# ON THE RELATION BETWEEN ORDERED SETS AND LORENTZ-MINKOWSKI DISTANCES IN REAL INNER PRODUCT SPACES

### Oğuzhan Demirel, Emine Soytürk Seyrantepe

ABSTRACT. Let X be a real inner product space of arbitrary finite or infinite dimension  $\geq 2$ . In [Adv. Geom. 2003, suppl., S1–S12], Benz proved the following statement for  $x, y \in X$  with x < y: The Lorentz-Minkowski distance between x and y is zero (i.e., l(x, y) = 0) if and only if [x, y] is ordered. In [Appl. Sci. 10 (2008), 66– 72], Demirel and Soytürk presented necessary and sufficient conditions for Lorentz-Minkowski distances l(x, y) > 0, l(x, y) < 0 and l(x, y) = 0 in n-dimensional real inner product spaces by the means of ordered sets and it's an orthonormal basis.

In this paper, we shall present necessary and sufficient conditions for Lorentz-Minkowski distances with the help of ordered sets in an arbitrary dimensional real inner product spaces. Furthermore, we prove that all the linear Lorentz transformations of X are continuous.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14P99, 46B20, 51F99, 51K99.

Keywords: Real inner product space, Lorentz-Minkowski distance, Lorentz transformation.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a real inner product space of arbitrary finite or infinite dimension  $\geq 2$ , i.e., a real vector space furnished with an inner product

$$g: X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g(x, y) = xy$$

satisfying xy = yx, x(y + z) = xy + xz,  $\alpha(xy) = (\alpha x)y$ ,  $x^2 > 0$  (for all  $x \neq 0$  in X) for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . For a fixed  $t \in X$  satisfying  $t^2 = 1$ , define

$$t^{\perp} := \{ x \in X : \ tx = 0 \}.$$

Then, clearly  $t^{\perp} \oplus \mathbb{R}t = X$ . For any  $x \in X$ , there are uniquely determined elements  $\overline{x} = x - x_0 t \in t^{\perp}$  and  $x_0 = tx \in \mathbb{R}$  with

$$x = \overline{x} + x_0 t.$$

**Definition 1.** The Lorentz-Minkowski distance of  $x, y \in X$  defined by the expression

$$l(x,y) = (\overline{x} - \overline{y})^2 - (x_0 - y_0)^2.$$

**Definition 2.** If the mapping  $\varphi : X \to X$  preserving the Lorentz-Minkowski distance for each  $x, y \in X$ , then  $\varphi$  is called *Lorentz transformation*.

Under all translations, Lorentz-Minkowski distances remain invariant and it might be noticed that the theory does not seriously depend on the chosen t, for more details we refer readers to [1].

Let p be an element of  $t^{\perp}$  with  $p^2 < 1$ , and let  $k \neq -1$  be a real number satisfying

$$k^2(1-p^2) = 1.$$

Define

$$A_p(x) := x_0 p + (\overline{x}p)t.$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Let E denote the identity mapping of X and define

$$B_{p,k}(x):=E+kA_p+\frac{k^2}{k+1}A_p^2$$

Since  $A_p$  is a linear mapping,  $B_{p,k}$  is also linear.  $B_{p,k}$  is called a Lorentz boost a proper one for  $k \geq 1$ , an improper one for  $k \leq -1$ . For the characterization of Lorentz boost, we refer readers to [3].

**Theorem 1 (W. Benz** [1]). All Lorentz transformations  $\lambda$  of X are exactly given by

$$\lambda(x) = (B_{p,k}w)(x) + d$$

with a boost  $B_{p,k}$ , an orthogonal and linear mapping w from X into X satisfying w(t) = t, and with an element d of X.

Notice that a Lorentz transformation  $\lambda$  of X need not be linear.

**Theorem 2 (W. Benz** [1]). Let  $B_{p,k}$  and  $B_{q,K}$  be Lorentz boosts of X. Then  $B_{p,k} \circ B_{q,K}$  must be a bijective Lorentz transformation of X fixing 0. Moreover,

$$B_{p,k} \circ B_{q,K} = B_{r,m} \circ w,$$

where

$$m = \frac{1 + pq}{\sqrt{1 - p^2}\sqrt{1 - q^2}}$$

and

$$p * q := r = \frac{p+q}{1+pq} + \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{(pq)p - p^2q}{1+pq}.$$

### 2. Boundedness of linear Lorentz transformations

**Definition 2.** Let X and Y be normed linear spaces and let  $T : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a linear transformation. T will be called a bounded linear transformation if there exist a real number  $K \ge 0$  such that

$$||T(x)|| \le K ||x||$$

holds for all  $x \in X$ .

If we take  $||T|| = \inf\{K\}$  in the above definition, we immediately obtain that

$$||T(x)|| \le ||T|| ||x||.$$

The norm of the linear transformation T defined by the expression

$$||T|| = \sup\left\{\frac{||T(x)||}{||x||}: x \in X - \{0\}\right\}.$$

There are numbers of alternate expressions for ||T|| in the classical setting as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \sup \{ \|T(x)\| : \|x\| \le 1 \} \\ \|T\| &= \sup \{ \|T(x)\| : \|x\| = 1 \} \\ \|T\| &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\|T(x)\|}{\|x\|} : 0 < \|x\| \le 1 \right\} \\ \|T\| &= \inf \{ K : \|T(x)\| \le K \|x\| \text{ for all } x \in X \} \end{aligned}$$

The last statement is always valid, but the other statements is not if the underlying field is not equal to real or complex numbers field, see [6]. The following two theorems are well known and fundamental in functional analysis.

**Theorem 3.**Let E and F be normed linear spaces and let  $T : E \longrightarrow F$  be a linear transformation. The followings are equivalent:

- (i) T is continuous at 0,
- (ii) T is continuous,
- (iii) There exists  $c \ge 0$  such that  $||Tx|| \le c||x||$  for all  $x \in E$ ,
- (iv)  $\sup\{||Tx||: x \in E, ||x|| \le 1\} < \infty.$

**Theorem 4.**Let C(X, X) denote the all continuous linear transformations space. For all  $T, G \in C(X, X)$  the followings hold:

- (i)  $T \circ G \in C(X, X)$ ,
- (*ii*)  $||T \circ G|| \le ||T|| ||G||$ .

**Theorem 5.** All Lorentz boosts of X are bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $B_{p,k}$  be a Lorentz boost of X. Clearly E is bounded and ||E|| = 1. For all  $p \in t^{\perp}$  with  $p^2 < 1$ ,  $A_p$  is bounded. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_p(x)||^2 &= (x_0 p + (\overline{x}p)t)^2 \\ &= x_0^2 p^2 + (\overline{x}p)^2 \\ &= x_0^2 p^2 + |\overline{x}p|^2 \\ &\leq x_0^2 p^2 + \overline{x}^2 p^2 \\ &= (x_0^2 + \overline{x}^2) ||p||^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we get  $||A_p(x)|| \leq ||p|| ||x||$ , i.e.,  $A_p$  is a bounded transformation of X. Conversely,

$$\begin{split} \|p\|^{2} &= p^{2} \\ &= \|p^{2}t\| \\ &= \sqrt{(A_{p}(p))^{2}} \\ &= \|A_{p}(p)\| \\ &\leq \|A_{p}\| \|p\|, \end{split}$$

and this implies  $||A_p|| = ||p||$ . Clearly,  $A_p^2$  is a bounded transformation of X and we get

$$||A_p^2(x)|| \le ||p||^2 ||x||.$$

Conversely,

$$p^{2} ||p|| = ||A_{p}^{2}(p)||$$
  
$$\leq ||A_{p}^{2}|| ||p||,$$

and then obtain

$$||A_p^2|| = ||p||^2$$

Finally, for  $k \ge 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{p,k}\| &= \sup\left\{\frac{\|B_{p,k}(x)\|}{\|x\|}: \ 0 < \|x\| \le 1\right\} \\ &\leq 1 + k\|p\| + \frac{k^2}{k+1}\|p\|^2 \\ &= k(\|p\| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation shows that  $||B_{p,k}|| \le 2+|k|(||p||+1)$  holds for  $k \le -1$ . Obviously all the Lorentz boosts are bounded.

Corollary 1. All the linear Lorentz transformations are continuous.

# 3. On the relation between ordered sets and Lorentz-Minkowski Distances in real inner product spaces

Let X be a real inner product space of arbitrary finite or infinite dimension  $\geq 2$ and take  $x, y \in X$ . Define a relation on X by

$$x \le y \Leftrightarrow l(x, y) \le 0 \text{ and } x_0 \le y_0$$

Observe that an element of X that need not be comparable to another element of X, for example neither  $e \leq 0$  nor  $0 \leq e$  if we take e from  $t^{\perp}$ . For the properties of " $\leq$ ", we refer readers to [2]. For the two elements of  $x, y \in X$  satisfying x < y  $(x \leq y, x \neq y)$  and define

$$[x, y] = \{ z \in X : x \le z \le y \}.$$

[x, y] is called ordered if and only if,

$$u \leq v \text{ or } v \leq u$$

is true for all  $u, v \in [x, y]$ .

W. Benz proved the following result:

**Theorem 6 (W. Benz [2]).** Let  $x, y \in X$  with x < y, then l(x, y) = 0 if and only if [x, y] is ordered.

In this section, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for Lorentz-Minkowski distances by the means of ordered sets in a real inner product space of arbitrary finite or infinite dimension  $\geq 2$ .

**Theorem 7.**Let X be a real inner product space of dimension  $\geq 2$  and x, y be elements of X with  $x \neq y$  and  $x_0 \leq y_0$ . Then the followings are equivalent:

- (*i*) l(x, y) > 0,
- (ii) There exists at least one  $s \in X \{x, y\}$  such that [x, s], [y, s] are ordered while [x, y] is not ordered.

*Proof.* By the terminology of "[x, y] is not ordered", we mean that  $x \leq y$  and  $[x, y] = \phi$  or  $x \not\leq y$ . Since all Lorentz-Minkowski distances remains invariant under translations, see [1], instead of considering x and y, we may prove the theorem with respect to 0 and y - x.

 $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ . Let us put

$$z := y - x$$
 and  $u := \overline{z} + \|\overline{z}\| t$ 

Obviously,  $\|\overline{y} - \overline{x}\| > y_0 - x_0$ , i.e.,  $\|\overline{z}\| > |z_0|$  and l(0, u) = 0. Since  $u_0 = \|\overline{z}\| > 0$ we get [0, u] is ordered. In addition to this, [z, u] is not ordered since  $l(z, u) = -((y_0 - x_0) - \|\overline{y} - \overline{x}\|)^2 < 0$ . Now define

$$w := \frac{1}{2\|\overline{z}\|} (z_0 + \|\overline{z}\|) u.$$

It is easy to see that l(0, w) = 0 and  $w_0 = \frac{1}{2}(z_0 + \|\overline{z}\|) > 0$ , and thus, we get [0, w] is ordered. Now, we have

$$l(z,w) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\|\overline{z}\|} (z_0 + \|\overline{z}\|)\right)^2 \|\overline{z}\|^2 - \left(z_0 - \frac{1}{2} (z_0 + \|\overline{z}\|)\right)^2 = 0$$

and

$$z_0 \le \frac{z_0 + \|\overline{z}\|}{2} = w_0.$$

Therefore, we immediately obtain that [z, w] is ordered. (*ii*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*i*). Assume that [x, s], [y, s] are ordered while [x, y] is not ordered. In this way, we get

$$l(x, y) = l(-x, -y)$$
  
=  $l(s - x, s - y)$   
=  $2((-(\overline{s} - \overline{x})(\overline{s} - \overline{y})) + (s_0 - x_0)(s_0 - y_0))$   
>  $0.$ 

Notice that

$$(\overline{s} - \overline{x}) (\overline{s} - \overline{y}) \leq |(\overline{s} - \overline{x}) (\overline{s} - \overline{y})|$$
  
$$\leq ||\overline{s} - \overline{x}|| ||\overline{s} - \overline{y}||$$
  
$$= (s_0 - x_0) (s_0 - y_0),$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, i.e., we get  $(s_0 - x_0)(s_0 - y_0) - (\overline{s} - \overline{x})(\overline{s} - \overline{y}) \ge 0$ .

The following theorem can be easily proved when using -y, -x instead of x, y in previous theorem.

**Theorem 8.**Let X be a real inner product space of dimension  $\geq 2$  and x, y be elements of X with  $x \neq y$  and  $x_0 \leq y_0$ . Then followings are equivalent:

- (*i*) l(x, y) > 0,
- (ii) There exists at least one  $k \in X \{x, y\}$  such that [k, x], [k, y] are ordered while [x, y] is not ordered.

**Theorem 9.**Let X be a real inner product space of dimension  $\geq 2$  and x, y be elements of X with  $x \neq y$  and  $x_0 \leq y_0$ . Then followings are equivalent:

- (*i*) l(x, y) = 0,
- (ii) There exists at least  $m, s \in X \{x, y\}$  such that the [m, s] is ordered and  $x, y \in [m, s]$ .

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ . Let us set

$$s := \eta \left( y - x \right) + x$$

for a real number  $\eta > 1$ . Obviously, we get l(x, s) = 0 and  $0 < y_0 - x_0 < \eta (y_0 - x_0)$ , i.e.,  $x_0 < \eta (y_0 - x_0) + x_0 = s_0$ , i.e., [x, s] is ordered. Likewise, l(y, s) = 0 and  $y_0 - x_0 < \eta (y_0 - x_0)$ , i.e.,  $y_0 < \eta (y_0 - x_0) + x_0 = s_0$ , i.e., [y, s] is ordered. Now, define

$$m := \lambda \left( y - x \right) + x$$

for a real number  $\lambda < 0$ . It is easy to see that l(m, x) = l(m, y) = 0 and  $m_0 = \lambda (y_0 - x_0) + x_0$  since  $\lambda (y_0 - x_0) < 0$ , i.e., [m, x], [m, y] are ordered sets. Finally, [m, s] is ordered.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Demirel and Soytürk, in [5], proved this result for finite dimensional real inner product spaces and it follows verbatimly same as in the proof of them.

**Theorem 10.** Let X be a real inner product space of dimension  $\geq 2$  and x, y be elements of X with  $x \neq y$  and  $x_0 \leq y_0$ . Then followings are equivalent.

- (*i*) l(x, y) < 0,
- (ii) There exists at least  $s \in X$  such that [x, s], [s, y] are ordered but [x, y] is not ordered.

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ . Let us set

$$z := y - x$$
 and  $u := \overline{z} + \|\overline{z}\| t$ .

Clearly, [0, u] is ordered since l(0, u) = 0 and  $0 \le ||\overline{z}|| = u_0$ , but [z, u] is not ordered since  $l(z, u) = -(z_0 - ||\overline{z}||)^2 < 0$ . Put

$$w := \frac{1}{2 \|\overline{z}\|} (z_0 + \|\overline{z}\|) u,$$

and this yields l(0, w) = 0 and  $w_0 = \frac{1}{2} (z_0 + \|\overline{z}\|) > 0$ , i.e., [0, w] is ordered. Finally, we get l(z, w) = 0,  $w_0 = \frac{1}{2} (z_0 + \|\overline{z}\|) < z_0$  and this implies [w, z] is ordered. (*ii*)  $\Rightarrow$  (*i*). Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$-(\overline{s} - \overline{x})(\overline{s} - \overline{y}) \leq |(\overline{s} - \overline{x})(\overline{s} - \overline{y})|$$
$$\leq ||\overline{s} - \overline{x}|| ||\overline{s} - \overline{y}||$$
$$= (s_0 - x_0)(s_0 - y_0)$$

we get

$$-(s_0-x_0)(y_0-s_0)-(\overline{s}-\overline{x})(\overline{s}-\overline{y})<0,$$

i.e.,

$$(s_0 - x_0)(s_0 - y_0) - (\overline{s} - \overline{x})(\overline{s} - \overline{y}) < 0$$

and this inequality yields

$$l(x, y) = l(s - x, s - y) = 2(-(\overline{s} - \overline{x})(\overline{s} - \overline{y}) + (s_0 - x_0)(s_0 - y_0)) < 0.$$

### References

[1] Benz, W., Lorentz-Minkowski distances in Hilbert spaces, Geom. Dedicata 81, 2000, 219–230.

[2] Benz, W., On Lorentz-Minkowski geometry in real inner-product spaces, Advances in Geometry, 2003 (Special Issue), S1-S12.

[3] Benz, W., Schwaiger, J., A characterization of Lorentz boosts, Aequation\*es Math. 72, 2006, 288–298.

[4] Benz, W., Classical geometries in modern contexts, Birkhäauser, Basel, 2005.

[5] Demirel, O. and Soytürk, E., On the ordered sets in n-dimensional real inner product spaces, Applied Sciences, Vol. 10, 2008, 66–72.

[6] Narici, L., Beckenstein, E. and Bachman, G., *Functional analysis and valua*tion theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics Series, Vol.5, 1971.

Oğuzhan DEMİREL Department of Mathematics Afyon Kocatepe University Faculty of Science and Arts, ANS Campus 03200 Afyonkarahisar Turkey email: odemirel@aku.edu.tr

Emine SOYTÜRK SEYRANTEPE Department of Mathematics Afyon Kocatepe University Faculty of Science and Arts, ANS Campus 03200 Afyonkarahisar Turkey email: soyturk@aku.edu.tr