ON A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVE AND GENERALIZED SALAGEAN OPERATOR

Alina Alb Lupaş

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{A}(p,n) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+n}^{\infty} a_j z^j, z \in U\}$, with $\mathcal{A}(1,1) = \mathcal{A}$. We consider in this paper the operator $RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^n : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$, defined by $RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^n f(z) := (1-\gamma) R^n f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda}^n f(z)$, where $D_{\lambda}^n f(z) = D_{\lambda} \left(D_{\lambda}^{n-1} f(z) \right)$ is the generalized Sălăgean operator and $(n+1)R^{n+1}f(z) = z(R^n f(z))' + nR^n f(z)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is the Ruscheweyh operator. By making use of the above mentioned differential operator, a new subclass of univalent functions in the open unit disc is introduced. The new subclass is denoted by $\mathcal{RD}^{\lambda}(n, \mu, \alpha, \lambda)$. Parallel results, for some related classes including the class of starlike and convex functions respectively, are also obtained.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Denote by U the unit disc of the complex plane, $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}(U)$ the space of holomorphic functions in U.

Let

$$\mathcal{A}(p,n) = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+n}^{\infty} a_j z^j, z \in U \},\$$

with $\mathcal{A}(1,n) = \mathcal{A}_n$, $\mathcal{A}(1,1) = \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\mathcal{H}[a,n] = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U \},\$$

where $p, n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of functions that are univalent in U.

By $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ we denote a subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of starlike univalent functions of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ which satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha, \quad z \in U.$$
(1)

17

Further, a function f belonging to S is said to be convex of order α in U, if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}+1\right) > \alpha, \quad z \in U,$$
(2)

for some α , $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ the class of functions in \mathcal{S} which are convex of order α in U and denote by $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ the class of functions in \mathcal{A} which satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > \alpha, \quad z \in U. \tag{3}$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}$.

If f and g are analytic functions in U, we say that f is subordinate to g, written $f \prec g$, if there is a function w analytic in U, with w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1, for all $z \in U$ such that f(z) = g(w(z)) for all $z \in U$. If g is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if f(0) = g(0) and $f(U) \subseteq g(U)$.

Definition 1. (Al Oboudi [5]) For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator D_{λ}^{n} is defined by $D_{\lambda}^{n} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$,

$$D^{0}_{\lambda}f(z) = f(z)$$

$$D^{1}_{\lambda}f(z) = (1-\lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) = D_{\lambda}f(z)$$
...
$$D^{n+1}_{\lambda}f(z) = (1-\lambda)D^{n}_{\lambda}f(z) + \lambda z (D^{n}_{\lambda}f(z))' = D_{\lambda} (D^{n}_{\lambda}f(z)), \text{ for } z \in U.$$

Remark 1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, then

$$D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{n} a_{j} z^{j},$$

for $z \in U$.

Remark 2. For $\lambda = 1$ in the above definition we obtain the Sălăgean differential operator [10].

Definition 2. (Ruscheweyh [9]) For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator \mathbb{R}^n is defined by $\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$,

$$R^{0}f(z) = f(z)$$

$$R^{1}f(z) = zf'(z)$$
...
$$(n+1)R^{n+1}f(z) = z(R^{n}f(z))' + nR^{n}f(z), \text{ for } z \in U.$$

Remark 3. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, then $R^n f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} C_{n+j-1}^n a_j z^j$, for $z \in U$.

To prove our main theorem we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. [8] Let p be analytic in U with p(0) = 1 and suppose that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) > \frac{3\alpha-1}{2\alpha}, \quad z \in U.$$
(4)

Then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \alpha$ for $z \in U$ and $1/2 \le \alpha < 1$.

2. Main results

Definition 3. For a function $f \in A$ we define the differential operator

$$RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n}f(z) = (1-\gamma)R^{n}f(z) + \gamma D_{\lambda}^{n}f(z), \qquad (5)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Remark 4. For
$$\lambda = 1$$
 the above defined operator was introduced in [1].

Definition 4. We say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{RD}^{\gamma}(n, \mu, \alpha, \lambda)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \mu \geq 0, \ \alpha \in [0, 1), \ \gamma \geq 0$ if

$$\left|\frac{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)}{z}\left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-1\right|<1-\alpha,\qquad z\in U.$$
(6)

Remark 5. The family $\mathcal{RD}^{\gamma}(n, \mu, \alpha, \lambda)$ is a new comprehensive class of analytic functions which includes various new classes of analytic univalent functions as well as some very well-known ones. For example, $\mathcal{RD}^{1}(n, \mu, \alpha, \lambda)$ was studied in [6], $\mathcal{RD}^{0}(n, \mu, \alpha, \lambda)$ was studied in [3], $\mathcal{RD}^{\gamma}(n, \mu, \alpha, 1)$ was studied in [4], $\mathcal{RD}^{1}(0, 1, \alpha, 1) = \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{RD}^{1}(1, 1, \alpha, 1) = \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{RD}^{1}(0, 0, \alpha, 1) = \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$. Another interesting subclass is the special case $\mathcal{RD}^{1}(0, 2, \alpha, 1) = \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$ which has been introduced by Frasin and Darus [7] and also the class $\mathcal{RD}^{1}(0, \mu, \alpha, 1) = \mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$ which has been introduced by Frasin and Jahangiri [8].

19

In this note we provide a sufficient condition for functions to be in the class $\mathcal{RD}^{\gamma}(n,\mu,\alpha,\lambda)$. Consequently, as a special case, we show that convex functions of order 1/2 are also members of the above defined family.

Theorem 2. For the function $f \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu \ge 0$, $1/2 \le \alpha < 1$ if

$$(n+2)\frac{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+2}f(z)}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)} - \mu(n+1)\frac{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n}f(z)} - \gamma\left(n+2-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n+2}f(z) - D_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z)}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)} + \mu\gamma\left(n+1-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n+1}f(z) - D_{\lambda}^{n}f(z)}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n}f(z)} + (\mu-1)(n+1) \prec 1 + \beta z, \quad z \in U,$$

$$(7)$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{3\alpha - 1}{2\alpha},$$

then $f \in \mathcal{RD}^{\gamma}(n, \mu, \alpha, \lambda)$.

Proof. If we consider

$$p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)}{z} \left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n}f(z)}\right)^{\mu},$$
(8)

then p(z) is analytic in U with p(0) = 1. A simple differentiation yields

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = (n+2)\frac{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+2}f(z)}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)} - \mu(n+1)\frac{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n}f(z)} -$$
(9)

$$\begin{split} \gamma\left(n+2-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n+2}f\left(z\right)-D_{\lambda}^{n+1}f\left(z\right)}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+1}f\left(z\right)}+\mu\gamma\left(n+1-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\frac{D_{\lambda}^{n+1}f\left(z\right)-D_{\lambda}^{n}f\left(z\right)}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n}f\left(z\right)}\\ +\mu\left(n+1\right)-\left(n+2\right). \end{split}$$

Using (7) we get

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) > \frac{3\alpha-1}{2\alpha}.$$

Thus, from Lemma 1 we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)}{z}\left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda,\gamma}^{n}f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\} > \alpha.$$

20

Therefore, $f \in RD^{\gamma}(n, \mu, \alpha, \lambda)$, by Definition 4.

As a consequence of the above theorem we have the following interesting corollaries [2].

Corollary 3. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2zf''(z) + z^2f'''(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U,$$
(10)

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U.$$
(11)

That is, f is convex of order $\frac{1}{2}$, or $f \in \mathcal{RD}^1\left(1, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

Corollary 4. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2zf''(z) + z^2f'''(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)}\right\} > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U,$$
(12)

then $f \in \mathcal{RD}^1\left(1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, that is

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{f'(z) + zf''(z)\right\} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U.$$
 (13)

Corollary 5. If $f \in A$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U,$$
(14)

then

$$\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in U.$$
(15)

In another words, if the function f is convex of order $\frac{1}{2}$ then $f \in \mathcal{RD}^1(0,0,\frac{1}{2},1) \equiv \mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2})$.

Corollary 6. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > -\frac{3}{2}, \quad z \in U,$$
(16)

then f is starlike of order $\frac{1}{2}$, hence $f \in \mathcal{RD}^1(0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$.

References

[1] A. Alb Lupaş, On special differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, Volume 12, Issue 4, October 2009 (to appear).

[2] A. Alb Lupaş, A subclass of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, nr. 19/2009, 31-34.

[3] A. Alb Lupaş and A. Cătaş, On a subclass of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, Analele Universității din Oradea, Fascicula Matematica, Tom XVI (2009), 225-228.

[4] A. Alb Lupaş and A. Cătaş, On a subclass of analytic functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators, Journal of Approximation Theory and Applications, Vol. 4, No. 1-2 (2008), 1-5.

[5] F.M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, Ind. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27 (2004), 1429-1436.

[6] A. Cătaş and A. Alb Lupaş, On a subclass of analytic functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, Romai Journal, vol. 4, nr. 2(2008), 57-60.

[7] B.A. Frasin and M. Darus, *On certain analytic univalent functions*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 25(5), 2001, 305-310.

[8] B.A. Frasin and Jay M. Jahangiri, A new and comprehensive class of analytic functions, Analele Universității din Oradea, Tom XV, 2008, 61-64.

[9] St. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49(1975), 109-115.

[10] G. St. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362-372.

Alb Lupaș Alina Department of Mathematics University of Oradea str. Universității nr. 1, 410087, Oradea, Romania email: dalb@uoradea.ro