

ON UNIVALENCE CRITERIA FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

MASLINA DARUS AND RABHA W. IBRAHIM

ABSTRACT In this paper we obtain sufficient conditions for univalence of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator introduced by the authors in (Far East J. Math. Sci., 33(3),(2009), 299-308).

Keywords and phrases: Differential operator; Analytic functions; Univalent functions.

2000 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

*-corresponding author

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of functions analytic in $U := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$ be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form $f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$. Let \mathcal{A} be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U). \quad (1)$$

We will use the following operator which defined and studied by the authors (see [1]).

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^1 f(z) &= [1 - \beta(\lambda - \alpha)]f(z) + \beta(\lambda - \alpha)zf'(z) \\
 &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda - \alpha) + 1]a_n z^n, \\
 &\vdots \\
 D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z) &= D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^1 \left(D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^{k-1} f(z) \right) \\
 &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda - \alpha) + 1]^k a_n z^n
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for $\beta > 0$, $0 \leq \alpha < \lambda$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(0) = 0$.

Remark 1.1.

- (i) When $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$, we receive Al-Oboudi's differential operator (see [2]).
- (ii) And when $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$ we get Sălăgean's differential operator (see [3]).

Many differential operators studied by various authors can be seen in the literature (see for examples [4]-[8]).

Our considerations are based on the following results.

Lemma 1.1. (see [9]) *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If for all $z \in U$*

$$(1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1, \tag{3}$$

then the function f is univalent in U .

Lemma 1.2. (see [10]) *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If for all $z \in U$*

$$\left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{f^2(z)} - 1 \right| < 1, \tag{4}$$

then the function f is univalent in U .

Lemma 1.3. (see [11]) *Let μ be a real number, $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If for all $z \in U$*

$$\left| (1 - |z|^{2\mu}) \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 - \mu \right| \leq \mu, \tag{5}$$

then the function f is univalent in U .

Lemma 1.4. (see [12]) If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ (the class of univalent functions) and

$$\frac{z}{f(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (6)$$

then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)|b_n|^2 \leq 1.$$

Lemma 1.5. (see [13]) Let $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re\{\nu\} \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If for all $z \in U$

$$\frac{(1 - |z|^{2\Re(\nu)})}{\Re(\nu)} \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1, \quad (7)$$

then function

$$F_{\nu}(z) = \left(\nu \int_0^z u^{\nu-1} f'(u) du \right)^{1/\nu}$$

is univalent in U .

1. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we establish the sufficient conditions to obtain a univalence for analytic functions involving the differential operator (2).

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If for all $z \in U$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda - \alpha) + 1]^k [n(2n-1)] |a_n| \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

Then $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)$ is univalent in U .

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for all $z \in U$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{z[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]''}{[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]'} \right| &\leq (1 + |z|^2) \left| \frac{z[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]''}{[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]'} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda - \alpha) + 1]^k [n(n-1)] |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda - \alpha) + 1]^k n |a_n|} \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality is less than 1 if the assertion (8) is hold. Thus in view of Lemma 1.1, $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)$ is univalent in U .

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If for all $z \in U$*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha)+1]^k |a_n| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}. \quad (9)$$

Then $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)$ is univalent in U .

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. It sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z^2 [D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{2[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]^2} \right| \leq 1. \\ & \left| \frac{z^2 [D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{2[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]^2} \right| \leq \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha)+1]^k n |a_n|}{2 \{1 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha)+1]^k |a_n| - (\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha)+1]^k |a_n|)^2\}} \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality is less than 1 if the assertion (9) is hold. Thus in view of Lemma 1.2, $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)$ is univalent in U .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If for all $z \in U$*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [2(n-1) + (2\mu-1)] [\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha)+1]^k |a_n| \leq 2\mu - 1, \quad \mu > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (10)$$

Then $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)$ is univalent in U .

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for all $z \in U$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1 - |z|^{2\mu}) \frac{z [D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]''}{[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]'} + 1 - \mu \right| \leq (1 + |z|^2) \left| \frac{z [D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]''}{[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]'} \right| + |1 - \mu| \\ & \leq \frac{2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha)+1]^k [n(n-1)] |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha)+1]^k n |a_n|} + |1 - \mu| \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality is less than μ if the assertion (10) is hold. Thus in view of Lemma 1.3, $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)$ is univalent in U .

As applications of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 we have the following result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If for all $z \in U$ one of the inequalities (8-10) holds then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)|b_n|^2 \leq 1,$$

where

$$\frac{z}{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then in view of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3, $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)$ is univalent in U . Hence by Lemma 1.4 we obtain the result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If for all $z \in U$*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[2(n-1) + \Re(\nu)][\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha) + 1]^k |a_n| \leq \Re(\nu), \quad \Re(\nu) > 0. \quad (11)$$

Then

$$G_{\nu}(z) = \left(\nu \int_0^z u^{\nu-1} [D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(u)]' du \right)^{1/\nu}$$

is univalent in U .

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for all $z \in U$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1 - |z|^{2\Re(\nu)})}{\Re(\nu)} \left| \frac{z[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]''}{[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]'} \right| &\leq \frac{(1 + |z|^{2\Re(\nu)})}{\Re(\nu)} \left| \frac{z[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]''}{[D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda}^k f(z)]'} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\Re(\nu)} \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha) + 1]^k [n(n-1)] |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\beta(n-1)(\lambda-\alpha) + 1]^k n |a_n|} \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality is less than 1 if the assertion (11) is hold. Thus in view of Lemma 1.5, $G_{\nu}(z)$ is univalent in U .

Acknowledgement: The work here is supported by UKM-ST-06-FRGS0107-2009.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, *On subclasses for generalized operators of complex order*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 33(3),(2009), 299-308.
- [2] F. M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci.,(27)(2004), 1429-1436.
- [3] G. S. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1983), 362-372.
- [4] M.H. Al-Abbad and M. Darus, *Differential subordination for new generalised derivative operator*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis. No. 20/2009,(2009), 265-280.
- [5] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, *On new classes of univalent harmonic functions defined by generalized differential operator*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis. No. 18/2009,(2009), 61-69.
- [6] A. Ibrahim, S. Owa, M. Darus and Y. Nakamura, *Generalization of Salagean operator for certain analytic functions*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 2(2) (2008),16-22.
- [7] K.Al-Shaqsi and M.Darus, *An operator defined by convolution involving the polylogarithms functions*, Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 4(1) (2008),46-50.
- [8] M.H. Al-Abbad and M. Darus, *Differential Subordination Defined by New Generalised Derivative Operator for Analytic Functions*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Volume 2010, Article ID 369078, 15 pages, doi:10.1155/2010/369078.
- [9] J. Becker, *Löwenersche Differential gleichung und quasi-konform fortsetzbare schlichte funktionen* , J. Reine Angew. Math., 255,(1972), 23-43.
- [10] S. Ozaki, M. Nunokawa, *The Schwarzian derivative and univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33(2), (1972), 392-394.
- [11] H. Tudor, *A sufficient condition for univalence*, General Math., Vol. 17, No. 1 (2009), 89-94.
- [12] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Vol.I, and II, Mariner, Tampa, Florida, 1983.
- [13] N. N. Pascu , *On the univalence criterion of Becker*, Mathematica, Cluj-Napoca, Tome 29(52) Nr.2 (1987), 175-176.

Maslina Darus and Rabha W. Ibrahim
School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia
email: maslina@ukm.my, rabhaibrahim@yahoo.com