

# On the Busemann Area in Minkowski Spaces

Rolf Schneider

*Mathematisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität  
Eckerstr. 1, 79104 Freiburg i.Br., Germany*

**Abstract.** Among the different notions of area in a Minkowski space, those due to Busemann and to Holmes and Thompson, respectively, have found particular attention. In recent papers it was shown that the Holmes-Thompson area is integral-geometric, in the sense that certain integral-geometric formulas of Crofton-type, well known for the area in Euclidean space, can be carried over to Minkowski spaces and the Holmes-Thompson area. In the present paper, the Busemann area is investigated from this point of view.

MSC 2000: 52A21 (primary); 46B20, 52A22, 53C65 (secondary)

## 1. Introduction and results

A Minkowski space (a finite-dimensional real Banach space) carries a natural metric and hence admits a canonical notion of curve length. The metric gives also rise to Hausdorff measures of any dimension. For a positive integer  $k$  less than the dimension of the space, the  $k$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure can serve as a notion of surface area for  $k$ -dimensional surfaces. There are, however, other reasonable and essentially different ways of introducing a notion of area in a Minkowski space. This is explained in detail in the book of Thompson [11]. The few natural requirements for such a notion of area (see [11], Chapter 5, or the brief summary in [7]) can be satisfied in many different ways. Two particularly well studied notions of area in Minkowski spaces are the Busemann area and the Holmes-Thompson area. As soon as there are different notions of area, the question arises whether there are viewpoints under which one of them might seem preferable. In earlier papers ([9], [7], [8]), an attempt was made to extend certain integral-geometric results for areas from Euclidean spaces to Minkowski spaces. It was found that the Holmes-Thompson area is suitable for that purpose. A similar conclusion can be drawn from some recent results on integral geometry in

Finsler spaces (Álvarez & Fernandes [1], [2]). What we intend here is a closer inspection of the Busemann area from this point of view. For a  $k$ -rectifiable Borel set  $M$ , the Busemann  $k$ -area of  $M$  coincides with the  $k$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $M$  (a proof can be found, e.g., in [9], Section 5). For that reason, the Busemann area might appear as a first choice for a notion of area in Minkowski spaces. Our results will show, in particular, that this is no longer true from an integral-geometric point of view. We restrict our consideration to areas in codimension one, briefly called *areas*.

We assume  $n \geq 3$  and represent an  $n$ -dimensional Minkowski space in the form  $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_B)$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_B$  is a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with unit ball  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_B \leq 1\}$ . A Minkowskian  $(n-1)$ -area  $\alpha_{n-1}$  (satisfying the requirements of [11], Chapter 5) will be called *integral-geometric for  $X$* , if there exists a translation invariant (locally finite) Borel measure  $\mu$  on the space  $\mathcal{E}_1^n$  of lines in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that, for every  $(n-1)$ -dimensional compact convex set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the area of  $K$  is given by

$$\alpha_{n-1}(K) = \mu(\{L \in \mathcal{E}_1^n : L \cap K \neq \emptyset\}). \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) is the simplest version of an integral-geometric formula for the area, and if it holds, then more general versions also hold. In Euclidean space, (1) is true for the Euclidean  $(n-1)$ -area, if  $\mu$  is the suitably normalized rigid motion invariant measure on  $\mathcal{E}_1^n$ .

The Holmes-Thompson area is integral-geometric for every Minkowski space. In [7] it was proved that for the spaces  $\ell_\infty^n$  and  $\ell_1^n$ , among all Minkowskian areas only the multiples of the Holmes-Thompson area are integral-geometric. In the following, we investigate more closely how far the Busemann area deviates from being integral-geometric.

Since we are dealing with properties of isometry classes of Minkowski spaces, we formulate the results in terms of the Minkowski (or Banach-Mazur) compactum  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . This is the space of all isometry classes of  $n$ -dimensional Minkowski spaces, metrized by the logarithm of the Banach-Mazur distance. However, in order to simplify the formulations, we often identify a Minkowski space with its isometry class.

We conjecture that the Busemann area is generically not integral-geometric. The set of Minkowski spaces for which the Busemann area is not integral-geometric is open in  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , but we do not know whether it is dense. We have only been able to prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** *In  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , every neighbourhood of the Euclidean space  $\ell_2^n$  contains Minkowski spaces for which the Busemann area is not integral-geometric, as well as spaces (different from  $\ell_2^n$ ) for which the Busemann area is integral-geometric.*

In the neighbourhood of other spaces, the situation can be even worse:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $n = 3$  or  $n$  is sufficiently large, then in  $\mathcal{M}_n$  a full neighbourhood of  $\ell_\infty^n$  consists of Minkowski spaces for which the Busemann area is not integral-geometric.*

The dimensional restriction in Theorem 2 is probably unnecessary.

## 2. Preliminaries

For convenience, we equip  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with an auxiliary Euclidean structure, given by a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the induced norm  $|\cdot|$ . For notions and results from the theory of convex bodies that are used without explanation, we refer to [6].

First we recall the definition of Minkowski  $(n - 1)$ -areas. Let  $\mathcal{C}^{n-1}$  denote the set of all  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which have the origin as centre of symmetry. By an *area generating function* we understand a function  $\alpha : \mathcal{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  which is invariant under non-degenerate linear transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , continuous (with respect to the Hausdorff metric) and normalized by  $\alpha(C) = \kappa_{n-1}$  (the volume of the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean unit ball) if  $C$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional ellipsoid. If such a function  $\alpha$  and a Minkowski space  $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_B)$  are given, the induced Minkowski area of a compact  $C^1$ -hypersurface  $M$  in  $X$  is defined by

$$\alpha_{n-1}^B(M) := \int_M \frac{\alpha(B \cap T_x M)}{\lambda_{n-1}(B \cap T_x M)} d\lambda_{n-1}(x),$$

where  $T_x M$  denotes the tangent space of  $M$  at  $x$ , considered as a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\lambda_{n-1}$  is the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue area measure induced by the Euclidean metric. The Minkowski area  $\alpha_{n-1}^B(M)$  does not depend on the choice of this metric. We consider only area generating functions  $\alpha$  for which the *scaling function* defined by

$$\sigma_{\alpha,B}(u) := |u| \frac{\alpha(B \cap u^\perp)}{\lambda_{n-1}(B \cap u^\perp)} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \tag{2}$$

is convex. (The scaling function depends on the Euclidean structure, but not its convexity property.) Under this assumption,  $\sigma_{\alpha,B}$  is the support function of a convex body  $\mathbf{I}_{\alpha,B}$ , which is called the *isoperimetrix* of the pair  $(\alpha, B)$  (see [11] for the motivation and for further discussion).

**Lemma 1.** *The Minkowski area  $\alpha_{n-1}$  is integral-geometric for  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_B)$  if and only if the isoperimetrix  $\mathbf{I}_{\alpha,B}$  is a zonoid.*

Essentially, this is a special case of Theorem 3.1 in [9]. For the reader’s convenience, we give the short proof. If  $\alpha_{n-1}$  is integral-geometric, there is a translation invariant, locally finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on the space  $\mathcal{E}_1^n$  of lines such that (1) holds whenever  $K \subset u^\perp$ ,  $u \in S^{n-1}$ . Since  $\mu$  is translation invariant, there is a finite, even measure  $\varphi$  on the sphere  $S^{n-1}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{E}_1^n} f d\mu = \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{v^\perp} f(t + \text{lin}\{v\}) d\lambda_{n-1}(t) d\varphi(v)$$

for every nonnegative measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{E}_1^n$  (a proof may be found, e.g., in [10], Section 4.1). This gives

$$\alpha_{n-1}^B(K) = \lambda_{n-1}(K) \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| d\varphi(v),$$

and since  $\alpha_{n-1}^B(K) = \sigma_{\alpha,B}(u)\lambda_{n-1}(K)$ , we obtain

$$\sigma_{\alpha,B}(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| d\varphi(v) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \tag{3}$$

Since  $\sigma_{\alpha,B}$  is the support function of  $\mathbf{I}_{\alpha,B}$ , this body is a zonoid. The argument can be reversed.

As a first consequence of Lemma 1, we see that the set  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$  of (isometry classes of) Minkowski spaces for which a given Minkowski area  $\alpha_{n-1}$  is integral-geometric, is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . In fact, let  $(m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$  converging to  $m \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . We can choose representatives of  $m_i, m$  with unit balls  $B_i, B$  so that  $B_i \rightarrow B$  in the Hausdorff metric. From (2) and the continuity of the area generating function  $\alpha$  it follows that  $\sigma_{\alpha,B_i} \rightarrow \sigma_{\alpha,B}$  pointwise, and this implies  $\mathbf{I}_{\alpha_i,B_i} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{\alpha,B}$  in the Hausdorff metric ([6], Theorems 1.8.12 and 1.8.11). Each  $\mathbf{I}_{\alpha_i,B_i}$  is a zonoid, and the set of zonoids is closed in the space of convex bodies. Hence  $\mathbf{I}_{\alpha,B}$  is a zonoid, which means that  $\alpha_{n-1}$  is integral-geometric for  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_B)$  and thus  $m \in \mathcal{I}_\alpha$ .

The Busemann area  $\beta_{n-1}$  is defined by the constant area generating function,  $\beta(C) = \kappa_{n-1}$  for  $C \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}$ . Hence, its scaling function is given by

$$\sigma_{\beta,B}(u) = |u| \frac{\kappa_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n-1}(B \cap u^\perp)} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \tag{4}$$

Here

$$\lambda_{n-1}(B \cap u^\perp) = \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{s_u} \rho(B, v)^{n-1} d\sigma(v), \tag{5}$$

where  $\rho(B, \cdot)$  denotes the radial function of  $B$ ,

$$s_u := \{v \in S^{n-1} : \langle u, v \rangle = 0\}$$

is the great subsphere  $S^{n-1} \cap u^\perp$ , and  $\sigma$  is the  $(n-2)$ -dimensional spherical Lebesgue measure on  $s_u$ . The *intersection body*  $IB$  of  $B$  is defined by its radial function

$$\rho(IB, u) = \frac{1}{|u|} \lambda_{n-1}(B \cap u^\perp) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \tag{6}$$

hence

$$\mathbf{I}_{\beta,B} = \kappa_{n-1} \mathbf{I}^\circ B,$$

where  $\mathbf{I}^\circ B := (IB)^\circ$  denotes the polar body of  $IB$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

The isoperimetrix of the Busemann area for the Minkowski space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_B)$  will now be denoted by  $\mathbf{I}_B$ . The proof of the first part of Theorem 1 requires the construction of unit balls  $B$  for which  $\mathbf{I}_B$  is not a zonoid. Let  $B$  be given. We write

$$g(v) := \frac{1}{(n-1)\kappa_{n-1}} \rho(B, v)^{n-1}, \quad v \in S^{n-1},$$

and

$$G(u) := \int_{s_u} g(v) d\sigma(v) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

so that  $G$  is homogeneous of degree zero. We extend also  $g$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  by homogeneity of degree zero. By (4), (5), the support function of the isoperimetrix  $\mathbf{I}_B$  is given by

$$h(\mathbf{I}_B, u) = \frac{|u|}{G(u)} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \tag{7}$$

We compute the directional derivatives of  $G$ . Let  $u \in S^{n-1}$  and  $w \in S^{n-1}$  with  $w \perp u$  be given, let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Let  $\vartheta \in SO_n$  be the rotation with

$$\vartheta u = \frac{u + \epsilon w}{|u + \epsilon w|}$$

and  $\vartheta x = x$  for  $x \in \text{lin}\{u, w\}^\perp$ . Then

$$\vartheta w = \frac{w - \epsilon u}{|w - \epsilon u|}.$$

Let  $v \in s_u \setminus \{\pm w\}$  and write

$$v = \alpha w + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} \bar{v} \quad \text{with } \bar{v} \in s_u \cap w^\perp.$$

Then  $\alpha = \langle v, w \rangle$ . Determine  $t$  so that  $v + tu \perp u + \epsilon w$ . This condition gives  $t = -\epsilon\alpha$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta v &= \vartheta \left( \alpha w + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} \bar{v} \right) = \alpha \frac{w - \epsilon u}{|w - \epsilon u|} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} \bar{v} \\ &= \frac{v + tu}{|v + tu|} + (v + tu) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{|v + tu|} \right) + \alpha(w - \epsilon u) \left( \frac{1}{|w - \epsilon u|} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

hence, using  $t = -\epsilon\alpha$  and  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| \vartheta v - \frac{v + tu}{|v + tu|} \right| \leq 2\epsilon^2.$$

Since the radial function of a convex body with 0 in the interior is a Lipschitz function on  $S^{n-1}$  ([6], Lemma 1.8.10 and Remark 1.7.7), we get

$$|g(\vartheta v) - g(v + tu)| \leq c\epsilon^2$$

with a constant  $c$  depending only on  $B$ . We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} G(u + \epsilon w) - G(u) &= \int_{s_u} [g(\vartheta v) - g(v)] d\sigma(v) \\ &= \int_{s_u} [g(v + tu) - g(v)] d\sigma(v) + O(\epsilon^2) \\ &= \int_{s_u} [g(v - \epsilon \langle v, w \rangle u) - g(v)] d\sigma(v) + O(\epsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

The radial function of a convex body with interior points has directional derivatives on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , hence the same holds for  $g$ . It follows that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} [g(v - \epsilon \langle v, w \rangle u) - g(v)] = g'(v; (-\operatorname{sgn} \langle v, w \rangle)u) |\langle v, w \rangle|.$$

Using the bounded convergence theorem, we obtain

$$G'(u; w) = \int_{s_{u,w}} g'(v; -u) |\langle v, w \rangle| d\sigma(v) + \int_{s_{u,-w}} g'(v; u) |\langle v, w \rangle| d\sigma(v)$$

with

$$s_{u,w} := \{v \in s_u : \langle v, w \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

From (7) we get

$$h'(\mathbf{I}_B, u; w) = \frac{\langle u, w \rangle}{G(u)} - \frac{G'(u; w)}{G(u)^2} \quad \text{for } u \in S^{n-1},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & h'(\mathbf{I}_B, u; w) + h'(\mathbf{I}_B, u; -w) \\ &= -h(\mathbf{I}_B, u)^2 \int_{s_u} |\langle v, w \rangle| [g'(v; u) + g'(v; -u)] d\sigma(v) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for  $u \in S^{n-1}$ .

We use this to construct the required examples. We start with the Euclidean unit ball  $B^n$  and choose orthogonal unit vectors  $u, z \in S^{n-1}$  and a number  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let

$$B_0 := \operatorname{conv}(B^n \cup (1 + \epsilon)(B^n \cap u^\perp))$$

and  $B := B_0 + \epsilon[-z, z]$ , where  $[-z, z]$  is the closed segment with endpoints  $-z$  and  $z$ . For this body  $B$ , let  $g$  be defined as above. One easily checks that

$$g'(v; u) + g'(v; -u) < 0 \quad \text{for } v \in s_u. \tag{9}$$

From (8) and (9) it follows that

$$h'(\mathbf{I}_B, u; w) + h'(\mathbf{I}_B, u; -w) > 0 \tag{10}$$

for all  $w \in S^{n-1}$  with  $w \perp u$ . If  $F(\mathbf{I}_B, u)$  denotes the support set of the convex body  $\mathbf{I}_B$  with outer normal vector  $u$ , then

$$h'(\mathbf{I}_B, u; x) = h(F(\mathbf{I}_B, u), x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

(Theorem 1.7.2 in [6]). Therefore, (10) implies that the face  $F(\mathbf{I}_B, u)$  is of dimension  $n - 1$ . Since  $B$  is invariant under reflection in the line  $\operatorname{lin}\{u\}$ , this face is centrally symmetric, hence we get

$$h(F(\mathbf{I}_B, u), w) = \frac{1}{2} h(\mathbf{I}_B, u)^2 \int_{s_u} |\langle v, w \rangle| |g'(v; u) + g'(v; -u)| d\sigma(v)$$

for  $w \in s_u$ . In particular, the face  $F(\mathbf{I}_B, u)$  is a zonoid, and since  $|g'(v; u) + g'(v; -u)|$  has a positive lower bound, this face has a summand  $K$  which is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional ball.

The body  $B$  has a cylindrical part, namely  $Z := (B \cap z^\perp) + \epsilon[-z, z]$ . There is a neighbourhood  $U$  of the vector  $z$  so that  $B \cap y^\perp = Z \cap y^\perp$  for all  $y \in U \cap S^{n-1}$ . For these vectors  $y$ , we have

$$\lambda_{n-1}(B \cap y^\perp) = \frac{\lambda_{n-1}(B \cap z^\perp)}{\langle y, z \rangle}$$

and hence

$$h(\mathbf{I}_B, y) = h(\mathbf{I}_B, z)\langle y, z \rangle.$$

This means that the point  $z_0 := h(\mathbf{I}_B, z)z$  is a vertex of the isoperimetrix  $\mathbf{I}_B$  (that is, a point with  $n$ -dimensional normal cone).

If we now assume that  $\mathbf{I}_B$  is a zonoid, then the face  $F(\mathbf{I}_B, u)$  is a summand of  $\mathbf{I}_B$  (Corollary 3.5.6 in [6]). In particular,  $\mathbf{I}_B$  has a summand  $K$  which is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional ball. There is a translate  $K'$  of  $K$  such that  $z_0 \in K' \subset \mathbf{I}_B$  (Theorem 3.2.2 in [6]). But this is not possible, since  $z_0$  is a vertex of  $\mathbf{I}_B$ . Thus  $\mathbf{I}_B$  cannot be a zonoid.

If a neighbourhood (with respect to the Hausdorff metric) of the unit ball  $B^n$  is given, the number  $\epsilon$  can be chosen so small that  $B$  is contained in that neighbourhood. It follows that every neighbourhood of  $\ell_2^n$  in  $\mathcal{M}_n$  contains Minkowski spaces for which the isoperimetrix of the Busemann area is not a zonoid. By Lemma 1, this completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.

**Remark.** The definition of ‘integral-geometric’ may be relaxed, by requiring only the existence of a signed measure instead of a positive measure (such signed measures, given by densities, appear in the Crofton formulas treated in [1]). Then Lemma 1 remains true if ‘zonoid’ is replaced by ‘generalized zonoid’, and also the first part of Theorem 1 with its proof given above remains valid.

Now we prove the second part of Theorem 1. Let  $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an even function of class  $C^\infty$ . For sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ , the function  $\rho(B(\epsilon), \cdot)$  defined by

$$\rho(B(\epsilon), u) := (1 + \epsilon f(u))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

for  $u \in S^{n-1}$  and extended to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  by positive homogeneity of degree  $-1$ , is the radial function of a centrally symmetric convex body  $B(\epsilon)$ . (In fact,  $1/\rho(B(\epsilon), \cdot)$  is convex for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ , as follows from the uniform convergence, for  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , of the second derivatives of this function, together with Theorem 1.5.10 in [6].) We choose for  $f$  a spherical harmonic of even degree  $m \geq 2$ ; then

$$\int_{s_u} f(v) d\sigma(v) = (n - 1)\kappa_{n-1}a_m f(u) \quad \text{for } u \in S^{n-1}$$

with a constant  $a_m \neq 0$  (see, e.g., [4]). It follows that

$$h(\mathbf{I}_{B(\epsilon)}, u) = |u| \frac{\kappa_{n-1}}{\rho(\mathbf{I}_{B(\epsilon)}, u)} = |u| \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon a_m f(u/|u|)}$$

for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . The function  $h(\mathbf{I}_{B(\epsilon)}, \cdot)$  is of class  $C^\infty$ . For  $\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$ , the partial derivatives of this function converge, uniformly on  $S^{n-1}$ , to the corresponding partial derivatives of  $h(\mathbf{I}_{B(0)}, \cdot) = h(B^n, \cdot)$ . Since  $h(\mathbf{I}_{B(\epsilon)}, \cdot)$  is of class  $C^\infty$ , the integral equation

$$h(\mathbf{I}_{B(\epsilon)}, u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| g_\epsilon(v) d\omega(v), \quad u \in S^{n-1},$$

where  $\omega$  denotes the spherical Lebesgue measure on  $S^{n-1}$ , has a continuous even solution  $g_\epsilon$  on  $S^{n-1}$ . As shown in [5],  $\|g_\epsilon\|_{\max} \leq \|h(\mathbf{I}_{B(\epsilon)}, \cdot)\|_r$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$  is the maximum norm on  $S^{n-1}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_r$  is a certain norm involving derivatives up to order at most  $n + 3$ . From the uniform convergence of the derivatives just mentioned, it follows that  $\|g_\epsilon - g_0\|_{\max} \leq \|h(\mathbf{I}_{B(\epsilon)}, \cdot) - h(B^n, \cdot)\|_r \rightarrow 0$  for  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $g_0$  is a positive constant. Hence, if  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small, the function  $g_\epsilon$  is positive, and hence the isoperimetrix  $\mathbf{I}_{B(\epsilon)}$  is a zonoid. The assertion of the second part of Theorem 3 now follows from Lemma 1, if one observes that  $B(\epsilon)$  is not an ellipsoid.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with respect to the chosen scalar product. We need the inequality

$$\sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} |\epsilon_1 \xi_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n \xi_n| \geq \gamma(n) \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j| \quad \text{with } \gamma(n) := 2 \binom{n-1}{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}, \quad (11)$$

for  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , for which we first give a proof. For reasons of homogeneity and symmetry, it suffices to prove (11) for  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  taken from the simplex

$$\Delta := \left\{ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \xi_j \geq 0, \sum \xi_j = 1 \right\}.$$

Denote the left-hand side of (11) by  $F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . Since  $F$  is a convex function and the restriction  $F|_\Delta$  is invariant under the affine symmetry group of  $\Delta$ , the function  $F|_\Delta$  attains its minimum at the points of a nonempty compact convex set containing the centroid of  $\Delta$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) &\geq F\left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} |\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} |n - 2j| = \gamma(n), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation is proved by induction.

By  $Q := \text{conv} \{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n\}$  we denote the crosspolytope.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $Z$  is a zonoid with centre at the origin and  $\lambda > 0$  is a real number satisfying*

$$Q \subset Z \subset \lambda Q, \quad (12)$$

then  $\lambda \geq \lambda_{\min} := 2^{-n}n\gamma(n)$ .

*Proof.* Let the zonoid  $Z$  satisfy (12). Its support function has a representation

$$h(Z, x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, x \rangle| d\rho(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

with an even measure  $\rho$  on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$ . Using (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} h(Z, \epsilon_1 e_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n e_n) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} |\epsilon_1 \langle u, e_1 \rangle + \dots + \epsilon_n \langle u, e_n \rangle| d\rho(u) \\ &\geq \gamma(n) \int_{S^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle u, e_j \rangle| d\rho(u) = \gamma(n) \sum_{j=1}^n h(Z, e_j) \\ &\geq \gamma(n) \sum_{j=1}^n h(Q, e_j) = n\gamma(n). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand inclusion of (12) implies

$$\sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} h(Z, \epsilon_1 e_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n e_n) \leq \lambda \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} h(Q, \epsilon_1 e_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n e_n) = 2^n \lambda.$$

Both inequalities together yield the assertion of Lemma 2.

Now we prove Theorem 2. The space  $\ell_\infty^n$  can be considered as  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_C)$ , where  $C$  is the cube with vertices  $\pm e_1 \pm \dots \pm e_n$ . The support function of the isoperimetrix  $\mathbf{I}_C$  of the Busemann area for this space is given by

$$h(\mathbf{I}_C, u) = |u| \frac{\kappa_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n-1}(C \cap u^\perp)} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

We normalize the isoperimetrix by defining

$$\mathbf{I} := \frac{2^{n-1}}{\kappa_{n-1}} \mathbf{I}_C;$$

then  $h(\mathbf{I}, e_i) = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $\mathbf{I}$  has the same Euclidean symmetries as  $C$ , it follows that  $e_i \in \mathbf{I}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and hence that

$$Q \subset \mathbf{I}. \tag{13}$$

Let  $z := e_1 + \dots + e_n$ . We want to show that

$$h(\mathbf{I}, z) < \lambda_{\min} h(Q, z). \tag{14}$$

Here,  $h(Q, z) = 1$ . Now

$$h(\mathbf{I}, z) = \sqrt{n} \frac{2^{n-1}}{\lambda_{n-1}(C \cap z^\perp)} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{S(n)},$$

where  $S(n)$  denotes the  $(n-1)$ -volume of the intersection of the unit cube  $\frac{1}{2}C$  with a hyperplane through its centre and orthogonal to a main diagonal. It is given by

$$S(n) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (n-2j)^{n-1} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{n} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^n dx$$

(see Chakerian & Logothetti [3], also for references). Using

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(n) = \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi}}$$

([3], p. 238) and Stirling's formula, one shows that (14) is true for all sufficiently large dimensions. By direct computation, (14) is proved for  $n = 3, 5, \dots, 9$ . For  $n = 4$ , (14) is true with equality instead of inequality. Probably (14) holds for all  $n \neq 4$ .

Now let  $n$  be a dimension for which (14) is true. By symmetry, (14) holds also if  $z$  is replaced by  $\pm e_1 \pm \dots \pm e_n$ . It follows that the normalized isoperimetrix  $\mathbf{I}$  is contained in the interior of the crosspolytope  $\lambda_{\min} Q$ . By (13),  $\mathbf{I}$  contains the crosspolytope  $Q$ . Hence, there exist a factor  $a > 1$  and a number  $\lambda < \lambda_{\min}$  so that

$$Q \subset \text{int } a\mathbf{I} \subset \text{int } \lambda Q.$$

Forming the isoperimetrix is a continuous operation. Hence, in  $\mathcal{K}^n$  (the space of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , equipped with the Hausdorff metric) there is a neighbourhood  $U$  of the cube  $C$  so that, for all centred convex bodies  $B \in U$ , the isoperimetrix  $\mathbf{I}_B$  of the Busemann area for  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_B)$  still satisfies

$$Q \subset \text{int} \left( a \frac{2^{n-1}}{\kappa_{n-1}} \mathbf{I}_B \right) \subset \text{int } \lambda Q.$$

Since  $\lambda < \lambda_{\min}$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that  $\mathbf{I}_B$  cannot be a zonoid. This implies that the Busemann area for  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_B)$  is not integral-geometric.

## References

- [1] Álvarez Paiva, J. C.; Fernandes, E.: *Crofton formulas in projective Finsler spaces*. Electronic Res. Announcements Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1998), 91–100.
- [2] Álvarez Paiva, J. C.; Fernandes, E.: *Fourier transforms and the Holmes-Thompson volume of Finsler manifolds*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices. **19** (1999), 1031–1042.
- [3] Chakerian, G. D.; Logothetti, D.: *Cube slices, pictorial triangles, and probability*. Math. Mag. **64** (1991), 219–241.
- [4] Schneider, R.: *Functions on a sphere with vanishing integrals over certain subspheres*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **26** (1969), 381–384.

- [5] Schneider, R.: *Zonoids whose polars are zonoids*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1975), 365–368.
- [6] Schneider, R.: *Convex Bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski Theory*. Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1993.
- [7] Schneider, R.: *On areas and integral geometry in Minkowski spaces*. Beiträge Algebra Geom. **38** (1997), 73–86.
- [8] Schneider, R.: *Intrinsic volumes in Minkowski spaces*. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. II, Suppl. **50** (1997), 355–373.
- [9] Schneider, R.; Wieacker, J. A.: *Integral geometry in Minkowski spaces*. Adv. Math. **129** (1997), 222–260.
- [10] Schneider, R.; Weil, W.: *Stochastische Geometrie*. B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart-Leipzig 2000.
- [11] Thompson, A. C.: *Minkowski Geometry*. Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 63, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1996.

Received May 4, 2000