

An Integral Geometric Theorem for Simple Valuations

Rolf Schneider

*Mathematisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität
Eckerstr. 1, 79104 Freiburg i.Br., Germany
e-mail: rolf.schneider@math.uni-freiburg.de*

Abstract. We prove a translative mean value formula for simple valuations, taken at the intersection of a fixed and a translated convex body.

MSC 2000: 52A22 (primary); 52B45 (secondary)

1. Introduction

A real valued function on the space \mathcal{K}^n of convex bodies (nonempty, compact, convex subsets) in n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n is called a *valuation* if it satisfies

$$\varphi(K \cup M) + \varphi(K \cap M) = \varphi(K) + \varphi(M)$$

whenever $K, M, K \cup M \in \mathcal{K}^n$. One extends the definition of φ by $\varphi(\emptyset) := 0$. For continuous valuations (where continuity refers to the Hausdorff metric on \mathcal{K}^n), Hadwiger has proved an integral geometric mean value formula for intersections of a fixed and a moving convex body. To formulate it, we recall that the intrinsic volumes V_0, \dots, V_n are defined by the Steiner formula

$$V_n(K + \epsilon B^n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \epsilon^{n-k} \kappa_{n-k} V_k(K), \quad K \in \mathcal{K}^n, \epsilon \geq 0,$$

where V_n denotes the volume, B^n is the Euclidean unit ball, and κ_k is the volume of the k -dimensional unit ball. Each function V_k is a motion invariant continuous valuation. Hadwiger's characterization theorem says that every function $\varphi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with these properties

is a linear combination of V_0, \dots, V_n with constant coefficients. (A survey on valuations is given by McMullen [5].)

We denote by G_n the group of rigid motions of \mathbb{R}^n and by μ its Haar measure, normalized so that $\mu(\{g \in G_n : gx \in B^n\}) = \kappa_n$ (for arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$). The space \mathcal{E}_q^n of q -dimensional planes in \mathbb{R}^n is endowed with its motion invariant measure μ_q , normalized so that $\mu_q(\{E \in \mathcal{E}_q^n : E \cap B^n \neq \emptyset\}) = \kappa_{n-q}$.

Theorem 1. (Hadwiger) *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous valuation. Then*

$$\int_{G_n} \varphi(K \cap gM) d\mu(g) = \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_k(K) V_{n-k}(M)$$

for $K, M \in \mathcal{K}^n$, where the coefficients $\varphi_k(K)$ are given by

$$\varphi_k(K) = \int_{\mathcal{E}_{n-k}^n} \varphi(K \cap E) d\mu_{n-k}(E).$$

Hadwiger's proof is found in his book [2], p. 241, though with different notation and normalization. It is based on the characterization theorem quoted above. The latter is a convenient tool for proving classical integral geometric formulae for convex bodies, like the principal kinematic formula and the Crofton formula (see also Klain and Rota [4] for this approach). Whereas these results can be proved in several different ways, the only known proof for their abstract generalization in Theorem 1 is via Hadwiger's characterization theorem. It is interesting to note that recently Alesker [1] has proved new integral geometric formulae for real submanifolds in Hermitian spaces, generalizing classical formulae in Euclidean spaces, and that the proof is based on a characterization of unitarily invariant translation invariant continuous valuations.

Our aim in the present paper is in a similar spirit, but much more modest. Motivated by the increased interest that the integral geometry of the translation group has seen in recent years, we want to obtain a translative analogue of Hadwiger's general integral geometric theorem. We can do this for simple valuations, since a corresponding characterization theorem is known (a valuation on \mathcal{K}^n is called simple if it vanishes on bodies of dimension less than n). The result is given by Theorem 2 below. It was already mentioned without proof in the survey article [3].

2. The result

For a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, we denote by $h(K, \cdot)$ its support function and by $S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$ its area measure (see [6] for notions from the theory of convex bodies that are not explained here). The area measure is a finite measure on the unit sphere $S^{n-1} := \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle u, u \rangle = 1\}$. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product of \mathbb{R}^n . The set $H^-(u, t) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u \rangle \leq t\}$, where $u \in S^{n-1}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a closed halfspace. By λ we denote Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous simple valuation. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(K \cap (M + x)) d\lambda(x) = \varphi(K)V_n(M) + \int_{S^{n-1}} f_{K,\varphi}(u)S_{n-1}(M, du)$$

for $K, M \in \mathcal{K}^n$, where the odd function $f_{K,\varphi} : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$f_{K,\varphi}(u) = \int_{-h(K,-u)}^{h(K,u)} \varphi(K \cap H^-(u, t)) dt - \varphi(K)h(K, u).$$

Proof. We fix a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$. For $M \in \mathcal{K}^n$, we define

$$\psi(M) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(K \cap (M + x)) d\lambda(x)$$

and

$$\bar{\varphi}(M) := \varphi(K)V_n(M) - V_n(K)\varphi(M), \tag{1}$$

so that $\bar{\varphi}(K) = 0$, further

$$\bar{\psi}(M) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{\varphi}(K \cap (M + x)) d\lambda(x).$$

Then

$$\bar{\psi}(M) = V_n(K)[\varphi(K)V_n(M) - \psi(M)], \tag{2}$$

since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_n(K \cap (M + x)) d\lambda(x) = V_n(K)V_n(M),$$

as follows from Fubini's theorem. The function $\bar{\psi} : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous simple valuation and is, moreover, translation invariant. Hence, by the characterization theorem of [7], it is of the form

$$\bar{\psi}(M) = cV_n(M) + \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u)S_{n-1}(M, du) \tag{3}$$

for $M \in \mathcal{K}^n$, with a constant c and an odd continuous function $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, both depending on K .

Let $P \in \mathcal{K}^n$ be a polytope, and let $r > 0$. By $T_n(r)$ we denote the set of all translation vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $K \subset rP + x$, and by $T_{n-1}(r)$ the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which K meets an $(n - 1)$ -face of $rP + x$, but no $(n - 2)$ -face. Finally, $T_{n-2}(r)$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which K meets an $(n - 2)$ -face of $rP + x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}(rP) &= \sum_{k=0}^2 \int_{T_{n-k}(r)} \bar{\varphi}(K \cap (rP + x)) d\lambda(x) \\ &= \bar{\varphi}(K)r^n V_n(P) + O(r^{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$. From (3) we get

$$\bar{\psi}(rP) = cr^n V_n(P) + r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u)S_{n-1}(P, du).$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $c = \bar{\varphi}(K) = 0$. Thus

$$\bar{\psi}(rP) = \int_{T_{n-1}(r)} \bar{\varphi}(K \cap (rP + x)) d\lambda(x) + O(r^{n-2})$$

by (4) and

$$\bar{\psi}(rP) = r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) S_{n-1}(P, du) \tag{5}$$

by (3).

Let F_1, \dots, F_m be the facets of P , and let u_i be the outer unit normal vector of P at F_i . For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $T_{(i)}(r)$ be the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which K meets $rF_i + x$, but no other face of $rP + x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T_{(i)}(r)} \bar{\varphi}(K \cap (rP + x)) d\lambda(x) \\ &= r^{n-1} V_{n-1}(F_i) \int_{-h(K, -u_i)}^{h(K, u_i)} \bar{\varphi}(K \cap H^-(u_i, t)) dt + O(r^{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$g(u) := \int_{-h(K, -u)}^{h(K, u)} \bar{\varphi}(K \cap H^-(u, t)) dt, \tag{6}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}(rP) &= r^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^m g(u_i) V_{n-1}(F_i) + O(r^{n-2}) \\ &= r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} g(u) S_{n-1}(P, du) + O(r^{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (5), this shows that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) S_{n-1}(P, du) = \int_{S^{n-1}} g(u) S_{n-1}(P, du)$$

for all convex polytopes P . By approximation, this extends to arbitrary convex bodies, due to the weak continuity of the area measures and the fact that the functions f and g are continuous. Thus,

$$\bar{\psi}(M) = \int_{S^{n-1}} g(u) S_{n-1}(M, du)$$

for $M \in \mathcal{K}^n$. By (6) and (1),

$$g(u) = \int_{-h(K, -u)}^{h(K, u)} [\varphi(K) V_n(K \cap H^-(u, t)) - V_n(K) \varphi(K \cap H^-(u, t))] dt,$$

and here the first term can be simplified by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-h(K,-u)}^{h(K,u)} V_n(K \cap H^-(u, t)) dt \\ &= \int_{-h(K,-u)}^{h(K,u)} \int_K \mathbf{1}\{\langle x, u \rangle \leq t\} d\lambda(x) dt \\ &= \int_K \int_{-h(K,u)}^{h(K,u)} \mathbf{1}\{t \geq \langle x, u \rangle\} dt d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int_K [h(K, u) - \langle x, u \rangle] d\lambda(x) \\ &= h(K, u)V_n(K) - \langle z_{n+1}(K), u \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $z_{n+1}(K)$ denotes the moment vector of K . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}(M) &= \varphi(K) \int_{S^{n-1}} [h(K, u)V_n(K) - \langle z_{n+1}(K), u \rangle] S_{n-1}(M, du) \\ &\quad - V_n(K) \int_{S^{n-1}} g_{K,\varphi}(u) S_{n-1}(M, du) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$g_{K,\varphi}(u) := \int_{-h(K,-u)}^{h(K,u)} \varphi(K \cap H^-(u, t)) dt.$$

Observing that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} u S_{n-1}(M, du) = 0,$$

we now get from (2) the asserted representation.

That $f_{K,\varphi}$ is an odd function, follows from the fact that φ is a simple valuation: this gives

$$\varphi(K \cap H^-(-u, t)) = -\varphi(K \cap H^-(u, -t)) + \varphi(K)$$

and hence, for $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{K,\varphi}(u) + f_{K,\varphi}(-u) \\ &= \int_{-h(K,-u)}^{h(K,u)} \varphi(K \cap H^-(u, t)) dt - \varphi(K)h(K, u) \\ &\quad + \int_{-h(K,u)}^{h(K,-u)} [-\varphi(K \cap H^-(u, -t)) + \varphi(K)] dt - \varphi(K)h(K, -u) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Alesker, S.: *Hard Lefschetz theorem for valuations, complex integral geometry, and unitarily invariant valuations*. Preprint 2002.

- [2] Hadwiger, H.: *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*. Springer, Berlin 1957. [Zbl 0078.35703](#)
- [3] Hug, D.; Schneider, R.: *Kinematic and Crofton formulae of integral geometry: recent variants and extensions*. In: Homenatge al professor Lluís Santaló i Sors (C. Barceló i Vidal, ed.), Universitat de Girona 2002, 51–80.
- [4] Klain, D. A.; Rota, G.-C.: *Introduction to Geometric Probability*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997. [Zbl 0896.60004](#)
- [5] McMullen, P.: *Valuations and dissections*. In: P. M. Gruber and J. M. Wills (Eds.): *Handbook of Convex Geometry*, vol. B, Elsevier, Amsterdam 1993, 933–988. [Zbl 0791.52014](#)
- [6] Schneider, R.: *Convex Bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski Theory*. Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1993. [Zbl 0798.52001](#)
- [7] Schneider, R.: *Simple valuations on convex bodies*. *Mathematika* **43** (1996), 32–39. [Zbl 0864.52009](#)

Received October 15, 2002