# Typical Faces of Best Approximating Three-Polytopes

Dedicated to Rolf Schneider on his 65th birthday

Károly J. Böröczky<sup>1</sup> Péter Tick Gergely Wintsche

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics Budapest, PO Box 127, H-1364, Hungary e-mail: carlos@renyi.hu and Department of Geometry, Roland Eötvös University Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, H-1117, Hungary

> Budapest, Gyűrű utca 24., H-1039, Hungary e-mail: tick@renyi.hu

Institute of Mathematics, Roland Eötvös University Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, H-1117, Hungary e-mail: wgerg@ludens.elte.hu

Abstract. For a given convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $C^2$  boundary, let  $P_n^i$  be an inscribed polytope of maximal volume with at most n vertices, and let  $P_{(n)}^c$  be a circumscribed polytope of minimal volume with at most n faces. P. M. Gruber [12] proved that the typical faces of  $P_{(n)}^c$  are asymptotically close to regular hexagons in a suitable sense if the Gauß-Kronecker curvature is positive on  $\partial K$ . In this paper we extend this result to the case if there is no restriction on the Gauß-Kronecker curvature, moreover we prove that the typical faces of  $P_n^i$  are asymptotically close to regular triangles in a suitable sense. In addition writing  $P_{(n)}$  and  $P_n$  to denote the polytopes with at most n faces or n vertices, respectively, that minimize the symmetric difference metric from K, we prove the analogous statements about  $P_{(n)}$  and  $P_n$ .

MSC 2000: 52A27, 52A40

Keywords: polytopal approximation, extremal problems

0138-4821/93 \$ 2.50 © 2007 Heldermann Verlag

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Supported}$  by OTKA grants T 042769, 043520 and 049301, and by the EU Marie Curie TOK project DiscConvGeo.

# 1. Introduction

First we introduce some notions that will be used thorough the paper. For functions f and g of positive integers, we write f(n) = O(g(n)) if there exists an absolute constant c such that  $|f(n)| \leq c \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and f(n) = o(g(n))if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$ . In addition we write  $f(n) \sim g(n)$  if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 1$ .

For a compact convex set C in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we write aff C to denote its affine hull, V(C) to denote its volume (Lebesgue measure),  $\partial C$  to denote its boundary and int C to denote its interior. We call C a convex body if int  $C \neq \emptyset$ , and a convex disc if aff C is a plane. If C is a convex disc then we write |C| to denote its area, and relint C to denote its relative interior. Next let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , let  $||x|| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = \sqrt{x^2}$  be the Euclidean norm of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . We write oto denote the origin, and  $B^2$  and  $B^3$  to denote the Euclidean unit disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , respectively, centred at o; moreover  $S^2$  to denote the boundary of  $B^3$ . For any objects  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ , we write  $[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$  to denote their convex hull. Concerning additional notions for convex bodies and polytopes in this paper, consult the beautiful monographs R. Schneider [20] and P. M. Gruber [15].

In this paper the distance of two convex bodies K and M in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is mostly measured by their symmetric difference metric  $\delta_S(K, M)$ ; that is, the volume of the symmetric difference  $K\Delta M$  of K and M.

Next we fix a convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $C^2$  boundary for the rest of the section. We always integrate on  $\partial K$  with respect to the two-dimensional Hausdorffmeasure. For any  $x \in \partial K$ , we write  $Q_x$  to denote the second fundamental form at x, hence  $Q_x$  is positive semi definite. Its two eigenvalues are the principal curvatures at x, whose product (the determinant of  $Q_x$ ) is the Gauß-Kronecker curvature  $\kappa(x)$  at x. Readily  $\kappa(x) \geq 0$  for any  $x \in \partial K$ .

We define  $P_n$  to be a polytope with at most n vertices such that  $\delta_S(K, P_n)$ is minimal, and  $P_{(n)}$  to be a polytope with at most n faces such that  $\delta_S(K, P_{(n)})$ is minimal. In addition let  $P_n^i$  be a polytope inscribed into K with at most nvertices and of maximal volume, and let  $P_{(n)}^c$  be a polytope circumscribed around K with at most n faces and of minimal volume. A task initiated by L. Fejes Tóth [5] led to the asymptotic formulae

$$\delta_S(K, P_n^i) \sim \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} \left( \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n}; \tag{1}$$

$$\delta_S(K, P_{(n)}^c) \sim \frac{5}{36\sqrt{3}} \left( \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n};$$
(2)

$$\delta_S(K, P_n) \sim \left(\frac{1}{12\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{16\pi}\right) \left(\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n};$$
(3)

$$\delta_S(K, P_{(n)}) \sim \left(\frac{5}{36\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{8\pi}\right) \left(\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n}.$$
 (4)

Under the assumption that  $\kappa(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial K$ , (1) is due to P. M. Gruber [8], (2) is due to P. M. Gruber [9], moreover (3) and (4) follow from combining

M. Ludwig [17] and K. Böröczky, Jr. and M. Ludwig [3]. The cases when possibly  $\kappa(x) = 0$  are due to K. Böröczky, Jr. [2].

The goal of this paper is to continue a task initiated by P. M. Gruber [11] and [12]; namely, to describe the typical faces of the extremal polytopes above. For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and convex discs C and M, we say that C is  $\varepsilon$ -close to M if there exist  $x \in C$  and  $y \in M$  with

$$(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \cdot (C-x) \subset M - y \subset (1+\varepsilon) \cdot (C-x).$$

For any  $x \in \partial K$ , we write u(x) to denote the exterior unit normal to  $\partial K$  at x. Let  $\varrho(x) \ge 0$  be a continuous function on  $\partial K$  such that  $\varrho(x) > 0$  if  $\kappa(x) > 0$ , and let  $M_n$  be a sequence of polytopes such that  $o \in \operatorname{int} M_n$  for each n, and the number f(n) of faces of  $M_n$  tends to infinity with n. A face F of  $M_n$  is called *proper* if there exits a unique point  $x_F \in \partial K$  such that  $u(x_F)$  is an exterior normal also to F, and in addition  $Q_{x_F}$  is positive definite. Given  $k \ge 3$ , we say that the typical faces of  $M_n$  are asymptotically regular k-gons with respect to the density function  $\varrho$  if the following properties hold. There exists  $\nu(n) > 0$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \nu(n) = 0$  such that for all but  $\nu(n)$  percent of the faces F of  $M_n$ , F is a proper k-gon, and F is  $\nu(n)$ -close to some k-gon which is regular with respect to  $Q_{x_F}$  and is of area  $\frac{\int_{\partial K} \varrho(x) dx}{f(n) \cdot \varrho(x_F)}$ .

In Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, K is any convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $C^2$  boundary, and  $P_{(n)}$ ,  $P_{(n)}^c$ ,  $P_n$ ,  $P_n^i$  are defined as above. If  $\kappa(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial K$  then Theorem 1.1 for  $P_{(n)}^c$  is due to P. M. Gruber [12].

**Theorem 1.1.** The typical faces of both  $P_{(n)}^c$  and  $P_{(n)}$  are asymptotically regular hexagons with respect to the density function  $\kappa(x)^{1/4}$ .

**Remark.** Both  $P_{(n)}^c$  and  $P_{(n)}$  have exactly *n* faces. In addition each face of  $P_{(n)}^c$  touches *K* in its centroid, and if *F* is a face of  $P_{(n)}$  then  $|F \cap K| = \frac{1}{2} |F|$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** The typical faces of both  $P_n^i$  and  $P_n$  are asymptotically regular triangles with respect to the density function  $\kappa(x)^{1/4}$ .

**Remark.** Both  $P_n^i$  and  $P_n$  have 2n - o(n) faces, and each vertex of  $P_n^i$  lies in  $\partial K$ . In addition there exists  $\mu(n) > 0$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(n) = 0$  such that for all but  $\mu(n)$  percent of the faces F of  $P_n$ , we have  $\frac{1-\mu(n)}{2}|F| < |F \cap K| < \frac{1+\mu(n)}{2}|F|$ . Let us discuss some other results that follow from the methods of the proofs

Let us discuss some other results that follow from the methods of the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Given a convex body C in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , its support function  $h_C$ is defined by  $h_C(u) = \max_{x \in C} \langle x, u \rangle$  for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . If M is another convex body then the  $L_1$ -metric of C and M is

$$\delta_1(C, M) = \int_{S^2} |h_C(u) - h_M(u)| \, du$$

In particular if  $M \subset C$  then  $\delta_1(C, M)$  is proportional to the difference of the mean widths of C and M. The paper S. Glasauer and P. M. Gruber [6] introduced an ingenious method to translate a result about polytopal approximation with respect

to  $\delta_S$  into a "dual" result with respect to  $\delta_1$ . The paper [6] discussed only the case when  $\kappa(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial K$  (see also M. Ludwig [17]), but this restriction is not necessary (see K. Böröczky, Jr. [2]). During the argument one takes the dual of some polytope. Therefore it is not enough to know the shape of a typical face but also its position with respect to  $\partial K$  in the case of volume approximation (see the remarks above). In summary the analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 also hold if the extremal polytopes were not defined in terms of  $\delta_S$  but in terms of  $\delta_1$ , and the only difference is that the density function is  $\kappa(x)^{3/4}$  in the case of  $\delta_1$ . Actually if  $\kappa(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial K$  then the statement about inscribed polytopes and the  $L_1$ -metric is due to P. M. Gruber [12].

Finally the Hausdorff metric  $\delta_H(C, M)$  of two convex bodies C and M is the minimal d such that any point of C is of distance at most d from M, and any point of M is of distance at most d from C. Then the analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 also hold if the extremal polytopes were not defined in terms of  $\delta_S$  but in terms of  $\delta_H$ , and the only difference is that the density function is  $\kappa(x)^{1/2}$  in the case of  $\delta_H$ . If  $\kappa(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial K$  then all the statements about the Hausdorff metric are due to P. M. Gruber [11].

Next we discuss uniform distribution of the faces of the extremal polytopes. We may assume that  $o \in \operatorname{int} K$ , and we write  $r_{\partial K}$  to denote radial projection onto  $\partial K$ . Let  $M_n$  be the extremal polytope with n vertices or n faces in any of the extremal problems above, let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  denote the family of faces of  $M_n$ , and let  $\varrho(x)$  be the corresponding density function on  $\partial K$ . We say that the radial projection of the faces of  $M_n$  are uniformly distributed on  $\partial K$  with respect to  $\varrho(x)$  if for any Jordan measurable  $X \subset \partial K$ , we have

$$\frac{\int_{X} \varrho(x) \, dx}{\int_{\partial K} \varrho(x) \, dx} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\#\{F \in \mathcal{F}_n : r_{\partial K}(F) \subset X\}}{\#\mathcal{F}_n} \tag{5}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\#\{F \in \mathcal{F}_n : r_{\partial K}(F) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}}{\#\mathcal{F}_n}.$$

This formula (in an analogous form) was proved first by S. Glasauer and R. Schneider [7] if the metric is  $\delta_H$  and  $\kappa(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial K$ . The cases if the metric is  $\delta_S$  or  $\delta_1$  and  $\kappa(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial K$  are due to S. Glasauer and P. M. Gruber [6]. Finally the restriction that  $\kappa(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial K$  was removed by K. Böröczky, Jr. [2]. We note that replacing  $\mathcal{F}_n$  in (5) by the family  $\mathcal{V}_n$  of the vertices of  $M_n$ , the resulting formula holds in all cases, as well.

Let us discuss the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Applying the method developed by P. M. Gruber [8] and [9], the proofs of the asymptotic formulae (1) to (4) are based on the moment theorem of L. Fejes Tóth [5] and its variants. Therefore stability versions of these statements lead to information on the typical faces of the extremal polytopes. For  $P_{(n)}^c$  the original moment theorem of L. Fejes Tóth [5] forms the core of the proof. In this case P. M. Gruber [12] and G. Fejes Tóth [4] provided the necessary stability versions (see Section 3.1). Concerning the variants of the moment theorem used for  $P_n^i$ ,  $P_n$  and  $P_{(n)}$ , the stability versions are proved in Section 3. We note that the error estimates are of optimal order in all stability statements in Section 3. For  $P_n^i$  and  $P_n$  the proof of Theorem 1.2 is not substantially simpler if we assume that the Gauß-Kronecker curvature is positive everywhere on  $\partial K$ . The reason is that one only deals with triangular faces. However in the case of  $P_{(n)}$ and  $P_{(n)}^c$  it is essential that the average number of sides of the "typical faces" is at most six. If the Gauß-Kronecker curvature is positive then one can simply use that the statement holds for all faces of a three-polytope. Otherwise one needs a more careful approach. More precisely if a planar polygon is tiled by small enough polygons then the average number of sides of the tiles is at most six according to Lemma 4.1.

Detailed proof of the theorems is only presented in the case of  $P_{(n)}$ . For  $P_{(n)}^c$ ,  $P_n^i$  and  $P_n$ , we only sketch the necessary changes in the argument.

# 2. A transfer lemma

As usual in polytopal approximation, we plan to transfer the original problem in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  into a planar problem where certain integral expressions based on the second moment are investigated. A useful tool is the Taylor formula that we use in the following form: Let f be a convex  $C^2$  function on a convex disc  $\widetilde{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying  $o \in \operatorname{relint} \widetilde{C}$ . For  $y \in \widetilde{C}$ , we write  $l_y$  to denote the linear form representing the derivative of f at y, and  $q_y$  to denote the quadratic form representing the second derivative of f at y. Now if  $a, y \in \widetilde{C}$  then there exists  $t \in (0, 1)$  satisfying

$$f(y) = f(a) + l_a(y-a) + \frac{1}{2}q_{a+t(y-a)}(y-a).$$
(6)

We write  $p_{\mathbb{R}^2}$  to denote orthogonal projection into  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let C and C' be convex discs with  $C' \subset$  relint C and  $C \subset$  relint  $\widetilde{C}$ . In addition let P be a polytope with  $\widetilde{C} \subset p_{\mathbb{R}^2}(P)$ , and let  $\varphi$  be the convex piecewise linear function defined on C whose graph is part of  $\partial P$ . We write  $F_1, \ldots, F_k$  to denote the faces of P whose relative interiors intersect the graph of  $\varphi$  above C, and assume that relint  $F_i$  intersects the graph of  $\varphi$  above C' if and only if  $i \leq k'$ . Moreover we define

$$\Pi_i = C \cap p_{\mathbb{R}^2}(F_i), \ i = 1, \dots, k.$$

We also assume that for any  $F_i$ , i = 1, ..., k, there exists an  $a_i \in \widetilde{C}$  such that the exterior unit normal to  $F_i$  coincides with the exterior unit normal to the graph of f at  $(a_i, f(a_i))$ . In particular aff  $F_i$  is the graph of the function  $\varphi_i(y) =$  $f(a_i) + l_{a_i}(y - a_i) + \alpha_i$  of  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . In addition the Taylor formula (6) yields the existence of a continuous function  $g_i(y - a_i)$  of  $y \in \widetilde{C}$  such that  $f(y) = f(a_i) + l_{a_i}(y - a_i) + g_i(y - a_i)$ , and for any  $y \in \widetilde{C}$  there exists  $z \in \widetilde{C}$  with  $g_i(y - a_i) = \frac{1}{2}q_z(y - a_i)$ . We observe that

$$g_i(y - a_i) - \alpha_i \le g_j(y - a_j) - \alpha_j \quad \text{for } y \in \Pi_i, \, i, j = 1, \dots, k.$$

$$(7)$$

Moreover the  $\alpha_i$  satisfy the following conditions.

If 
$$K \subset P$$
 then  $\alpha_i \leq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , (8)

if 
$$P \subset K$$
 then  $g_{a_i}(y - a_i) \leq \alpha_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $y \in \Pi_i$ . (9)

Let us assume that  $l_o = 0$  and the graph of f is part of the boundary of a  $C^2$  convex body K. In particular the second fundamental form is

$$Q_x = q_0$$
 at  $x = (o, f(o)) \in \partial K$ ,

and we also assume that  $Q_x$  is positive definite. If u(x) = (o, -1) is the unit exterior normal to  $\partial K$  at x, moreover  $x_i = (a_i, f(a_i)) \in \partial K$  and  $z_i = (a_i, \varphi_i(a_i)) \in$  aff  $F_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  then

$$\alpha_i = \langle u(x), x_i - z_i \rangle, \ i = 1, \dots, k.$$
(10)

Our goal is to investigate  $\Omega = \{(1-t)f(y) + t\varphi(y) : y \in C \text{ and } t \in [0,1]\}$ , which is the part of  $K\Delta P$  near C, and satisfies

$$V(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\Pi_{i}} |g_{i}(y - a_{i}) - \alpha_{i}| \, dy.$$
(11)

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 2^{-22})$ . Using the notation as above, let  $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i$  if  $\alpha_i \leq 0$ , and let  $\alpha_i \leq \alpha'_i \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\alpha_i$  if  $\alpha_i > 0$ , i = 1, ..., k. In addition we assume that

$$(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}Q_x \le q_y \le (1+\varepsilon)Q_x \text{ for any } y \in \widetilde{C},$$

moreover if  $y \in C$  and  $g_i(y - a_i) \leq \alpha_i$  for  $i \leq k'$  then  $y \in \operatorname{relint} C$ . Then

$$V(\Omega) \ge (1 - 2^{21}\varepsilon) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \int_{\Pi_i} |\frac{1}{2} Q_x(y - a_i) - \alpha'_i| \, dy.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $Q_x(z) = 2\langle z, z \rangle = 2z^2$ . It follows by the Taylor formula (6) that for any  $y \in C$  and  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , we have

$$(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} (y-a_i)^2 \le g_i (y-a_i) \le (1+\varepsilon) (y-a_i)^2.$$

For i = 1, ..., k', if  $\alpha_i \leq 0$  then we define  $D_i = \emptyset$ , and if  $\alpha_i > 0$  then we define  $r_i = \sqrt{\alpha_i}$  and

$$D_i = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : g_i(y - a_i) \le \frac{\alpha_i}{2} \right\}.$$

The conditions in the Lemma yield that if  $\alpha_i > 0$  then

$$a_i + \frac{r_i}{2} B^2 \subset D_i \subset \operatorname{relint} C. \tag{12}$$

In addition let

$$C^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k'} \left( \prod_i \cup D_i \right),$$

and for any  $i = 1, \ldots, k'$ , let

$$\Omega_i = \{ y \in C^* : \forall j = 1, \dots, k', \ g_i(y - a_i) - \alpha_i \le g_j(y - a_j) - \alpha_j \},\$$

hence  $\Pi_i \subset \Omega_i$  according to (7). The core of the proof of Lemma 2.1 is to prove the estimates

$$\sum_{i=1,\dots,k'} \int_{\Omega_i} g_i(y-a_i) \, dy \leq 2^{20} \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \int_{\Omega_i} |g_i(y-a_i) - \alpha_i| \, dy; \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le k' \\ \alpha_i > 0}} \int_{\Omega_i} \alpha_i \, dy \le 2^{20} \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \int_{\Omega_i} |g_i(y - a_i) - \alpha_i| \, dy.$$
(14)

If  $\alpha_i \leq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, k'$  then (13) and (14) readily follow, therefore we assume that  $\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{k'}$ , and  $\alpha_m > 0$  for some  $1 \leq m \leq k'$ , moreover  $\alpha_i \leq 0$  if i > m. For  $i \leq m$ , we define  $D'_i = a_i + 2r_i B^2$  and  $\widetilde{D}_i = a_i + 8r_i B^2$ .

Next let  $l_1 = 1$ , and we define  $1 = l_1 < \cdots < l_{m'} \leq m$ . If  $l_j$  is known and all  $D'_i$ ,  $i \leq m$ , intersect at least one of  $D'_{l_1}, \ldots, D'_{l_j}$  then let j = m'. Otherwise let  $l_{j+1}$  be the smallest index such that  $D'_{l_{j+1}}$  does not intersect  $D'_{l_1}, \ldots, D'_{l_j}$ . It follows that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} D'_i \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{m'} \widetilde{D}_{l_j}.$$
(15)

If i = 1, ..., m and  $y \in \Omega_i \setminus D'_i$  then  $\alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{2} g_i(y - a_i)$ , hence

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\alpha_i &\leq g_i(y-a_i) - \alpha_i; \\
g_i(y-a_i) &\leq 2 \cdot [g_i(y-a_i) - \alpha_i].
\end{array}$$
(16)

However if  $y \in \Omega_i \cap D'_i$  then let j be the smallest index such that  $y \in \widetilde{D}_{l_j}$ , hence  $l_j \leq i$ . We deduce

$$\alpha_i \le \alpha_{l_j},\tag{17}$$

which fact combining with  $g_i(y - a_i) - \alpha_i \leq g_{l_j}(y - a_{l_j}) - \alpha_{l_j}$  leads to

$$g_i(y - a_i) \le g_i(y - a_i) - \alpha_i + \alpha_{l_j} \le g_{l_j}(y - a_{l_j}) \le 2 \cdot (y - a_{l_j})^2.$$

It follows by using (12) and (15) that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega_{i} \cap D'_{i}} g_{i}(y-a_{i}) \, dy &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m'} \int_{\widetilde{D}_{l_{j}}} 2 \cdot (y-a_{l_{j}})^{2} \, dy \\ &\leq 2^{17} \sum_{j=1}^{m'} \int_{D_{l_{j}}} (y-a_{l_{j}})^{2} \, dy \\ &\leq 2^{18} \sum_{j=1}^{m'} \int_{D_{l_{j}}} g_{l_{j}}(y-a_{l_{j}}) \, dy \\ &\leq 2^{18} \sum_{j=1}^{m'} \int_{D_{l_{j}}} |g_{l_{j}}(y-a_{l_{j}}) - \alpha_{l_{j}}| \, dy \end{split}$$

In addition if  $y \in D_{l_j} \cap \Omega_t$  for some  $j = 1, \ldots, m'$  and  $t = 1, \ldots, k'$  then we have  $g_t(y - a_t) - \alpha_t \leq g_{l_j}(y - a_{l_j}) - \alpha_{l_j} < 0$ , hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega_i \cap D'_i} g_i(y - a_i) \, dy \le 2^{18} \sum_{t=1}^{k'} \int_{\Omega_t} |g_t(y - a_t) - \alpha_t| \, dy.$$

Therefore we deduce by (16) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega_{i}} g_{i}(y-a_{i}) \, dy \leq 2^{19} \sum_{t=1}^{k'} \int_{\Omega_{t}} |g_{t}(y-a_{t})-\alpha_{t}| \, dy,$$

which in turn yields (13). Turning to (14), we use the notation as above. It follows by (17) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega_{i} \cap D_{i}'} \alpha_{i} \, dy \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m'} \int_{\widetilde{D}_{l_{j}}} \alpha_{l_{j}} \, dy \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m'} \int_{\widetilde{D}_{l_{j}}} 2 \cdot (y - a_{l_{j}})^{2} \, dy,$$

hence the rest of the argument for (14) is similar to the proof (13).

Next we claim that if  $y \in \Omega_i \setminus \Pi_i$  for i = 1, ..., k' and  $y \in \Pi_j$  for j = 1, ..., k then

$$|g_i(y-a_i) - \alpha_i| \le |g_j(y-a_j) - \alpha_j|.$$
(18)

To prove (18), we observe that  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $y \in D_i$ , hence  $g_j(y - a_j) - \alpha_j \leq g_i(y - a_i) - \alpha_i < 0$ . In turn we conclude (18).

Finally we define  $\alpha_i^* = \max\{\alpha_i, 0\}$ , we deduce by (13), (14) and (18) that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \int_{\Omega_i} |(y-a_i)^2 - \alpha_i'| \, dy &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \int_{\Omega_i} |g_i(y-a_i) - \alpha_i| \, dy + \\ &\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \int_{\Omega_i} \{g_i(y-a_i) + \alpha_i^*\} \, dy \\ &\leq (1+2^{21}\varepsilon) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \int_{\Omega_i} |g_i(y-a_i) - \alpha_i| \, dy \\ &\leq (1+2^{21}\varepsilon) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\Pi_i} |g_i(y-a_i) - \alpha_i| \, dy. \end{split}$$

In turn we conclude Lemma 2.1 by  $\Pi_i \subset \Omega_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k'$ .

### 3. Some extremal properties of regular polygons

The discussion in Section 2 shows that the symmetric difference metric can be estimated from below by sums of integrals of the form  $\int_{\Pi} |q(y) - \alpha| dy$  where  $\Pi$  is a k-gon,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and q is a positive definite quadratic form. It has been known

that given k, q and  $|\Pi|$ , if the integral above is minimal then  $\Pi$  is regular with respect to q. In this section we prove stability versions of this property if  $k \leq 6$ .

First we present some auxiliary statements that will be useful in the proofs of Lemmae 3.3, 3.8 and 3.13, moreover later in the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We will need that certain type of functions are concave or monotonic:

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $f(t) = \tan t + \frac{\omega}{\tan t}$  for given  $\omega \in [\frac{1}{3}, 3]$ .

- (i)  $f(t)^{-1}$  is concave on  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and  $(f(t)^{-1})^{"} < -0.03$  if  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, \frac{5\pi}{12})$ ;
- (ii)  $t \cdot f(t)$  is increasing on  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and  $(t \cdot f(t))' > 0.07$  if  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

*Proof.* If  $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  then

$$(f(t)^{-1})'' = -(\tan^2 t \cdot (3\omega - 1) + 3\omega - \omega^2) \cdot \frac{2(\tan t)(1 + \tan^2 t)}{(\omega + \tan^2 t)^3} < 0,$$

hence  $f(t)^{-1}$  is concave. In addition the function  $\tan^2 t \cdot (3\omega - 1) + 3\omega - \omega^2$  is concave in  $\omega$  for fixed t, thus it attains its minimum at  $\omega = \frac{1}{3}$  or at  $\omega = 3$ . Therefore if  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, \frac{5\pi}{12})$  then

$$(f(t)^{-1})'' \le -\frac{\min\{8\tan^2\frac{\pi}{7}, \frac{8}{9}\} \cdot 2(\tan^2\frac{\pi}{7})(1+\tan^2\frac{\pi}{7})}{(3+\tan^2\frac{5\pi}{12})} < -0.03.$$

Turning to (ii), let  $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . It follows by  $\tan t > t + \frac{1}{3}t^3$  that

$$(t \cdot f(t))' = t + \tan t + t \cdot \tan^2 t + \omega \left(\frac{1}{\tan t} - \frac{t}{\tan^2 t} - t\right)$$
  
 
$$\geq t + \tan t + t \cdot \tan^2 t + \omega \left(\frac{t^3}{3\tan^2 t} - t\right).$$

Thus  $\omega = 3$  can be assumed, hence  $x + \frac{1}{x^2} - 2 > 1 - x$  for x > 1 yields

$$(t \cdot f(t))' \ge t \cdot \left(\frac{\tan t}{t} + \frac{t^2}{\tan^2 t} - 2\right) + t \cdot \tan^2 t \ge t - \tan t + t \cdot \tan^2 t.$$

Since  $t - \tan t + t \cdot \tan^2 t$  is a strictly increasing function of  $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , we have  $(t \cdot f(t))' > 0$  for  $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and even  $(t \cdot f(t))' > 0.07$  for  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

If f is a  $C^2$  function on (a, b) and  $t, t_0 \in (a, b)$  then the Taylor formula says that

$$f(t) = f(t_0) + f'(t_0) \cdot (t - t_0) + \frac{1}{2} f''(t_0 + s(t - t_0)) \cdot (t - t_0)^2$$
(19)

where  $s \in (0, 1)$ . The Taylor formula yields simple stability properties of the quadratic function and concave functions. We state these properties in the form how we intend to use them. First if  $\frac{t_1+\cdots+t_n}{n} = t_0$  and the number of  $t_i$  with  $|t_i - t_0| \ge \varepsilon$  is m for  $\varepsilon > 0$  then

$$\frac{t_1^2 + \dots + t_n^2}{n} \ge t_0^2 + \frac{m}{n} \cdot \varepsilon^2.$$
(20)

Secondly we have the following property of concave functions:

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\omega > 0$ , and let f be a concave function on [a, b] satisfying  $f''(t) \leq -\omega$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$  with  $|t - t_0| < \varepsilon_0$  for  $t_0 \in (a, b)$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . If  $t_0 = \frac{t_1 + \cdots + t_n}{n}$  for  $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in [a, b]$ , and the number of  $t_i$  with  $|t_i - t_0| \geq \varepsilon$  is m for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  then

$$\frac{f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_n)}{n} \le f(t_0) - \frac{\omega}{2} \cdot \frac{m}{n} \cdot \varepsilon^2.$$

We will also use the following consequence of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality: If  $\gamma_i, A_i > 0$  for i = 1, ..., m then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_i A_i^2 \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\gamma_i}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i\right)^2.$$

$$(21)$$

Finally we introduce a notation that will be used thoroughly Section 3. For  $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , let R(t) be the triangle with a right angle such that o is a vertex, the angle at o is t, and the longest side is of length one.

#### 3.1. Properties related to circumscribed polytopes

Most of the results of this section are hidden in P. M. Gruber [12] or in G. Fejes Tóth [4]. Still we provide proofs because the statements are not stated exactly as we need. For  $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , we define

$$\gamma^{c}(t) = \frac{\int_{R(t)} x^{2} dx}{|R(t)|^{2}} = \frac{1}{\tan t} + \frac{\tan t}{3}.$$
(22)

In particular

$$\frac{\gamma^c(\frac{\pi}{6})}{12} = \frac{5}{18\sqrt{3}}.$$
(23)

We note that (24) in Lemma 3.3 is due to L. Fejes Tóth (see say [5]).

**Lemma 3.3.** If q is a positive definite quadratic form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\alpha \leq 0$  and  $\Pi$  is a polygon of at most k sides then

$$\int_{\Pi} \{q(x) - \alpha\} \, dx \ge \frac{\gamma^c(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} \cdot |\Pi|^2 \sqrt{\det q}.$$
(24)

If  $k \leq 6$  and  $\int_{\Pi} \{q(x) - \alpha\} dx \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\gamma^c(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} |\Pi|^2 \sqrt{\det q}$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  then  $\Pi$  is a k-gon, and there exists some k-gon  $\Pi_0$  that is regular with respect to q, has o as its centroid, and satisfies

$$(1+\vartheta\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{-1}\Pi_0 \subset \Pi \subset (1+\vartheta\sqrt{\varepsilon})\Pi_0$$

where  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\vartheta$  are positive absolute constants.

To prove Lemma 3.3, we need four simple auxiliary statements. The first two, namely, Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 are consequences of Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.4.**  $t \gamma^{c}(t)$  is increasing on  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and  $(t \gamma^{c}(t))' > 0.07$  for  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

**Proposition 3.5.**  $\gamma^{c}(t)^{-1}$  is concave on  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and  $(\gamma^{c}(t)^{-1})^{"} < -0.03$  for  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, \frac{5\pi}{12})$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** If T is a triangle that has an angle t at the vertex o for  $t \in (0, \pi/2)$ , and T has an obtuse angle then

$$\int_T x^2 \, dx \ge \gamma^c(t) \cdot |T|^2.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that |T| = |R(t)|, and T is positioned in a way such that T and R(t) share their angle t at o, and their longest sides are collinear. Since in this case all points of  $R(t) \setminus T$  are closer to o than any point of  $T \setminus R(t)$ , we conclude Proposition 3.6.

**Proposition 3.7.** If  $\Pi$  is a convex disc with  $o \notin \operatorname{relint} \Pi$ , and  $k \geq 3$  then

$$\int_{\Pi} x^2 \, dx \ge 1.1 \cdot \frac{\gamma^c(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} \cdot |\Pi|^2.$$

*Proof.* Since there exists a half plane containing  $\Pi$  such that o lies on the boundary of the half plane, we may assume that  $\Pi$  is a semi circular disc centred at o. In this case direct calculations and Proposition 3.4 yield

$$\int_{\Pi} x^2 \, dx \ge 1.1 \cdot \frac{\gamma^c(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6} \cdot |\Pi|^2 \ge 1.1 \cdot \frac{\gamma^c(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} \cdot |\Pi|^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. We may assume that  $q(z) = z^2$ . Let  $\Pi$  be a polygon with at most k sides. We may assume  $o \in \operatorname{relint} \Pi$  according to Proposition 3.7. We dissect  $\Pi$  into triangles. We consider all non-degenerate triangles of the form [o, v, w] where v is the closest point of some side e of  $\Pi$  to o, and w is an endpoint of e. We write  $R_1, \ldots, R_l$  to denote these triangles, hence  $R_1, \ldots, R_l$  tile  $\Pi$ . It follows that the angle  $s_i$  of  $R_i$  at o is acute, and  $R_i$  has an angle which is at least  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, l$ . Naturally  $l \leq 2k$ , and in addition  $l \geq 5$  because all  $s_i$  are acute. We deduce

$$\int_{\Pi} x^2 \ge \sum_{i=1}^l \gamma^c(s_i) |R_i|^2 \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\gamma^c(s_i)}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l |R_i|\right)^2 \ge \frac{\gamma^c(\frac{2\pi}{l})}{l} \cdot |\Pi|^2 \ge \frac{\gamma^c(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} \cdot |\Pi|^2$$

by Propositions 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, moreover by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (21). Therefore let  $k \leq 6$ , and let  $\int_{\Pi} x^2 dx \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\gamma^c(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} \cdot |\Pi|^2$ . It follows by Proposition 3.4 that if  $\varepsilon_0$  is small enough then l = 2k. In particular each  $R_i$  has a right angle at a vertex that is not the vertex of  $\Pi$ . Combining Propositions 3.2 and 3.5 yields that  $|s_i - \frac{\pi}{k}| \leq \tau \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, 2k$  where  $\tau > 0$  is an absolute constant. In turn we conclude Lemma 3.3.

#### 3.2. Properties related to inscribed polytopes

For  $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , we define

$$\gamma^{i}(t) = \frac{\int_{R(t)} \{1 - x^{2}\} dx}{|R(t)|^{2}} = \frac{1}{\tan t} + \frac{5 \tan t}{3}.$$
 (25)

In particular

$$\frac{\gamma^{i}(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}.$$
(26)

We note that a restricted version of (27) in Lemma 3.8 is due to P. M. Gruber [8].

**Lemma 3.8.** If q is a positive definite quadratic form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\Pi$  is a triangle such that  $q(x) \leq \alpha$  for  $x \in \Pi$  then

$$\int_{\Pi} \{\alpha - q(x)\} \, dx \ge \frac{\gamma^i(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6} \cdot |\Pi|^2 \sqrt{\det q}. \tag{27}$$

If  $\int_{\Pi} \{\alpha - q(x)\} dx \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\gamma^i(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6} |\Pi|^2 \sqrt{\det q}$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  then there exists some triangle  $\Pi_0$  that is regular with respect to q, has o as its centroid, and satisfies

 $(1+\vartheta\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{-1}\Pi_0 \subset \Pi \subset (1+\vartheta\sqrt{\varepsilon})\cdot\Pi_0$ 

where  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\vartheta$  are positive absolute constants.

Let us prove the analogues of Propositions 3.4 to 3.7. Propositions 3.9 and 3.10 are consequences of Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.9.**  $t \gamma^i(t)$  is increasing on  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and  $(t \gamma^i(t))' > 0.07$  for  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

**Proposition 3.10.**  $\gamma^{i}(t)^{-1}$  is concave on  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and  $(\gamma^{i}(t)^{-1})^{"} < -0.03$  for  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, \frac{5\pi}{12})$ .

The following statement is more general then the direct analogue of Proposition 3.6 because of applications in Proposition 3.12.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let  $T \subset rB^2$  be a triangle, which has an angle t at the vertex o for  $t \in (0, \pi/2)$ , and has another angle that is at least  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . If  $\Pi \subset T$  is a convex disc then

$$\int_{\Pi} \{r^2 - x^2\} \, dx \ge \gamma^i(t) |\Pi|^2.$$

Proof. We may assume that r = 1 and T = R(t). Let R(t) = [o, a, b] where R(t) has a right angle at a, hence ||b|| = 1. We define  $\mathcal{Q}$  to be the family of convex discs  $Q \subset R(t)$  with  $|Q| \ge |\Pi|$ . There exists some  $Q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}$  satisfying that  $\frac{\int_{Q_0} \{1-x^2\} dx}{|Q_0|^2}$  is minimal, and Proposition 3.11 follows if

$$\frac{\int_{Q_0} \{1 - x^2\} \, dx}{|Q_0|^2} \ge \frac{\int_{R(t)} \{1 - x^2\} \, dx}{|R(t)|^2}.$$
(28)

We may assume that  $Q_0 \neq R(t)$ .

In the proof of (28), we will use that if  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$  with  $|C_1| = |C_2|$  then

$$\int_{C_1} \{1 - x^2\} \, dx \le \int_{C_2} \{1 - x^2\} \, dx \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_{C_1} x^2 \, dx \ge \int_{C_2} x^2 \, dx. \tag{29}$$

Our main method for transforming elements of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the so-called Blaschke-Schüttelung (see T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel [1]). Let us given a line l, a vector u not parallel to l, and a convex disc C that lies on one side of l. Then applying the Blaschke-Schüttelung parallel to u and with respect to l to C leads to some convex disc C' as follows. We translate any secant  $\sigma$  of C parallel to u into a segment  $\sigma'$ , which intersects l in an endpoint, and lies on the same side of l where C lies. We define C' to be the union of all such  $\sigma'$ . Readily |C'| = |C|. In addition if

$$\max_{x \in \sigma'} \|x\| \ge \max_{x \in \sigma} \|x\| \tag{30}$$

holds for any secant  $\sigma$  of C then

$$\int_{C'} x^2 dx \ge \int_C x^2 dx, \tag{31}$$

with strict inequality if strict inequality holds in (30) for at least one secant  $\sigma$ .

After applying Blaschke-Schüttelung first parallel to a with respect to aff $\{a, b\}$ , then parallel to b - a with respect to aff $\{o, b\}$ , we may assume the following by (31): There exist  $\tilde{a} \in [a, b]$  and  $\tilde{b} \in [o, b]$  such that  $Q_0 \cap [a, b] = [\tilde{a}, b]$  and  $Q_0 \cap [o, b] = [\tilde{b}, b]$ , moreover the lines through  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  parallel to a and b - a, respectively, are supporting lines of  $Q_0$ .

We suppose that  $\tilde{a} \neq a$ , and seek a contradiction. Let  $c \in [o, b]$  satisfy that  $\tilde{a} - c$  is parallel to a, hence  $c \neq o$ . Since  $\langle x - b, c \rangle < 0$  for  $x \in Q_0 \setminus \{b\}$ , we have  $(b - c)^2 - (x - c)^2 < b^2 - x^2 = 1 - x^2$ , thus

$$\int_{Q_0} \{(a-c)^2 - (x-c)^2\} \, dx < \int_{Q_0} \{1-x^2\} \, dx.$$

Now  $\widetilde{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$  for  $\widetilde{Q} = b + \frac{1}{\|b-c\|} (Q_0 - b)$ , and

$$\frac{\int_{\widetilde{Q}} \{1-x^2\} \, dx}{|\widetilde{Q}|^2} = \frac{\int_{Q_0} \{(a-c)^2 - (x-c)^2\} \, dx}{|Q_0|^2} < \frac{\int_{Q_0} \{1-x^2\} \, dx}{|Q_0|^2}.$$

It is absurd, therefore  $\tilde{a} = a$ .

Next we define  $a_0 \in [o, a]$  and  $b_0 \in [o, a]$  by the properties that  $||a_0|| = ||b_0||$ and the segment  $[a_0, b_0]$  touches  $Q_0$ . After applying Blaschke-Schüttelung parallel to  $a_0 - b_0$  with respect to aff $\{o, b\}$ , we may assume  $b_0 = \tilde{b} \in Q_0$ . We suppose that  $a_0 \notin Q_0$ , and seek a contradiction. We define  $a' \in [o, a]$  by  $Q_0 \cap [o, a] = [a', a]$ , and  $b' \in [o, b]$  by ||b'|| = ||a'||. In addition we choose  $c' \in [b_0, b']$  with  $c' \neq b_0, b'$ . The line aff $\{a', c'\}$  dissects  $Q_0$  into two convex discs, the polygon M containing b, and the convex disc N containing  $b_0$ . Let N' be the image of N by the Blaschke-Schüttelung parallel to a' - c' with respect to aff $\{o, a\}$ . Then  $Q' = M \cup N' \in Q$ ,  $|Q'| = |Q_0|$  and

$$\int_{Q'} x^2 \, dx = \int_M x^2 \, dx + \int_{N'} x^2 \, dx > \int_M x^2 \, dx + \int_N x^2 \, dx = \int_{Q_0} x^2 \, dx,$$

that is absurd. Therefore  $a_0 \in Q_0$ , which in turn yields  $Q_0 = [a, a_0, b_0, b]$ . Let s be the area of the isosceles triangle  $[o, a_0, b_0]$ , hence

$$\frac{\int_{Q_0} \{1 - x^2\} \, dx}{|Q_0|^2} = \frac{|R(t)| - \gamma^c(t)|R(t)|^2 - s + \frac{\gamma^c(t/2)}{2} \cdot s^2}{(|R(t)| - s)^2}.$$

As t is fixed, we write f(s) to denote the right hand side above as a function of s, which function satisfies

$$f'(s) = \frac{\{1 - |R(t)| \cdot \gamma^c(t/2)\}(|R(t)| - s) - \{2\gamma^c(t) - \gamma^c(t/2)\} \cdot |R(t)|^2}{(|R(t)| - s)^3}$$

Now  $s \leq \frac{\|a\|^2 \sin t}{2} = |R(t)| \cos t$  yields  $|R(t)| - s \geq (1 - \cos t)|R(t)|$ , moreover elementary calculations and using the formula (22) for  $\gamma^c$  lead to

$$\left\{ 1 - |R(t)|\gamma^c(\frac{t}{2}) \right\} (1 - \cos t) - \left\{ 2\gamma^c(t) - \gamma^c(\frac{t}{2}) \right\} \cdot |R(t)| = (1 - \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\frac{t}{2})(1 - \cos t)^2.$$
  
Since  $2\gamma^c(t) - \gamma^c(t/2) \ge 0$  according to Proposition 3.4, it follows that  $f'(s) > 0$  for all  $s \le |R(t)| \cos t$ . We conclude (28), and in turn Proposition 3.11.  $\Box$ 

Finally we present the analogue of Proposition 3.7. Unfortunately this fact is not as trivial as Proposition 3.7 because Proposition 3.12 does not hold for any convex disc as  $\Pi$ ; for example, if  $\Pi$  is a semi circular disc with centre o and radius one then  $\int_{\Pi} \{1 - x^2\} dx < \frac{\gamma^i(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6} \cdot |\Pi|^2$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** If  $\Pi \subset rB^2$  is a triangle with  $o \notin \operatorname{relint} \Pi$  then

$$\int_{\Pi} \{r^2 - x^2\} \, dx \ge 1.1 \cdot \frac{\gamma^i(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6} \cdot |\Pi|^2.$$

Proof. We say that a side e of  $\Pi$  is a dark side if  $o \notin e$  and e is a common side of  $\Pi$  and  $[o, \Pi]$ . We consider all non-degenerate triangles of the from [o, v, w] where v is the closest point of some dark side e of  $\Pi$  to o, and w is an endpoint of e. Let  $R_1, \ldots, R_l$  be the resulting triangles, hence  $\Pi \cap R_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, l$ , form a tiling of  $\Pi$ . We observe that  $l \leq 4$ , moreover if  $j = 1, \ldots, l$  then the angle  $s_j$  of  $R_j$  at o is acute, and  $R_j$  has an angle that is at least  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . Writing  $s^* = \frac{s_1 + \cdots + s_l}{l}$ , it follows by (25), (26), Proposition 3.11 and by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (21) that

$$\int_{\Pi} \{r^2 - x^2\} dx \ge \sum_{j=1}^{l} \gamma^i(s_j) \cdot |R_j \cap \Pi|^2 \ge \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} \gamma^i(s_j)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} |R_j \cap \Pi|\right)^2$$
$$\ge \frac{\gamma^i(s^*)}{l} \cdot |\Pi|^2 \ge \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}}{4} \cdot |\Pi|^2 > 1.1 \cdot \frac{\gamma^i(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6} \cdot |\Pi|^2. \qquad \square$$

Based on Propositions 3.9 to 3.12, Lemma 3.8 can be proved analogously to Lemma 3.3.  $\hfill \Box$ 

# 3.3. Properties related to general polytopes

This section builds on K. Böröczky, Jr. and M. Ludwig [3]. For  $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , we define

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{R(t)} |x^2 - \alpha| \, dx}{|R(t)|^2}$$

According to K. Böröczky, Jr. and M. Ludwig [3],

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{1}{\tan t} + \frac{\tan t}{3} - \frac{1}{2t} \quad \text{if } t \in (0, 1.05], \tag{32}$$

where  $\frac{\pi}{3} < 1.05 < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\tan 1.05 < 2 \cdot 1.05$ . Therefore

$$\frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{4\pi}; \tag{33}$$

$$\frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{6})}{12} = \frac{5}{18\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{4\pi}.$$
(34)

The estimate (35) in Lemma 3.13 is a restatement of Theorem 3 in K. Böröczky, Jr. and M. Ludwig [3]. We note that the proof of Lemma 3.13 is more complicated than the proof of Lemma 3.3 because instead of Proposition 3.6, we have Proposition 3.16.

**Lemma 3.13.** There exist absolute constants  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $\vartheta > 0$  with the following properties: If q is a positive definite quadratic form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Pi$  is a polygon of at most k sides then

$$\int_{\Pi} |q(x) - \alpha| \, dx \ge \frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} \cdot |\Pi|^2 \sqrt{\det q}. \tag{35}$$

In addition if  $k \leq 6$  and  $\int_{\Pi} |q(x) - \alpha| dx \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} |\Pi|^2 \sqrt{\det q}$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  then  $\Pi$  is a k-gon, and there exists some k-gon  $\Pi_0$  that is regular with respect to q, has o as its centroid, and satisfies

$$(1 + \vartheta\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{-1}\Pi_0 \subset \Pi \subset (1 + \vartheta\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \cdot \Pi_0;$$
  
$$\frac{1 - \vartheta\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2} |\Pi| < |\{x \in \Pi : q(x) \le \alpha\}| < \frac{1 + \vartheta\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2} |\Pi|.$$

To prove Lemma 3.13, we need several auxiliary statements. Proposition 3.1 yields directly Proposition 3.14.

**Proposition 3.14.**  $t \gamma(t)$  is increasing on (0, 1.05), and if  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, 1.05)$  then  $(t \gamma(t))' > 0.07$ .

Let us recall some results of [3]. We note that there exists a unique  $t^* \in (1.05, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that  $\tan t^* = 2t^*$ . Lemma 4 of [3] states that  $\gamma(t)^{-1}$  is concave on  $(0, t^*)$ . Its proof actually verifies that  $(\gamma(t)^{-1})''$  is continuous and negative on  $(0, t^*)$ . Next let l(t) be the linear function whose graph is tangent to the graph of  $\gamma(t)^{-1}$  at  $\frac{\pi}{3}$ . Lemma 5 of [3] states that  $\gamma(t)^{-1} < l(t)$  for  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . We deduce **Proposition 3.15.** There exists a concave function  $\theta(t) \geq \gamma(t)^{-1}$  on  $(0, \pi/2)$  such that  $\theta(t) = \gamma(t)^{-1}$  for  $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{3}]$ . In addition  $(\gamma(t)^{-1})'' < -\xi$  for  $t \in (\frac{\pi}{7}, 1.05)$  where  $\xi > 0$  is an absolute constant.

**Remark.** Since the resulting  $\theta(t)$  is linear if  $t \ge \frac{\pi}{3}$ , we cannot apply Proposition 3.2 if  $\sum_{i=1}^{6} t_i = 2\pi$  for acute  $t_1, \ldots, t_6$ . In this case the Taylor formula (19) yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} \theta(t_i) \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{6} l(t_i)\right) - \frac{\xi}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{3} - \min_{i=1,\dots,6} t_i\right)^2 \le 6 \theta(\frac{\pi}{6}) - \frac{\xi}{50} \max_{i=1,\dots,6} (\frac{\pi}{3} - t_i)^2.$$
(36)

Next we restate Lemma 3 of [3].

**Proposition 3.16.** If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and T is a triangle that has an angle 2t at the vertex o for  $t \in (0, \pi/2)$  then

$$\int_T |x^2 - \alpha| \, dx \ge \frac{\gamma(t)}{2} \cdot |T|^2.$$

Finally combining Lemma 2 in [3] and Proposition 3.14 leads to

**Proposition 3.17.** If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Pi$  is a polygon with at most k sides, and  $o \notin \operatorname{relint} \Pi$  then

$$\int_{\Pi} |x^2 - \alpha| \, dx \ge 1.1 \cdot \frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6} \cdot |\Pi|^2 \ge 1.1 \cdot \frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} \cdot |\Pi|^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.13. We may assume that  $q(z) = z^2$ . Since (35) coincides with Theorem 3 in [3], we assume that the *m*-gon  $\Pi$  for  $m \leq k \leq 6$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $\int_{\Pi} |x^2 - \alpha| dx \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} \cdot |\Pi|^2$ . If  $\varepsilon_0$  is small enough then  $o \in$  relint  $\Pi$  according to Proposition 3.17.

We dissect  $\Pi$  into the triangles  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$  by connecting o to the vertices of  $\Pi$ , and write  $e_i$  to denote the side of  $T_i$  opposite to o. Next we assign two triangles  $R_{i1}$  and  $R_{i2}$  to each  $T_i$ . If both angles of  $T_i$  at the endpoints of  $e_i$  are acute then let  $w_i$  be the closest point of  $e_i$  to o, and let  $R_{i1}$  and  $R_{i2}$  be the two triangles, which tile  $T_i$  and intersect in the common side  $[o, w_i]$ . In this case both  $R_{i1}$  and  $R_{i2}$  have a right angle at  $w_i$ , and we write  $t_{ij}$  to denote the angle of  $R_{ij}$  at o, j = 1, 2. Otherwise we call  $T_i$  skew, and let  $t_{i1} = t_{i2}$  be half of the angle of  $T_i$  at o, moreover let  $R_{ij}$  be a rescaled copy of  $R(t_{ij})$  with  $|R_{ij}| = \frac{1}{2} |T_i|$  for j = 1, 2. In both cases  $t_{i1} + t_{i2}$  is the angle of  $T_i$  at  $o, i = 1, \ldots, m$ . We apply Proposition 3.16 to all skew  $T_i$ , and deduce by Proposition 3.15 and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (21) that

$$\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k})}{2k} \cdot |\Pi|^2 \geq \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,m\\j=1,2}} \gamma(t_{ij})|R_{ij}|^2 \qquad (37)$$

$$\geq \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,m\\j=1,2}} \frac{1}{\gamma(t_{ij})}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,m\\j=1,2}} |R_{ij}|\right)^2$$

$$\geq \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,m\\j=1,2}} \theta(t_{ij})\right)^{-1} |\Pi|^2 \geq (2m \cdot \theta(\frac{\pi}{m}))^{-1} |\Pi|^2.$$
(38)

It follows by Proposition 3.14 that m = k if  $\varepsilon_0$  is small enough.

During the rest of the argument, we write  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \ldots$  to denote positive absolute constants. We apply Propositions 3.2 and 3.15 if  $k \ge 4$ , and (36) if k = 3 to (38), and obtain

$$|t_{ij} - \frac{\pi}{k}| \le \vartheta_1 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } j = 1, 2.$$
 (39)

If no  $T_i$  is skew then (39) readily yields the existence of  $\Pi_0$  in Lemma 3.13.

Therefore we suppose that there is a skew  $T_l$  for suitably small  $\varepsilon_0$ , and seek a contradiction. We deduce by (39) that  $\gamma(t_{ij}) \ge (1 - \vartheta_2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}) \gamma(\frac{\pi}{k})$ , thus (37) and  $2(|R_{i1}|^2 + |R_{i2}|^2) \ge |T_i|^2$  yield that  $k \sum_{i=1}^k |T_i|^2 \le (1 + \vartheta_3 \sqrt{\varepsilon}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |T_i|\right)^2$ . Using the convexity of  $t^2$  (compare (20)), we obtain

$$1 - \vartheta_4 \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \le \frac{|T_i|}{|\Pi|/k} \le 1 + \vartheta_4 \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

$$(40)$$

Let  $v \neq o$  be the vertex of  $T_l$  where the angle  $\alpha_l$  of  $T_l$  is at least  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , and let  $T_p$  the other triangle that has v as a vertex. If  $\alpha_p$  is the angle of  $T_p$  at v then combining (39) and (40) yields that  $|\alpha_l - \alpha_p| \leq \vartheta_5 \sqrt[4]{\varepsilon}$ . It follows by  $\alpha_l \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$  that  $\alpha_p \geq \frac{5\pi}{12}$  if  $\varepsilon_0$  is small enough, moreover  $\alpha_p < \frac{\pi}{2}$  by the convexity of  $\Pi$ . In addition the angle of  $T_p$  at o is at least  $\frac{\pi}{4}$  by  $k \leq 6$ , hence the third angle of  $T_p$  is at most  $\frac{\pi}{3}$ . Therefore  $T_p$  is not skew, and  $|t_{p1} - t_{p2}| \geq \alpha_p - \frac{\pi}{3} \geq \frac{\pi}{12}$ . It contradicts (39) for suitably small  $\varepsilon_0$ , thus no  $T_1, \ldots, T_k$  is skew. In turn we conclude the existence of suitable  $\Pi_0$ .

Finally we define  $\Pi_{\alpha}^{+} = \{x \in \Pi : x^{2} \geq \alpha\}$  and  $\Pi_{\alpha}^{-} = \{x \in \Pi : x^{2} \leq \alpha\}$ , hence the formula

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int_{\Pi} |x^2 - \alpha| \, dx = |\Pi_{\alpha}^{-}| - |\Pi_{\alpha}^{+}|$$

completes the proof of Lemma 3.13.

# 4. The proof of Theorem 1.1

We only prove Theorem 1.1 for  $P_{(n)}$  in detail, and sketch the necessary changes for the case of  $P_{(n)}^c$  at the end of the proof. For  $P_{(n)}$ , it is sufficient to prove the following statement.

For a given convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $C^2$  boundary, let  $P_{(n)}$  be a polytope with at most n faces such that  $\delta_S(K, P_{(n)})$  is minimal. For  $\nu \in (0, \nu_0)$ , if g(n) is the number of faces F of  $P_{(n)}$  such that F is a proper hexagon, and F is  $\vartheta \nu$ -close to some hexagon that is regular with respect to  $Q_{x_F}$  and is of area  $\frac{\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx}{n \cdot \kappa(x_F)^{1/4}}$  then

$$g(n) > (1 - \tilde{\vartheta}\nu)n \quad \text{for } n > n_0$$

$$\tag{41}$$

where  $\vartheta$  and  $\tilde{\vartheta}$  are positive absolute constants, and  $\nu_0 > 0$  depends on K, moreover  $n_0$  depends on  $\nu$  and K.

We recall that for any  $x \in \partial K$ , u(x) is the exterior unit normal to  $\partial K$  at x. It is well-known (see say K. Leichtweiß [16]) that there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that balls of radius  $\eta$  roll from inside on  $\partial K$ . In other words for any  $x \in \partial K$ , the three-all of radius  $\eta$  and of centre  $x - \eta u(x)$  is contained in K. Let  $K_{-\eta}$  be the family of points z such that  $z + \eta B^3 \subset K$ . Now if  $y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus K_{-\eta}$  then there exists a unique closest point of  $\partial K$  to y, and we write  $\pi(y)$  to denote this point.

We write cl Y to denote the closure of any  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , and consider  $\partial K$  with the subspace topology as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We say that  $Y \subset \partial K$  is Jordan measurable if the relative boundary of Y on  $\partial K$  is of two-dimensional Hausdorff-measure zero. Let  $X_0, X'$  and X be relatively open Jordan measurable subsets of  $\partial K$  such that  $\operatorname{cl} X_0 \subset X$ ,  $\operatorname{cl} X \subset X'$ ,  $\kappa(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \operatorname{cl} X'$ , and

$$\int_{X_0} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx \ge (1 - \mu \nu^2) \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx.$$

It is practical to define

$$\mu = \nu^6$$

We have  $\delta > 0$  with the following properties:  $(X_0 + 2\delta B^3) \cap \partial K \subset X$  and  $(X + 2\delta B^3) \cap \partial K \subset X'$ . Moreover if C is a convex disc that touches K in  $x \in X$  and C is of diameter at most  $\delta$  then

• (i) writing C' to denote the orthogonal projection of  $\pi(C)$  into aff C, we have

$$x + (1 - \mu\nu^2)(C - x) \subset C' \subset x + (1 - \mu\nu^2)^{-1}(C - x);$$

- (ii) if  $w \in \pi(C)$  then  $\langle u(w), u(x) \rangle \ge 1 \mu \nu^2$ ;
- (iii) if f is the convex function on C such that its graph is the part of  $\partial K$ , and  $q_y$  is the quadratic form representing the second derivative of f at  $y \in C$ (hence  $Q_x = q_x$ ) then

$$(1+\mu\nu^2)^{-1}Q_x \le q_y \le (1+\mu\nu^2)Q_x.$$

During the proof of (41),  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \ldots$  denote positive absolute constants, moreover  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots$  denote positive constants that depend on  $K, \nu$  and  $\mu$ . Now there exists a convex polytope M circumscribed around K such that diam  $G < \delta$  holds for each face G of M with  $\pi(G) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ . We write  $\mathcal{M}$  to denote the family of faces of M that touch K in a point of X, and let  $G \in \mathcal{M}$  touch K in  $x_G$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{G \in \mathcal{M}} \kappa(x_G)^{1/4} |G| \ge (1 - \vartheta_1 \mu \nu^2) \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx.$$
(42)

We start to investigate  $P_{(n)}$ . We define

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{5}{36\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{8\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{6})}{12}.$$

According to (4), if n is large then

$$\delta_S(K, P_{(n)}) < (1 + \mu\nu^2) \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \left(\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n}.$$
(43)

It follows by (43) and the existence of the rolling ball of radius  $\eta$  that

$$\delta_H(K, P_{(n)}) \le \omega_1 n^{-1/2}.$$
 (44)

Therefore if  $n_0$  is large enough then  $K_{-\eta} \subset \operatorname{int} P_{(n)}$ . Since the infimum of the principal curvatures at the points of X' is positive, we deduce that if F is a face of  $P_{(n)}$  such that  $\pi(F) \subset X'$  then

$$\operatorname{diam} F \le \omega_2 n^{-1/4}.\tag{45}$$

Recalling that  $G \in \mathcal{M}$  touches K in  $x_G$ , we write  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  to denote the family of convex discs of the form

$$(1 - 2\mu\nu^2)(G - x_G) + x_G$$

as G runs through the elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In turn for  $C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , we write  $x_C$  to denote the point where C touches K, and define

$$C' = (1 - \mu \nu^2)(C - x_C) + x_C.$$

In addition let  $\mathcal{F}_C$  denote the family of faces of  $P_{(n)}$  near C whose orthogonal projection to aff C intersects relint C. We deduce by (i) and (45) that if  $n_0$  is large enough then the families  $\mathcal{F}_C$  for  $C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  are pairwise disjoint, and by (42) that

$$\sum_{C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \kappa(x_C)^{1/4} |C'| \ge (1 - \vartheta_2 \mu \nu^2) \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx.$$
(46)

For any plane L in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we write  $p_L$  to denote the orthogonal projection into L. Let  $C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . We write  $\mathcal{F}'_C$  to denote the family of all  $F \in \mathcal{F}_C$  such that  $p_{\operatorname{aff} C}(F)$  intersects relint C'. Again if  $n_0$  is large enough then (44) yields for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}'_C$  that

$$p_{\operatorname{aff} C}(K \cap \operatorname{aff} F) \subset \operatorname{relint} C.$$

$$(47)$$

We recall that for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}_C$ ,  $x_F$  denotes the point of  $\partial K$  such that  $u(x_F)$  is an exterior unit normal to F, and write  $a_F = p_{\text{aff }C}(x_F)$ . In addition let  $z_F \in \text{aff }F$  satisfy  $p_{\text{aff }C}(z_F) = a_F$ , and let  $\alpha_F = \langle u(x_C), x_F - z_F \rangle$ . For any  $F \in \mathcal{F}'_C$ , we define

$$\Pi_F = C' \cap p_{\operatorname{aff} C}(F). \tag{48}$$

It follows by (iii) and (47) that we may apply Lemma 2.1 to each  $C \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\varepsilon = \mu \nu^2$ , and we obtain (see also (10))

$$\delta_S(K, P_{(n)}) \ge (1 - \vartheta_3 \mu \nu^2) \sum_{C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'_C} \int_{\Pi_F} \left| \frac{1}{2} Q_{x_C}(y - a_F) - \alpha_F \right| dy.$$
(49)

For any  $F \in \mathcal{F}'_C$ , we define k(F) to be the number of sides of  $\Pi_F$ , and

$$I(F) = \kappa (x_C)^{1/4} |\Pi_F|.$$
 (50)

Next we decompose  $\bigcup_{C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{F}'_C$  into the families  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_3$  and  $\mathcal{F}_4$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}'_C$  for  $C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . We put F into  $\mathcal{F}_4$  if  $k(F) \neq 6$ , and into  $\mathcal{F}_3$  if  $\Pi_F$  is a hexagon that is not  $\nu$ -close to any hexagon that is regular with respect  $Q_{x_C}$ . Therefore  $F \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  if  $\Pi_F$  is a hexagon, which is  $\nu$ -close to some hexagon that is regular with respect  $Q_{x_C}$ . Assuming this, we have

$$F \in \mathcal{F}_1 \quad \text{if} \quad \left| \frac{\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx}{n \cdot I(F)} - 1 \right| \le \nu;$$
  
$$F \in \mathcal{F}_2 \quad \text{if} \quad \left| \frac{\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx}{n \cdot I(F)} - 1 \right| > \nu.$$

We write  $n_j$  to denote the cardinality of  $\mathcal{F}_j$ . Using (43) and (46) to get an upper bound on  $\delta_S(K, P_{(n)})$ , and (49) and Lemma 3.13 to get a lower bound on  $\delta_S(K, P_{(n)})$ , we obtain

$$(1 + \vartheta_4 \mu \nu^2) \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \left(\sum_{F \in \cup_{j=1}^4 \mathcal{F}_j} I(F)\right)^2 \frac{1}{n} \geq \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \left(\sum_{F \in \cup_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{F}_j} I(F)^2\right) + \vartheta_5 \nu^2 \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_3} I(F)^2\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_4} \frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k(F)})}{2k(F)} \cdot I(F)^2.$$
(51)

We claim that last term above satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_4}\frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k(F)})}{2k(F)}\cdot I(F)^2 \ge (1+\vartheta_6)\cdot\tilde{\gamma}\cdot\left(\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_4}I(F)\right)^2\cdot\frac{1}{n_4}.$$
(52)

It follows by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (21) that

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_4} \frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k(F)})}{2k(F)} \cdot I(F)^2 = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_4} 2k(F) \cdot \gamma(\frac{\pi}{k(F)}) \cdot \left(\frac{I(F)}{2k(F)}\right)^2$$
$$\geq \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_4} 2k(F) \cdot \gamma(\frac{\pi}{k(F)})^{-1}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_4} I(F)\right)^2.$$

Since C' is tiled by  $\Pi_F$  as F runs through  $\mathcal{F}'_C$ , and all tiles have small diameter for large n according to (45), the average number of sides of all  $\Pi_F$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{F}'_C$ , is at most six (see Lemma 4.1 below). In particular the average of all k(F),  $F \in \mathcal{F}_4$ , is at most six. If the average is at least 5.5 then we use Proposition 3.2 to the concave  $\gamma(t)^{-1}$  (compare Proposition 3.15), and after that use the monotonicity of  $t\gamma(t)$  (compare Proposition 3.14) to obtain

$$\left(\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_4} 2k(F)\cdot\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k(F)})^{-1}\right)^{-1} \geq \frac{1+\vartheta_7}{n_4}\cdot\frac{n_4}{\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_4} 2k(F)}\cdot\gamma\left(\frac{n_42\pi}{2\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_4} k(F)}\right)$$

K. J. Böröczky et al.: Typical Faces of Best Approximating Three-polytopes 541

$$\geq \frac{1+\vartheta_7}{n_4} \cdot \frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{6})}{12} = \frac{1+\vartheta_7}{n_4} \cdot 2\tilde{\gamma}$$

If the average of all k(F),  $F \in \mathcal{F}_4$ , is less than 5.5 then first we simply use the concavity of  $\gamma(t)^{-1}$ , and after that we obtain an error term from the monotonicity of  $t\gamma(t)$  (compare Proposition 3.14, and observe that the average of all k(F) is at least three):

$$\left(\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_4} 2k(F)\cdot\gamma(\frac{\pi}{k(F)})^{-1}\right)^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{n_4}\cdot\frac{n_4}{\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_4} 2k(F)}\cdot\gamma\left(\frac{n_4\pi}{\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_4} k(F)}\right)$$
$$\geq \frac{1+\vartheta_8}{n_4}\cdot\frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{6})}{12} = \frac{1+\vartheta_8}{n_4}\cdot2\tilde{\gamma}.$$

In turn we deduce the claim (52).

Now by applying the inequality for quadratic mean to  $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_3} I(F)^2$ , we deduce

$$(1 + \vartheta_{4}\mu\nu^{2}) \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \left(\sum_{F \in \cup_{j=1}^{4}\mathcal{F}_{j}} I(F)\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \geq \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \left(\sum_{F \in \cup_{j=1}^{3}\mathcal{F}_{j}} I(F)^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \vartheta_{5}\nu^{2} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{3}} I(F)\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n_{3}}$$

$$+ (1 + \vartheta_{6}) \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \cdot \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{4}} I(F)\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n_{4}}.$$

$$(53)$$

First we show that the contribution coming from faces in  $\mathcal{F}_3$  and  $\mathcal{F}_4$  is negligible. Applying the inequality for quadratic mean and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (21) in (53) leads to

$$(1+\vartheta_4\mu\nu^2)\cdot\tilde{\gamma}\left(\sum_{F\in\cup_{j=1}^4\mathcal{F}_j}I(F)\right)^2\cdot\frac{1}{n} \geq \tilde{\gamma}\cdot\left(\sum_{F\in\cup_{j=1}^4\mathcal{F}_j}I(F)\right)^2\cdot\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^4n_j} +\vartheta_9\nu^2\left(\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_3\cup\mathcal{F}_4}I(F)\right)^2\frac{1}{n_3+n_4}.$$

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^{4} n_j \leq n$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_3 \cup \mathcal{F}_4} I(F) \le \vartheta_{10} \sqrt{\mu} \cdot \sum_{F \in \bigcup_{j=1}^4 \mathcal{F}_j} I(F).$$
(54)

Thus (46) and (53) yield

$$\left(\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_1\cup\mathcal{F}_2} I(F)\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \geq (1 - O(\sqrt{\mu})) \left(\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_1\cup\mathcal{F}_2} I(F)^2\right);$$
(55)

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2} I(F) = (1 + O(\sqrt{\mu})) \cdot \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx.$$
 (56)

Applying the inequality for quadratic mean in (55) leads to  $n_1+n_2 = (1+O(\sqrt{\mu}))n$ , hence (56) shows that

$$I_0 = \frac{\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2} I(F)}{n_1 + n_2} = (1 + O(\sqrt{\mu})) \cdot \frac{\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx}{n}.$$

Therefore if  $\nu_0$  after (41) is small enough then we apply (20) with  $t_0 = I_0$  and  $\varepsilon = \frac{\nu \cdot \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx}{2n}$  to obtain

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2} I(F)^2 \ge \left(1 + \frac{\vartheta_{11}\nu^2 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}\right) \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2} I(F)\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n_1 + n_2}.$$

Comparing to (55) leads to  $\frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2} = O(\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\nu^2}) = O(\nu)$ , hence

$$n_1 \ge (1 - \vartheta_{12}\nu) \cdot n. \tag{57}$$

We are not ready because some  $\Pi_F$  is not the projection of F. We call  $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$  a border face if assuming  $F \in \mathcal{F}_C$ ,  $C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $\Pi_F$  meets the relative boundary of C'. Otherwise we call  $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$  an inner face; namely, if  $\Pi_F \subset \operatorname{relint} C'$ . We observe that if F is an inner face then  $\Pi_F$  is the projection of F, hence F is  $\vartheta_{13}\nu$ -close to some hexagon that is regular with respect to the positive definite  $Q_F$ , and is of area  $\frac{\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx}{n \cdot \kappa(x_F)^{1/4}}$ . However if F is a border face and  $F \in \mathcal{F}_C$  then  $\Pi_F$  lies in a  $\omega_3 n^{-1/2}$  neighbourhood of the relative boundary of C' in aff C. Since any border face F is in  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , we have  $|\Pi_F| > \frac{\omega_4}{n}$ , therefore the number of border faces is at most  $\omega_5 \sqrt{n}$ . After choosing  $n_0$  large enough, the number of border faces is less than  $\nu \cdot n$ , hence  $g(n) \ge (1 - \vartheta_{14}\nu) \cdot n$ . Therefore we conclude (41), and in turn Theorem 1.1 in the case of  $P_{(n)}$ .

To prove Theorem 1.1 in the case of  $P_{(n)}^c$ , only two changes are needed in the argument. First all  $\alpha_F$  in (49) satisfy  $\alpha_F \leq 0$  (compare (8)). Secondly we use Lemma 3.3 instead of Lemma 3.13.

No face can be added to  $P_{(n)}$  or  $P_{(n)}^c$ , and no face of  $P_{(n)}$  or  $P_{(n)}^c$  can be varied in a way such that  $\delta_S(P_{(n)}, K)$  or  $\delta_S(P_{(n)}^c, K)$ , respectively, decreases, hence we deduce the remark after Theorem 1.1.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we used the fact that the average number of sides of the tiles of a suitable tiling is at most six.

**Lemma 4.1.** For any convex polygon  $\Pi$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  with the following property: If the convex polygons  $\Pi_1, \ldots, \Pi_n$  form a side to side tiling of  $\Pi$ , and each  $\Pi_i$  is of diameter at most  $\delta$  then writing  $k_i$  to denote the number of sides of  $\Pi_i$ , we have  $k_1 + \cdots + k_n < 6n$ .

*Proof.* We write m to denote the number of sides of  $\Pi$ , and p to denote the perimeter of  $\Pi$ . Let  $\delta = \frac{p}{2m}$ . If e is the number of edges, and v is the number of vertices in the tiling  $\Pi_1, \ldots, \Pi_n$  of  $\Pi$  as above then the Euler formula says

$$v - e + n = 1 > 0. \tag{58}$$

Since at least two edges of the tiling meet at any vertex of  $\Pi$ , and at least three edges of the tiling meet at any other vertices of the tiling, summing up the degrees of the vertices of the tiling leads to  $3v \leq 2e+m$ . It follows by (58) that e < 3n+m. In addition let b be the number of segments that are sides of some  $\Pi_i$  and are contained in  $\partial \Pi$ , hence  $b \geq 2m$  by the choice of  $\delta$ . Therefore

$$k_1 + \dots + k_n = 2e - b < 6n + 2m - b \le 6n.$$

#### 5. The proof of Theorem 1.2

Since the proof of Theorem 1.2 is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we only provide a sketch about the necessary changes.

We start with the case of  $P_n$ , which has at most 2n faces according to the Euler formula. The main changes compared to (41) are that now g(n) counts the number of triangular faces, which are close to regular in the suitable sense, and we prove

$$g(n) > (1 - \tilde{\vartheta}\nu)2n. \tag{59}$$

We define X, M and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  as in Section 4. Instead of  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , we use

$$\gamma^* = \frac{1}{12\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{16\pi} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\gamma(\frac{\pi}{3})}{6}.$$

Here we have the factor  $\frac{1}{4}$  unlike the factor  $\frac{1}{2}$  in the definition of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  because  $P_n$  has asymptotically twice as many faces as  $P_{(n)}$ .

An essential change in the argument that first we triangulate  $\partial P_n$  by triangulating any non-triangular face by diagonals from a fixed vertex of the face. We write  $\Sigma$  to denote the resulting triangular complex, which has the same family of vertices as  $P_n$ . For  $C \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , we write  $\mathcal{F}_C$  to denote the family of all faces F of  $\Sigma$  that lies near C and  $p_{\text{aff}C}(F)$  intersects relint C, moreover  $\mathcal{F}'_C$  to denote the family of all  $F \in \mathcal{F}_C$  such that  $p_{\text{aff}C}(F)$  intersects relint C'. For any  $F \in \mathcal{F}_C$ , we define  $\Pi_F = p_{\text{aff}C}(F)$  (hence we do not intersect with C' as in (48)). In addition, we define  $a_F$ ,  $\alpha_F$  and I(F) analogously as in Section 4.

Other changes compared to the argument in Section 4 are concerned with the definitions of  $\mathcal{F}_j$  after (50). We decompose  $\cup_{C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{F}'_C$  into only three families  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\mathcal{F}_3$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}'_C$  for  $C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . We put F into  $\mathcal{F}_1$  if  $\left| \frac{\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx}{2n \cdot I(F)} - 1 \right| \leq \nu$ , and there exists a triangle T whose centroid is  $a_F$ , which is regular with respect  $Q_{x_C}$ , and

$$(1+\nu)^{-1}(T-a_F) \subset \Pi_F - a_F \subset (1+\nu)(T-a_F).$$

We put F into  $\mathcal{F}_2$  if such a T exists but  $\left|\frac{\int_{\partial K} \kappa(x)^{1/4} dx}{2n \cdot I(F)} - 1\right| > \nu$ . Finally  $F \in \mathcal{F}_3$  if no such T exists. As in Section 4, let  $n_i$  denote the cardinality of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .

We deduce the analogue of (51) without the last term concerning  $\mathcal{F}_4$ , which yields right away the analogue (53). Continuing with essentially the same argument as in Section 4 (keeping only  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\mathcal{F}_3$ ) proves the analogue of (57); namely,  $n_1 \geq (1 - \vartheta^* \nu) \cdot 2n$  where  $\vartheta^*$  is a positive absolute constant. We are not ready because  $P_n$  may have some faces that are not triangles. If G is a face of  $P_n$  such that  $F \subset G$  for  $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$  then G is near some  $C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , and  $p_{\operatorname{aff} C}(x(G)) = a_F \in \operatorname{relint} \Pi_F$ . In particular there is no other  $F' \in \mathcal{F}_1$  with  $F' \subset G$ . Since  $\Sigma$  has at most 2n elements, the number of  $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$  that are not faces of  $P_n$  is at most  $\vartheta^*\nu \cdot 2n$ , therefore  $g(n) \geq (1 - 2\vartheta^*\nu) \cdot 2n$ .  $\Box$ 

The proof in the case of  $P_n^i$  runs closely as for  $P_n$ , the main difference is that one uses Lemma 3.8 instead of Lemma 3.13. There is one additional change in the argument. For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}'_C$ , we define

$$\alpha'_F = (1 + \mu \nu^2) \cdot \alpha_F.$$

Therefore  $\frac{1}{2}Q_{x_C}(y-a_F) \leq \alpha'_F$  for any  $y \in \Pi_F$  (see (9)), and (49) is replaced by

$$\delta_S(K, P_n^i) \ge (1 - \vartheta_3 \mu \nu^2) \sum_{C \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'_C} \int_{\Pi_F} \left\{ \alpha'_F - \frac{1}{2} Q_{x_C}(y - a_F) \right\} \, dy.$$

The arguments just sketched complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Concerning the remark after Theorem 1.2, both  $P_n$  and  $P_n^i$  have at most 2n - 4 faces according to the Euler formula, hence the numbers of faces of both  $P_n$  and  $P_n^i$  are 2n - o(n) by (59). Readily all vertices of  $P_n^i$  lie on  $\partial K$ . To prove the property of the typical faces of  $P_n$ , we force the following extra condition on any element  $F \in \mathcal{F}'_C$  of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  or  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Any such F should satisfy

$$\frac{1-\nu}{2} |\Pi_F| < \left| \{ y \in \Pi_F : \frac{1}{2} Q_{x_C}(y - a_F) \le \alpha_F \} \right| < \frac{1+\nu}{2} |\Pi_F|. \qquad \Box$$

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Ákos G. Horváth for helpful observations, to Endre Makai for pointing out various errors in an earlier version, and to an unknown referee whose remarks considerably improved the paper.

# References

- Bonnesen, T.; Fenchel, W.: Theory of convex bodies. BCS. Assoc., Moscow (Idaho), 1987. Translated from German: Theorie der konvexen Körper. Springer-Verlag, 1934.
- Böröczky, Jr., K.: Approximation of smooth convex bodies. Adv. Math. 153 (2000), 325–341.
   Zbl 0964.52010
- Böröczky, Jr., K.; Ludwig, M.: Approximation of convex bodies and a momentum lemma for power diagrams. Monatsh. Math. 127 (1999), 101–110.
   Zbl 0933.52023
- [4] Fejes Tóth, G.: A stability criterion to the moment theorem. Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 38 (2001), 209–224.
   Zbl 0997.52007
- [5] Fejes Tóth, L.: Lagerungen in der Ebene, auf der Kugel und im Raum. Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, Berlin 1972.
   Zbl 0229.52009

[6] Glasauer, S.; Gruber, P. M.: Asymptotic estimates for best and stepwise approximation of convex bodies III. Forum Math. 9 (1997), 383–404.

- [7] Glasauer, S.; Schneider, R.: Asymptotic approximation of smooth convex bodies by polytopes. Forum Math. 8 (1996), 363–377.
- [8] Gruber, P. M.: Volume approximation of convex bodies by inscribed polytopes. Math. Ann. 281 (1988), 229–245.
   Zbl 0628.52006
- [9] Gruber, P. M.: Volume approximation of convex bodies by circumscribed polytopes. In: Applied geometry and discrete mathematics, DIMACS, Ser. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 4 (1991), 309–317. Zbl 0739.52004
- [10] Gruber, P. M.: Asymptotic estimates for best and stepwise approximation of convex bodies I. Forum Math. 5 (1993), 281–297.
   Zbl 0780.52005
- [11] Gruber, P. M.: Asymptotic estimates for best and stepwise approximation of convex bodies IV. Forum Math. 10 (1998), 665–686.
   Zbl 0927.52009
- [12] Gruber, P. M.: Optimal configurations of finite sets in Riemannian 2manifolds. Geom. Dedicata 84 (2001), 271–320.
   Zbl 0982.52020
- [13] Gruber, P. M.: Optimale Quantisierung. Math. Semesterber. 49 (2002), 227– 251.
   Zbl 1032.52001
- [14] Gruber, P. M.: Optimum quantization and its applications. Adv. Math. 186 (2004), 456–497.
   Zbl 1062.94012
- [15] Gruber, P. M.: Convex and discrete geometry. Int. Math. Nachr. Wien 199 (2005), 17–29.
   Zbl 1079.52500
- [16] Leichtweiß, K.: Affine Geometry of Convex Bodies. Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Heidelberg, Leipzig 1998.
   Zbl 0899.52005
- [17] Ludwig, M.: Asymptotic approximation of smooth convex bodies by general polytopes. Mathematika 46 (1999), 103–125.
   Zbl 0992.52002
- Schneider, R.: Zur optimalen Approximation konvexer Hyperflächen durch Polyeder. Math. Ann. 256 (1981), 289–301.
   Zbl 0445.52004
- [19] Schneider, R.: Polyhedral approximation of smooth convex bodies. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 128 (1987), 470–474.
   Zbl 0629.52006
- [20] Schneider, R.: Convex Bodies the Brunn-Minkowski theory. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.
   Zbl 0798.52001

Received August 14, 2006

Zbl 0889.52006