LACUNARY STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF DIFFERENCE DOUBLE SEQUENCES

Ayhan Esi

ABSTRACT. In this paper our purpose is to extend some results known in the literature for ordinary difference (single) to difference double sequences of real numbers. Quite recently, Esi [1] defined the statistical analogue for double difference sequences $x = (x_{k,l})$ as follows: A real double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is said to be P-statistically $\Delta - convergent$ to L provided that for each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} \{ the \ number \ of \ (k,l) : k < m, l < n; |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon \} = 0.$$

In this paper we introduce and study lacunary statistical convergence for difference double sequences and we shall also give some inclusion theorems.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05,40A35,40B05.

1.Introduction

Before we go into the motivation for this paper and presentation of the main results we give some preliminaries. A double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ has a Pringsheim limit L (denoted by P - limx = L) provided that given an $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{k,l} - L| < \varepsilon$ whenever k, l > N. We shall describe such an $x = (x_{k,l})$ more briefly as "P - convergent" [2]. The double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is bounded if there exists a positive number M such that $|x_{k,l}| < M$ for all k and l,

$$||x|| = \sup_{k,l} |x_{k,l}| < \infty.$$

We should note that in contrast to the case for single sequences, a convergent double sequence need not be bounded. The concept of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [5] in 1951. A complex number sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be statistically convergent to the number L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \le n : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0$$

where the vertical bars indicate the number of elements in the enclosed set. Later, Mursaleen and Edely [6] defined the statistical analogue for double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ as follows: A real double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is said to be P-statistical convergence to L provided that for each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} |\{ (k,l) : k < m, l < n; |x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon \}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write $St_2 - \lim_{k,l} x_{k,l} = L$ and we denote the set of all P-statistical convergent double sequences by St_2 .

By a lacunary $\theta = (k_r)$; $r = 0, 1, 2, ... where <math>k_o = 0$, we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$. The ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be denoted by q_r . The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequence space N_θ was defined by Freedman et.al. [7] as follows:

$$N_{\theta} = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}.$$

The double sequence $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ is called double lacunary sequence if there exist two increasing of integers such that

$$k_0 = 0, h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty \text{ as } r \to \infty$$

and

$$l_o = 0$$
, $\overline{h_s} = l_s - l_{s-1} \to \infty$ as $s \to \infty$.

Notations: $k_{r,s}=k_rl_s,\; h_{r,s}=h_r\overline{h_s}$ and $\theta_{r,s}$ is determined by

$$I_{r,s} = \{(k,l): k_{r-1} < k \le k_r \text{ and } l_{s-1} < l \le l_s\},$$

$$q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}, \overline{q_s} = \frac{l_s}{l_{s-1}}$$
 and $q_{r,s} = q_r \overline{q_s}.$ [3]

The set of all double lacunary sequences denoted by $N_{\theta_{r,s}}$ and defined by Savaş and Patterson [4] as follows:

$$N_{\theta_{r,s}} = \left\{ x = (x_{k,l}) : P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} |x_{k,l} - L| = 0, \text{ for some L} \right\}.$$

2. Definitions and results

We begin with some definitions.

Definition 2.1. The double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is $\Delta - bounded$ if there exists a positive number M such that $|\Delta x_{k,l}| < M$ for all k and l,

$$||x||_{\Delta} = \sup_{k,l} |\Delta x_{k,l}| < \infty.$$

Where $\Delta x_{k,l} = x_{k,l} - x_{k,l+1} - x_{k+1,l} + x_{k+1,l+1}$. We will denote the set of all bounded double difference sequences by $l_{\infty}^{i}(\Delta)$.

Definition 2.2.[1] A real double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is said to be P-statistical $\Delta-convergence to L$ provided that for each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} |\{ (k,l) : k < m, l < n; |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon \}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write $St_{2,\Delta} - \lim_{k,l} x_{k,l} = L$ and we denote the set of all P – statistical Δ – convergent double sequences by $St_{2,\Delta}$.

Definition 2.3. [1] The double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is strong double difference Cesaro summable to L if

$$w_{\Delta}^{n} = \left\{ x = (x_{k,l}) : P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The class of all strongly double difference Cesaro summable sequences is denoted by w_{Λ}^{n} .

Definition 2.4. Let $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence. The double number sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is $N_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta} - P - convergent$ to L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| = 0.$$

We will denote the set of all $N_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta} - P - convergent$ sequences by $N_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$. We now consider the double difference lacunary statistical convergence.

Definition 2.5. Let $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence. The double number sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is $S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta} - P - convergent$ to L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k,l) \in I_{r,s} : |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

We will denote the set of all $S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta} - P - convergent$ sequences by $S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence. Then

(i) $N_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta} \subset S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$ and the inclusion is strict,

(ii) If
$$x = (x_{k,l}) \in l_{\infty}^n(\Delta) \cap S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$$
 then $x = (x_{k,l}) \in N_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$,

(iii) $l_{\infty}^{n}(\Delta) \cap S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta} = l_{\infty}^{n}(\Delta) \cap N_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$. Proof. (i) Since

$$|\{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}: |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| \le \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s} \& |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon} |\Delta x_{k,l} - L|$$

$$\le \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} |\Delta x_{k,l} - L|$$

and so if $x=(x_{k,l})\in N_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$ then we have $x=(x_{k,l})\in S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$. To show the inclusion is strict, we define $x=(x_{k,l})$ as follows:

It is clear that $x = (x_{k,l})$ is not Δ -bounded double sequence and for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}: |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| = P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{\left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}\right]}{h_{r,s}} = 0.$$

So $x = (x_{k,l}) \in S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$. But

$$P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| = P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{\left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}\right] \left(\left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}\right] \left(\left[\sqrt[3]{h_{r,s}}\right] + 1\right)\right)}{2h_{r,s}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore $x = (x_{k,l}) \notin N_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$. This completes the prof of (i).

(ii) Suppose that $x = (x_{k,l}) \in l_{\infty}^n(\Delta) \cap S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$. Then $|\Delta x_{k,l}| < M$ for all k and l, also for given $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large r and s, we obtain the following

$$\frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| = \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s} \& |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon} |\Delta x_{k,l} - L|$$

$$\begin{split} & + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s} \& |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| < \varepsilon} |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \\ & \leq \frac{M}{h_{r,s}} \left| \{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \geq \varepsilon \} \right| + \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Therefore $x = (x_{k,l}) \in l_{\infty}^{n}(\Delta) \cap S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$ implies $x = (x_{k,l}) \in N_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$. (iii) It follows from (i) and (ii).

Theorem 2.2. Let $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence. Then

- (i) $St_{2,\Delta} \subset S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$ if $\liminf q_r > 1$ and $\liminf \overline{q_s} > 1$.
- (ii) $S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta} \subset St_{2,\Delta}$ if $\liminf q_r < \infty$ and $\liminf \overline{q_s} < \infty$,
- (iii) $St_{2,\Delta} = S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$ if $1 < \liminf q_r < \infty$ and $1 < \liminf \overline{q_s} < \infty$

Proof (i). Suppose that $\liminf q_r > 1$ and $\liminf \overline{q_s} > 1$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that both $q_r > 1 + \delta$ and $\overline{q_s} > 1 + \delta$. This implies $\frac{h_r}{k_r} \ge \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}$ and $\frac{\overline{h_s}}{l_s} \ge \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}$. If $x = (x_{k,l}) \in St_{2,\Delta}$ then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for sufficiently large r and s we obtain the following:

$$\frac{1}{k_{r,s}} \left| \{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : k \le k_r \text{ and } l \le l_s ; |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon \} \right|$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{k_{r,s}} \left| \{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon \} \right| = \frac{h_{r,s}}{k_{r,s}} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \left| \{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon \} \right|$$

$$\ge \left(\frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \right)^2 \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \left| \{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon \} \right|.$$

Therefore $x = (x_{k,l}) \in S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$.

(ii) Suppose that $\liminf q_r < \infty$ and $\liminf \overline{q_s} < \infty$, then there exists K > 0 such that $q_r \le K$, $\overline{q_s} \le K$ for all r and s. Let $x = (x_{k,l}) \in S_{\theta_{r,s},\Delta}$ and $N_{r,s} = |\{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}: |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}|$. So, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer r_o such that $\frac{N_{r,s}}{h_{r,s}} < \varepsilon$ for all $r,s > r_o$. Let $M = \max\{N_{r,s}: 1 \le r,s \le r_o\}$. Let m and n be such that $k_{r-1} < m \le k_r$ and $l_{s-1} < n \le l_s$. Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ k \leq m \text{ and } l \leq n : \ |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \left| \left\{ (k,l) \in I_{r,s} : k \leq k_r \text{ and } l \leq l_s ; \ |\Delta x_{k,l} - L| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{i,j=1,1}^{r,s} N_{i,j} \\ \leq \frac{Mr_o^2}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} + \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{i,j=r_o+1,r_o+1}^{r,s} N_{i,j} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{Mr_o^2}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} + \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{i,j=r_o+1,r_o+1}^{r,s} N_{i,j} \frac{h_{i,j}}{h_{i,j}} \\ &\leq \frac{Mr_o^2}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} + \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \left(\sup_{i,j \geq r_o,r_o} \frac{N_{i,j}}{h_{i,j}} \right) \left(\sum_{i,j=r_o+1,r_o+1}^{r,s} h_{i,j} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{Mr_o^2}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} + \varepsilon \sum_{i,j=r_o+1,r_o+1}^{r,s} h_{i,j} \leq \frac{Mr_o^2}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} + \varepsilon K^2. \end{split}$$

The result follows immediately.

(iii) Combining (i) and (ii) we have the proof of (iii).

References

- [1]A.Esi, On some new difference double sequence spaces via Orlicz function, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, **2(2)**(2011), 16-25.
- [2] A. Pringsheim, Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen, Mathematische Annalen **53** (1900), 289-321.
- [3] E.Savaş and R.F.Patterson, On some double almost lacunary sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, FILOMAT, 19(2005), 35-44.
- [4] E.Savaş and R.F.Patterson, Lacunary statistical convergence of multiple sequences, Applied Mathematics Letters, 19(2006), 527-534.
 - [5] H.Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Collog.Math.2 (1951), 241-244.
- [6] M.Mursaleen and O.H.Ederly, *Statistical convergence of double sequences*, J.Math.Anal.Appl. **288(1)** (2003), 223-231.
- [7] A.R.Freedman, I.J.Sember and M.Raphael, *Some Cesaro type summability spaces*, Proc.London Math.Soc.**37**(1978), 508-520.

Ayhan Esi Department of Mathematics University of Adiyaman Altinsehir, 02040, Adiyaman, Turkey E-mail: aesi23@hotmail.com