

**PROPERTIES FOR SUBCLASSES OF STARLIKE FUNCTION  
ASSOCIATED WITH Q-ANALOGUE OPERATOR AND COMPLEX  
ORDER**

A.O. MOSTAFA, Z.M. SALEH

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper using a  $q$ -analogue operator, we define subclasses of univalent functions of complex order and find coefficient bounds, distortion inequalities for functions in it and also obtain inclusion relations associated with the  $N_{q,\delta}$ -neighborhood and some Hadamard results..

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

*Keywords:* Starlike functions,  $q$ -Analogue Operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum calculus, occasionally named calculus without limits. It is known as  $q$ -calculus which has influenced many scientific fields due to its importance. Geometric function theory is no exception in this regard and many authors have already made a substantial research in this field. The generalization of derivative and integral in  $q$ -calculus are known as  $q$ -derivative and  $q$ -integral, were introduced and studied by Jackson [15]. Recently, many authors used the  $q$ -derivative and  $q$ -integral to generalize many classes and many operators in geometric function theory see for example [3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 23, 24].

The class of univalent analytic functions of the form

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, (a_k \geq 0), z \in \mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}, \quad (1)$$

is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Given  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , a function  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{T}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$  of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} \right\} > \alpha.$$

For  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ , the  $q$ -difference operator  $\nabla_q$  is given by [15] (see also [2, 4],[7]6,[12],[22, 23,24]);

$$\nabla_q \mathcal{F}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{F}(z) - \mathcal{F}(qz)}{(1-q)z} & , z \neq 0 \\ \mathcal{F}'(0) & , z = 0 \end{cases} ,$$

that is

$$\nabla_q \mathcal{F}(z) = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$[j]_q = \frac{1 - q^j}{1 - q}, \quad [0]_q = 0. \quad (3)$$

As  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $[k]_q = k$  and  $\nabla_q \mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{F}'(z)$ .

For  $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ , let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^0 \mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{F}(z),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^1 \mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z) = (1 - \lambda + \mu)\mathcal{F}(z) + (\lambda - \mu)z\nabla_q \mathcal{F}(z) + \lambda\mu z^2 \nabla_q^2 \mathcal{F}(z),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^2 \mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q} \mathcal{F}(z)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z) &= \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^{m-1} \mathcal{F}(z)) \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k - 1]_q)]^m a_k z^k, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Note that

(i)  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu}^m \mathcal{F}(z)$  see Orhan et al. [18] (see also [11], [17] and Răducanu and Orhan [19] );

(ii)  $\mathcal{H}_{1, 0, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{H}_q^m \mathcal{F}(z)$  (see [14], [25] and [8] );

(iii)  $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, 0, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z)$  (see Aouf et al. [9] );

(iv)  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda, 0, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}^m \mathcal{F}(z)$  (see Al-Oboudi [1] ).

Now, by making use of the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^m$ , we have

**Definition 1.** Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}/\{0\}$ ,  $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $0 < \eta \leq 1$  and  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{T}$ , such that  $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\mu,q}^m \mathcal{F}(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in \mathcal{D}/\{0\}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$  if

$$\left| \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{z \nabla_q (\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\mu,q}^m \mathcal{F}(z))}{\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\mu,q}^m \mathcal{F}(z)} - 1 \right) \right| < \eta. \quad (5)$$

**Note that:** For different values of  $q, \tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta$ , we have:

- (i)  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta) = \mathbb{S}^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta) = \left\{ \mathcal{F}(z) : \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{z (\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\mu}^m \mathcal{F}(z))'}{\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\mu}^m \mathcal{F}(z)} - 1 \right) \right| < \eta \right\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, 1, 0, \eta) = \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \eta) = \left\{ \mathcal{F}(z) : \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{z \nabla_q (\mathcal{H}_q^m \mathcal{F}(z))}{\mathcal{H}_q^m \mathcal{F}(z)} - 1 \right) \right| < \eta \right\}$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, 0, \eta) = \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \eta) = \left\{ \mathcal{F}(z) : \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \frac{z \nabla_q (\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,q}^m \mathcal{F}(z))}{\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,q}^m \mathcal{F}(z)} - 1 \right) \right| < \eta \right\}$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathbb{S}_q^m(1-\gamma, \lambda, \mu, 1) = \mathbb{S}_q^m(\gamma, \lambda, \mu) = \left\{ \mathcal{F}(z) : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \nabla_q (\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\mu,q}^m \mathcal{F}(z))}{\mathcal{H}_{\lambda,\mu,q}^m \mathcal{F}(z)} \right\} > \gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1 \right\}$ .

Goodman [13], Ruscheweyh [20] and Altıntaş et al. [2], Mostafa and Aouf [16] (with  $p = 1$ ), defined the  $N_\delta$ -neighborhood for  $\mathcal{F}(z) \in \mathcal{T}$  by

$$N_\delta(\mathcal{F}, g) = \left\{ g : g(z) \in \mathcal{T}, g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \right\}, \quad (6)$$

and for  $e(z) = z$ ;

$$N_\delta(e, g) = \left\{ g : g(z) \in \mathcal{T}, g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |b_k| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (7)$$

In [8] Aouf et al. (see also Madian and Aouf [5] (with  $p = 1$ )) defined the  $N_{q,\delta}$ -neighborhood for  $\mathcal{F}(z) \in \mathcal{T}$  by

$$N_{q,\delta}(\mathcal{F}, g) = \left\{ g : g(z) \in \mathcal{T}, g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta_q \right\}, \quad (8)$$

and for  $e(z) = z$ ;

$$N_{q,\delta}(e, g) = \left\{ g : g(z) \in \mathcal{T}, g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q |b_k| \leq \delta_q \right\}. \quad (9)$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Unless indicated, we assume that  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $0 < \eta \leq 1$  and  $\mathcal{F}(z)$  given by (1).

**Theorem 1.** *The function  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q + \eta |\tau| - 1) [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k \leq \eta |\tau|. \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** Assume that (10) holds true. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (q + \eta |\tau|) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q + \eta |\tau| - 1) [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k \\ & \leq \eta |\tau|, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k \leq \frac{\eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)}.$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k z^{k-1} \right| \\ & \geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k |z|^{k-1} \\ & \geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k \\ & > 1 - \frac{\eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)} = \frac{q}{(q + \eta |\tau|)} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

then, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z \nabla_q (\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z))}{\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - [k]_q) [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k z^{k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q - 1) [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k |z|^{k-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q - 1) [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k} \\ &< \eta |\tau|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{F}(z)$  satisfies the condition (5).

Assume  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \nabla_q (\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z))}{\mathcal{H}_{\lambda, \mu, q}^m \mathcal{F}(z)} \right\} &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k z^{k-1}} \right\} \\ &> 1 - \eta |\tau|, \end{aligned}$$

as  $z \rightarrow 1^-$ , we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} &1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k \\ &> (1 - \eta |\tau|) (1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the inequality (10).

**Corollary 2.** *The function  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\gamma, \lambda, \mu)$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q - \gamma) [1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu [k - 1]_q)]^m a_k \leq 1 - \gamma. \quad (11)$$

**Theorem 3.** *If the function  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$ , then*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|) [1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu)]^m}, \quad (12)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \leq \frac{[2]_q \eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|) [1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q (\lambda - \mu + \lambda \mu)]^m}. \quad (13)$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$ . Then, in view of the assertion (10) of Theorem 1, we have

$$(q + \eta |\tau|)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \eta |\tau|, \quad (14)$$

which immediately yields the first assertion of Theorem 2.

For the proof of the second assertion, by appealing to (10), we have

$$[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \leq \eta |\tau| + (1 - \eta |\tau|)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k, \quad (15)$$

which in view of (12), can be putten in the form:

$$[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \leq \eta |\tau| + (1 - \eta |\tau|) \frac{\eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)}. \quad (16)$$

Upon simplifying the right hand side of (16), we have the assertion (13).

**Theorem 4.** *If  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$ . Then,*

$$|\mathcal{F}(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{\eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} |z|^2, \quad (17)$$

$$|\mathcal{F}(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{\eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} |z|^2. \quad (18)$$

*Equality holds for*

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = z - \frac{\eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} z^2.$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}(z) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{F}(z)| = \left| z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \right| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k,$$

and

$$|\mathcal{F}(z)| = \left| z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \right| \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k,$$

Since  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$ , then in view of (12), we have the assertions (17) and (18).

**Theorem 5.** For  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$ . Then,

$$|\nabla_q \mathcal{F}(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{[2]_q \eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} |z|, \quad (19)$$

$$|\nabla_q \mathcal{F}(z)| \geq 1 - \frac{[2]_q \eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} |z|. \quad (20)$$

Equality holds for

$$\nabla_q \mathcal{F}(z) = 1 - \frac{[2]_q \eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} z.$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}(z) \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then

$$|\nabla_q \mathcal{F}(z)| = \left| 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1} \right| \leq 1 + |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k,$$

and

$$|\nabla_q \mathcal{F}(z)| = \left| 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1} \right| \geq 1 - |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k,$$

which in view of (13), we have the assertions (19), (20).

We determine inclusion relations for the class  $\mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$  involving  $q, \delta$ -neighborhoods defined by (9).

**Theorem 6.** If  $\mathcal{F}(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$ , then

$$\mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta) \subset N_{q, \delta}(\mathcal{F}; q) \quad (21)$$

where the parameter  $\delta_q$  is given by

$$\delta_q = \frac{[2]_q \eta |\tau|}{(q + \eta |\tau|)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} \quad (22)$$

**Proof.** For  $\mathcal{F}(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\tau, \lambda, \mu, \eta)$ , from Theorem 2, then (13) holds and in view of the (9), we get (21).

Now, we will obtain the modified Hadamard products for the subclass  $\mathbb{S}_q^m(\gamma, \lambda, \mu)$ .

For  $\mathcal{F}_j(z); j = 1, 2$  defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_j(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k, \quad (a_{k,j} \geq 0, j = 1, 2), \quad (23)$$

the modified Hadamard product is

$$(\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2)(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k = (\mathcal{F}_2 * \mathcal{F}_1)(z). \quad (24)$$

**Theorem 7.** *If  $\mathcal{F}_j(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\gamma, \lambda, \mu)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Then*

$$(\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2)(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\varsigma, \lambda, \mu), \quad (25)$$

where

$$\varsigma = 1 - \frac{[2]_q(1-\gamma)^2}{([2]_q - \gamma)^2 [1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m - (1-\gamma)^2}. \quad (26)$$

The result is sharp.

**Proof.** Employing the techniques used by Schild and Silverman [21], we need to find the largest  $\varsigma$  such that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{([k]_q - \varsigma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \varsigma} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} \leq 1. \quad (27)$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{([k]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \gamma} a_{k,j} \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (28)$$

then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{([k]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \gamma} \sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \leq 1. \quad (29)$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{([k]_q - \varsigma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \varsigma} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} \\ & \leq \frac{([k]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \gamma} \sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

that is,

$$\sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \leq \frac{([k]_q - \gamma)(1 - \varsigma)}{([k]_q - \varsigma)(1 - \gamma)} (k \geq 2). \quad (31)$$



From (29) and (31), we need to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - \gamma}{([k]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k - 1]_q)]^m} \\ & \leq \frac{([k]_q - \gamma)(1 - \varsigma)}{([k]_q - \varsigma)(1 - \gamma)}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

which leads to

$$\varsigma \leq 1 - \frac{[k]_q(1 - \gamma)^2}{([k]_q - \gamma)^2[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k - 1]_q)]^m - (1 - \gamma)^2}. \quad (33)$$

Since

$$\Phi_q(k) = 1 - \frac{[k]_q(1 - \gamma)^2}{([k]_q - \gamma)^2[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k - 1]_q)]^m - (1 - \gamma)^2}, \quad (34)$$

is an increasing function of  $k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ), letting  $k = 2$  in (34), we obtain

$$\varsigma \leq \Phi_q(2) = 1 - \frac{[2]_q(1 - \gamma)^2}{([2]_q - \gamma)^2[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m - (1 - \gamma)^2}, \quad (35)$$

which proves the main assertion of Theorem 6.

Finally,

$$\mathcal{F}_j(z) = z - \frac{1 - \gamma}{([2]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} z^2 \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (36)$$

give the sharpness.

**Theorem 8.** *If  $\mathcal{F}_1(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\gamma, \lambda, \mu)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\rho, \lambda, \mu)$ . Then*

$$(\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2)(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\xi, \lambda, \mu), \quad (37)$$

where

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{[2]_q(1 - \gamma)(1 - \rho)}{([2]_q - \gamma)([2]_q - \rho)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m - (1 - \gamma)(1 - \rho)}. \quad (38)$$

The result is the best possible for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1(z) &= z - \frac{1 - \gamma}{([2]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} z^2, \\ \mathcal{F}_2(z) &= z - \frac{1 - \rho}{([2]_q - \rho)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m} z^2. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

**Proof.** Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 6, we get

$$\xi \leq \Psi_q(k) = 1 - \frac{[k]_q(1-\gamma)(1-\rho)}{([k]_q - \gamma)([k]_q - \rho)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m - (1-\gamma)(1-\rho)}, \quad (40)$$

since the function  $\Psi_q(k)$  is an increasing function of  $k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ), setting  $k = 2$  in (40), we get

$$\xi \leq \Psi_q(2) = 1 - \frac{[2]_q(1-\gamma)(1-\rho)}{([2]_q - \gamma)([2]_q - \rho)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m - (1-\gamma)(1-\rho)}. \quad (41)$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 9.** If  $\mathcal{F}_j(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\gamma, \lambda, \mu)$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ). Then

$$(\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2 * \mathcal{F}_3)(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\omega, \lambda, \mu), \quad (42)$$

where

$$\omega = 1 - \frac{[2]_q(1-\gamma)^3}{([2]_q - \gamma)^3[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^{2m} - (1-\gamma)^3}. \quad (43)$$

The result is the best possible for  $\mathcal{F}_j(z)$  given by (36),  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Proof.** From Theorem 6, we have  $(\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2)(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\varsigma, \lambda, \mu)$ , where  $\varsigma$  is given by (26). By using Theorem 7, we get (42), where

$$\omega = 1 - \frac{[2]_q(1-\gamma)(1-\varsigma)}{([2]_q - \gamma)([2]_q - \varsigma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m - (1-\gamma)(1-\varsigma)}. \quad (44)$$

Then we get (43).

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 10.** If  $\mathcal{F}_j(z) \in \mathbb{S}_q^m(\gamma, \lambda, \mu)$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ). Then

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (a_{k,1}^2 + a_{k,2}^2)z^k, \quad (45)$$

belongs to the class  $\mathbb{S}_q^m(\sigma, \lambda, \mu)$ , where

$$\sigma = 1 - \frac{2[2]_q(1-\gamma)^2}{([2]_q - \gamma)^2[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m - 2(1-\gamma)^2} \quad (46)$$

**Proof.** By virtue of Corollary 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{([k]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \gamma} \right]^2 a_{k,j}^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{([k]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \gamma} a_{k,j} \right]^2 \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{([k]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \gamma} \right]^2 (a_{k,1}^2 + a_{k,2}^2) \leq 1. \quad (48)$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest  $\sigma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{([k]_q - \sigma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \sigma} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{([k]_q - \gamma)[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m}{1 - \gamma} \right]^2 \quad (k \geq 2), \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

that is,

$$\sigma \leq 1 - \frac{2[k]_q(1 - \gamma)^2}{([k]_q - \gamma)^2[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m - 2(1 - \gamma)^2} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (50)$$

Since

$$\varphi_q(k) = 1 - \frac{2[k]_q(1 - \gamma)^2}{([k]_q - \gamma)^2[1 - \lambda + \mu + [k]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu[k-1]_q)]^m - 2(1 - \gamma)^2}, \quad (51)$$

is an increasing function of  $k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ), setting  $k = 2$  we readily have

$$\sigma \leq \varphi_q(2) = 1 - \frac{2[2]_q(1 - \gamma)^2}{([2]_q - \gamma)^2[1 - \lambda + \mu + [2]_q(\lambda - \mu + \lambda\mu)]^m - 2(1 - \gamma)^2}. \quad (52)$$

The functions  $\mathcal{F}_j(z)$  given by (36) gives the sharpness.

**Remark 1.** For different values of  $\tau, \lambda, \mu, q$  and  $\eta$  in our results, we have results for the special classes defined in the introduction.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27 (2004), 1429–1436.
- [2] O. Altıntaş, H. Irmak, H.M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods for certain subclasses of multivalent analytic functions defined by using a differential operator, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 55 (2007) 331-338.
- [3] M. H. Annby and Z. S. Mansour,  $q$ -Fractional Calculus Equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 2056, Springer, Berlin 2012.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, H. E. Darwish and G. S. Sălăgean, On a generalization of starlike functions with negative coefficients, *Math. Tome 43 66* (2001), no. 1, 3–10.
- [5] M. K. Aouf and S. M. Madian, Inclusion and properties neighbourhood for certain  $p$ -valent functions associated with complex order and  $q$ - $p$ -valent Cătaş operator, *J. Sci. Taibah Univer. Sci.*, 14:1 (2020) , 1226-1232.
- [6] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Subordination results for analytic functions associated with fractional  $q$ -calculus operators with complex order, *Afr. Mat.*, 31 (2020), 1387–1396.
- [7] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Some subordinating results for classes of functions defined by Sălăgean type  $q$ -derivative operator, *Filomat*, 34 (2020), no. 7, 2283–2292.
- [8] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and F. Y. AL-Quhali, Properties for class of  $\beta$ -uniformly univalent functions defined by Sălăgean type  $q$ -difference operator, *Int. J. Open Probl. Complex Anal.*, 11 (2019), no. 2, 1–16.
- [9] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and R. E. Elmorsy, Certain subclasses of analytic functions with varying arguments associated with  $q$ -difference operator, *Afrika Math.*, (2021) no. 32, 621–630.
- [10] Aral, V. Gupta and R. P. Agarwal, *Applications of  $q$ -Calculus in Operator Theory*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [11] E. Deniz and H. Orhan, The Fekete-Szego problem for a generalized subclass of analytic functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 50 (2010), 37–47.
- [12] B. A. Frasin and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, A subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by  $q$ -differential operator, *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.*, 19 (2020), 53-64.
- [13] A.W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8 (1957), 598-601.
- [14] M. Govindaraj and S. Sivasubramanian, On a class of analytic function related to conic domains involving  $q$ -calculus, *Anal. Math.*, 43 (2017), no. 3, 475–487.

- [15] F. H. Jackson, On  $q$ -functions and a certain difference operator, *Trans. R. Soc. Edinb.*, 46 (1908), 253–281.
- [16] A. O. Mostafa and M. K. Aouf, Neighborhoods of certain  $p$ -valent analytic functions with complex order, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 58 (2009), 1183–1189.
- [17] H. Orhan, E. Deniz and M. Çağlar, Fekete-Szego problem for certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Demonstratio. Math.*, 14(2012), no. 4, 835–846..
- [18] H. Orhan, E. Deniz and D. Răducanu, The Fekete–Szego problem for subclasses of analytic functions defined by a differential operator related to conic domains, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59 (2010), 283–295.
- [19] D. Răducanu and H. Orhan, Subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 4 (2010), no. 1, 1–15.
- [20] St. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 81 (1981) 521–527.
- [21] A. Schild and H. Silverman, Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska sect. A*, 29(1975), 99–106.
- [22] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of new classes of  $q$ -starlike and  $q$ -convex functions of complex order, *J. Math. Inequal.*, 10 (2016), no. 1, 135–145.
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, Operators of basic (or  $q$ -) calculus and fractional  $q$ -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci.*, 44(2020), 327–344.
- [24] H. M. Srivastava, A. O. Mostafa, M. K. Aouf and H. M. Zayed, Basic and fractional  $q$ -calculus and associated Fekete–Szego problem for  $p$ -valently  $q$ -starlike functions and  $p$ -valently  $q$ -convex functions of complex order, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, 20 (2019), no. 1, 489–509.
- [25] K. Vijaya, M. Kasthuri and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Coefficient bounds for subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by the Sălăgean derivative operator, *Boletín de la Asociacion, Matematica Venezolana*, 21(2014), no. 2, 1–9.

A. O. Mostafa  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Mansoura University  
Mansoura 35516, Egypt.  
emails: adelaeg254@yahoo.com

Z. M. Saleh  
Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science  
Mansoura University  
Mansoura 35516, Egypt.  
emails: zeinabnsar2@gmail.com