

# Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by q-derivative operator

### Dorina Răducanu

#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain the estimates for the second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by q-derivative operator and subordinate to an analytic function.

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the class of analytic functions in  $\mathbb{U}$  satisfying p(0) = 1 and  $\Re p(z) > 0$ .

We say that an analytic function f is *subordinate* to an analytic function g, denoted  $f \prec g$ , if there exists an analytic self map w in  $\mathbb{U}$  with w(0) = 0 such that  $f(z) = g(w(z)), z \in \mathbb{U}$ . Furthermore, if g is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the subordination  $f \prec g$  is equivalent to f(0) = g(0) and  $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$ .

Ma and Minda [17] defined two classes of analytic functions:

$$\mathbb{S}^*(\phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z), \ z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}$$

Key Words: analytic functions, q-derivative, subordination, Hankel determinant. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C50, 30C55; Secondary 30C45.

Received: 30.08.2018 Accepted: 10.10.2018

$$\mathfrak{C}(\phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \phi(z), \ z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}$$

where  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\phi'(0) > 0$  and such that  $\phi$  maps  $\mathbb{U}$  onto a starlike region with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis.

Many subclasses of starlike and convex functions are contained in  $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$ . For example, for the function  $\phi$  given by  $\phi_{\alpha}(z) = (1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z)/(1 - z), 0 \le \alpha < 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}^*(\phi_{\alpha})$  is the familiar class of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  and the class  $\mathcal{C}(\alpha) = \mathcal{C}(\phi_{\alpha})$  is the class of convex functions of order  $\alpha$ . The classes  $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}^*(0)$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(0)$  are the well-known classes of starlike and convex functions, respectively.

Following the definitions of Ma and Minda starlike and convex functions, various classes of analytic functions defined by subordination were investigated (e.g. see [2], [25], [30]).

For a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  given by (1.1) and  $q \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ , the *qth Hankel determinant* is defined by

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix} \quad (a_1 = 1).$$

Note that the well-known Fekete-Szegö functional  $a_3 - \mu a_2^2$  (see [8]), where  $\mu$  is a real or complex number, is a generalized form of the Hankel determinant  $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$ . Upper bounds for the coefficient functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$  for various subclasses of univalent functions have been obtained by many authors. See, for example, the recent results in [1], [4], [24], [29]. The second Hankel determinant  $H_2(2)$  is given by  $H_2(2) = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2$ .

Pommerenke [22], [23] and later Hayman [10] investigated the Hankel determinant of areally mean p-valent or univalent functions. The same problem was also considered in [20]. Recently, the bounds for the second or third Hankel determinants for different subclasses of univalent or multivalent functions have been investigated by many authors (e.g. see [6], [26], [28], [32], [33]). Hankel determinants for various classes of bi-univalent functions have been also considered (e.g. see [5], [21]).

The q-calculus operator theory is used in many areas of applied sciences such as fractional calculus, optimal control, quantum mechanics. The q-difference operator and the Jackson q-integral were first developed by Jackson [11], [12]. Recently, certain classes of analytic functions defined by q-derivative operators have been also investigated in [9], [13], [18], [27] etc.

For  $q \in (0,1)$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the q-analogue of n, or q-integer number n,

is defined by

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}.$$
 (1.2)

It is obvious that  $\lim_{q\to 1^-} [n]_q = n$ .

Let  $q \in (0,1)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . The q-derivative or q-difference operator of f is defined by (e.g. see [3], [12])

$$\mathcal{D}_{q}f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z} &, z \neq 0\\ f'(0) &, z = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1.3)

Note that  $\lim_{q\to 1^-} \mathcal{D}_q f(z) = f'(z)$ . If  $f(z) = z^n$  then

$$\mathcal{D}_q f(z) = \mathcal{D}_q(z^n) = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} z^{n-1} = [n]_q z^{n-1}$$
(1.4)

and  $\lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \mathcal{D}_{q}(z^{n}) = \lim_{q \to 1^{-}} [n]_{q} z^{n-1} = nz^{n-1}$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be given by (1.1). In view of (1.3) and (1.4), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}.$$
 (1.5)

A well-known result due to Marx-Strohhäcker [19], [31] states that if  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ then  $\Re \sqrt{f'(z)} > 1/2$ . Motivated by this implication and using the q-difference operator, we define the following class of analytic functions via subordination.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\phi: \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$  be analytic and let  $q \in (0,1)$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathbb{S}Q_q(\phi)$  if it satisfies the subordination

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_q f(z)} \prec \phi(z), \ z \in \mathbb{U}.$$
 (1.6)

Note that for  $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$  and  $q \to 1^-$  the subordination (1.6) becomes

$$\Re\sqrt{f'(z)} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{1.7}$$

For the particular case when  $\phi$  is given by  $\phi_{\alpha}(z) = (1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z)/(1 - z), 0 \le$  $\alpha < 1$ , the class  $SQ_q(\alpha) = SQ_q(\phi_\alpha)$  consists of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  which satisfy the inequality

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_q f(z)} > \alpha, \ z \in \mathbb{U}.$$
(1.8)

Recently, Lee et al. [14] obtained bounds for the second Hankel determinant  $H_2(2)$  of functions belonging to the classes  $S^*(\phi)$ ,  $C(\phi)$  and other related classes defined by subordination.

In this paper, making use of the same technique as in [14], we find upper bounds for the second Hankel determinant  $H_2(2)$  for the function class  $SQ_q(\phi)$ .

# 2 Second Hankel determinant for the class $SQ_q(\phi)$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this section that the function  $\phi$  is given by the series

$$\phi(z) = 1 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + A_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad A_1 > 0.$$
 (2.1)

The following two lemmas for the class  $\mathcal{P}$  will be used to prove our results.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([7]) Let the function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  be given by

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$
 (2.2)

Then the sharp estimate

$$|c_n| \le 2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.3}$$

holds.

**Lemma 2.2.** ([15], [16]) If the function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  is given by (2.2), then

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2) (2.4)$$

$$4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z$$
 (2.5)

for some x, z with  $|x| \le 1$  and  $|z| \le 1$ .

In the next theorem, we shall determine the upper bound for the Hankel determinant  $H_2(2)$  for the class  $SQ_q(\phi)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $q \in (0,1)$  and let  $\delta = (1+1/q)^2(1+q^2)$ . Suppose that  $f \in SQ_q(\phi)$  is given by (1.1).

1. If  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$  satisfy the inequalities

$$|A_1^2 + 2A_2| \le (\delta - 1)A_1 \text{ and } |A_1^2A_2 + (\delta + 1)A_1A_3 - \frac{\delta}{4}A_1^4 - \delta A_2^2| \le \delta A_1^2$$

then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{4q^2}{\delta + 1}A_1^2.$$

2. If  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$  satisfy the inequalities

$$|A_1^2 + 2A_2| \ge (\delta - 1)A_1$$
 and 
$$2|A_1^2 A_2 + (\delta + 1)A_1 A_3 - \frac{\delta}{4}A_1^4 - \delta A_2^2| \ge A_1|A_1^2 + 2A_2| + (\delta + 1)A_1^2$$

or the inequalities

$$|A_1^2 + 2A_2| \le (\delta - 1)A_1 \text{ and } |A_1^2A_2 + (\delta + 1)A_1A_3 - \frac{\delta}{4}A_1^4 - \delta A_2^2| \ge \delta A_1^2$$

then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{4}{q^2\delta(\delta+1)}|A_1^2A_2 + (\delta+1)A_1A_3 - \frac{\delta}{4}A_1^4 - \delta A_2^2|.$$

3. If  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  satisfy the inequalities

$$|A_1^2 + 2A_2| > (\delta - 1)A_1 \text{ and}$$

$$2|A_1^2 A_2 + (\delta + 1)A_1 A_3 - \frac{\delta}{4}A_1^4 - \delta A_2^2| \le A_1|A_1^2 + 2A_2| + (\delta + 1)A_1^2$$

then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{A_1^2}{q\delta(\delta + 1)}$$

$$\times \left( \begin{array}{c} 4\delta |A_{1}^{2}A_{2}+(\delta+1)A_{1}A_{3}-\frac{\delta}{4}A_{1}^{4}-\delta A_{2}^{2}| \\ -2(\delta+1)A_{1}|A_{1}^{2}+2A_{2}|-|A_{1}^{2}+2A_{2}|^{2}-(\delta+1)^{2}A_{1}^{2} \\ \hline |A_{1}^{2}A_{2}+(\delta+1)A_{1}A_{3}-\frac{\delta}{4}A_{1}^{4}-\delta A_{2}^{2}|-A_{1}|A_{1}^{2}+2A_{2}|-A_{1}^{2} \end{array} \right).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $f \in SQ(\phi)$ . Then there exists an analytic self map w(z) of  $\mathbb U$  with w(0) = 0 such that

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_q f(z)} = \phi(w(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{2.6}$$

Define the function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  by

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

or equivalently

$$w(z) = \frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ c_1 z + \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \left( c_3 - c_1 c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} \right) z^3 + \dots \right].$$
(2.7)

Making use of (2.7) together with (2.1) we have

$$\phi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}A_1c_1z + \frac{1}{2}\left[A_1\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{A_2c_1^2}{2}\right]z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left[A_1\left(c_3 - c_1c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4}\right) + A_2c_1\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{A_3c_1^3}{4}\right]z^3 + \dots$$
 (2.8)

In view of (1.5), we have

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_q f(z)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} [2]_q a_2 z + \frac{1}{2} \left( [3]_q a_3 - \frac{[2]_q^2}{4} a_2^2 \right) z^2$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left( [4]_q a_4 - \frac{[2]_q [3]_q}{2} a_2 a_3 + \frac{[2]_q^3}{8} a_2^3 \right) z^3 + \dots$$

or, by using (1.2)

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_q f(z)} = 1 + \frac{1+q}{2} a_2 z + \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1+q+q^2) a_3 - \frac{(1+q)^2}{4} a_2^2 \right] z^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1+q)(1+q^2) a_4 - \frac{(1+q)(1+q+q^2)}{2} a_2 a_3 + \frac{(1+q)^3}{8} a_2^3 \right] z^3 + \dots$$

Equating the coefficients of  $z, z^2$  and  $z^3$ , from (2.6) and (2.8), we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{A_1 c_1}{1+q} \tag{2.9}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{1+q+q^2} \left( A_1 c_2 + \frac{A_1^2 c_1^2}{4} - \frac{A_1 c_1^2}{2} + \frac{A_2 c_1^2}{2} \right)$$
 (2.10)

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{(1+q)(1+q^2)} \left( A_1 c_3 - A_1 c_1 c_2 + A_2 c_1 c_2 + \frac{A_1^2 c_1 c_2}{2} \right)$$

$$A_1 c_3^3 - A_2^2 c_3^3 - A_2 c_3^3 - A_1 A_2 c_3^3 - A_3 c_3^3$$

$$+\frac{A_1c_1^3}{4} - \frac{A_1^2c_1^3}{4} - \frac{A_2c_1^3}{2} + \frac{A_1A_2c_1^3}{4} + \frac{A_3c_1^3}{4}\right). \tag{2.11}$$

A lengthy computation leads to

$$a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 = \frac{A_1}{4q^2 \delta(\delta + 1)}$$

$$\times [(-A_1^2 + A_1 - 2A_2 + A_1 A_2 + (\delta + 1)A_3 - \delta/4A_1^3 - \delta A_2^2/A_1)c_1^4$$

$$+ (2A_1^2 - 4A_1 + 4A_2)c_1^2 c_2 + 4(\delta + 1)A_1 c_1 c_3 - 4\delta A_1 c_2^2]$$

where  $\delta = (1 + 1/q)^2 (1 + q^2)$ .

In order to simplify computation, let

$$\Lambda = \frac{A_1}{4q^2\delta(\delta+1)}$$

$$\lambda_1 = -A_1^2 + A_1 - 2A_2 + A_1 A_2 + (\delta + 1)A_3 - \frac{\delta}{4}A_1^3 - \delta \frac{A_2^2}{A_1}$$
 (2.12)

$$\lambda_2 = 2A_1^2 - 4A_1 + 4A_2$$
  $\lambda_3 = 4(\delta + 1)A_1$   $\lambda_4 = -4\delta A_1$ . (2.13)

It follows that

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = \Lambda |\lambda_1 c_1^4 + \lambda_2 c_1^2 c_2 + \lambda_3 c_1 c_3 + \lambda_4 c_2^2|. \tag{2.14}$$

Since  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , the function  $p(e^{i\theta}z)$  ( $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is also in the class  $\mathcal{P}$  and therefore we can assume without loss of generality that  $c_1 = c \in [0, 2]$ .

Substituting in (2.14) the values of  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  from (2.4) and (2.5) respectively , we get

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| = \Lambda \left| c^4 \left( \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_3 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_4 \right) + \frac{1}{2} c^2 x (4 - c^2) (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4) \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{4} x^2 (4 - c^2) [-\lambda_3 c^2 + \lambda_4 (4 - c^2)] + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 c (4 - c^2) (1 - |x|^2) z \right|.$$

Furthermore, substituting the values of  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_4$  from (2.12) and (2.13), in view of triangle inequality, we obtain

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \Lambda \left[ |A_1A_2 + (\delta + 1)A_3 - \frac{\delta}{4}A_1^3 - \delta \frac{A_2^2}{A_1}|c^4 + c^2\mu(4 - c^2)|A_1^2 + 2A_2| \right]$$

$$+\mu^2(4-c^2)A_1(c-2)(c-2\delta) + 2(\delta+1)A_1c(4-c^2) ] := F(c,\mu),$$
 where  $\mu = |x| \in [0,1].$ 

Now, we maximize the function  $F(c, \mu)$ , given by (2.15), on the closed rectangle  $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$ . Since

$$\frac{\partial F(c,\mu)}{\partial \mu} = \Lambda[c^2(4-c^2)|A_1^2 + 2A_2| + 2\mu(4-c^2)A_1(c-2)(c-2\delta)] > 0$$

it follows that  $F(c, \mu)$  is an increasing function of  $\mu$ . Hence

$$\max_{0 \le \mu \le 1} F(c, \mu) = F(c, 1) := G(c), \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$G(c) = \Lambda \left[ \left( |A_1 A_2 + (\delta + 1) A_3 - \frac{\delta}{4} A_1^3 - \delta \frac{A_2^2}{A_1} | - |A_1^2 + 2A_2| - A_1 \right) c^4 + 4c^2 \left( |A_1^2 + 2A_2| + (1 - \delta) A_1 \right) + 16\delta A_1 \right].$$

Define

$$A = |A_1 A_2 + (\delta + 1)A_3 - \delta/4A_1^3 - \delta A_2^2/A_1| - |A_1^2 + 2A_2| - A_1$$

$$B = 4|A_1^2 + 2A_2| + 4(1 - \delta)A_1$$

$$C = 16\delta A_1.$$

and let  $c^2 = t \in [0, 4]$ . Then, in view of (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \max_{0 \le c \le 2} G(c) = \Lambda \max_{0 \le t \le 4} (At^2 + Bt + C).$$

Since

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 4} (At^2 + Bt + C) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} C & , \ B \leq 0, \ A \leq -B/4 \\ 16A + 4B + C & , \ B \geq 0, \ A \geq -B/8 \ \ \text{or} \ \ B \leq 0, \ A \geq -B/4 \\ \frac{4AC - B^2}{4A} & , \ B > 0, \ A \leq -B/8. \end{array} \right.$$

a routine calculation yields the desired result.

If in Theorem (2.1) we let  $q \to 1^-$  and set  $\phi(z) = 1/(1-z)$ , we obtain the upper bound for the second Hankel determinant  $H_2(2)$  for the class of functions which satisfy inequality (1.7).

**Corollary 2.1.** Suppose that  $f \in A$ , given by (1.1), satisfies inequality (1.7). Then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{4}{9}.$$

Setting  $\phi(z) = (1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z)/(1 - z)$  in Theorem 2.1, we get the estimate for  $H_2(2)$  for the class  $SQ_q(\alpha)$   $(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ .

Corollary 2.2. Let  $q \in (0,1)$  and let  $\delta = (1+1/q)^2(1+q^2)$ . If  $f \in SQ_q(\alpha)$  is given by (1.1), then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{16q^2}{\delta + 1}(1 - \alpha)^2.$$

## References

- [1] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran, S. Supramanian, *The Fekete-Szegö coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., **35**(2) (2009),119-142.
- [2] N. M. Alarifi, R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, On the second Hankel determinant for the kth-root transform of analytic functions, Filomat, **31**(2) (2017), 227-245.
- [3] A. Aral, V. Gupta, R. P. Agarwal, Applications of q-Calculus in Operator Theory, Springer, New York, USA, 2013.
- [4] J. H. Choi, Y. C. Kim, T. Sugawa, A general approach to the Fekete-Szegö problem, J. Math. Soc. Jpn., 59(3) (2007), 707-727.
- [5] M. Çağlar, E. Deniz, H. M. Srivastava, Second Hankel determinant for certain class of bi-univalent functions, Turkish J. Math., 41 (2017), 694-706.
- [6] E. Deniz, L. Budak, Second Hankel determinant for certain analytic functions satisfying subordinate condition, Math. Slovaca, 68(2) (2018), 463-471.
- [7] P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1983.
- [8] M. Fekete, G. Szegö Eine bemerkung über ungerade schlichte funktionen, J. London. Math. Soc., 8 (1933), 85-89.
- [9] M. Govindaraj, S. Sivasubramanian, On a class of analytic functions related to conic domains involving q-calculus, Anal. Math., 43(3) (2017), 475-487.
- [10] W. K. Hayman, On the second Hankel determinant of mean univalent functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., 18 (1968), 77-94.
- [11] F. H. Jackson, On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 46(1908), 253-281.
- [12] F. H. Jackson, Q-difference equations, Amer. J. Math, 32(4) (1910), 305-314.
- [13] S. Kanas, D. Răducanu, Some class of analytic functions related to conic domain, Math. Slovaca, **64**(5) (2014), 1183-1196.

- [14] S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran, S. Supramanian, Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions, J. Inequal. Appl., 2013:281 (2013), 17pp.
- [15] R. J. Libera, E. J. Złotkiewicz, Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 85(2) (1982), 225-230.
- [16] R. J. Libera, E. J. Złotkiewicz, Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in P, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 87(2) (1983), 251-257.
- [17] W. Ma, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Lang, S. Zhang (Eds.), Int. Press. (1994), 157-169.
- [18] S. Mahmood, J. Sokól New subclasses of analytic functions in conical domain associated with Ruscheweyh q-differential operator, Results. Math., 71(3-4) (2017), 1345-1357.
- [19] A. Marx, Untersuchungen über schlichte Abbildungen, Math. Ann., 107 (1932-33), 40-67.
- [20] J. W. Noonan, D. K. Thomas, On the second Hankel determinant of a really mean p-valent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 223(2) (1976), 337-346.
- [21] H. Orhan, N. Magesh, J. Yamini, Bounds for the second Hankel determinant for certain bi-univalent functions, Turkish J. Math., 40 (2016), 679-687.
- [22] C. Pommerenke, On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions, Mathematika, 14 (1967), 108-112.
- [23] C. Pommerenke, On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions, J. London Math. Soc., 41 (1966), 111-122.
- [24] R. K. Raina, J. Sokól, Fekete-Szegő problem for some starlike functions related to shell-like curves, Math. Slovaca, 1(66) (2016), 135-140.
- [25] D. Răducanu, Coefficient and pre-Schwarzian norm estimates for a class of generalized doubly close-to-convex functions, Int. J. Math., **25**(10) (2014), 1-10.
- [26] D. Răducanu, P. Zaprawa, Second Hankel determinant for the close-toconvex functions, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 355(10) (2017), 1063-1071.

- [27] T. M. Seoudy, M. K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of a new q-starlike and q-convex functions of complex order, J. Math. Inequal., 10(1) (2016), 135-145.
- [28] J. Sokól, D. K. Thomas, The second Hankel determinant for alpha-convex functions, Lith. Math. J., **58**(2) (2018), 212-218.
- [29] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, M. K. Das, The Fekete-Szegö problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 44 (2001), 145-163.
- [30] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, F. Ghanim, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions of the Ma-Minda type, RACSAM, DOI:10.1007/s 13398-017-0416-5.
- [31] E. Strohhäcker, Beiträge zur Theorie der schlichten functionen, Math. Z., 37 (1933), 356-380.
- [32] S. Verma, S. Gupta, S. Singh, Bounds of Hankel determinant for a class of univalent functionns, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., Article ID 147842 (2012).
- [33] P. Zaprawa, Second Hankel determinants for the class of typically-real functions, Abst. Appl. Anal., Article ID 3792367 (2016).

Dorina RĂDUCANU,

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Transilvania University of Braşov,

Bdul Iuliu Maniu 50, 500091 Braşov, Romania.

Email: draducanu@unitbv.ro