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Abstract. In this paper we prove some inequalities, relating R, the scalar curvature and $H$, the mean curvature vector field of an anti-invariant submanifold in a generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$. Also, we obtain a necessary condition for such anti-invariant submanifolds, to admit a minimal manifold.
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## 1. Introduction

In [2], B.Y.Chen established in the following lemma the sharp inequality for submanifolds in Riemannian manifolds with constant sectional curvature.

Lemma 1.1.Let $M^{n}(n>2)$ be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold $R^{m}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature $c$. Then

$$
\inf K \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\{R-\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}-(n+1)(n-2) c\right\}
$$

in which for any $p \in M$

$$
(\inf K)(p):=\inf \left\{K(\pi) \mid \text { plane sections } \pi \subset T_{p} M\right\}
$$

and $R$ is scalar curvature of $M$. Equality hold if and only if, with respect to suitable orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, the shape operators $A_{e_{r}}\left(r=n+1, \ldots, e_{m}\right)$ of $M$ in $R^{m}(c)$ take the following forms:

$$
A_{e_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & b & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mu & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu
\end{array}\right), a+b=\mu ;
$$

$$
A_{e_{r}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
h_{11}^{r} & h_{12}^{r} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
h_{21}^{r} & -h_{11}^{r} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), r=n+2, \ldots, m .
$$

In present paper, we are going to establish the similar inequalities for antiinvariant submanifold $M$ with $\operatorname{dim} M>2$ in generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$, we will do this in two cases:

1) Structural vector field of $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be tangent to $M$,
2) Structural vector field of $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be normal to $M$.

Also, we establish the sharp relationships between the function $f$ of an anti-invariant warped product submanifold $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ in generalized Sasakian space form and squared mean curvature and scalar curvature of $M$.

## 2.Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and basic formulas which we will use later.

A $(2 n+1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, g)$ is said to be almost contact metric manifold if there exist on $\bar{M}$ a (1,1)-tensor field $\phi$, a vector field $\xi$ (is called the structure vector field) and a 1-form $\eta$ such that $\eta(\xi)=1, \phi^{2}(X)=-X+\eta(X) \xi$ and $g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y)$ for any vector fields $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ on $\bar{M}$. Also, it can be simply proved that in an almost contact metric manifold we have $\phi \xi=0$, $\eta \circ \phi=0$ and $\eta(X)=g(X, \xi)$ for any $X \in \tau(\bar{M})$ (see for instance [1]). We denote an almost contact metric manifold by $(\bar{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$.

If in almost contact metric manifold ( $\bar{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g$ ),

$$
2 \Phi(X, Y)=d \eta(X, Y),
$$

where $\Phi(X, Y)=g(Y, \phi X)$, then $(\bar{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called the contact metric manifold. Also, if in an almost contact metric manifold ( $\bar{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g$ ),

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right)(Y)=\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) \xi
$$

then $(\bar{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called the Sasakian manifold. It is easy to see that every Sasakian manifold is contact metric manifold.

The submanifold $M$ of almost contact metric manifold $\left(\bar{M}^{2 n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ is called the anti-invariant submanifold if for any $p \in M$,

$$
\phi_{p}\left(T_{p} M\right) \subset T_{p}^{\perp} M
$$

Also, a submanifold $M$ in contact metric manifold $\left(\bar{M}^{2 n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g\right)$ is called the Legendrian submanifold if $\operatorname{dim} M=n$ and for any $p \in M, T_{p} M \subset K e r \eta_{p}$. It is easy to see that Legendrian submanifolds are anti-invariant.

Let $(\bar{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost contact manifold. If $\pi_{p} \subset T_{p} \bar{M}$ is generated by $\{X, \phi X\}$ where $0 \neq X \in T_{p} \bar{M}$ is normal to $\xi_{p}$, is called the $\phi$-section of $\bar{M}$ at $p$ and $K\left(\pi_{p}\right)$ is the $\phi$-sectional curvature of $\pi_{p}$. If in a Sasakian manifold, there exists $c \in \Re$ such that for any $p \in \bar{M}$ and for any $\phi$-section $\pi_{p}$ of $\bar{M}, K\left(\pi_{p}\right)=c$ then $\bar{M}$ is called the Sasakian space form. In [5] it is proved that in a Sasakian space form the curvature tensor is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(X, Y,) Z= & \frac{c+3}{4}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\} \\
& +\frac{c-1}{4}\{g(X, \phi Z) \phi Y-g(Y, \phi Z) \phi X+2 g(X, \phi Y) \phi Z\} \\
& +\frac{c-1}{4}\{\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi \\
& -g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Almost contact manifolds are said to be Generalized Sasakian space form if

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y,) Z= & f_{1}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\} \\
& +f_{2}\{g(X, \phi Z) \phi Y-g(Y, \phi Z) \phi X+2 g(X, \phi Y) \phi Z\} \\
& +f_{3}\{\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi \\
& -g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi\}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ are differentiable functions on $\bar{M}$. We denote this kind of manifolds by $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$.It is clear that every Sasakian space form is a generalized Sasakian space form, but the converse is not necessarily true.

Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ be two Riemannian manifolds and $f$ a positive differentiable function on $M_{1}$. The warped product of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ is the Riemannian manifold

$$
M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}=\left(M_{1} \times M_{2}, g\right),
$$

Where $g=g_{1}+f^{2} g_{2}, f$ is called the warped function. (see, for instance [3] and [4]).

Let $M^{n}$ be a submanifold of $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}$ in which $h$ is the second fundamental form of $M$ and $\bar{R}$ and $R$ are the curvature tensors of $\bar{M}$ and $M$ respectively. The Gauss equation is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)= & R(X, Y, Z, W) \\
& +g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z))-g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ on $M$.
The normal vector field $H$ is called the mean curvature vector field of $M$ if for a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}, \cdots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ for $\bar{M}$ such that $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ restricted to $M$, are tangent to $M$, we have

$$
H=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right), h\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is known, $M$ is said to be minimal if $H$ vanishes identically.
Also, we set

$$
h_{i j}^{r}=g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{r}\right), i, j \in\{1, \cdots, n\}, r \in\{n+1, \cdots, 2 m+1\}
$$

the coefficients of the second fundamental form $h$ with respect to $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by (3) and (4) the gauss equation (2) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \bar{R}_{m}\left(e_{j}, e_{i}, e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=R-n^{2}\|H\|^{2}+\|h\|^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $R$ is the scalar curvature of $M$. Let $M^{n}$ be a Riemannian manfold and $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of $M$. For a differentiable function $f$ on $M$, the Laplacian $\Delta f$ of $f$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} e_{j}\right) f-e_{j}\left(e_{j} f\right)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the following result of B.Y.Chen for later use.
Lemma 2.1.([2]) Let $n \geq 2$ and $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ and $b$ are real numbers such that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{2}=(n-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}+b\right) .
$$

Then $2 a_{1} a_{2} \geq b$,with equality holding if and only if

$$
a_{1}+a_{2}=a_{3}=\cdots=a_{n} .
$$

3.SUBMANIFOLDS NORMAL TO STRUCTURE VECTOR FIELD IN GENERALIZED SASAKIAN SPACE FORM

In this section, we are going to establish the inequalities for anti-invariant submanifold $M$ with $\operatorname{dim} M>2$ in generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ when Structural vector field of $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ is normal to $M$.

Theorem 3.1.Let $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ be an anti-invariant submanifold in generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ such that structure vector field of $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be normal to $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ and $\operatorname{dim} M_{i}=n_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $n_{1}+n_{2}=n>2$ then
a)

$$
\begin{align*}
2 n_{2} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \leq & \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}-n_{1} n_{2}\right)\left(\left(\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\right)\|H\|^{2}+(n+1)(n-2) f_{1}\right) \\
& +\left(1-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n_{1} n_{2}\right) R \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \Delta f}{n_{1} f} \geq R-(n-2)\left(\frac{n^{2}}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}+(n+1) f_{1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $H, R, \Delta$ are mean curvature vector, scalar curvature and Laplacian operator of $M$,respectively.

Proof. a) In the warped product manifold $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$, it is easily seen that

$$
\nabla_{X} Z=\nabla_{Z} X=\frac{1}{f}(X f) Z,
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Z$ tangent to $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively (see [6]). If $X$ and $Z$ are unit vector fields, then the sectional curvature $K(X \wedge Z)$ of the plane section spanned by $X$ and $Z$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(X \wedge Z)=g\left(\nabla_{Z} \nabla_{X} X-\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Z} X, Z\right)=\frac{1}{f}\left(\left(\nabla_{X} X\right) f-X^{2} f\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose a local orthonomal fram $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ for $\bar{M}$ such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{1}}$ are tangent to $M_{1}$ and $e_{n_{1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M_{2}$ and $e_{n+1}$ is parallel to $H$.

By using (6) and (9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta f}{f}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} K\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $j \in\left\{n_{1}+1, \ldots, n\right\}$. With simple computation on last equality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n_{2} \frac{\Delta f}{f}=R-\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n_{1}} K\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)-\sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} K\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3), with respect to this frame we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right), h\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (1) and (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=R+\|h\|^{2}-n(n-1) f_{1} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=R-\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}-(n+1)(n-2) f_{1} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (13), reduces to $n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=(n-1)\left(\delta+\|h\|^{2}-2 f_{1}\right)$.
From (4), (12) and above equality, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}=(n-1)\left(\delta+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}-2 f_{1}\right) .
$$

We set

$$
b:=\delta+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}-2 f_{1} .
$$

For $\alpha \neq \beta \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we let $a_{1}=h_{\alpha \alpha}^{n+1}$ and $a_{2}=h_{\beta \beta}^{n+1}$, then from Lemma.2.1, we have $a_{1} a_{2} \geq \frac{b}{2}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{\alpha \alpha}^{n+1} h_{\beta \beta}^{n+1} \geq & \frac{\delta}{2}-f_{1}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand from Gauss equation (2) and (1), we have

$$
f_{1}=K\left(e_{\beta}, e_{\alpha}\right)-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{\beta \beta}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha \beta}^{r}\right)^{2},
$$

therefore

$$
f_{1}+h_{\alpha \alpha}^{n+1} h_{\beta \beta}^{n+1}=K\left(e_{\beta}, e_{\alpha}\right)-\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{\beta \beta}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha \beta}^{r}\right)^{2} .
$$

Then from (15) and the above equality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(e_{\beta}, e_{\alpha}\right)-\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{\beta \beta}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha \beta}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

After simplification we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& K\left(e_{\beta}, e_{\alpha}\right)-\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{\beta \beta}^{r} \\
& \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq n \\
i \neq \alpha \vee j \neq \beta}}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{r=n+2}}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\substack{r=n+2}}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq n \\
i \neq \alpha \backslash j \neq \beta}}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{\beta \beta}^{r}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r}+h_{\beta \beta}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\beta \beta}^{r}\right)^{2},
$$

therefore from (16) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
K\left(e_{\beta}, e_{\alpha}\right) \geq & \frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r}+h_{\beta \beta}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq n \\
i \neq \alpha \vee j \neq \beta}}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq \alpha, \beta}}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq n \\
i \neq \alpha \vee j \neq \beta}}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

From (11) and the above inequality we have

$$
2 n_{2} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \leq R-\left(n_{1}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+n_{2}\left(n_{2}-1\right)\right) \frac{\delta}{2}=R-\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}-n_{1} n_{2}\right) \delta
$$

By substituting $\delta$ in the above inequality, we get (7)
b) By (10) and (17), for any $\beta \in\left\{n_{1}+1, \ldots, n\right\}$, we have

$$
\frac{\Delta f}{f}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{1}} K\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right) \geq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{1}} \frac{\delta}{2}
$$

in which $\delta$ is defined in (14). Therefore $\frac{\Delta f}{f} \geq n_{1} \frac{\delta}{2}$. By substituting $\delta$ in the above inequality, we get (8).

Corollary 3.2.A necessary condition for an anti-invariant warped product submanifold $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ in generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ such that structure vector field of $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be normal to $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$, to be minimal is a)

$$
2 n_{2} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \leq\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}-n_{1} n_{2}\right)\left(n^{2}-n-2\right) f_{1}+\left(1-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n_{1} n_{2}\right) R
$$

b) $\frac{2 \Delta f}{n_{1} f} \geq R-(n-2)(n+1) f_{1}$, in which $\operatorname{dim} M_{i}=n_{i}(i=1,2), n_{1}+n_{2}=n>2$ and $R$ and $\Delta$ are the scalar curvature and Laplacian operator of $M$, respectively.

In Theorem 3.1 the anti-invariant submanifold, was a warped product manifold. In the next theorem we remove this assumption and indeed we generalize the Chen's inequality, Lemma 1.1, for anti-invariant submanifolds $M^{n}(n>2)$ of generalized Sasakian space forms.

Theorem 3.3.If $M^{n}(n>2)$ be an anti-invariant submanifold in a generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ such that structure vector field of $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$
be normal to $M$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \mathcal{K} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\{R-\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}-(n+1)(n-2) f_{1}\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\mathcal{K}=\{K(\pi) \mid \text { plane section fields } \pi \subset T M\}
$$

and $R$ is the scalar curvature of $M$. Equality holds if and only if, with respect to an orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$, the shape operators $A_{e_{r}}(r=n+$ $1, \ldots, 2 m+1)$ of $M$ in $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ take the following forms:

$$
A_{e_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
h_{11}^{n+1} & h_{12}^{n+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{19}\\
h_{21}^{n+1} & h_{22}^{n+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h_{33}^{n+1} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_{n n}^{n+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in which $h_{11}^{n+1}+h_{22}^{n+1}=h_{33}^{n+1}=\ldots=h_{n n}^{n+1}$ and

$$
A_{e_{r}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
h_{11}^{r} & h_{12}^{r} & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{20}\\
h_{21}^{r} & -h_{11}^{r} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), r=n+2, \ldots, 2 m+1 .
$$

Proof. Let $\pi \subset T M$ be a 2-plane field. We choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ for $\bar{M}$ such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M, \pi$ generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ and $e_{n+1}$ is parallel to $H$. With a similar computation as in theorem 3.1, we get $K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$, in which $\delta$ is defined in (14). Therefore we get (18).

If the equality sign of (18) holds, then for a local orthonormal frame, (17) becomes equality. with recursive computation, inequality (15) also change to equality. Therefore by (17)

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{11}^{r}+h_{22}^{r}=0 \quad n+2 \leq r \leq 2 m+1 \\
h_{i i}^{r}=0 \quad n+2 \leq r \leq 2 m+1,3 \leq i \leq n \\
h_{1 j}^{r}=h_{j 1}^{r}=h_{2 j}^{r}=h_{j 2}^{r}=0 \quad n+1 \leq r \leq 2 m+1,3 \leq j \leq n, \\
h_{i j}^{r}=0 \quad n+1 \leq r \leq 2 m+1,3 \leq i \neq j \leq n,
\end{gathered}
$$

from lemma 2.1 and (15), we have $h_{11}^{n+1}+h_{22}^{n+1}=h_{33}^{n+1}=\ldots=h_{n n}^{n+1}$. Therefore we get (19) and (20). The converse statement is straightforward.
Corollary 3.4.A necessary condition for anti-invariant submanifold $M^{n}(n>2)$ in a generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ such that structure vector field of $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be normal to $M$, to be minimal, is $\inf \mathcal{K} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\{R-(n+1)(n-2) f_{1}\right\}$, in which $\mathcal{K}:=\{K(\pi) \mid$ plane section fields $\pi \subset T M\}$ and $R$ is scalar curvature of M. Equality holds if and only if, with respect to an orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$, the shape operators $A_{e_{r}}\left(r=n+1, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right)$ of $M$ in $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ take the following forms:

$$
A_{e_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
h_{11}^{n+1} & h_{12}^{n+1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
h_{21}^{n+1} & h_{22}^{n+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h_{33}^{n+1} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_{n n}^{n+1}
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$

in which $h_{11}^{n+1}+h_{22}^{n+1}=h_{33}^{n+1}=\ldots=h_{n n}^{n+1}$ and

$$
A_{e_{r}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
h_{11}^{r} & h_{12}^{r} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
h_{21}^{r} & -h_{11}^{r} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), r=n+2, \ldots, 2 m+1 .
$$

Remark 3.5.Since the structure vector field in a generalized Sasakian space form is normal to Legendrian submanifolds and Legendrian submanifolds are anti-invariant, therefore Theorems (3.1) and (3.3) and corollaries (3.2) and (3.4) are satisfied when submanifolds in generalized Sasakian space form are a Legendrian.

## 4.SUbmanifolds tangent to structure vector field in a generalized SASAKIAN SPACE FORM

In this section, we are going to establish the inequalities for anti-invariant submanifold $M$ with $\operatorname{dim} M>2$ in generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ when Structural vector field of $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be tangent to $M$.
Theorem 4.1.If $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ is an anti-invariant warped product submanifold in a generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{dim} M_{i}=n_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $n_{1}+n_{2}=n>2$, and the structure vector field of $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ is tangent to $M_{2}$
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \Delta f}{n_{1} f} \geq R-(n-2)\left(\frac{n^{2}}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}+(n+1) f_{1}-2 f_{3}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $H, R$ and $\Delta$ are mean curvature vector, scalar curvature and Laplacian operator of $M$, respectively.
Proof. We choose local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{1}}$ are tangent to $M_{1}, e_{n_{1}}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M_{2}, e_{n}=\xi$ and $e_{n+1}$ is parallel to $H$.

From Gauss equation, similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=R-n(n-1) f_{1}+2(n-1) f_{3}+\|h\|^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=R-\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}-(n+1)(n-2) f_{1}+2(n-2) f_{3} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (22) we have $n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=(n-1)\left(\|h\|^{2}+\delta-2 f_{1}+2 f_{3}\right)$, and substituting (3) and (4) in the above equality, we get

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}=(n-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}+\delta-2 f_{1}+2 f_{3}\right)
$$

Now we set $b:=\delta-2 f_{1}+2 f_{3}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}$.
For $\alpha \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we let $a_{1}=h_{\alpha \alpha}^{n+1}$ and $a_{2}=h_{n n}^{n+1}$, then from Lemma.2.1, we have $a_{1} a_{2} \geq \frac{b}{2}$. Therefore

$$
h_{\alpha \alpha}^{n+1} h_{n n}^{n+1} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}-\left(f_{1}-f_{3}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{\alpha \alpha}^{n+1} h_{n n}^{n+1}+\left(f_{1}-f_{3}\right) \geq & \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand from (1) and the Gauss equation, for $\alpha \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we have

$$
f_{1}-f_{3}=K\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{n}\right)-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{n n}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha n}^{r}\right)^{2}
$$

By comparing the above equality with (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
K\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{n}\right)-\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{n n}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha n}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
\geq \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

After simplification, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{n}\right)-\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{n n}^{r} \geq & \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq n \\
i \neq \alpha<j \neq n}}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq n \\
i \neq \alpha \vee j \neq n}}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{n n}^{r}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r}+h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2},
$$

therefore from (25) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
K\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{n}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq n \\
i \neq \alpha j \neq n}}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq \alpha, n}}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq n \\
i \neq \alpha \backslash j \neq n}}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r}+h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2} . \\
\quad \Rightarrow K\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{n}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore
$2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{1}} K\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{n}\right) \geq n_{1} \delta \stackrel{(10),(23)}{\Longrightarrow} 2 \frac{\Delta f}{n_{1} f} \geq R-\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}-(n+1)(n-2) f_{1}+2(n-2) f_{3}$.
Corollary 4.2.A necessary condition for anti-invariant warped product submanifold $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$, in a generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{dim} M_{i}=$
$n_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $n_{1}+n_{2}=n>2$ and the structure vector field of $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ is tangent to $M_{2}$, to be minimal is

$$
\frac{2 \Delta f}{n_{1} f} \geq R-(n-2)\left((n+1) f_{1}-2 f_{3}\right),
$$

in which $R$ is the scalar curvature of $M$.
In Theorem 4.1 the anti-invariant submanifold, was a warped product manifold. In the next theorem we remove this assumption and indeed we generalize the Chen's inequality, Lemma 1.1, for anti-invariant submanifolds $M^{n}(n>2)$ of generalized Sasakian space forms.
Theorem 4.3.Let $M^{n}(n>2)$ be an anti-invariant submanifold in a generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ such that structure vector field of $\bar{M}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be tangent to $M$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \mathcal{K} \geq \inf \left\{\mathcal{A}+(n-2) f_{3}, \mathcal{A}+(n-1) f_{3}, \mathcal{A}+\frac{P}{2} f_{3}-2\left|f_{3}\right|\right\} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{K}:=\{K(\pi) \mid \text { plane section fields } \pi \subset T M\}, \\
\mathcal{A}:=\frac{1}{2}\left\{R-\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}-(n+1)(n-2) f_{1}\right\}, \\
P:=\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(\left(\eta\left(e_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\eta\left(e_{j}\right)\right)^{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

in which $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ is an orthonormal frame such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M$ and for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \xi \neq e_{i}$ and $R$ is the scalar curvature of $M$.
Proof. Let $\pi$ be a 2 -plane field in $T M$.

1) If $\xi$ is tangent to $\pi$ then:
we choose locale orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M$ and $e_{n+1}$ is parallel to $H, e_{1}=\xi$ and $\pi$ generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Therefore From Gauss equation, similar to the proof of theorem 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=R-n(n-1) f_{1}+2(n-1) f_{3}+\|h\|^{2}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We defined $\delta$ as in (23)

$$
\delta:=R-\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}-(n+1)(n-2) f_{1}+2(n-2) f_{3},
$$

then from (27) we have

$$
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=(n-1)\left(\|h\|^{2}+\delta-2 f_{1}+2 f_{3}\right),
$$

and substituting (3) and (4) in the above equality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}= & (n-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\delta-2 f_{1}+2 f_{3}\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Now set

$$
b:=\delta-2 f_{1}+2 f_{3}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} .
$$

From Lemma.2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{11}^{n+1} h_{22}^{n+1} \geq & \frac{\delta}{2}-\left(f_{1}-f_{3}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{11}^{n+1} h_{22}^{n+1}+\left(f_{1}-f_{3}\right) \geq & \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand from (1) and the Gauss equation, we have

$$
f_{1}-f_{3}=K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} h_{11}^{r} h_{22}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{12}^{r}\right)^{2} .
$$

By comparing the above equality with (30), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)-\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{11}^{r} h_{22}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{12}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

After simplification, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq n \\
i \neq 1 j j \neq 2}}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq 1,2}}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
+\sum_{\substack{r=n+2}}^{2 m+1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \\
i \neq 1 \backslash j \neq 2}}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{11}^{r}+h_{22}^{r}\right)^{2} . \\
\Rightarrow K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By substituting $\delta$ in the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \geq \mathcal{A}+(n-2) f_{3} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) If $\xi$ is normal to $\pi$ then:
we choose a locale orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M$ and $e_{n+1}$ is parallel to $H, e_{n}=\xi$ and $\pi$ generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Therefore from Gauss equation, similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have (27). Therefore

$$
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=(n-1)\left(\|h\|^{2}+\delta-2 f_{1}+2 f_{3}\right)
$$

in which $\delta$ is defined in (23). By substituting (3) and (4) in the above equality, we get (28). From Lemma.2.1 we have (29) and then

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{11}^{n+1} h_{22}^{n+1}+f_{1} \geq & \frac{\delta}{2}+f_{3}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand from (1) and the Gauss equation, we have

$$
f_{1}=K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} h_{11}^{r} h_{22}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{12}^{r}\right)^{2} .
$$

By comparing the above equality and (32), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)-\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{11}^{r} h_{22}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{12}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+f_{3}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By simple computation, we have

$$
K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+f_{3} .
$$

By substituting $\delta$ in the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \geq \mathcal{A}+(n-1) f_{3} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) If $\xi$ be neither tangent or normal to $\pi$ then:
we choose locale orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ such that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M$ and $e_{n+1}$ is parallel to $H$ and $\pi$ generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ and for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\xi \neq e_{i}$. Therefore from Gauss equation, similar to the proof of theorem 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=R+\|h\|^{2}-n(n-1) f_{1}+P f_{3}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
P:=\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(\left(\eta\left(e_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\eta\left(e_{j}\right)\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=R-\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}-(n+1)(n-2) f_{1}+P f_{3}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (34) we have

$$
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=(n-1)\left(\|h\|^{2}+\delta-2 f_{1}\right),
$$

and substituting (3) and (4) in the above equality, we get

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}=(n-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}+\delta-2 f_{1}\right) .
$$

Now set

$$
b:=\delta-2 f_{1}+\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} .
$$

From Lemma.2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{11}^{n+1} h_{22}^{n+1} \geq & \frac{\delta}{2}-f_{1}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{11}^{n+1} h_{22}^{n+1}+f_{1} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} \cdot( \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from gauss equation we have

$$
f_{1}=K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+\left(\left(\eta\left(e_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\eta\left(e_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right) f_{3}-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} h_{11}^{r} h_{22}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{12}^{r}\right)^{2}
$$

Then (36) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+\left(\left(\eta\left(e_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\eta\left(e_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right) f_{3}-\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} h_{11}^{r} h_{22}^{r}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1}\left(h_{12}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

After simplification we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}-\left(\left(\eta\left(e_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\eta\left(e_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right) f_{3} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $i \in\{1,2\}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<g\left(\xi-e_{i}, \xi-e_{i}\right)=g(\xi, \xi)-2 g\left(\xi, e_{i}\right)+g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \\
\Rightarrow g\left(\xi, e_{i}\right)<1 \\
\Rightarrow 0 \leq\left(g\left(\xi, e_{i}\right)\right)^{2}<1 . \\
\Rightarrow 0 \leq\left(\eta\left(e_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\eta\left(e_{2}\right)\right)^{2}<2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore (37) can be rewriten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) & \geq \frac{\delta}{2}-2\left|f_{3}\right| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\{R-\frac{n^{2}(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^{2}-(n+1)(n-2) f_{1}+P f_{3}\right\}-2\left|f_{3}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

From (31) and (33) and the above inequality, we get (26).
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