

**DUALS OF VECTOR VALUED FUNCTION SPACES  $c_0(X, U, M)$ ,  
 $c(X, U, M)$  AND  $l_\infty(X, U, M)$  DEFINED BY ORLICZ FUNCTION**

Y. YADAV AND J. K. SRIVASTAVA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain the Köthe-Toeplitz duals of  $c_0(X, U, M)$ ,  $c(X, U, M)$  and  $l_\infty(X, U, M)$ . We extend the definition of Maddox and study of function spaces and sequence spaces defined also by Orlicz function. Further we characterize the continuous dual of  $c_0(X, U, M)$  and  $c(X, U, M)$ .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

We recall that an Orlicz function is a function  $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with  $M(0) = 0$ ,  $M(u) > 0$  for all  $u > 0$  and  $M(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$  see [4]. The Theory of function spaces and sequence spaces using Orlicz function was extended by several authors [1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10]. Some of them characterized their topological properties and some their duals also.

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be Banach spaces over the field of complex number  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $U^*$  be the continuous dual of  $U$ .  $L(U, V)$  is the linear space of all linear operators  $T: U \rightarrow V$ .  $B(U, V) \subset L(U, V)$  denotes the Banach space of all bounded linear operators  $T$  with a usual operator norm  $\|T\| = \{\|Tu\| \mid u \in S\}$ , where  $S = \{u \in U \mid \|u\| \leq 1\}$ .  $\theta$  denotes the zero of all these spaces.

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set (not necessarily countable) and  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  be the collection of all finite subsets of  $X$  directed by inclusion relation.

We now introduce the following classes of  $U$ -valued functions using Orlicz function  $M$ .

$$(1.1) \quad c_0(X, U, M) = \{f: X \rightarrow U \mid \text{there exists } \rho > 0 \text{ such that for every } \varepsilon > 0 \\ \text{there exists } J \in \mathcal{F}(X) \text{ satisfying } M(\|f(x)\|/\rho) < \varepsilon \\ \text{for all } x \in X/J \};$$

$$(1.2) \quad c(X, U, M) = \{f: X \rightarrow U \mid \text{there exists } \rho > 0 \text{ such that } \varepsilon > 0 \\ \text{for every } l \in U: \text{there exists } J \in \mathcal{F}(X) \text{ satisfying} \\ M(\|f(x) - l\|/\rho) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in X/J \};$$

$$(1.3) \quad l_\infty(X, U, M) = \{f: X \rightarrow U \mid \sup_{x \in X} M(\|f(x)\|/\rho) < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

Received November 30, 2012; revised November 14, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46A45; Secondary 46B15.

*Key words and phrases*. Orlicz function; function space; Köthe-Toeplitz duals; continuous duals.

It is obvious that  $c_0(X, U, M) \subset c(X, U, M) \subset l_\infty(X, U, M)$ .

For  $\phi: X \rightarrow U$ , we say that  $\sum_{x \in X} \phi(x)$  is summable to  $u \in U$  written as  $\sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) = u$  if the directed system  $(s_J)_{J \in \mathcal{F}(X)}$  with respect to set theoretic inclusion converges to  $u \in U$ , where  $s_J = \sum_{x \in J} \phi(x)$ . Of course, if such  $u$  exists, then it is unique. Similarly, replacing  $U$  by  $\mathbb{C}$ , we get the case of scalars (see [2, p. 32]).

**Theorem 1.1.** ([2, p. 32]) *If  $\phi: X \rightarrow U$  then  $\sum_{x \in X} \phi(x)$  is summable if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $\|\sum_{x \in J_1} \phi(x)\| < \varepsilon$  for every  $J_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  satisfying  $J_1 \cap J = \phi$ .*

In 1980 I. J. Maddox examined generalized Köthe-Toeplitz duals of  $X$ -termed sequence classes, where  $X$  is a Banach space. Analogous by to the definition of Köthe-Toeplitz duals and group norm defined by I. J. Maddox for  $X$ -valued sequence, for function spaces definitions are as follows.

**Definition 1.2.** ([7]) Let  $A: X \rightarrow L(U, V)$  not necessarily all  $A(x)$  be bounded. Suppose  $E(X, U)$  is a non-empty set of  $U$ -valued functions on  $X$ . Then the generalized Köthe-Toeplitz duals, i.e., generalized  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -duals of  $E(X, U)$  are defined by

$$E^\alpha(X, U) = \{A: X \rightarrow L(U, V) : \sum_{x \in X} \|A(x)\phi(x)\| \text{ is summable for all } \phi \in E(X, U)\}$$

$$E^\beta(X, U) = \{A: X \rightarrow L(U, V) : \sum_{x \in X} A(x)\phi(x) \text{ is summable in } V \text{ for all } \phi \in E(X, U)\},$$

respectively, [7].

**Definition 1.3.** [7] The group norm of the family of operators  $\{A(x) : x \in X\} \subset B(U, V)$  is defined by

$$\|A(x) : x \in X\| = \sup \left\| \sum_{x \in J} A(x) u(x) \right\|$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and all  $u(x) \in S$ .

The property of group norm for function spaces [7] analogous to sequences are as follows:

**Lemma 1.4.** *If  $\{A(x) : x \in X\}$  is a family of operators in  $B(U, V)$  then*

- (i) *for any  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $\|A(x)\| \leq \|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J\}\|$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J$ ,*
- (ii) *for any  $J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $J_1 \subset J_2$ ,*

$$\|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J_2\}\| \leq \|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J_1\}\|,$$

- (iii) *for any  $J, J_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , with  $J_1 \cap J = \phi$  and  $u(x) \in U$ ,  $x \in J_1$ ,*

$$\left\| \sum_{x \in J_1} A(x)u(x) \right\| \leq \|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J\}\| \max\{\|u(x)\| : x \in J_1\}.$$

**Lemma 1.5.** *For any family  $\{A(x) : x \in X\}$  of operators in  $B(U, V)$  exactly only one of the following is true.*

- (i)  $\|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J\}\| = \infty$  for all  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,
- (ii)  $\|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J\}\| < \infty$  for all  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ .

## 2. TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE AND KÖTHE-TOEPLITZ DUALS

We easily see that  $c_0(X, U, M)$ ,  $c(X, U, M)$  and  $l_\infty(X, U, M)$  form linear spaces over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  with respect to point wise vector operations. Clearly the function  $\theta: X \rightarrow U$  where  $\theta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , is the zero (functions) of these linear spaces. We can easily show that  $c_0(X, U, M)$ ,  $c(X, U, M)$  and  $l_\infty(X, U, M)$  turn out to be a Banach space under the norm

$$\|x\|_\infty = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{x \in X} M \left( \frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

for  $f \in c_0(X, U, M)$ ,  $c(X, U, M)$  and  $l_\infty(X, U, M)$ , (see [8]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A: X \rightarrow L(U, V)$ . Then  $A \in c_0^\alpha(X, U, M)$ ,  $c^\alpha(X, U, M)$  and  $l_\infty^\alpha(X, U, M)$  if and only if*

- (i) *there exists  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $A(x) \in B(U, V)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J$ , and*
- (ii)  $\sum_{x \in X \setminus J} \|A(x)\| < \infty$ .

*Proof.* We give the proof for  $c_0(X, U, M)$  only and the rest follows. For sufficiency of the conditions, take  $f \in c_0(X, U, M)$  and  $\rho > 0$  arbitrary. Then for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $J_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $J_1 \supset J$ , satisfying  $M \left( \frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho} \right) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J_1$ . Further we can choose  $r > \varepsilon$  and  $t_0 > 0$  to be fixed positive real number such that  $r \frac{t_0}{2} q \left( \frac{t_0}{2} \right) > \varepsilon$ , where  $q$  is the kernel associated with  $M$ . Hence,  $M \left( \frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho} \right) < r \frac{t_0}{2} q \left( \frac{t_0}{2} \right)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J_1$ . Using the integral representation of Orlicz function, we easily get  $\|f(x)\| \leq \rho t_0 r$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J_1$  and so

$$\sum_{x \in X} \|A(x)f(x)\| \leq \sum_{x \in J_1} \|A(x)f(x)\| + \rho t_0 r \sum_{x \in X \setminus J_1} \|A(x)\| < \infty,$$

which clearly implies that  $A \in c_0^\alpha(X, U, M)$ .

For necessity of the conditions let  $A \in c_0^\alpha(X, U, M)$ . If (i) fails, then there exists a sequence  $(x_k)$  of distinct terms in  $X$  such that  $A(x_k) \notin B(U, V)$  and so for each  $k \geq 1$ , we can find  $u(x_k) \in S$ , where  $S$  is the closed unit sphere  $S[0, 1]$  in  $U$  such that

$$\|A(x_k)u(x_k)\| > k.$$

Let  $\rho > 0$  and consider the function  $f: X \rightarrow U$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} k^{-1}u(x_k), & \text{for } x = x_k, k \geq 1, \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is in  $c_0(X, U, M)$  because for  $x \neq x_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho}\right) = 0$  and for each  $x = x_k$ , we have  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho}\right) = M\left(\frac{1}{k\rho}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k}M\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)$ . But  $\frac{1}{k}M\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , therefore, we can find  $K$  such that  $\frac{1}{k}M\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) < \varepsilon$  for every  $k \geq K$ . Now if we take  $J = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$ , then we have  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho}\right) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\|A(x_k) f(x_k)\| = \|k^{-1}A(x_k) u(x_k)\| \geq 1 \quad \text{for each } k \geq 1,$$

which implies that  $A \notin c_0^\alpha(X, U, M)$ , a contradiction.

Similarly if (ii) fails then there exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $(J(N))_{N \geq 2}$ ,  $J(N) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $J(1) = J$  such that

$$\sum_{x \in J(N)} \|A(x)\| > 2N, \quad N = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Now for each  $x \in X$ , we choose  $u(x) \in S$  such that  $\|A(x)\| < 2\|A(x)u(x)\|$ . Further take  $\rho > 0$ . It is straightforward to verify that the function  $f: X \rightarrow U$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} N^{-1}u(x), & x \in J(N), \quad N = 2, 3, \dots, \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is in  $c_0(X, U, M)$ , but

$$\sum_{x \in X} \|A(x)f(x)\| \geq \sum_{N=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in J(N)} \|A(x)\| N^{-1} > \sum_{N=2}^{\infty} 1$$

contradicts that  $A \in c_0^\alpha(X, U, M)$ . Hence, it follows the necessity of (i) and (ii). This completes the proof.  $\square$

If we take  $A: X \rightarrow B(U, V)$  in the above Theorem 2.1, then we have the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $A: X \rightarrow B(U, V)$ , then each one form  $c_0^\alpha(X, U, M)$ ,  $c^\alpha(X, U, M)$  and  $l_\infty^\alpha(X, U, M)$  equals  $H_0(X, B(U, V))$ , where*

$$H_0(X, B(U, V)) = \{A: X \rightarrow B(U, V) \mid \sum_{x \in X} \|A(x)\| < \infty\}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A: X \rightarrow L(U, V)$ . Then  $A \in c_0^\beta(X, U, M)$  if and only if*

- (i) *there exists  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $A(x) \in B(U, V)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J$ ,*
- (ii)  *$\|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J\}\| = L < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that (i) and (ii) hold,  $f \in c_0(X, U, M)$ ,  $\rho > 0$  associated with  $f$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Now for  $M\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right) > 0$  we can find  $J_1 \supset J$  such that  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho}\right) < M\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J_1$ . Since  $M$  is non-decreasing, we have  $\|f(x)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J_1$ .

Now for any  $J_2 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $J_2 \cap J_1 = \phi$ , by Lemma 1.4 (iii), we get

$$\left\| \sum_{x \in J_2} A(x)f(x) \right\| \leq \|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J\}\| \max_{x \in J_2} \|f(x)\| < L\varepsilon.$$

Hence by Theorem 1.1,  $\sum_{x \in X} A(x)f(x)$  is summable and consequently,  $A \in c_0^\beta(X, U, M)$ .

The necessity of (i) can be established on the lines of Theorem 2.1. For necessity of (ii), suppose that  $\|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J\}\| = \infty$ .

Then by Lemma 1.5, there exists a sequence  $(J(N))$  in  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $J(1) = J$  of pairwise disjoint sets such that for each  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\|\sum_{x \in J(N)} A(x)u(x)\| > N$ , where  $u(x) \in S$  for  $x \in J(N)$ . Let  $\rho > 0$ . Then we easily see that  $f: X \rightarrow U$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} N^{-1}u(x), & x \in J(N), N \geq 2, \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is in  $c_0(X, U, M)$ , but for each  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\|\sum_{x \in J(N)} A(x)f(x)\| > 1$  shows that  $\sum_{x \in X} A(x)f(x)$  is not summable. Hence  $A \notin c_0^\beta(X, U, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $V = C$ , i.e.,  $B(U, V) = U^*$ , then we have*

$$c_0^\alpha(X, U, M) = c_0^\beta(X, U, M) = H_0(X, U^*).$$

*Proof.*  $H_0(X, U^*) = c_0^\alpha(X, U, M) \subset c_0^\beta(X, U, M)$  follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 and completeness of  $U$ . Now suppose that  $F: X \rightarrow U^*$  belongs to  $c_0^\beta(X, U, M)$ , but  $F \notin H_0(X, U^*)$ . Then we can find a sequence  $(J(N))$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , of pairwise disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  such that

$$\sum_{x \in J(N)} \|F(x)\| > 2N, \quad N = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Further we take  $\rho > 0$  and for each  $x \in J(N)$ , we choose  $u(x) \in S$  such that  $|F(x)| < 2|F(x)u(x)|$  and define  $f: X \rightarrow U$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(F(x)u(x)) N^{-1}u(x), & x \in J(N), N \geq 2, \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We easily see that  $f \in c_0(X, U, M)$ , but for each  $N \geq 2$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in J(N)} F(x)f(x) = \sum_{x \in J(N)} |F(x)u(x)|N^{-1} > \sum_{x \in J(N)} \frac{1}{2}\|F(x)\| N^{-1} > 1$$

shows that  $\sum_{x \in X} F(x)f(x)$  is not summable, which contradicts that  $F \in c_0^\beta(X, U, M)$  (see Theorem 1.1). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $A: X \rightarrow L(U, V)$ . Then  $A \in c^\beta(X, U, M)$  if and only if*

- (i) *there exists  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $A(x) \in B(U, V)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J$ ,*
- (ii)  *$\|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus J\}\| < \infty$ , and*
- (iii)  *$\sum_{x \in X \setminus J} A(x)u$  is summable in  $V$  for every  $u \in U$ .*

*Proof.* To show (iii), it is necessary we take  $u \in U$  and consider  $f_u: X \rightarrow U$  defined by  $f_u(x) = u$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $f_u \in c(X, U, M)$ , and so  $\sum_{x \in X} A(x)u$  is summable in  $V$ .

Further since  $c^\beta(X, U, M) \subset c_0^\beta(X, U, M)$ , the necessity of (i) and (ii) follows from the Theorem 2.3.

For the sufficiency let  $f \in c(X, U, M)$ . Then there exist  $\rho > 0$  and  $l \in U$  such that for every given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $J_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $J \supset J_1$  satisfying  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)-l\|}{\rho}\right) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J_1$ . Now consider  $\psi: X \rightarrow U$  and  $f_l: X \rightarrow U$  defined by  $\psi(x) = f(x) - l$  and  $f_l(x) = l$  for all  $x \in X$  respectively. Obviously,  $f_l \in c(X, U, M)$  and  $\psi \in c_0(X, U, M)$  and hence  $\psi \in c(X, U, M)$ . Clearly  $f = \psi + f_l$ . Moreover by Theorem 2.3,  $\sum_{x \in X} A(x)\psi(x)$  is summable in  $U$ . Similarly by (iii),  $\sum_{x \in X} A(x)l$  is summable in  $U$ . Thus we note that

$$\sum_{x \in X} A(x)f(x) = \sum_{x \in X} A(x)(f(x) - l) + \sum_{x \in X} A(x)f_l(x) = \sum_{x \in X} A(x)\psi(x) + \sum_{x \in X} A(x)l$$

is summable in  $U$ . Hence  $A \in c^\beta(X, U, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the special case when  $V = C$ , i.e.,  $B(U, V) = U^*$ , proof of the Theorem 2.6 given below follows easily from making use of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.6.** *If  $V = C$ , i.e.,  $B(U, V) = U^*$ , then we have*

$$c^\alpha(X, U, M) = c^\beta(X, U, M) = H_0(X, U^*).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1, we have  $H_0(X, U^*) = c^\alpha(X, U, M)$  and by completeness of  $\mathbb{C}$  we immediately get

$$c^\alpha(X, U, M) \subset c^\beta(X, U, M).$$

Since  $c^\beta(X, U, M) \subset c_0^\beta(X, U, M)$  is always true, but  $c_0^\beta(X, U, M) = H_0(X, U^*)$  follows from Theorem 2.4. Thus we get  $H_0(X, U^*) = c^\alpha(X, U, M) \subset c^\beta(X, U, M) \subset H_0(X, U^*)$ . Hence  $c^\alpha(X, U, M) = c^\beta(X, U, M) = H_0(X, U^*)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $A: X \rightarrow L(U, V)$ . Then  $A \in l_\infty^\beta(X, U, M)$  if and only if*

- (i) *there exists  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $A(x) \in B(U, V)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus J$ ; and*
- (ii) *for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $K = K(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $J \subset K$  such that  $R_H = \|\{A(x) : x \in X \setminus H\}\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $H \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $K \subset H$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose (i) and (ii) hold,  $f \in l_\infty(X, U, M)$  and  $\rho > 0$  is associated with  $f$ . Then we have  $L > 0$  such that  $\sup_{x \in X} M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho}\right) = L$ , i.e.,  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho}\right) < L$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Further we can choose  $r > L$  and  $t_0 > 0$  a fixed positive real number such that  $r \frac{t_0}{2} q\left(\frac{t_0}{2}\right) > L$ , where  $q$  is the kernel associated with  $M$ . Hence for each  $x \in X$ ,  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho}\right) < r \frac{t_0}{2} q\left(\frac{t_0}{2}\right)$ .

Thus using the integral representation of Orlicz function  $M$ , we get

$$\|f(x)\| \leq \rho r t_0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Then by Lemma 1.5 (iii), for any  $G \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $G \cap H = \phi$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{x \in G} A(x)f(x) \right\| \leq R_H \max\{\|f(x)\| : x \in G\} \leq \varepsilon \rho t_0.$$

Thus,  $\|\sum_{x \in G} A(x)f(x)\| < \varepsilon \rho t_0$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $G \cap H = \phi$ , and so by Theorem 1.1,  $\sum_{x \in X \setminus H} A(x)f(x)$  is summable. Hence  $\sum_{x \in X} A(x)f(x)$  is summable.

Conversely (i) can be established on the lines of Theorem 2.1. Now to prove the necessity of (ii), we first show that  $R_H < \infty$ . Suppose on contrary that  $R_H = \infty$ . Then we can find a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $(F_n)$  in  $\mathcal{F}(X \setminus J)$  and sets  $\{u_n(x) : x \in F_n\} \subset S$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{x \in F_n} A(x)u_n(x) \right\| > 1 \quad \text{for each } n \geq 1.$$

Let  $\rho > 0$ . Then  $f : X \rightarrow U$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in F_n, u(x) = u_n(x), n \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is in  $l_\infty(X, U, M)$  but by (2.1) for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\|\sum_{x \in F_n} A(x)f(x)\| > 1$  shows that  $\sum_{x \in X} A(x)f(x)$  is not summable (see Theorem 1.1). This proves our assertion, i.e.,  $R_H < \infty$ .

Now suppose (ii) does not hold, i.e., there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every given  $K \supset J$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , we can find  $H \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $H \supset K$  such that  $R_H > \varepsilon$ . For  $n = 1$ , take  $K_1 \supset J$  such that  $R_{K_1} > \varepsilon$ . So there exist  $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}(X \setminus K_1)$  and  $G_1 = \{u_1(x) : x \in F_1\} \subset S$  such that  $\|\sum_{x \in F_1} A(x)u_1(x)\| > \varepsilon$ .

Next take  $K_2 = K_1 \cup F_1$  then there exist  $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}(X \setminus K_2)$  and  $G_2 = \{u_2(x) : x \in F_2\} \subset S$  such that  $\|\sum_{x \in F_2} A(x)u_2(x)\| > \varepsilon$ .

If we continue this process, then we get sequences  $(F_n)$  and  $(G_n)$  such that for  $K_n = K_1 \cup F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_{n-1}$ , there exist  $F_n \in \mathcal{F}(X \setminus K_n)$  and  $G_n = \{u_n(x) : x \in F_n\} \subset S$  for which

$$(2.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{x \in F_n} A(x)u_n(x) \right\| > \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\rho > 0$ . Then the function  $f : X \rightarrow U$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in F_n, u(x) = u_n(x) \in G_n, n \geq 1 \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is in  $l_\infty(X, U, M)$ , where as due to (2.2) for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\|\sum_{x \in F_n} A(x)f(x)\| > \varepsilon$  shows that  $\sum_{x \in X} A(x)f(x)$  is not summable, i.e.,  $A \notin l_\infty^\beta(X, U, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $V = C$ , i.e.,  $B(U, C) = U^*$ , then we have*

$$l_\infty^\alpha(X, U, M) = l_\infty^\beta(X, U, M) = H_0(X, U^*).$$

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 2.7 and completeness of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$H_0(X, U^*) = l_\infty^\alpha(X, U, M) \subset l_\infty^\beta(X, U, M).$$

Now suppose  $F \in l_\infty^\beta(X, U, M)$ , but  $F \notin H_0(X, U^*)$ , then  $\sum_{x \in X} \|F(x)\| = \infty$ .

So we get a pairwise disjoint sequence  $(J_n) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $\sum_{x \in J_n} \|F(x)\| > 1$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $u(x) \in S$  be such that  $\|F(x)\| \leq 2|F(x)u(x)|$ . Let  $\rho > 0$ . Then the function  $f: X \rightarrow U$  is defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(F(x)u(x))u(x), & x \in J_n, n \geq 1 \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We note that  $\|f(x)\| = 0$  for  $x \in X \setminus \cup_{n=1}^\infty J_n$  and  $\|f(x)\| \leq 1$  if  $x \in J_n, n \geq 1$ . This shows that  $\sup_{x \in X} M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\rho}\right) < \infty$  and hence  $f \in l_\infty(X, U, M)$ . But on the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{x \in X} \|F(x)f(x)\| = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{x \in J_n} \|F(x)f(x)\| \geq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in J_n} \|F(x)\| > \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2} = \infty,$$

this contradicts that  $F \in l_\infty^\beta(X, U, M)$ . Hence  $l_\infty^\beta(X, U, M) \subset H_0(X, U^*)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. CONTINUOUS DUAL OF $c_0(X, U, M)$ AND $c(X, U, M)$

In the following theorems continuous duals  $c_0^*(X, U, M)$  and  $c^*(X, U, M)$  of the topological linear spaces  $(c_0(X, U, M), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  and  $(c(X, U, M), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , respectively, are investigated.

**Theorem 3.1.**  $c_0^*(X, U, M)$ , the continuous dual of  $(c_0(X, U, M), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , is isomorphic to  $H_0(X, U^*)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F \in c_0^*(X, U, M)$  and for each  $x \in X$ , define  $\phi(x): U \rightarrow C$  by  $\phi(x)u = F(\delta_x^u)$ . Each  $\phi(x)$  is linear on  $U$ . Further if  $(u_n)$  is a sequence in  $U$  which converges to  $u \in U$ , then  $M\left(\frac{\|u_n - u\|}{\rho}\right) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $\rho > 0$ . So for given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we can find  $\rho_\varepsilon, 0 < \rho_\varepsilon < \varepsilon$  and  $N \geq 1$  such that  $M\left(\frac{\|u_n - u\|}{\rho_\varepsilon}\right) < \varepsilon < 1$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Let  $y \in X$  and fix it. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_y^{u_n} - \delta_y^u\| &= \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{x \in X} M\left(\frac{\|\delta_y^{u_n}(x) - \delta_y^u(x)\|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : M\left(\frac{\|u_n - u\|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\} < \rho_\varepsilon < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\delta_x^{u_n} \rightarrow \delta_x^u$  in  $c_0(X, U, M)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So we have  $F(\delta_x^{u_n}) \rightarrow F(\delta_x^u)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  which clearly implies that  $\phi(x)u_n \rightarrow \phi(x)u$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $\phi(x) \in U^*$  for each  $x \in X$ . Moreover, since  $c_0(X, U, M)$  is an AK-function space, for each  $f \in c_0(X, U, M)$ , we have  $s_J(f) \rightarrow f$ , and so

$$F(f) = F(\lim s_J(f)) = \lim F\left(\sum_{x \in J} \delta_x^{f(x)}\right) = \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x)f(x).$$

Thus  $\sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) f(x)$  is summable for every  $f \in c_0(X, U, M)$  and therefore by Theorem 2.4 we get  $\phi \in H_0(X, U^*)$ .

Conversely, if  $\phi \in H_0(X, U^*)$ , then by Theorem 2.4  $\sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) f(x)$  is summable for every  $f \in c_0(X, U, M)$ . Now  $F$  defined by  $F(f) = \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) f(x)$  is clearly a linear functional on  $c_0(X, U, M)$ . Since  $\phi \in H_0(X, U^*)$ ,  $\sum_{x \in X} \|\phi(x)\| = L < \infty$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Suppose that for  $f \in c_0(X, U, M)$ ,  $\|f\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ . Then we get  $|F(f)| \leq \sum_{x \in X} \|\phi(x)\| \|f(x)\| < \varepsilon L$  which shows that  $F$  is continuous. Hence  $F \in c_0^*(X, U, M)$ .

Thus for each  $F \in c_0^*(X, U, M)$ , there exists  $\phi \in H_0(X, U^*)$  and vice-versa. Hence the correspondence  $F \rightarrow \phi$  clearly determines an isomorphism of  $c_0^*(X, U, M)$  onto  $H_0(X, U^*)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.**  $F \in c^*(X, U, M)$  is the continuous dual of  $(c(X, U, M), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  if and only if there exist  $\phi \in H_0(X, U^*)$  and  $g \in U^*$  such that  $F(f) = g(l) + \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) f(x)$  for every  $f \in c(X, U, M)$ , where  $l \in U$  satisfies that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $J \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that for all  $x \in X \setminus J$ ,  $M \left( \frac{\|f(x) - l\|}{\rho} \right) < \varepsilon$  for some  $\rho > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F \in c^*(X, U, M)$  and  $f \in c(X, U, M)$ . Let  $l \in U$  be as in the statement of the theorem. Clearly  $F \in c_0^*(X, U, M)$  and the function  $\psi: X \rightarrow U$ ,  $\psi(x) = f(x) - l$  is in  $c_0(X, U, M)$ . Thus by Theorem 3.1, we have  $\phi \in H_0(X, U^*)$  such that  $F(\psi) = \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) (f(x) - l)$  and right hand side is summable. Since  $\phi \in H_0(X, U^*)$ ,  $\sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) u$  is summable. Clearly,  $\xi_l: X \rightarrow U$  defined by  $\xi_l(x) = l$  for each  $x \in X$  is in  $c(X, U, M)$  and  $f = \psi + \xi_l$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(f) &= F(\psi) + F(\xi_l) = \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) (f(x) - l) + F(\xi_l) \\ &= F(\xi_l) - \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) l + \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) f(x) = g(l) + \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) f(x) \end{aligned}$$

where we write  $g(l) = F(\xi_l) - \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) l$ .  $g$  is linear on  $U$ . For continuity of  $g$ , suppose that the sequence  $(u_n)$  in  $U$  converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Clearly  $(\xi_{u_n})$  converges to function  $\theta$  in  $c(X, U, M)$  and hence  $F(\xi_{u_n})$  converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Further

$$\left| \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) u_n \right| \leq \|u_n\| \sum_{x \in X} \|\phi(x)\|, \quad n \geq 1,$$

shows that  $\sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) u_n$  converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $g(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.,  $g$  is continuous on  $U$ . For converse part, suppose that  $\phi \in H_0(X, U^*)$  and  $g \in U^*$ . Then

$$\sum_{x \in X} \|\phi(x)\| = L < \infty.$$

We now define  $F$  on  $c(X, U, M)$  by  $F(f) = g(l) + \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) f(x)$ ,  $f \in c(X, U, M)$ . Clearly,  $F$  is well defined and linear (see Theorem 2.1) on  $c(X, U, M)$ .

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Suppose that for  $f \in c(X, U, M)$ ,  $\|f\|_\infty < \varepsilon$  and  $\rho$  is associated with  $f$ . Now consider  $M \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \right) > 0$ . Since  $f \in c(X, U, M)$ , there exists  $l \in U$  such

that for  $M\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right) > 0$  there exists  $J \in \mathcal{F}(x)$  satisfying  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)-l\|}{\rho}\right) < M\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right)$ , for all  $x \in X \setminus J$ .  $M$  is non-decreasing, therefore also by the definition of norm, we have  $\sup_{x \in X} M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\|f\|}\right) \leq 1$ , i.e.,  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\|f\|}\right) \leq 1$ .

Now we can find  $r > 1$  and  $t_0 > 0$  which is a fixed real number such that  $r \frac{t_0}{2} q\left(\frac{t_0}{2}\right) \geq 1$ .

Hence,  $M\left(\frac{\|f(x)\|}{\|f\|}\right) < r \frac{t_0}{2} q\left(\frac{t_0}{2}\right)$  which gives us that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\|f(x)\| \leq \varepsilon r t_0$ . Then we have

$$\|l\| \leq \|f(x) - l\| + \|f(x)\| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon r t_0 = \varepsilon(1 + r t_0)$$

and so we clearly get that  $\|l\| < \varepsilon$ . Now the continuity of  $F$  easily follows from

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\phi)| &= \left| g(l) + \sum_{x \in X} \phi(x) f(x) \right| \leq \|g\| \|l\| + \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x)\| \sum_{x \in X} \|\phi(x)\| \\ &< \varepsilon [\|g\| (1 + r t_0) + r t_0 L]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $F \in c^*(X, U, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. Ghosh D. and Srivastava P. D., *On some vector valued sequence spaces using Orlicz function*, Glas. Mat., **34** (54) (1999), 253–261.
2. Horvath J., *Topological vector spaces and distributions*, Vol. I, Addison Wesley 1966.
3. Kolk E., *Topologies in generalized Orlicz sequence spaces*, Filomat **25**(4) (2011), 191–211.
4. Lidenstrauss J. and Tzafriri L., *Classical Banach spaces I, Sequence spaces*, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin 1977.
5. Parashar S. D. and Chaoudhary B., *Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **25**(4) (1994), 419–428.
6. Savaş E. and Pattersan F., *An Orlicz extension of some new sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Thai J. Math. **3**(2) (2005), 209–218.
7. Srivastava J. K. and Tiwari R. K., *On certain Banach space valued function spaces-I*, Math. Forum **20** (2007-08), 14–31.
8. Srivastava, J. K. and Yadav, Y., *Vector valued function spaces  $c_0(X, U, M)$ ,  $c(X, U, M)$  and  $l_\infty(X, U, M)$  defined by Orlicz function*, J. Raj. Acad. Phy. Sci. **11**(3) (2012).
9. Yilmaz Y. and Özdemir M. K., *Köthe-Toeplitz duals of some vector valued Orlicz sequence spaces*, Soochow Jour. of Mathematics **31**(3) (2005), 389–402.
10. Yilmaz Y., Özdemir, K., Solak, İ. and Candan, M., *Operators on some vector valued Orlicz sequence spaces*, Firat Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Fen ve Mühendislik Bilimleri Dergisi, C.17, S.1, 2005.

Y. Yadav, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur India, *e-mail*: [yogendra.ddugkp@gmail.com](mailto:yogendra.ddugkp@gmail.com)

J. K. Srivastava, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur India, *e-mail*: [jks\\_ddugu@yahoo.com](mailto:jks_ddugu@yahoo.com)