}(f ; g)+V_{2}(f ; g)$, where

$$
V_{1}(f ; g):=\left(\int_{0}^{1} h^{-(s u+1)}\left(\sum_{l} \int_{I_{l, h}^{\prime}}\left|\Delta_{h}\left(f^{\prime} \circ g\right)(x)\right|^{p}\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{u / p} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{1 / u}
$$

and $V_{2}(f ; g)$ is defined in the same way by replacing $I_{l, h}^{\prime}$ by $I_{l, h}^{\prime \prime}$.
Estimate of $V_{1}(f ; g)$. By the change of variable $y=g_{l}(x)$ and by (3.4), we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}(f ; g) \leq & \left(\int _ { 0 } ^ { 1 } h ^ { - ( s u + 1 ) } \left(\sum_{l} \sup _{I_{l}}\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{p-1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times \int_{g\left(I_{l, h}^{\prime}\right)} \sup _{|v| \leq h \sup _{I_{l}}\left|g^{\prime}\right|}\left|f^{\prime}(v+y)-f^{\prime}(y)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{u / p} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{1 / u} \\
\leq & c_{1}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left(\sum_{l} \sup _{I_{l}}\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h^{u((1 / p)-s)-1} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{1 / u} \\
\leq & c_{2}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left(\sum_{l} \sup _{I_{l}}\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it suffices to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{l} \sup _{t \in I_{l}}\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq c\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by the assumption on $g$, for any $I_{l}$ there exists $\xi_{l} \in I_{l}$ such that

$$
\left|g^{\prime}\left(\xi_{l}\right)\right|=\sup _{t \in I_{l}}\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right|
$$

Furthermore, set $\beta_{l}$ the right endpoint of $I_{l}$. The open intervals $\left] \xi_{l}, \beta_{l}[ \}_{l}\right.$ are pairwise disjoint. Then the assertion (3.5) follows from

$$
\sum_{l} \sup _{t \in I_{l}}\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}=\sum_{l}\left|g^{\prime}\left(\xi_{l}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\beta_{l}\right)\right|^{p} \leq \nu_{p}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{p} .
$$
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(See (2.2) for the definition of $\nu_{p}$ ).
Estimate of $V_{2}(f ; g)$. Using both the elementary inequality $\left|\Delta_{h}\left(f^{\prime} \circ g\right)(x)\right| \leq 2\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ and the properties of $I_{l, h}^{\prime \prime}$, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{2}(f ; g) & \leq c_{1}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left(\sum_{l} \sup _{I_{l}}\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h^{u((1 / p)-s)-1} \mathrm{~d} h\right)^{1 / u} \\
& \leq c_{2}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain (3.2) with $a=1$. We put $g_{\lambda}(x):=g(\lambda x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\lambda>0$. Then (3.2) can be obtained for all $a>0$ since $\left\|g_{a}\right\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}=a\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}$ and

$$
M_{p, q}^{s, u, a}\left((f \circ g)^{\prime}\right)=a^{(1 / p)-s-1} M_{p, q}^{s, u, 1}\left(\left(f \circ g_{a}\right)^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Step 2: Proof of (3.1). Let $a>0$. Let $f$ and $g$ be as in Proposition 3.1. By Proposition 2.2 it holds

$$
M_{p, q}^{s, u, \infty}\left((f \circ g)^{\prime}\right) \leq\left\|(f \circ g)^{\prime}\right\|_{F_{p, q}^{s},(\mathbb{R})}=\left\|(f \circ g)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+M_{p, q}^{s, u, a}\left((f \circ g)^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Applying (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p, q}^{s, u, \infty}\left((f \circ g)^{\prime}\right) \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+c_{1} a^{(1 / p)-s}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a positive constant $c_{1}$ depending only on $s, p$ and $q$ (see the end of Step 1). Now, by replacing $g$ by $g_{\lambda}$ in (3.6), ( $g_{\lambda}$ is defined in Step 1), and by using the equality

$$
M_{p, q}^{s, u, \infty}\left(\left(f \circ g_{\lambda}\right)^{\prime}\right)=\lambda^{s+1-(1 / p)} M_{p, q}^{s, u, \infty}\left((f \circ g)^{\prime}\right),
$$
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we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{p, q}^{s, u, \infty}\left((f \circ g)^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \lambda^{-s}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+c_{1} a^{(1 / p)-s} \lambda^{(1 / p)-s}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, \lambda>0$. Taking $a=1 / \lambda$. Now letting $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$ in (3.7), we obtain the desired result.
Remark. Proposition 3.1 is also valid in the $n$-dimensional case. The inequality (3.1) becomes

$$
M_{p, q}^{s-1, u, \infty}\left(\partial_{j}(f \circ g)\right) \leq c\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}, \quad(j=1, \ldots, n)
$$

for all $f \in U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and all real analytic functions $g$ in $\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Step 1. Observe that the conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime} \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ imply

$$
\|f \circ g\|_{p} \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\|g\|_{p}
$$

which is sufficient for the estimate $T_{f}(g)$ with respect to $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$-norm.
Step 2: The case $1<s<1+(1 / p)$ and $n=1$. We first consider a function $f \in U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, of class $C^{1}$ and a function $g$ real analytic in $L_{p}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. By Proposition 3.1, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \leq c\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left(\|g\|_{p}+\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we prove (3.8) in the general case. Let $g \in L_{p}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. We introduce a function $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\rho(0)=1$, and we set $\varphi_{j}(x):=2^{j n} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \rho\left(2^{j} x\right)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$; here $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \rho$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform of $\rho$. We set also

$$
f_{j}:=\varphi_{j} * f-\varphi_{j} * f(0) \quad \text { and } \quad g_{j}:=\varphi_{j} * g .
$$

Then the function $g_{j}$ is real analytic and $g_{j} \rightarrow g$ in $L_{p}(\mathbb{R})$. We have also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq c\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (3.9), let $\left] a_{k}, b_{k}[, k=1, \ldots, N\}\right.$ be a set of pairwise disjoint intervals. By the Minkowski inequality, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{j}(y)\left(g^{\prime}\left(b_{k}-y\right)-g^{\prime}\left(a_{k}-y\right)\right) \mathrm{d} y\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\varphi_{j}(y)\right|\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|g^{\prime}\left(b_{k}-y\right)-g^{\prime}\left(a_{k}-y\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \mathrm{~d} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, the intervals $] a_{k}-y, b_{k}-y[(k=1, \ldots, N)$ are pairwise disjoint. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|g_{j}^{\prime}\left(b_{k}\right)-g_{j}^{\prime}\left(a_{k}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \rho\right\|_{1} \nu_{p}\left(g^{\prime}\right), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Hence we obtain (3.9).
The functions $f_{j}$ are $C^{\infty}$ such that $f_{j}(0)=0$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq c\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (3.10), for all $t>0$ and all $h \in[-t, t]$ we trivially have

$$
\left|\varphi_{j} * f^{\prime}(x+h)-\varphi_{j} * f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\varphi_{j}(y)\right| \sup _{|z| \leq t}\left|f^{\prime}(x-y+z)-f^{\prime}(x-y)\right| \mathrm{d} y .
$$

By the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}|h| \leq t} \sup _{|h|}\left|\varphi_{j} * f^{\prime}(x+h)-\varphi_{j} * f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\varphi_{j}(y)\right|\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup _{|z| \leq t}\left|f^{\prime}(x-y+z)-f^{\prime}(x-y)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{p} \\
& \quad \leq t\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \rho\right\|_{1}^{p} A_{p}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{p}, \quad\left(\text { see }(1.2) \text { for the definition of } A_{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
A_{p}\left(f_{j}^{\prime}\right)+\left\|f_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \rho\right\|_{1}\left(A_{p}\left(f^{\prime}\right)+\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)
$$

and we obtain the desired result.
On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|f_{j}-f\right\|_{\infty}=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (3.11), since $\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi_{j} * f(0)=f(0)=0$, the Lipschitz continuous of $f$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{j}(x)-f(x)\right| & \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|x-y \| \varphi_{j}(x-y)\right| \mathrm{d} y+\left|\varphi_{j} * f(0)\right| \\
& \leq c 2^{-j}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\left|\varphi_{j} * f(0)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{j}-g\right\|_{\infty} \leq c 2^{-j}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we apply (3.8) to $f_{j}$ and $g_{j}$. Then by (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{j} \circ g_{j}\right\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \leq c\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left(\|g\|_{p}+\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The elementary inequality

$$
\left\|f \circ g-f_{j} \circ g_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|g-g_{j}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|f-f_{j}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

complemented by (3.11)-(3.12) yields the convergence of the sequence $\left\{f_{j} \circ g_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ to $f \circ g$ in $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Since

$$
\left|\left\langle f_{j} \circ g_{j}-f \circ g, \psi\right\rangle\right| \leq\left\|f_{j} \circ g_{j}-f \circ g\right\|_{\infty}\|\psi\|_{1}, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}),
$$

thus we conclude that $\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} f_{j} \circ g_{j}=f \circ g$ in the sense of distributions. Hence, by the Fatou property of $F_{p, q}^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, see Subsection 2.1, we deduce (3.8).

Step 3: The case $1<s<1+(1 / p)$ and $n \geq 2$. We use the notation (1.3). Since Triebel-Lizorkin space has the Fubini property (see [12, p. 70]), by (3.1) it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} & \leq c_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left\|f \circ g_{x_{j}^{\prime}}\right\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}(\mathbb{R})}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq c_{2}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left(\left\|g_{x_{j}^{\prime}}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|g_{x_{j}^{\prime}}\right\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq c_{3}\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left(\|g\|_{p}+\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: The case $0<s \leq 1$. Due to the monotonicity of the Triebel-Lizorkin scale with respect to the smoothness parameter $s$, the result holds. Indeed, let $1<t<1+(1 / p)$. From Step 3, we have (1.4) with $\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ instead of $\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$. Now we apply the continuous embedding $F_{p, q}^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Remark. In case $n=1$ and $1 \leq p, q<+\infty$ the inequality (1.4) becomes

$$
\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \leq c\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left(\|g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}(\mathbb{R})}+\|g\|_{B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\right)
$$

for all $g \in L_{p}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, since $F_{p, q}^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})=L_{p}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ if $s<1+(1 / p)$. To prove this equality, we have $\dot{B}_{p, \infty}^{1+(1 / p)}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{p}(\mathbb{R})=B_{p, \infty}^{1+(1 / p)}(\mathbb{R})$ (see [12, 2.6.2, p. 95]). Then by (2.4) and by both $B_{p, \infty}^{1+(1 / p)}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow B_{p, 1}^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ and $B_{p, 1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, it holds $L_{p}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B V_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow F_{p, q}^{s}(\mathbb{R})$.

## 4. Concluding Remarks

### 4.1. Some corollaries

In this section we fix a smooth cut-off function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi(x)=1$ for $|x| \leq 1$. We put $\varphi_{t}(x):=\varphi\left(t^{-1} x\right), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $t>0$. Also for brevity we introduce the space $\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):=F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ endowed with the quasi-norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}:=\|f\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\|f\|_{\infty}
$$

Theorem 1.1 has a consequence for the case of functions $f$ which are only locally in $U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.
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Corollary 4.1. Let $s, p, q$ be real numbers as in Theorem 1.1. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f \circ g\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c\left\|f \varphi_{\|g\|_{\infty}}\right\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\left(\|g\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all functions $g \in \mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all $f \in U_{p}^{1, \text { loc }}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $f(0)=0$. Moreover, for all such functions $f$, the composition operator $T_{f}$ takes $\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. Since $f \circ g=\left(f \varphi_{\|g\|_{\infty}}\right) \circ g$ and $\left(f \varphi_{t}\right)(0)=0$, the result follows from Theorem 1.1.

There is consequence of Theorem 1.1 that we can obtain the equivalence of acting condition and boundedness.

Corollary 4.2. Let $s, p, q$ be real numbers as in Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ be a function in $U_{p}^{1, \ell o c}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $f(0)=0$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $T_{f}$ satisfies the acting condition $T_{f}\left(\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
(ii) $T_{f}$ maps bounded sets in $\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into bounded sets in $\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. Let $t>0$. By (4.1), it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f \circ g\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c t\left\|f \varphi_{t}\right\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\|g\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq t$. Now, from (4.2), we conclude that $T_{f}$ maps bounded sets in $\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into bounded sets in $\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Remark. If $n / p<s<1+(1 / p)$, then we can replace $\mathcal{F}_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in Corollaries 4.14.2 , since $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow C_{b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

We show that Theorem 1.1 can be extended to the case of the boundedness between Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

Corollary 4.3. Let $1 \leq p, q<+\infty$ and $0<s<1+(1 / p)$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that the inequality

$$
\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c\|f\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{B_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

holds for all functions $g \in B_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all $f \in U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $f(0)=0$. Moreover, for all such functions $f$, the operator $T_{f}$ takes $B_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.1 and the following continuous embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (4.3), we use the notation (1.3) and the equivalent norm in Besov space given by

$$
\|f\|_{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{-s q}\left\|\Delta_{t e_{j}}^{2} f\right\|_{p}^{q} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t}\right)^{1 / q}, \quad(0<s<2),
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ denotes the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, see [15, p. 96].
Let $f \in B_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Since $\dot{B}_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{p}(\mathbb{R})=B_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}(\mathbb{R})$ (in the sense of equivalent norms, see, e.g. [15]), then by (2.4), we get

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left\|f_{x_{j}^{\prime}}\right\|_{B_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}(\mathbb{R})}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Using the Minkowski inequality with respect to $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)$, it follows

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{-(1+(1 / p))}\left\|\Delta_{t e_{k}}^{2} f_{x_{j}^{\prime}}\right\|_{p} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}^{\prime} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{-(1+(1 / p))}\left\|\Delta_{t e_{k}}^{2} f\right\|_{p} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t}\right)^{p}
$$

for $j, k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then we obtain the desired result.
Remark. As in Corollary 4.1 we can see the case when the function $f$ associated to the composition operator $T_{f}$ belongs locally to $U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, if $1 \leq p, q<+\infty$ and $0<s<1+(1 / p)$, it holds that

$$
\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c\left\|f \varphi_{\|g\|_{\infty}}\right\|_{U_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{B_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

for all $f \in U_{p}^{1, \text {,oc }}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(0)=0$ and all $g \in B_{p, 1}^{1+(1 / p)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

### 4.2. Sharpness of estimate

For simplicity we define

$$
\|g\|:=\|g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\|g\|_{\mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} .
$$

According to Corollary 4.1, there is a substantial class of nonlinear functions $f$ for which there exist constants $c_{f}=c(f)>0$ such that

$$
\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq c_{f}\|g\|, \quad \forall g \in F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

In this form the inequality is optimal if we avoid linear functions in the following sense.
Proposition 4.4. Let $\Omega$ : $[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{1 / p} \Omega(t)=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is a function such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f \circ g\|_{F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq \Omega(\|g\|) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $g \in F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $f$ is an affine function (linear, if we assume that $f(0)=0$ ).
Proof. Let us define a smooth function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\varphi(x)=1$ on the cube $Q:=[-1,1]^{n}$ and $\varphi(x)=0$ if $x \notin 2 Q$. We put $\Delta_{h}^{2}:=\Delta_{h} \circ \Delta_{h}$ and

$$
g_{a}(x):=a x_{1} \varphi(x), \quad\left(x=\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, a>0\right) .
$$

We have $\left\|g_{a}\right\| \sim a$ and

$$
\Delta_{h}^{2}\left(f \circ g_{a}\right)(x)=\Delta_{a h_{1}}^{2} f\left(a x_{1}\right), \quad\left(\forall x \in \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{n})} Q, \quad \forall h \in \frac{1}{4(\sqrt{n})} Q, \forall a>0\right) .
$$

On the other hand, for all $h \in \frac{1}{4(\sqrt{n})} Q$ (i.e. $\left.|h| \leq 1 / 4\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Delta_{h}^{2}\left(f \circ g_{a}\right)\right\|_{p} & \geq\left(\int_{x \in(1 /(2 \sqrt{n})) Q}\left|\Delta_{h}^{2}\left(f \circ g_{a}\right)(x)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \geq c a^{-1 / p}\left(\int_{-a /(2 \sqrt{n})}^{a /(2 \sqrt{n})}\left|\Delta_{a h_{1}}^{2} f(y)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above inequality, the embedding $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, \infty}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and the assumption (4.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{-a /(2 \sqrt{n})}^{a /(2 \sqrt{n})}\left|\Delta_{a h_{1}}^{2} f(y)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{1 / p} & \leq c_{1}|h|^{s} a^{1 / p} \Omega\left(\left\|g_{a}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq c_{2} a^{1 / p} \Omega\left(\left\|g_{a}\right\|\right), \quad(\forall h:|h| \leq 1 / 4)
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $u:=a h_{1}$, we deduce that

$$
\left(\int_{-a /(2 \sqrt{n})}^{a /(2 \sqrt{n})}\left|\Delta_{u}^{2} f(y)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{1 / p} \leq c_{1} a^{1 / p} \Omega\left(c_{2} a\right), \quad \forall a>0, \quad \forall u:|u| \leq a
$$

By applying the assumption (4.4) on $\Omega$ and taking $a$ to $+\infty$, we obtain

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(y+2 u)-2 f(y+u)+f(y)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y=0, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Hence $f(y+2 u)-2 f(y+u)+f(y)=0$ a.e., $\forall y, u \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
f^{\prime}(y+2 u)-f^{\prime}(y+u)=0, \text { i.e. }
$$

it implies $f^{\prime}(u)=f^{\prime}(0)(\forall u \in \mathbb{R})$. We deduce that $f^{\prime}$ is a constant.
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