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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give the notion of $F$-biharmonic maps, which is a generalization of biharmonic maps. We derive the first variation formula which yields $F$-biharmonic maps. Then we investigate the harmonicity of $F$-biharmonic maps under the curvature conditions on the target manifold $(N, h)$. We also introduce the stress $F$-bienergy tensor $S_{F, 2}$. Then, by using the stress $F$-bienergy tensor $S_{F, 2}$, we obtain some nonexistence results of proper $F$-biharmonic maps under the assumption that $S_{F, 2}=0$. Moreover, we derive some monotonicity formulas for the special case of the biharmonic map, i.e., where $F$-biharmonic map with $F(t)=t$. Then, by using these monotonicity formulas, we obtain new results on the non existence of proper biharmonic isometric immersions from complete manifolds.


## 1. Introduction

Harmonic maps play a central roll in variational problems for smooth maps between manifolds $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ as the critical points of the energy functional $E(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}\|d u\|^{2} d v_{g}$. On the other hand, in 1981, J. Eells and L. Lemaire [7] proposed the problem to consider the $k$-harmonic maps which are critical maps of the functional

$$
E_{k}(u)=\int_{M} \frac{\left\|(d+\delta)^{k} u\right\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}
$$
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for smooth maps $u: M \rightarrow N$. G. Y. Jiang [9] studied the first and second variation formulas of the bienergy $E_{2}$ where critical maps of $E_{2}$ are called biharmonic maps. There have been extensive studies on biharmonic maps (for instance, see $[9,13,14,15,16,18,19]$ ).

Let $F:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a $C^{3}$ function such that $F^{\prime}>0$ on $(0, \infty)$. For a smooth map $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds $(M, g)$ and ( $N, h$ ), we define the $F$ - $k$-energy $E_{F, k}(u)$ of $u$ by

$$
E_{F, k}(u)=\int_{M} F\left(\frac{\left\|(d+\delta)^{k} u\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) d v_{g},
$$

which is $E_{k}(u)$ if $F(t)=t$. When $k=1$, we have

$$
E_{F, 1}(u)=\int_{M} F\left(\frac{\|d u\|^{2}}{2}\right) d v_{g}=E_{F}(u),
$$

which was introduced by M. Ara in [1]. The critical maps of $E_{F}(u)$ are called $F$-harmonic maps which are the generalization of harmonic maps, $p$-harmonic maps or exponentially harmonic maps. There have been extensive studies in this area (for instance, $[4,5,11,12]$ ). When $k=2$, we have

$$
E_{F, 2}(u)=\int_{M} F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) d v_{g},
$$

where $\tau(u)=-\delta d u=\operatorname{trace} \widetilde{\nabla}(d u)$. It is the bienergy of G.Y. Jiang [9], the $p$-bienergy of P. Hornung and R. Moser [6] or exponentially bienergy when $F(t)=t, F(t)=(2 t)^{\frac{p}{2}}$ or $F(t)=\mathrm{e}^{t}$. We say that $u$ is an $F$-biharmonic map if

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t} E_{F, 2}\left(u_{t}\right)\right|_{t=0}=0
$$

for any compactly supported variation $u_{t}: M \rightarrow N$ with $u_{0}=u$. In this note, we derive the first variation formula which yields $F$-biharmonic maps. Then we investigate the harmonicity of $F$ biharmonic maps under the curvature conditions on the target manifold ( $N, h$ ). We also introduce the stress $F$-bienergy tensor $S_{F, 2}$. Then, by using the stress $F$-bienergy tensor $S_{F, 2}$, we obtain some non existence results of proper $F$-biharmonic maps under the assumption $S_{F, 2}=0$. Also, we derive some monotonicity formulas for the special case of a biharmonic map, i.e., an $F$-biharmonic map with $F(t)=t$. Then, by using these monotonicity formulas, we investigate the harmonicity of biharmonic isometric maps from complete manifolds.

Remark 1.1. In [17], the authors introduced $f$-biharmonic maps which are critical points of the bi- $f$-energy functional

$$
E_{f}^{2}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}\left\|\tau_{f}(u)\right\|^{2} d v_{g},
$$

where $\tau_{f}(u)=f \tau(u)+d u(\operatorname{grad} f)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. We think that it is more reasonable to call them "bi- $f$-harmonic maps" as parallel to "biharmonic maps".

## 2. The first variation formula
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Let $\nabla$ and ${ }^{N} \nabla$ always denote the Levi-Civita connections of $M$ and $N$, respectively. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the induced connection on $u^{-1} T N$ defined by $\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} W={ }^{N} \nabla_{d u(X)} W$, where $X$ is a tangent vector of $M$ and $W$ is a section of $u^{-1} T N$. We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ on $M$. We define
the $F$-bitension field $\tau_{F, 2}(u)$ of $u$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{F, 2}(u)=-J\left(F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) \\
& =-\widetilde{\triangle}\left(F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right)-\sum_{i} R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) d u\left(e_{i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J$ is the Jacobi operator of the second variation for the energy $E(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}\|d u\|^{2} d v_{g}$, $\widetilde{\triangle}=-\sum_{i}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}-\widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{i} e_{i}}}\right)$ is the rough Laplacian on the section of $u^{-1} T N$ and $R^{N}(X, Y)=$ $\left[{ }^{N} \nabla_{X},{ }^{N} \nabla_{Y}\right]-{ }^{N} \nabla_{[X, Y]}$ is the curvature operator on $N$.

Under the notation above we have the following theorem
Theorem 2.1 (The first variation formula). Let $u: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t} E_{F, 2}\left(u_{t}\right)\right|_{t=0}=\int_{M} h\left(\tau_{F, 2}(u), V\right) d v_{g} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V=\left.\frac{d}{d t} u_{t}\right|_{t=0}$.
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Proof. Let $\Psi:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times M \rightarrow N$ be defined by $\Psi(t, x)=u_{t}(x)$, where $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times M$ is equipped with the product metric. We extend the vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), X$ on $M$ naturally on $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times$ $M$, and denote those also by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, X$. Then

$$
d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\left.\frac{d}{d t} u_{t}\right|_{t=0}=V .
$$

We shall use the same notations $\nabla$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ for the Levi-Civita connection on $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times M$ and the induced connection on $\Psi^{-1} T N$, respectively.

We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} & F\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \\
\quad= & F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \tau\left(u_{t}\right), \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{i} F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\left[\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} d \Psi\right)\left(e_{i}\right)\right], \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{i} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} d \Psi\left(e_{i}\right)-\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} d \Psi\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{i} h\left(R^{N}\left(d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), d \Psi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) d \Psi\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau u_{t}\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)-\widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} d \Psi\left(e_{i}\right)-\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=d \Psi\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, e_{i}\right]=0
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} d \Psi\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right)-\widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=d \Psi\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right]=0
$$

for the fifth equality.
Let $X_{t}$ and $Y_{t}$ be two compactly supported vector fields on $M$ such that $g\left(X_{t}, Z\right)=h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{Z} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right.$,
$\left.F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau u_{t}\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right)$ and $g\left(Y_{t}, Z\right)=h\left(d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{Z}\left(F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right)\right)$ for any vector field $Z$
on $M$. Then the divergence of $X_{t}$ and $Y_{t}$ are given by the following:
$\operatorname{div}\left(X_{t}\right)=\sum_{k} g\left(\nabla_{e_{k}} X_{t}, e_{k}\right)=\sum_{k} e_{k} g\left(X_{t}, e_{k}\right)-\sum_{k} g\left(X_{t}, \nabla_{e_{k}} e_{k}\right)$
$=\sum_{k} e_{k} h\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right)$
$-\sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{k}} e_{k}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right)$
$=\sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right.$
$\left.-\widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{k} e_{k}}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right)$
$+\sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right]\right)$
and
(4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}\left(Y_{t}\right)= & \sum_{k} g\left(\nabla_{e_{k}} Y_{t}, e_{k}\right)=\sum_{k} e_{k} g\left(Y_{t}, e_{k}\right)-\sum_{k} g\left(Y_{t}, \nabla_{e_{k}} e_{k}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k} e_{k} h\left(d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right]\right) \\
& -\sum_{k} h\left(d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{k} e_{k}}}\left(F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{k} h\left(d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau u_{t}\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{k}} e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right]\right) \\
& +\sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}} d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2), (3) and (4), we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right)=\sum_{i} h\left(R^{N}\left(d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), d \Psi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) d \Psi\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
+\sum_{i} h( & d \Psi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right]  \tag{5}\\
& \left.-\widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{i} e_{i}}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right]\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(X_{t}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(Y_{t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By (5) and Green's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d}{d t} E_{F, 2}\left(u_{t}\right)\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\left.\int_{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F\left(\frac{\left\|\tau\left(u_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}{2}\right)\right|_{t=0} d v_{g} \\
& =\int_{M} h\left(-\widetilde{\triangle}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad-\sum_{i} R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right),\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) d u\left(e_{i}\right), V\right) d v_{g} \\
& =\int_{M} h\left(\tau_{F, 2}(u), V\right) d v_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Theorem 2.1.

The first variation formula allows us to define the notion of an $F$-biharmonic map for the functional $E_{F, 2}(u)$.

Definition 2.2. A smooth map $u$ is called an $F$-biharmonic map for the functional $E_{F, 2}(u)$ if it is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation $\tau_{F, 2}(u)=0$.

Remark 2.3. By Definition 2.2, we know that any harmonic map is an $F$-biharmonic map.
Proposition 2.4. Let $u: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. If $\|\tau(u)\|^{2}$ is constant, then $u$ is $F$ biharmonic if and only if it is biharmonic.

Proof. Since $\|\tau(u)\|^{2}$ is constant, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{F, 2}(u) & =F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)\left[-\widetilde{\triangle}(\tau(u))-\sum_{i} R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), \tau(u)\right) d u\left(e_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau_{2}(u),
\end{aligned}
$$

so we know that $u$ is $F$-biharmonic if and only if it is biharmonic.
Remark 2.5. When $\|\tau(u)\|^{2}$ is non-constant, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{F, 2}(u)= & F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)\left[-\widetilde{\triangle}(\tau(u))-\sum_{i} R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), \tau(u)\right) d u\left(e_{i}\right)\right] \\
& -\left[\widetilde{\triangle} F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \tau(u)+\widetilde{\nabla}_{\operatorname{grad} F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)} \tau(u) \\
= & F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau_{2}(u)-\left[\widetilde{\triangle} F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \tau(u)+\widetilde{\nabla}_{\operatorname{grad} F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)^{\tau(u)} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equation, we know that there are many differences between $F$-biharmonic maps and biharmonic maps when $F(t)=(2 t)^{\frac{p}{2}},(p>2)$ or $F(t)=\mathrm{e}^{t}$.

## 3. NON-EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR $F$-BIHARMONIC MAPS

From the definition of an $F$-biharmonic map, we know that a harmonic map is $F$-biharmonic map, so a basic question in theory is to understand under what conditions the converse is true. A first

Theorem 3.1 ([9]). Let $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a smooth map. If $M$ is compact, orientable and the sectional curvature of $(N, h)$ is non-positive, i.e., Riem ${ }^{N} \leq 0$, then $u$ is a biharmonic map if and only if it is harmonic.

In this section, we will obtain the following results
Theorem 3.2. Let $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a smooth map. If $M$ is compact, orientable and the sectional curvature of $(N, h)$ is non-positive, i.e., Riem ${ }^{N} \leq 0$, then $u$ is an F-biharmonic map if and only if it is harmonic.

Proof. Computing the Laplacian of the function $\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\triangle & \left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2} \\
= & 2 \sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)  \tag{6}\\
& +2 h\left(-\widetilde{\triangle}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{F, 2}(u)= & -\widetilde{\triangle}\left(F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) \\
& -\sum_{i} R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) d u\left(e_{i}\right)=0 . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

From (6) and (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \triangle\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2} \\
&= 2 \sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) \\
&+2 \sum_{i} h\left(R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) d u\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the section curvature of $N$ is non-positive, i.e., Riem ${ }^{N} \leq 0$ and by (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2} \geq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Green's theorem $\int_{M} \triangle\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2} d v_{g}=0$ and (9), we have

so then $\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2}$ is constant. From (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]=0, \quad \text { for } k=1, \ldots, m \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $X=\sum_{i} h\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) e_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div}(X)= & \sum_{k} g\left(\nabla_{e_{k}} X, e_{k}\right) \\
= & h\left(\tau(u), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i} h\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)  \tag{11}\\
= & h\left(\tau(u), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) \\
= & F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)\|\tau(u)\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (11) over $M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{M} \operatorname{div}(X) d v_{g}=\int_{M} F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)\|\tau(u)\|^{2} d v_{g} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $F^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and (12), we have $\tau(u)=0$.

When $u$ is a Riemannian immersion and $\operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} N-1$, we can replace the hypothesis Riem $^{N} \leq 0$ with the hypothesis Ricci ${ }^{N} \leq 0$, and we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a Rimannian immersion. If $M$ is compact, orientable, $\operatorname{Ricci}^{N} \leq 0$ and $\operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} N-1$, then $u$ is an $F$-biharmonic map if and only if it is harmonic.

Proof. Since $u$ is a Riemannian immersion and $\operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} N-1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i} h\left(R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) d u\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right)  \tag{13}\\
& =-\operatorname{Ricci}^{N}\left(F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (8), (13) and $\operatorname{Ricci}^{N} \leq 0$, we have

$$
\triangle\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2} \geq 0 .
$$

Applying the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we get the result.

Theorem 3.4. Let $(M, g)$ be an m-dimensional complete manifold with $\operatorname{Vol}(M, g)=\infty$. If $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is an $F$-biharmonic map, the sectional curvature of $(N, h)$ is non-positive, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{F, 2}(u)= & -\widetilde{\triangle}\left(F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) \\
& -\sum_{i} R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) d u\left(e_{i}\right)=0 . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Take any point $x_{0} \in M$ and for every $r>0$, let us consider the following cut off function $\lambda(x)$ on $M$ :

$$
\begin{cases}0 \leq \lambda(x) \leq 1, & x \in M,  \tag{15}\\ \lambda(x)=1, & x \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right), \\ \lambda(x)=0, & x \in M-B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right), \\ |\nabla \lambda| \leq \frac{2}{r}, & x \in M,\end{cases}
$$

where $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in M: d\left(x, x_{0}\right)<r\right\}$ and $d$ is the distance of $(M, g)$.
Let $X$ be a compactly supported vector field on $M$ such that

$$
g(X, Y)=h\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) .
$$

Then the divergence of $X$ is given by the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{div}(X) \\
& =\sum_{k} g\left(\nabla_{e_{k}} X, e_{k}\right)=\sum_{k} e_{k} g\left(X, e_{k}\right)-\sum_{k} g\left(X, \nabla_{e_{k}} e_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k} e_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) \\
& \quad-\sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{k}} e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) \\
& =h\left(-\widetilde{\triangle}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left(\lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (14) and (16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{div}(X) \\
& =\sum_{k} h\left(R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{k}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) d u\left(e_{k}\right), \lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)  \tag{17}\\
& \quad+\sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left(\lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (17) over $M$ and Riem $^{N} \leq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k} \int_{M} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left(\lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)\right) d v_{g} \\
& =-\sum_{k} \int_{M} h\left(R^{N}\left(d u\left(e_{k}\right), F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right) d u\left(e_{k}\right), \lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) d v_{g}  \tag{18}\\
& =\sum_{k} \int_{M} h\left(R^{N}\left(F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u), d u\left(e_{k}\right)\right) d u\left(e_{k}\right), \lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) d v_{g} \\
& \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

From (18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & \sum_{k} \int_{M} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left(\lambda^{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)\right) d v_{g} \\
= & \sum_{k} \int_{M} \lambda^{2}\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right\|^{2} d v_{g}  \tag{19}\\
& +2 \sum_{k} \int_{M} \lambda e_{k}(\lambda) h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right],\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) d v_{g} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have
(20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k} \int_{M} \lambda^{2}\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right\|^{2} d v_{g} \\
& \leq-2 \sum_{k} \int_{M} \lambda e_{k}(\lambda) h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right],\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) d v_{g} \\
& =-\sum_{k} \int_{M} 2 h\left(\lambda \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right], e_{k}(\lambda)\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) d v_{g} \\
& \leq \sum_{k} \int_{M}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2}\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right\|^{2}+2\left[e_{k}(\lambda)\right]^{2}\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2}\right\} d v_{g},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the following Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\pm 2 h(V, W) \leq \varepsilon\|V\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|W\|^{2}
$$

for the second inequality and $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}$.
From (20), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k} \int_{M} \lambda^{2}\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right\|^{2} d v_{g} & \leq 4 \int_{M} \sum_{k}\left[e_{k}(\lambda)\right]^{2}\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2} d v_{g},  \tag{21}\\
& \leq \frac{16}{r^{2}} \int_{M}\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2} d v_{g}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\int_{M}\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2} d v_{g}<\infty$ and $(M, g)$ is complete, then we have $(r \rightarrow \infty)$

$$
\int_{M} \sum_{k}\left\|\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right\|^{2} d v_{g}=0 .
$$

For every vector field $X$ on $M$, we have

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]=0
$$

So we know that $\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2}$ is constant, say $C$. Therefore, if $\operatorname{Vol}(M, g)=\infty$ and $C \neq 0$, then

$$
\int_{M}\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2} d v_{g}=C^{2} \operatorname{Vol}(M, g)=\infty
$$

which yields a contradiction. Thus, we have $\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2}=C=0$. From $F^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and $\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right\|^{2}=0$, we know that $\tau(u)=0$, i.e. $u$ is harmonic.

From Theorem 3.4, we have the following corollaries:
Corollary 3.5. Let $(M, g)$ be an m-dimensional complete manifold with $\operatorname{Vol}(M, g)=\infty$. If $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is an exponentially biharmonic map, the sectional curvature of $(N, h)$ is nonpositive, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Riem}^{N} \leq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{M}\|\tau(u)\|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}} d v_{g}<\infty,
$$

then $u$ is harmonic.
Corollary 3.6. Let $(M, g)$ be an $m$-dimensional complete manifold with $\operatorname{Vol}(M, g)=\infty$. If $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is a p-biharmonic map, the sectional curvature of $(N, h)$ is non-positive, i.e., $\operatorname{Riem}^{N} \leq 0$ and $\int_{M}\|\tau(u)\|^{2 p-2} d v_{g}<\infty$, then $u$ is harmonic.

Corollary $3.7([15])$. Let $(M, g)$ be an m-dimensional complete manifold with $\operatorname{Vol}(M, g)=\infty$. If $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is a biharmonic map, the sectional curvature of $(N, h)$ is non-positive, i.e., Riem ${ }^{N} \leq 0$ and $\int_{M}\|\tau(u)\|^{2} d v_{g}<\infty$, then $u$ is harmonic.

## 4. Stress $F$-BIENERGY TENSOR

The stress bienergy tensor and the conservation law of a biharmonic map between Riemannian manifolds were first studied by G.Y. Jiang in [10]. Following Jiang's notion, we define the stress $F$-bienergy tensor of a smooth map as follows.

Definition 4.1. Let $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds. The stress $F$-bienergy tensor of $u$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{F, 2}(X, Y)= & F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) g(X, Y)+\sum_{k} h\left(d u\left(e_{k}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) g(X, Y) \\
& -h\left(d u(X), \widetilde{\nabla}_{Y}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)-h\left(d u(Y), \widetilde{\nabla}_{X}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$.
Remark 4.2. When $F(t)=t$, we have $S_{F, 2}(X, Y)=S_{2}(X, Y)$, where $S_{2}$ is stress bienergy tensor in [10].

Theorem 4.3. For any smooth map $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$

$$
\left(\operatorname{div} S_{F, 2}\right)(X)=-h\left(\tau_{F, 2}(u), d u(X)\right)-F^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) X\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{4}}{4}\right)
$$

for any vector field $X \in \Gamma(T M)$.
Proof. We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ on $M$ with $\left.\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right|_{x}=0$ at a point $x \in M$.
Let $X$ be a vector field on $M$. At $x$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{div} S_{F, 2}\right)(X)=\sum_{i}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} S_{F, 2}\right)\left(e_{i}, X\right)=\sum_{i} e_{i} S_{F, 2}\left(e_{i}, X\right)-S_{F, 2}\left(e_{i}, \nabla_{e_{i}} X\right) \\
= & \sum_{i} e_{i}\left[F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) g\left(e_{i}, X\right)+\sum_{k} h\left(d u\left(e_{k}\right), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) g\left(e_{i}, X\right)\right. \\
& \left.-h\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{X}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)-h\left(d u(X), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)\right] \\
& -\sum_{i}\left[F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) g\left(e_{i}, \nabla_{e_{i}} X\right)+\sum_{k} h\left(d u\left(e_{k}\right), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) g\left(e_{i}, \nabla_{e_{i}} X\right)\right. \\
& \left.-h\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), \tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{i}} X}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)-h\left(d u\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} X\right), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)\right] \\
= & X\left(F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)\right)+\sum_{k} h\left((\widetilde{\nabla} d u)\left(X, e_{k}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) \\
& +\sum_{k} h\left(d u\left(e_{k}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)-h\left(\tau(u), \widetilde{\nabla}_{X}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) \\
& -\sum_{i} h\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{X}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)-\sum_{k} h\left(\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{2} d u\right)\left(X, e_{k}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) \\
= & -F^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) X\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{4}}{4}\right) \\
& \left.+h\left(\widetilde{\Delta}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]+\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_{i}} X}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)-\sum_{i} h\left(d u(X), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)\right] \\
= & -h\left(\tau_{F, 2}(u), d u(X)\right)-F^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) X\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{4}}{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 3.1, we know that if $u: M \rightarrow N$ is an $F$-biharmonic map, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{div} S_{F, 2}\right)(X)=-F^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) X\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{4}}{4}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.4. Let $c: I \subset R \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a curve parametrized by arc-length. Assume that $S_{F, 2}=0$ and $l_{F}=\inf _{t \geq 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}>0$. Then $c$ is geodesic.

Proof. A direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =S_{F, 2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=F\left(\frac{\|\tau(c)\|^{2}}{2}\right)-h\left(d c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(c)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(c)\right]\right) \\
& =F\left(\frac{\|\tau(c)\|^{2}}{2}\right)+h\left(\tau(c),\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(c)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(c)\right]\right),>\left(1+2 l_{F}\right) F\left(\frac{\|\tau(c)\|^{2}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $F\left(\frac{\|\tau(c)\|^{2}}{2}\right)=0$, then $\tau(c)=0$.
Proposition 4.5. Let $u:\left(M^{2}, g\right) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a map from a surface. Then $S_{F, 2}=0$ implies $u$ is harmonic.

Proof. The trace of $S_{F, 2}$ gives the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \operatorname{trace} S_{F, 2}=F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)+2\left\langle d u, \widetilde{\nabla}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right\rangle \\
& -2\left\langle d u, \widetilde{\nabla}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right\rangle=F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so we have $\tau(u)=0$.

Proposition 4.6. Let $u:\left(M^{m}, g\right) \rightarrow(N, h), m \neq 2$. Then $S_{F, 2}=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2}{m-2} F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) g(X, Y)+h\left(d u(X), \widetilde{\nabla}_{Y}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)  \tag{23}\\
& +h\left(d u(Y), \widetilde{\nabla}_{X}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$.
Proof. Since $S_{F, 2}=0$, we have trace $S_{F, 2}=0$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{k} h\left(d u\left(e_{k}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)=-\frac{m}{m-2} F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) .
$$

Substituting it into the definition of $S_{F, 2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & S_{F, 2}(X, Y)=-\frac{2}{m-2} F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) g(X, Y) \\
& -h\left(d u(X), \widetilde{\nabla}_{Y}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right)-h\left(d u(Y), \widetilde{\nabla}_{X}\left[F^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) \tau(u)\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4.7. A map $u:\left(M^{m}, g\right) \rightarrow(N, h), m>2$, with $S_{F, 2}=0$ and rank $u \leq m-1$ is harmonic.

Proof. Take $p \in M$. Since $\operatorname{rank} u(p) \leq m-1$, there exists a unit vector $X_{p} \in \operatorname{Ker} d u_{p}$ and for $X=Y=X_{p}$, (23) becomes $F\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right)=0$, so $\tau(u)=0$.

Corollary 4.8. Let $u:\left(M^{m}, g\right) \rightarrow\left(N^{n}, h\right)$ be a submersion $(m>n)$, if $S_{F, 2}=0$, then $u$ is harmonic.
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$$
\operatorname{div}\left(i_{X} T\right)=(\operatorname{div} T)(X)+\left\langle T, \nabla \theta_{X}\right\rangle=(\operatorname{div} T)(X)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T, L_{X} g\right\rangle
$$

Let $D$ be any bounded domain of $M$ with $C^{1}$ boundary. By using the Stokes' theorem, we immediately have the following integral formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial D} T(X, \nu) d s_{g}=\int_{D}\left[\left\langle T, \frac{1}{2} L_{X} g\right\rangle+\operatorname{div}(T)(X)\right] d v_{g} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is the unit outward normal vector field along $\partial D$.
By (22) and (3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial D} S_{F, 2}(X, \nu) d s_{g} \\
& =\int_{D}\left[\left\langle S_{F, 2}, \frac{1}{2} L_{X} g\right\rangle-F^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\right) X\left(\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{4}}{4}\right)\right] d v_{g} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m-1}{2} \lambda_{\min }+1-2 \max \left\{2, \lambda_{\max }\right\} \geq \sigma . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ is a biharmonic map and $h\left(\tau(u), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} d u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right) \geq 0$, then we have
In this section, we investigate the special case of $F$-biharmonic maps, i.e., biharmonic maps.
Let $\left(M^{m}, g\right)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with pole $x_{0}$. By $r(x)$ denote the $g$-distance function relative to the pole $x_{0}$, that is, $r(x)=\operatorname{dist}_{g}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$. Set $B(r)=\left\{x \in M^{m}: r(x) \leq r\right\}$. By $\lambda_{\max }\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\lambda_{\min }\right)$ denote the maximum (resp. minimal) eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)-d r \otimes d r$ at each point of $M-\left\{x_{0}\right\}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be an isometric immersion. Assume that there is a constant $\sigma>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial D} S_{2}(X, \nu) d s_{g}=\int_{D}\left\langle S_{2}, \frac{1}{2} L_{X} g\right\rangle d v_{g} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Monotonicity formulas for biharmonic maps

When $F(t)=t$, the equation (28) turns into the following equation
$\square$
for any tangent vector field $X$ on $M$.
Taking $D=B(r)$ and $X=r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ in (29), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B(r)} S_{2}\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) d s_{g}=\int_{B(r)}\left\langle S_{2}, \frac{1}{2} L_{r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}} g\right\rangle d v_{g}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{B(r)}\left\langle S_{2}, \operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)\right\rangle d v_{g} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ be an orthonormal basis on $M$ and $e_{m}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$. We may assume that $\operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)$ becomes a diagonal matrix with respect to $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle S_{2}, \operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)\right\rangle= & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} S_{2}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sum_{i} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} \operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{k} h\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}} \tau(u), d u\left(e_{k}\right)\right) \sum_{i} \operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \\
& \left.-2 \sum_{i, j} h\left(d u\left(e_{i}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{j}} \tau(u)\right) \operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right\} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2}\left\{-\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} \sum_{i} \operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \sum_{i} h\left(\tau(u), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} d u\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \operatorname{Hess}\left(r^{2}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)\right\} \\
\geq & \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{m-1}{2} \lambda_{\min }+1-2 \max \left\{2, \lambda_{\max }\right\}\right] \\
\geq & \sigma \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the equation (32) is used for the third equality and the equation (30) for the last inequality.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { On the other hand, by the coarea formula, we have } \\
& -\int_{\partial B(r)} S_{2}\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) d s_{g}=-\int_{\partial B(r)}\left\{\left[\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}+\sum_{k} h\left(d u\left(e_{k}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{k}} \tau(u)\right)\right] g\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2 r h\left(d u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} \tau(u)\right)\right\} d s_{g} \\
& =\int_{\partial B(r)}\left\{r \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}-r h\left(\tau(u), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} d u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right)\right\} d s_{g}  \tag{35}\\
& \leq \int_{\partial B(r)} r \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d s_{g}=r \frac{d}{d r} \int_{B(r)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g},
\end{align*}
$$

where the condition $h\left(\tau(u), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} d u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right) \geq 0$ is used for the inequality.
From (33), (34) and (35), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \int_{B(r)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g} \leq r \frac{d}{d r} \int_{B(r)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d r} \frac{\int_{B(r)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}}{r^{\sigma}} \geq 0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{\int_{B\left(\rho_{1}\right)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}}{\rho_{1}^{\sigma}} \leq \frac{\int_{B\left(\rho_{2}\right)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}}{\rho_{2}^{\sigma}}
$$

for any $0<\rho_{1} \leq \rho_{2}$.

Lemma $5.2([4,8])$. Let $\left(M^{m}, g\right)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with a pole $x_{0} . B y K_{r}$ denote the radial curvature of $M$ as follows
(i) if $-\alpha^{2} \leq K_{r} \leq-\beta^{2}$ with $\alpha \geq \beta>0$, then

$$
\beta \operatorname{coth}(\beta r)[g-d r \otimes d r] \leq \operatorname{Hess}(r) \leq \alpha \operatorname{coth}(\alpha r)[g-d r \otimes d r]
$$

(ii) if $-\frac{A}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq K_{r} \leq \frac{B}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}}$ with $\varepsilon>0, A \geq 0$ and $0 \leq B<2 \varepsilon$, then

$$
\frac{1-B / 2 \varepsilon}{r}[g-d r \otimes d r] \leq \operatorname{Hess}(r) \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{A / 2 \varepsilon}}{r}[g-d r \otimes d r]
$$

(iii) if $-\frac{a^{2}}{1+r^{2}} \leq K_{r} \leq \frac{b^{2}}{1+r^{2}}$ with $a \geq 0$ and $b^{2} \in\left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right]$, then

$$
\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4 b^{2}}}{2 r}[g-d r \otimes d r] \leq \operatorname{Hess}(r) \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 a^{2}}}{2 r}[g-d r \otimes d r]
$$

Lemma 5.3. Let $\left(M^{m}, g\right)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with a pole $x_{0}$. By $K_{r}$ denote the radial curvature of $M$ as follows
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(i) if $-\alpha^{2} \leq K_{r} \leq-\beta^{2}$ with $\alpha \geq \beta>0$ and $(m-1) \beta-4 \alpha \geq 0$, then

$$
\frac{(m-1)}{2} \lambda_{\min }+1-2 \max \left\{2, \lambda_{\max }\right\} \geq m-\frac{4 \alpha}{\beta}
$$

(ii) if $-\frac{A}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq K_{r} \leq \frac{B}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}}$ with $\varepsilon>0, A \geq 0$ and $0 \leq B<2 \varepsilon$, then

$$
\frac{(m-1)}{2} \lambda_{\min }+1-2 \max \left\{2, \lambda_{\max }\right\} \geq 1+(m-1)\left(1-\frac{B}{2 \varepsilon}\right)-4 e^{\frac{A}{2 \varepsilon}}
$$

(iii) if $-\frac{a^{2}}{1+r^{2}} \leq K_{r} \leq \frac{b^{2}}{1+r^{2}}$ with $a \geq 0$ and $b^{2} \in\left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(m-1)}{2} \lambda_{\min }+1-2 \max \left\{2, \lambda_{\max }\right\} \\
& \geq\left[1+(m-1) \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4 b^{2}}}{2}-4 \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 a^{2}}}{2} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If $K_{r}$ satisfies (i), then by Lemma 5.2, for every $r>0$, we have on $B(r)-\left\{x_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left[(m-1) \lambda_{\min }+2-4 \max \left\{2, \lambda_{\max }\right\}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}[(m-1) 2 \beta r \operatorname{coth}(\beta r)+2-4 \times 2 \alpha r \operatorname{coth}(\alpha r)] \\
& =1+\beta r \operatorname{coth}(\beta r)\left(m-1-\frac{4 \alpha}{\beta} \frac{\operatorname{coth}(\alpha r)}{\operatorname{coth}(\beta r)}\right) \\
& \left.\geq 1+1 \cdot(m-1)-\frac{4 \alpha}{\beta}\right)=m-\frac{4 \alpha}{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality is valid the increasing function $\beta r \operatorname{coth}(\beta r) \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, and $\frac{\operatorname{coth}(\alpha r)}{\operatorname{coth}(\beta r)}<1$ for $0<\beta<\alpha$. Similarly, from Lemma 5.2, the above inequality holds for the cases (ii) and (iii) on $B(r)$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $(M, g)$ be an m-dimensional complete manifold with a pole $x_{0}$. Assume that the radial curvature $K_{r}$ of $M$ satisfies one of the following three conditions:
(i) if if $-\alpha^{2} \leq K_{r} \leq-\beta^{2}$ with $\alpha \geq \beta>0$ and $(m-1) \beta-4 \alpha \geq 0$,
(ii) if $-\frac{A}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq K_{r} \leq \frac{B}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}}$ with $\varepsilon>0, A \geq 0,0 \geq B<2 \varepsilon$ and $1+(m-1)\left(1-\frac{B}{2 \varepsilon}\right)-$ $4 \mathrm{e}^{\frac{A}{2 \varepsilon}}>0$,
(iii) if $-\frac{a^{2}}{1+r^{2}} \leq K_{r} \leq \frac{b^{2}}{1+r^{2}}$ with $a \geq 0, b^{2} \in\left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right]$ and $1+(m-1) \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4 b^{2}}}{2}-4 \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 a^{2}}}{2}>0$.

If $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is a biharmonic isometric immersion and $h(\tau(u)$, $\left.\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} d u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right) \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{B\left(\rho_{1}\right)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}}{\rho_{1}^{\Lambda}} \leq \frac{\int_{B\left(\rho_{2}\right)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}}{\rho_{2}^{\Lambda}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $0<\rho_{1} \leq \rho_{2}$, where

$$
\Lambda= \begin{cases}m-\frac{4 \alpha}{\beta}, & \text { if } K_{r} \text { satisfies (i) }  \tag{39}\\ 1+(m-1)\left(1-\frac{B}{2 \varepsilon}\right)-4 \mathrm{e}^{\frac{A}{2 \varepsilon}}, & \text { if } K_{r} \text { satisfies (ii) } \\ 1+(m-1) \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4 b^{2}}}{2}-4 \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 a^{2}}}{2}, & \text { if } K_{r} \text { satisfies (iii) }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.3, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d r} \frac{\int_{B(r)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}}{r^{\Lambda}} \geq 0
$$

Therefore, we get the monotonicity formula

$$
\frac{\int_{B\left(\rho_{1}\right)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}}{\rho_{1}^{\Lambda}} \leq \frac{\int_{B\left(\rho_{2}\right)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}}{\rho_{2}^{\Lambda}}
$$

for any $0<\rho_{1} \leq \rho_{2}$.

Corollary 5.5. Let $M, K_{r}$ and $\Lambda$ be as in Theorem 5.4. Assume that $u:(M, g)$ $\rightarrow(N, h)$ is a biharmonic isometric immersion and $h\left(\tau(u), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} d u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right) \geq 0$. If

$$
\int_{B(R)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g}=o\left(R^{\Lambda}\right)
$$

then $u$ is harmonic.
We say the bienergy $E_{2}(u)$ of $u$ is slowly divergent if there exists a positive function $\psi(r)$ with $\int_{R_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{d r}{r \psi(r)}=+\infty\left(R_{0}>0\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(R)} \frac{\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}}{\frac{2}{\psi(r(x))}} d v_{g}<\infty . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.6. Let $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a biharmonic isometric immersion. Assume that there is a constant $\sigma>0$ such that

$$
\frac{m-1}{2} \lambda_{\min }+1-2 \max \left\{2, \lambda_{\max }\right\} \geq \sigma
$$

If $E_{2}(u)$ is slowly divergent and $h\left(\tau(u), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} d u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right) \geq 0$, then $u$ is harmonic, i.e., $\tau(u)=0$.
Proof. From the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \int_{B(r)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g} \leq r \int_{\partial B(r)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d s_{g} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that $u$ is not harmonic, so there exists $R_{0}>0$ such that for $R \geq R_{0}$,

$$
\sigma \int_{B(R)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d v_{g} \geq c_{1}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is a positive constant. From (41) and (42), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \sigma \leq R \int_{\partial B(R)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d s_{g} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $R \geq R_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(R)} \frac{\frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2}}{\psi(r(x))} d v_{g} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d R}{\psi(R)} \int_{\partial B(R)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d s_{g} \\
& \geq \int_{R_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{d R}{\psi(R)} \int_{\partial B(R)} \frac{\|\tau(u)\|^{2}}{2} d s_{g} \\
& \geq c_{1} \sigma \int_{R_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{d R}{R \psi(R)}=\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

Go back
Theorem 5.7. Let $M, K_{r}$ and $\Lambda$ be as in Theorem 5.4. If $u:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ is a biharmonic isometric immersion, the bienergy $E_{2}(u)$ is slowly divergent and $h\left(\tau(u), \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} d u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right) \geq 0$, then $u$ is harmonic.
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