

ON Q -STARLIKE FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO K -SYMMETRIC POINTS

A. ALSOBOH AND M. DARUS

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define new subclass of analytic functions, the so-called q -starlike functions of order α with respect to k -symmetric points. We explore some inclusion properties and find some sufficient condition for this class. Finally, we obtain the integral representation for functions belonging to this class.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

Keywords: Analytic function, Univalent function, q -Starlike function, k -Symmetric points, q -calculus.

1. INTRODUCTION

We begin by letting \mathcal{H} the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc of the complex plane $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$, and \mathcal{A} be the subclass of \mathcal{H} containing all functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m z^m \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (1)$$

which satisfying the condition of normalization; $f'(0) = f(0) + 1 = 1$. Let \mathcal{S} denotes the subclass of \mathcal{A} containing of all functions that are univalent in \mathbb{U} . For any two analytic functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exist a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$ with $\omega(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| \leq 1$ such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ [14].

The convolution of $f(z)$ as in (1) and $\beta(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \phi_m z^m$ is defined by

$$(f * \beta)(z) = (\beta * f)(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m \phi_m z^m.$$

The geometric properties of analytic functions played an important role in geometric function theory, such as convexity and starlikeness, these subclasses denoted by \mathcal{C}

and \mathcal{S}^* , respectively.

More generally, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, let $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ be the subclasses of starlike of order α and convex of order α , respectively, defined analytically by

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

The application of q -calculus is very important in the theory of analytic functions. Jackson was 1st developed q -calculus in a systematic way (for more details, see [10, 11]). There are several application of q -calculus on subclasses of analytic functions, especially subclasses of univalent functions in \mathbb{U} like stalike and convex (for more details, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 5, 16, 17]) that depends on replacing the usual derivative by q -derivative. Ismail et al. [9] introduced a general q -starlike function with replacing the right half plane by appropriate domains, Agrawal and Sahoo in [1] extend this idea to introduce the class of q -starlike functions of order α . Later on, Aldweby and Darus [4] introduced two subclasses of bounded q -starlike and q -convex functions. Some other application of q -calculus are studied by Alsoboh and Darus [6, 7, 8] and Mohammed and Darus [15].

Now, we give some basic concepts and definitions of the applications of q -calculus assuming that $0 < q < 1$, by:

Definition 1. [10] For $0 < q < 1$, the q -numbers $[m]_q$ is given by:

$$[m]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^m}{1-q} & , n \in \mathbb{C} \\ 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1} & , n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases},$$

and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} [m]_q = m$.

Definition 2. [10] The Jackson q -derivative of a function f is given by:

$$\partial_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{z - qz} & (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \\ f'(0) & (z = 0) \end{cases},$$

where $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \partial_q f(z) = f'(z)$.

Definition 3. [11] The Jackson's q -integral of a function f is given by:

$$\int_0^z f(t) d_q t = (1-q)z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n f(q^n z).$$

In case of $f(z) = z^m, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_q(z^m) &= [m]_q z^{m-1}, \\ \int_0^z t^{c-1} d_q t &= (1-q)z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (zq^n)^{c-1} q^n = \frac{z^c}{[c]_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Ismail and et al. in [9] introduced the class of q -starlike functions and the definition of class \mathcal{S}_q^* is given as follows:

Definition 4. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to belong to the class \mathcal{S}_q^* , if

$$\left| \frac{z(\partial_q f)(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| < \frac{1}{1-q} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2)$$

If $q \rightarrow 1^-$ then \mathcal{S}_q^* reduced to \mathcal{S}^* .

Later, Agrawal and Sahoo in [1] defined and investigated the subclass of generalized q -starlike functions of order α . The definition is as follows:

Definition 5. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, if

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(\partial_q f)(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha}{1-\alpha} - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| < \frac{1}{1-q}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If $\alpha = 0$, then $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha) := \mathcal{S}_q^*$.

The authors in [6] introduced a q -differential operator $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m) \right)^n a_m z^m \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m) = (\kappa - \lambda)(\delta - \mu)([m]_q - 1) + 1, \quad (\delta, \kappa, \lambda, \mu \geq 0, \kappa > \lambda, \delta > \mu, n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Next, we introduce new subclass of q -starlike of order α with respect to k -symmetric points using the differential operator $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f$ given as follows:

Definition 6. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to in the class $\mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$, if it satisfies the following inequality

$$\left| \frac{z \partial_q (\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f_k)(z)} - \frac{1 - \alpha q}{1 - q} \right| < \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - q}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (4)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, k is a fixed positive integer and f_k is defined by the equality

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-v} f(\varepsilon^v z), \quad (\varepsilon^k = 1). \quad (5)$$

We observe that the class $\mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$ satisfies the following relation:

$$\bigcap_{0 < q < 1} \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha) \subset \bigcap_{0 < q < 1} \mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^*.$$

Throughout this paper, we will assuming that $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < q < 1$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

First, we need the following lemma of Liu [13].

Lemma 1. Let $-1 \leq B_2 \leq B_1 < A_1 \leq A_2 \leq 1$, then we have

$$\frac{1 + A_1 z}{1 + B_1 z} \prec \frac{1 + A_2 z}{1 + B_2 z}.$$

Next, we give some meaningful conclusion about the class $\mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ as in (1). Then $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$ if and only if it satisfies the following subordination condition

$$\frac{z \partial_q (\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f_k)(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))z}{1 - qz}, \quad (6)$$

where f_k as in (5).

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$, then by Definition 6, we have

$$\left| \frac{z \partial_q (\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f_k)(z)} - \frac{1 - \alpha q}{1 - q} \right| < \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - q}$$

Consider $I(z) = \frac{z\partial_q(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)}$, then

$$\left| \frac{1-q}{1-\alpha} I(z) - \frac{1-\alpha q}{1-\alpha} \right| < 1.$$

We can introduce the function $\Phi(z)$ by

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{(1-q)I(z) + \alpha q - 1}{1-\alpha}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, |\Phi(z)| < 1).$$

Now, define the function $\omega(z)$, by

$$\omega(z) = \frac{\Phi(z) - \Phi(0)}{1 - \Phi(z)\overline{\Phi(0)}} = \frac{I(z) - 1}{1 - \alpha(1+q) + qI(z)}. \quad (7)$$

We note that $\omega(0) = 0$, and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

From the last equation, we have

$$\frac{z\partial_q(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1+q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)},$$

this implies that

$$\frac{z\partial_q(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1+q))z}{1 - qz}.$$

Conversely, by assuming the equation (6) holds, then there exist a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$, such that

$$\frac{z\partial_q(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1+q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)}.$$

It is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z\partial_q(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} - \frac{1-\alpha q}{1-q} \right| &= \left| \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1+q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)} - \frac{1-\alpha q}{1-q} \right| \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha}{1-q} \left| \frac{\omega(z) - q}{1 - q\omega(z)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1-q}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$ and the proof is complete.

Theorem 3. Let $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < 1$, then we have $\mathcal{S}_q^{(k)}(n, \alpha_2) \subset \mathcal{S}_q^{(k)}(n, \alpha_1)$.

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{(k)}(n, \alpha_2)$, by Theorem 2, we have

$$\frac{z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha_2(1 + q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)}.$$

Since $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$, this leads to $1 - \alpha_2(1 + q) \leq 1 - \alpha_1(1 + q)$ and

$$\frac{1 + (1 - \alpha_2(1 + q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)} < \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha_1(1 + q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)}.$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$\frac{1 + (1 - \alpha_2(1 + q))z}{1 - q\omega(z)} < \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha_1(1 + q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)},$$

this means that $\mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha_2) \subset \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha_1)$ and hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$, then $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k \in \mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha)$.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$, then by Definition 6, we have

$$\left| \frac{z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} - \frac{1 - \alpha q}{1 - q} \right| < \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - q}.$$

Then substituting z by $\varepsilon^\gamma z$ where $\gamma = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, in the last inequality, we have

$$\left| \frac{\varepsilon^\gamma z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(\varepsilon^\gamma z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(\varepsilon^\gamma z)} - \frac{1 - \alpha q}{1 - q} \right| < \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - q}, \quad (\gamma = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1). \quad (8)$$

According to the definition of f_k and $\varepsilon^k = 1$, we have $f_k(\varepsilon^\gamma z) = \varepsilon^\gamma f_k(z)$ and summing the last equation for $\gamma = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, we can get

$$\left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \frac{\varepsilon^\gamma z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(\varepsilon^\gamma z)}{\varepsilon^\gamma (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} - \frac{1 - \alpha q}{1 - q} \right| < \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - q}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \frac{\varepsilon^\gamma z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(\varepsilon^\gamma z)}{\varepsilon^\gamma (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} = \frac{z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(\varepsilon^\gamma z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)},$$

therefore,

$$\left| \frac{z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} - \frac{1-\alpha q}{1-q} \right| < \frac{1-\alpha}{1-q},$$

hence $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha)$.

Theorem 5. Let f be defined as in (1). If for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(mk+1))^n ([mk+1]_q - \alpha) |a_{mk+1}| \\ & + \sum_{\substack{m=2 \\ m \neq lk+1}}^{\infty} [m]_q (\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m))^n |a_m| \leq (1-\alpha) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$.

Proof. Suppose that f and $f_k(z)$ is defined by (1) and (5), respectively. For $z \in \mathbb{U}$, we have

$$M = \left| (1-q)z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z) - (1-\alpha q)(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z) \right| - (1-\alpha) \left| (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \left| (1-q)z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z) - (1-\alpha q)(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z) \right| - (1-\alpha) \left| (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z) \right| \\ &\leq q(1-\alpha)r + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m) \right)^n a_m \left\{ (1-q)[m]_q - (1-\alpha q)c_m \right\} r^m \\ &\quad - (1-\alpha)r + (1-\alpha) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m) \right)^n a_m c_m r^m \\ &< -(1-q)(1-\alpha)r + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m) \right)^n a_m \left\{ (1-q)[m]_q - (1-\alpha q)c_m \right\} r^m \\ &\quad + (1-\alpha) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m) \right)^n a_m c_m r^m \\ &< \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m) \right)^n a_m (1-q)[m]_q - \alpha(1-q)c_m - (1-q)(1-\alpha) \right\} r \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$M < (1-q) \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m) \right)^n \left([m]_q - \alpha c_m \right) |a_m| - (1-\alpha) \right]. \quad (10)$$

From definition of c_m , we know that

$$c_m = \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{(m-1)v} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{if } m = lk + 1 \\ o & , \text{if } m \neq lk + 1 \end{cases} \quad (k, l \geq 1, m \geq 2). \quad (11)$$

Substituting (11) into (10), we have

$$M < (1 - q) \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (\Delta_{[\kappa, \lambda, \delta, \mu; q]}(mk + 1))^n ([mk + 1]_q - \alpha) |a_{mk+1}| \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\substack{m=2 \\ m \neq lk+1}}^{\infty} [m]_q (\Delta_{[\kappa, \lambda, \delta, \mu; q]}(m))^n |a_m| - (1 - \alpha) \right].$$

From inequality (5), we know that $M < 0$, then the proof is complete.

Theorem 6. *The function f of the form (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$\frac{e^{i\theta}(e^{-i\theta} - q)}{z} \left[\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f(z) * \left(\frac{z - N(1 - z)(1 - qz)h(z)}{(1 - z)(1 - qz)} \right) \right] \neq 0, \quad (12)$$

for all $N = \frac{(e^{-i\theta} + [1 - \alpha(1 + q)])}{e^{-i\theta} - q}$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$ of the form (1), then it satisfies the equality (6), i.e

$$\frac{z \partial_q (\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f_k)(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))z}{1 - qz}.$$

Since $\frac{z \partial_q (\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f_k)(z)}$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} , this means $(\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f_k)(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{U}^*$, i.e $\frac{1}{z} (\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f_k)(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{U}$, according to (6), then by definition of subordination, there exist a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$ with $|\omega(z)| < 1$ and $\omega(0) = 0$ such that

$$\frac{z \partial_q (\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f_k)(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{z \partial_q (\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f)(z)}{(\mathbb{D}_{q, \mu, \delta, \kappa, \lambda}^n f_k)(z)} \neq \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))e^{i\theta}}{1 - qe^{i\theta}}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi), \quad (13)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[(1 - qe^{i\theta}) z \partial_q (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z) - (1 + [1 - \alpha(1 + q)]e^{i\theta}) (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z) \right] \neq 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi). \quad (14)$$

And from the definition of $f_k(z)$, we know

$$f_k(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m c_m z^m = (f * h)(z),$$

$$D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\Delta_{[\kappa,\lambda,\delta,\mu;q]}(m) \right)^n a_m c_m z^m = (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f * h)(z) \quad (15)$$

where $h(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} c_m z^m$, for

$$c_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = lk + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq lk + 1. \end{cases}$$

And also

$$f(z) = f(z) * \frac{z}{1-z} \quad \text{and} \quad z \partial_q f(z) = f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)(1-qz)}$$

this implies

$$D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) = D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) * \frac{z}{1-z} \quad (16)$$

$$z \partial_q (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)) = D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)(1-qz)}. \quad (17)$$

Now, substitute (16) and (17) into (15), we have

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) * \left(\frac{(1 - qe^{i\theta})z}{(1-z)(1-qz)} - (1 + [1 - \alpha(1 + q)]e^{i\theta})h(z) \right) \right] \neq 0,$$

$$\frac{e^{i\theta}(e^{-i\theta} - q)}{z} \left[D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) * \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)(1-qz)} - \frac{(e^{-i\theta} + [1 - \alpha(1 + q)])h(z)}{e^{-i\theta} - q} \right) \right] \neq 0$$

which lead to (12), which proves the 'if' part.

Conversely, because the assumption (12) holds for all N , it follows that $\frac{1}{z} \cdot D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) \neq 0$, hence the function $I(z) = \frac{z \partial_q (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)}$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} , and we shown in 'if' part that the assumption (13) is equivalent to

$$I(z) \neq \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))e^{i\theta}}{1 - qe^{i\theta}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi). \quad (18)$$

If we denote by

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))z}{1 - qz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

the relation (18) shows that $I(\mathbb{U}) \cap \Gamma(\mathbb{U}) = \phi$. Thus, the simply-connected domain $I(\mathbb{U})$ is included in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma(\partial\mathbb{U})$. Since $\Gamma(z)$ is univalent and $I(0) = \Gamma(0)$, then $I(z) \prec \Gamma(z)$ which represent the relation (6), hence $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$.

3. THE q -INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

In this section, we give the q -integral representation of functions f for the class $\mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$.

Theorem 7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$, then we have*

$$D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k = z \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\gamma z} \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(t)}{t(1 - q\omega(t))} d_q t \right\}$$

where f_k is defined in (5), $\omega(z)$ is analytic with $\omega(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$, then by Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{z \partial_q (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)},$$

where $\omega(z)$ is analytic with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, substituting z by $\varepsilon^\gamma z$ ($\gamma = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$)

$$\frac{\varepsilon^\gamma z \partial_q (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(\varepsilon^\gamma z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(\varepsilon^\gamma z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(\varepsilon^\gamma z)}{1 - q\omega(\varepsilon^\gamma z)},$$

we know that $f_k(\varepsilon^\gamma z) = \varepsilon^\gamma f_k(z)$, and summing for $\gamma = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$

$$\frac{z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(\varepsilon^\gamma z)}{1 - q\omega(\varepsilon^\gamma z)}. \quad (19)$$

From the last equality we have

$$\frac{\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)}{(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z)} - \frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(\varepsilon^\gamma z)}{z(1 - q\omega(\varepsilon^\gamma z))}.$$

Apply Jackson's q -integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q-1}{\log q} \log \left\{ \frac{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k}{z} \right\} &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(\varepsilon^\gamma \zeta)}{\zeta(1 - q\omega(\varepsilon^\gamma \zeta))} d_q \zeta, \\ \log \left\{ \frac{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k}{z} \right\} &= \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\gamma z} \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(t)}{t(1 - q\omega(t))} d_q t, \\ D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k &= z \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\gamma z} \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(t)}{t(1 - q\omega(t))} d_q t \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^{*(k)}(n, \alpha)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) &= \int_0^z \exp \left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\gamma z} \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(t)}{t(1 - q\omega(t))} d_q t \right\} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(\zeta)}{1 - q\omega(\zeta)} d_q \zeta, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where f_k is defined in (5), $\omega(z)$ is analytic with $\omega(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$.

Proof. From Theorem 2, we have

$$z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z) = (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k)(z) \cdot \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1 + q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z) &= \exp\left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\gamma z} \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1+q))\omega(t)}{t(1 - q\omega(t))} d_q t \right\} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1+q))\omega(z)}{1 - q\omega(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Apply q -Jackson's integral of both sides to get

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f)(z) &= \int_0^z \exp\left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\gamma z} \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1+q))\omega(t)}{t(1 - q\omega(t))} d_q t \right\} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1 + (1 - \alpha(1+q))\omega(\zeta)}{1 - q\omega(\zeta)} d_q \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements. The work presented here was partially supported by UKM grant:GUP-2019-032.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agrawal, S. K. Sahoo, *A generalization of starlike functions of order alpha*, Hokkaido Mathematical Journal 46, 1 (2017), 15-27.
- [2] B. Ahmad, M. Farooq, R. Khan, *On A Subclass of Analytic Close-to-Convex Functions in q -Analogue Associated with Janowski Functions*, J. Classical Anal. 13, 1 (2018), 83-93.
- [3] O. P. Ahuja, A. Çetinkaya, Y. Polatoglu, *Bieberbach-de Branges and Fekete-Szegő inequalities for certain families of q -convex and q -close-to-convex functions*, J. of Computational Analysis and Applications 26,1 (2019).
- [4] H. Aldweby, M. Darus, *Coefficient estimates of classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions*, Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics 26, 1 (2016), 21-26.
- [5] S. Elhaddad, M. Darus, H. Aldweby, *On certain subclasses of analytic functions involving differential operator*, Jñānābha 48 (2018), 53-62.
- [6] A. Alsoboh, M. Darus, *New Subclass of Analytic Functions Defined by q -Differential Operator with Respect to k -Symmetric Points*, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science 14, 3 (2019). 761-773.

- [7] A. Alsoboh and M. Darus, *On Fekete-Szegö Problems for Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions Defined by Differential Operator Involving q -Ruscheweyh Operator*, Journal of function space 2019 (2019), Article ID: 8459405, 8 pages.
- [8] A. Alsoboh, M. Darus, *On Fekete-Szegö problem associated with q -derivative operator*, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1212, 2019 (2019) , 012003.
- [9] M. E. H. Ismail, E. Merkes, D. Styer, *A generalization of starlike functions*, Complex Variables, Theory and Application: An International Journal 14,(1-4) 1990, 77-84.
- [10] F. H. Jackson, *On q -functions and a certain difference operator*, Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. 46,2 (1909), 253-281.
- [11] F. H. Jackson, *On q -difference integrals*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 41, (1910), 193-203.
- [12] O. Kwon, Y. Sim, *A Certain Subclass of Janowski Type Functions associated with k -Symmetric Points*, Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society 28, 1 (2013), 143-154.
- [13] M. S. Liu, *On a class of p -valent close-to-convex functions of order β and type α* , J. Math. Study 30, 1 (1997), 102-104.
- [14] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations: theory and applications*, CRC Press. 2000.
- [15] A. Mohammed, M. Darus, *A generalized operator involving the q -hypergeometric function*, Matematički vesnik 65, 4 (2014), 454-465.
- [16] K. I. Noor, S. Riaz, *Generalized q -starlike functions*, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica 54, 4 (2017), 509-522.
- [17] T. M. Seoudy, M. K. Aouf, *Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order*, J. Math. Inequal. 10, 1 (2016), 135-145.

Abdullah Alsoboh

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,
Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia
email: p92712@siswa.edu.ukm.my

Maslina Darus (Corresponding author)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,
Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia
email: maslina@ukm.edu.my