

The Geometrical Interpretation of Temporal Cone Norm in Almost Minkowski Manifold

Sorin Noaghi

Dedicated to the Memory of Grigorios TSAGAS (1935-2003),
President of Balkan Society of Geometers (1997-2003)

Abstract

For almost Minkowski manifolds we prove that the norm determined by a unitary vector field which belongs to the timelike cone is the sum of two fundamental forms induced by the Lorentzian metrics on two submanifolds.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C50, 53B30, 53C80.

Key words: almost Minkowski manifold, topological cone norm.

1 Introduction

A Lorentz manifold is a pair (M, g) where M is an $n + 1$ dimensional smooth paracompact manifold and g is a global smooth two-times covariant symmetric tensor field which is nondegenerate and has $n - 1$ signature.

A time-normalized space-time $[M, g, Z]$ is a Lorentz manifold (M, g) for which a global unitary (i.e., $g(Z, Z) = -1$) tangent vector field Z of timelike vectors is fixed; this will be denoted by $[M, g, Z]$.

Definition 1 An almost Minkowski manifold is a time-normalized space-time $[M, g, Z]$ provided that the distribution

$$\Delta : x \in M \rightarrow \Delta_x \stackrel{def}{=} \{Y \in T_x M \mid g(Y, Z) = 0\}$$

is totally integrable.

Proposition 2 *The necessary and sufficient condition that a time-normalized space-time manifold $[M, g, Z]$ be an almost Minkowski manifold is the existence of a preferential atlas*

$A = \{(U_\alpha, \chi_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma, \chi_\alpha(x) = (x^i), i = \overline{1, n+1}, \partial_{n+1} = Z|_{U_\alpha}\}$,
where

$$\frac{\partial g_{in+1}}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial g_{jn+1}}{\partial x^i} \quad \forall i, j \in \overline{1, n+1}.$$

Proof. For X, Y belonging to Δ we have

$$g(X, Z) = g(Y, Z) = 0,$$

which infers

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(X, \nabla_Y Z) &= 0 \\ g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of Lorentz manifold (M, g) . In the local charts of atlas A where $Z = \partial_{n+1}$, $X = X^i \partial_i$ and $Y = Y^j \partial_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], Z) &= g(\nabla_X Y, Z) - g(\nabla_Y X, Z) = \\ &= g(X, \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \nabla_X Z) = \\ &= X^i Y^j [\Gamma_{jn+1}^k g_{in+1} - \Gamma_{in+1}^k g_{jn+1}] = \\ &= X^i Y^j \left(\frac{\partial g_{in+1}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{jn+1}}{\partial x^i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $[X, Y]$ belongs to Δ if and only if $\frac{\partial g_{in+1}}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial g_{jn+1}}{\partial x^i}$

□

Remark 3 The existence of almost Minkowski manifolds is obvious, since it is possible to choose Z so that $g(\partial_i, Z) \partial_i$ be irrotational. If (M, g) is stable causal then there exists a real global function f with the gradient ∇f of timelike type, (see e.g. [1], [6]), and the corresponding 1-form of $Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(\nabla f, \nabla f)}} \nabla f$ closed, and therefore it respects the previous conditions.

Remark 4 If the corank one distribution is not integrable, then any two points can be connected by a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ where $g(\gamma'(t), Z_{\gamma(t)}) = 0$, according to the Carathéodory theorem, ([3, p.10]).

Definition 5 We define the ordering relation for the elements of $T_x M$, $x \in M$:

$$X \leq Y \Leftrightarrow Y - X \in K_x,$$

where $K_x = \{X \in T_x M \mid g(X, X) < 0, g(X, Z) < 0\}$ is the interior of the timelike cone of the tangent vectors.

From ([4]) we have:

- $(T_x M, K_x)$ is a Krein space, $\forall x \in M$
- The map $|\cdot|_Z : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $|\mathbf{X}|_Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min \{\lambda \geq 0 \mid -\lambda \mathbf{Z} \leq \mathbf{X} \leq \lambda \mathbf{Z}\}$ is a topological norm of $T_x M$, named the Z -norm of the almost Minkowski manifold $[M, g, Z]$.
- An easy calculation implies

$$(1.1) \quad |X|_z = |g(X, Z)| + \sqrt{g(X, Z)^2 + g(X, X)}.$$

Proposition 6 *The Z -norm is invariant to a conformal change of Lorentzian metric.*

Proof. Let g, \hat{g} be two conformal metrics (i.e. $g = \hat{g}\Omega^2$). The Z -norms expressions for the two almost Minkowski manifolds are, ([5])

$$\begin{aligned} |X|_Z^g &= \left| \frac{g(X, Z)}{g(Z, Z)} \right| + \sqrt{\left[\frac{g(X, Z)}{g(Z, Z)} \right]^2 + \frac{g(X, X)}{g(Z, Z)}} = \\ &= \left| \frac{\hat{g}(X, Z)}{\hat{g}(Z, Z)} \right| + \sqrt{\left[\frac{\hat{g}(X, Z)}{\hat{g}(Z, Z)} \right]^2 + \frac{\hat{g}(X, X)}{\hat{g}(Z, Z)}} = |X|_Z^{\hat{g}} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7 The Z -norm can be defined if the existent condition of the global timelike vector field Z is weakened and replaced with the existence of a line element field which is equivalent to the existence of Lorentzian metrics ([2]).

2 The Z -norm dependence on the first fundamental form of the hypersurface normal to Z

Consider the preferential atlas A of Proposition 2 (this exists, cf. [4]). We note by S the integral submanifold of distribution Δ with $p \in S$. Obviously S is a hypersurface imbedded in M with inclusion map $\theta : S \rightarrow M$. Let $n \in T_q^*M$, $q \in S$ be the 1-form $n(X) = g(X, Z)$, $\forall X \in T_qM$. This implies $n(\theta_*X) = 0, \forall X \in T_qM$ and if we denote $H_q = \theta_*(T_qS)$, this is a hyperplane in T_qM . If Z is be tangent to $\theta(S)$, then there exist $X \in T_qS \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\theta_*(X) = Z$ and $-1 = g(Z, Z) = g(\theta_*(X), Z) = 0$, which is impossible. Therefore Z is not in the tangent space of $\theta(S)$. If $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ is a basis in T_qS , then $\{Z, \theta_*(E_1), \dots, \theta_*(E_n)\}$ is linearly independent and hence is a basis for T_qM . The components of g with respect to this basis are

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{ab}) &= \begin{pmatrix} g(Z, Z) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & g(\theta_*(E_1), \theta_*(E_1)) & \dots & g(\theta_*(E_1), \theta_*(E_n)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & g(\theta_*(E_n), \theta_*(E_1)) & \dots & g(\theta_*(E_n), \theta_*(E_n)) \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & [g(\theta_*(E_i), \theta_*(E_j))] \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Because g has one negative eigenvalue, then θ^*g is positively definite. Let's consider $\theta^* : T_q^*M \rightarrow T_q^*S$, and $H_q^* = \{\omega \in T_q^*M \mid \omega(Z) = 0\}$.

From $\theta^*|_{H_q^*} : H_q^* \rightarrow T_q^*S$, being obviously a bijection, we denote its inverse by $\tilde{\theta}_* : T_q^*S \rightarrow H_q^*$. Therefore there exist two bijections θ_* and $\tilde{\theta}_*$ between T_qS and H_q and respectively between T_q^*S and H_q^* . This map can be extended in a usual way to a map $\tilde{\theta}$ of arbitrary tensors on S to $\theta(S)$ in M . Since n is normal to hypersurface $\theta(S)$, for a given tensor $T \in T_{s,q}^rS$ we obtain that $\tilde{\theta}(T)$ has zero transvections with n in all indices:

$$\left(\tilde{\theta}T\right)_{j_1\dots j_s}^{i_1\dots i_r} n_m = \left(\tilde{\theta}T\right)_{j_1\dots j_s}^{i_1\dots i_r} g^{mp} n_p = 0.$$

Denote by h the metric on $\theta(S)$, defined by $h = \tilde{\theta}(\theta^*g)$. In the preferential atlas A the components of h are

$$h_{ab} = g_{ab} + n_a n_b = g_{ab} + g_{an+1} g_{bn+1}, \forall a, b \in \overline{1, n+1}.$$

Proposition 8 *The (1.1) tensor associate to h having the components h_a^b is a projection operator, $h_a^b = \delta_a^b + g_{an+1} \delta_{n+1}^b$ and*

a) *The projection of $X \in T_q M$ onto the subspace H_q is*

$$h_a^b X^a \partial_b = X + g(X, Z) Z.$$

b) *The projection of $\omega \in T_q^* M$ onto the subspace H_q^* is*

$$h_a^b \omega_b dx^a = \omega + \omega(Z) n.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} h_a^b &= h_{ac} g^{cb} = (g_{ac} + g_{an+1} g_{cn+1}) g^{cb} = \delta_a^b + g_{an+1} \delta_{n+1}^b \\ h_b^a h_c^b &= (\delta_b^a + g_{bn+1} \delta_{n+1}^a) (\delta_c^b + g_{cn+1} \delta_{n+1}^b) = h_c^a \\ X + g(X, Z) Z &= X^a \partial_a + X^a g_{an+1} \partial_{n+1} = X^a (\delta_a^b + g_{an+1} \delta_{n+1}^b) \partial_b = h_a^b X^a \partial_b \\ \omega + \omega(Z) n &= \omega_a dx^a + \omega_{n+1} g_{an+1} dx^a = \omega_a (\delta_b^a + g_{bn+1} \delta_{n+1}^a) dx^b = h_b^a \omega_a dx^b \end{aligned}$$

Remark 9 Analogously we can project the tensor $T \in T \binom{r}{s}_q S$ to

$$\begin{aligned} H(T) &\in \underbrace{H_q \otimes \dots \otimes H_q}_{r \text{ times}} \otimes \underbrace{H_q^* \otimes \dots \otimes H_q^*}_{s \text{ factors}} \stackrel{def}{=} H_s^r(q) \text{ via} \\ H(T) &= T + (-1)^{r+s+1} T(Z^*, \dots, Z^*, Z, \dots, Z) \underbrace{Z \otimes \dots \otimes Z}_{r \text{ factors}} \otimes \underbrace{Z^* \otimes \dots \otimes Z^*}_{s \text{ factors}} \end{aligned}$$

One can than verify the relation

$$H(H(T)) = H(T), \forall T \in T \binom{r}{s}_q S.$$

Proposition 10 (S, θ^*g) is a totally geodesic submanifold

Proof. In the above notation, the coordinates of the second fundamental form of S are ([1, p. 46])

$$\chi_{ab} = h_a^c h_b^d n_{c;d} = h_a^c h_b^d g_{cn+1;d} = 0.$$

Remark 11 We will denote the covariant differentiation with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of (S, θ^*g) by double stroke.

Then for any tensor $T \in T \binom{r}{s}_q S$ we have:

$$H(T)_{j_1\dots j_s || m}^{i_1\dots i_r} = \overline{T}_{l_1\dots l_s ; p}^{k_1\dots k_r} h_{k_1}^{i_1} \dots h_{k_r}^{i_r} h_{j_1}^{l_1} \dots h_{j_s}^{l_s} h_m^p,$$

where \overline{T} is an extension of $H(T)$ to a neighborhood of $\theta(S)$. This formula is correct because the double stroke of the induced metric is zero and the torsion vanishes,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ab||c} &= (g_{ef} + g_{en+1} g_{fn+1}) ;_i h_a^e h_b^f h_c^i = 0 \\ f_{||ab} &= h_a^c h_b^d f_{;cd} = h_a^c h_b^d f_{;dc} = f_{||ba}. \end{aligned}$$

For $p \in M$, we denote by s the 1-dimensional submanifold which passes through p and $T_q s = \langle Z_q \rangle$, $\forall q \in s$. The local imbedding map $i : s \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion which determines the applications $i_{*,q} : T_q s \rightarrow T_q M$ and $i_q^* : T_q^* M \rightarrow T_q^* s$. Denote $N_q = i_{*,q}(T_q s)$, $N_q^* = \{\omega \in T_q^* M \mid \omega(X) = g(X, Z), \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$; it is obvious that $i_{*,q} : T_q s \rightarrow N_q$ and $i_q^*|_{N_q^*} : N_q^* \rightarrow T_q^* s$ are bijections.

For $l = \left[i_q^*|_{N_q^*} \right]^{-1} \circ (i_q^* g)$, we have $l(X, Y) = -\overline{XY}$, where

$$X = \overline{X}Z_q, Y = \overline{Y}Z_q, X, Y \in T_q s,$$

l must be a two symmetric 2-form negatively definite on $i(s)$ and for $\forall q \in \theta(S) \cap i(s)$

$$T_q M = H_q \oplus N_q, T_q^* M = H_q^* \oplus N_q^*.$$

Proposition 12 *The Z-norm on the almost Minkowski manifold $[M, g, Z]$ is the sum of the Riemannian norms associated to the projections onto the submanifolds $(\theta(h), h)$ and $(i(s), -l)$.*

Proof. In $(\theta(S), h)$ the Riemannian norm is

$$|X|_h = \sqrt{h(X, X)} = \sqrt{g(X, X) + g(X, Z)^2}, \forall X \in H_q.$$

In $(i(s), -l)$ the Riemannian norm is

$$|X|_l = \sqrt{-l(X, X)} = |\overline{X}| = |g(X, Z)|, \forall X \in N_q.$$

If $X \in T_q M = H_q \oplus N_q$, by Proposition 8 a) we have $X = X_1 + X_2$, where $X_1 = X + g(X, Z)Z$ and $X_2 = -g(X, Z)Z$;

$$\begin{aligned} h(X_1, X_1) &= g(X_1, X_1) + g(X_1, Z)^2 = g(X, X) + g(X, Z)^2 \\ l(X_2, X_2) &= -g(X, Z)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |X_1|_h + |X_2|_l &= \sqrt{-l(X_2, X_2)} + \sqrt{h(X_1, X_1)} = \\ &= |g(X, Z)| + \sqrt{g(X, X) + g(X, Z)^2} \stackrel{1.1}{=} |X|_Z. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] S.W. Hawking, *The existence of cosmic time functions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A308, 1968, 433-435.
- [2] S.W. Hawking, G.F.R. Ellis, *The large scalar structure of space-time*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [3] R. Montgomery, *A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 1991.

- [4] D.I.Papuc, *The geometry of vector bundles endowen with a cone field* , Gen. Math. 5, (1997), 313-323.
- [5] D.I.Papuc, *A new geometry of Lorentzian manifold*, Publ. Math. Debrecen Tomus 52, 1998, Fasc 1-2 , 145-158.
- [6] H.J. Seifert, *Smoothing and extending cosmic time functions*, Gen. Rel. Grav. 8, 1977, 815-831.

University of Petroșani
University Street, No:20
2675 Petroșani, Romania
email:snoaghi@mail.recep.ro