Indefinite Kähler manifolds with the Krupka-type curvature tensor

Hyang Sook Kim, Jung-Hwan Kwon and Jin Suk Pak

Abstract. In this paper we investigate several properties of indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n (n > 2) with the Krupka-type curvature tensor, and present several classes of indefinite complex submanifolds of an indefinite complex space form.

M.S.C. 2010: 53C40, 53C25.

Key words: indefinite Kähler manifolds; conformal curvature tensors; Krupka-type curvature tensor; indefinite complex submanifolds.

1 Introduction

In 1990, H. Kitahara, K. Matsuo and J. S. Pak ([6, 7]) defined a new tensor field on a Hermitian manifold which is conformally invariant and studied several properties of the new tensor field. This new tensor field is said to be the *conformal curvature tensor* for briefness.

In 2006, S. Funabashi, Y.-M. Kim, the first and third authors ([5]) defined traceless component of the conformal curvature tensor field \hat{C} on a Kähler manifold analogous to the trace decomposition problems of D. Krupka ([8]). Hereafter, this tensor \hat{C} is called the Krupka-type curvature tensor.

In this point of view, we investigate several properties of an indefinite Kähler manifold of the complex dimension n (n > 2) with the Krupka-type curvature tensor, and study the relations between the Krupka-type curvature tensor \hat{C} , the Bochner curvature tensor B, the conformal curvature tensor C, the Weyl curvature tensor Wand the concircular curvature tensor Z, and determine several classes of indefinite complex submanifolds of an indefinite complex space form. Specifically, in Section 2 of this paper we recall a brief summary of the complex version of indefinite Kähler manifolds and some fundamental formulas of indefinite complex submanifolds of an indefinite Kähler manifold. Section 3 is devoted to investigate some properties of an indefinite Kähler manifold with parallel or vanishing Krupka-type curvature tensor, and study the relations between \hat{C} , B, C, W and Z. In section 4 we present several classes of indefinite complex submanifolds of an several several several several classes of indefinite complex submanifolds of an indefinite complex space form.

Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol.19, No.1, 2014, pp. 62-72.

[©] Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2014.

All manifolds are assumed connected and all manifolds and maps are assumed smooth(class C^{∞}) unless otherwise stated. Notation and definitions not explicitly introduced may be found in [11] or [13].

2 Indefinite Kähler manifolds

We adopt the notation and terminology from [11]. We start this section by introducing some basic formulas concerning indefinite Kähler manifolds. Let M be a complex $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional connected indefinite Kähler manifold equipped with Kähler metric tensor g and almost complex structure J. Then for the indefinite Kähler structure (g, J), it is known that J is integrable and the index of g is even, say $2s(0 \leq s \leq n)$.

A local unitary frame field $\{E_1, \ldots, E_n\}$ on a neighborhood of M can be chosen. This is a complex linear frame which is orthonormal with respect to the Kähler metric, that is, $(E_i, \overline{E}_j) = \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij}$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. The dual frame field $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ $(i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ of the frame field $\{E_j\}$ consists of complex-valued 1-forms ω_i of type (1, 0) on M such that $\omega_i(E_j) = \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij}$ and $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, \overline{\omega}_1, \ldots, \overline{\omega}_n\}$ is linearly independent. Then we see that the Kähler metric g of M can be expressed as $g = 2\sum_j \varepsilon_j \omega_j \otimes \overline{\omega}_j$. Associated with the frame field $\{E_j\}$, there exist complex-valued 1-forms ω_{ij} , which are usually connection forms on M such that they satisfy the structure equations of M:

(2.1)
$$d\omega_{i} + \sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j} \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_{j} = 0, \quad \omega_{ij} + \overline{\omega}_{ji} = 0,$$
$$d\omega_{ij} + \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} = \Omega_{ij},$$
$$\Omega_{ij} = \sum_{k,l} \varepsilon_{k} \varepsilon_{l} R_{\overline{i}jk\overline{l}} \omega_{k} \wedge \overline{\omega}_{l},$$

where $\Omega_{ij}(\text{resp. } R_{ijk\bar{l}})$ denotes the curvature form (resp. the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor R) on M. The second equation of (2.1) means the skew-hermitian symmetry of Ω_{ij} , which is equivalent to the symmetric condition

for $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The Bianchi identity obtained by the exterior derivatives of (2.1) gives $\sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j} \Omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_{j} = 0$, which yields the following further symmetric relations

$$(2.3) R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = R_{\bar{i}kj\bar{l}} = R_{\bar{l}jk\bar{i}} = R_{\bar{l}kj\bar{i}}$$

Now, with respect to the frame field chosen above, the Ricci tensor S of ${\cal M}$ is given by

$$S = 2\sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j S_{i\overline{j}} \omega_i \otimes \overline{\omega}_j,$$

where $S_{i\overline{j}} = \sum_k \varepsilon_k R_{\overline{k}ki\overline{j}} = S_{\overline{j}i} = \overline{S}_{\overline{i}j}$. Moreover we can express the scalar curvature r as the identity $r = 2 \sum_j \varepsilon_j S_{j\overline{j}}$.

The indefinite Kähler manifold M is said to be *Einstein* if the Ricci tensor S is given by

(2.4)
$$S_{\overline{i}i} = \alpha \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij},$$

where $\alpha = \frac{r}{2n}$.

The components $R_{ijk\bar{l}m}$ and $R_{ijk\bar{l}m}$ (resp. S_{jik} and $S_{ji\bar{k}}$) of the covariant derivative of the Riemannian curvature tensor R (resp. the Ricci tensor S) are defined by the following equation (2.5) (resp. (2.6))

(2.5)
$$\sum_{m} \varepsilon_{m} (R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}m}\omega_{m} + R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}\overline{m}}\overline{\omega}_{m}) = dR_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} - \sum_{m} \varepsilon_{m} (R_{\overline{m}jk\bar{l}}\overline{\omega}_{mi} + R_{\bar{i}mk\bar{l}}\omega_{mj} + R_{\bar{i}jm\bar{l}}\omega_{mk} + R_{\bar{i}jk\overline{m}}\overline{\omega}_{ml}),$$

(2.6)
$$\sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} (S_{\overline{j}ik}\omega_{k} + S_{\overline{j}i\overline{k}}\overline{\omega}_{k}) = dS_{\overline{j}i} - \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} (S_{\overline{j}k}\omega_{ki} + S_{\overline{k}i}\overline{\omega}_{kj}).$$

The second Bianchi formula is given by $R_{\overline{i}jk\overline{l}m} = R_{\overline{i}jm\overline{l}k}$ and hence we have

(2.7)
$$S_{\overline{j}ik} = S_{\overline{j}ki} = \sum_{l} \varepsilon_{l} R_{\overline{j}ik\overline{l}l}, \quad r_{j} = 2 \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} S_{\overline{k}jk},$$

where $dr = \sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j}(r_{j}\omega_{j} + r_{\overline{j}}\overline{\omega}_{j})$. A plane section P of the tangent space $T_{x}M$ of Mat any point x is said to be *non-degenerate*, provided that $g_{x}|_{T_{x}M}$ is non-degenerate. It is easily seen that P is non-degenerate if and only if it has a basis $\{u, v\}$ such that $g(u, u)g(v, v) - g(u, v)^{2} \neq 0$, and a holomorphic plane spanned by u and Juis non-degenerate if and only if it contains some v with $g(v, v) \neq 0$. The sectional curvature of the non-degenerate holomorphic plane P spanned by u and Ju is called the *holomorphic sectional curvature* which is denoted by H(P) = H(u). The indefinite Kähler manifold M is said to be of constant holomorphic sectional curvature if its holomorphic sectional curvature H(P) is constant for all P and for all points of M. An indefinite Kähler manifold M of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, say c, is called an *indefinite complex space form* and is denoted by $M_{s}^{n}(c)$ if M is of complex dimension n and of index 2s.

It is known that the standard models of indefinite complex space forms are the following ([2, 13]):

(1) indefinite complex Euclidean space C_s^n ,

- (2) indefinite complex projective space $P_s^n C$,
- (3) indefinite complex hyperbolic space $H_s^n C$.

It is shown in [2] and [13] that for any integer $s(0 \le s \le n)$ the above three models are the only complete, simply connected and connected indefinite complex space forms of dimension n and of index 2s, according as c = 0, c > 0 and c < 0respectively. We also we recall that the Riemannian curvature tensor $R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}}$ of $M_s^n(c)$ is given by

(2.8)
$$R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = \frac{c}{2}\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_k(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}).$$

From now on let M' be an (n + p)-dimensional connected indefinite Kähler manifold of index $2(s + t)(0 \le s \le n, 0 \le t \le p)$ and let M be an n-dimensional connected indefinite complex submanifold of M' of index 2s.

Then M is the indefinite Kähler manifold endowed with the induced metric tensor g. We choose a local unitary frame field $\{E_A\} = \{E_1, \ldots, E_{n+p}\}$ on a neighborhood of M' in such a way that restricted to M, E_1, \ldots, E_n are tangent to M and the others are normal to M. Here and in the sequel the following convention on the range of indices is used unless otherwise stated:

With respect to the above frame field $\{E_A\}$, let $\{\omega_A\} = \{\omega_i, \omega_x\}$ be its dual frame field. Then the Kähler metric tensor g' of M' is given by

$$g' = 2\sum_{A} \varepsilon_A \omega_A \otimes \overline{\omega}_A.$$

The connection forms on M' are denoted by ω_{AB} . The canonical forms ω_A and the connection forms ω_{AB} of the ambient space satisfy the structure equations (2.1).

Restricting these forms to the submanifold M, we have $\omega_x = 0$ and the induced indefinite Kähler metric tensor g of index 2s of M is given by $g = 2\sum_j \varepsilon_j \omega_j \otimes \overline{\omega}_j$. Then $\{E_j\}$ is a local unitary frame field with respect to this metric and $\{\omega_j\}$ is a local dual frame field due to $\{E_j\}$ which consists of complex-valued 1-forms of type (1,0) on M. Moreover $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \overline{\omega}_1, \dots, \overline{\omega}_n\}$ is linearly independent and they are canonical forms on M. It follows from $\omega_x = 0$ and the Cartan lemma that the exterior derivatives of $\omega_x = 0$ give rise to

(2.9)
$$\omega_{xi} = \sum_{j} \varepsilon_j h_{ij}^x \omega_j, \quad h_{ij}^x = h_{ji}^x$$

The quadratic form $\sum_{i,j,x} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_x h_{ij}^x \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes E_x$ with values in the normal bundle is called the *second fundamental form* of the submanifold M ([1]). From the structure equations of M' it follows that the structure equations of M are similarly given by (2.1). Moreover the following relationships are defined:

(2.10)
$$d\omega_{xy} + \sum_{z} \varepsilon_{z} \omega_{xz} \wedge \omega_{zy} = \Omega_{xy},$$
$$\Omega_{xy} = \sum_{k,l} \varepsilon_{k} \varepsilon_{l} R_{\overline{x}yk\overline{l}} \omega_{k} \wedge \overline{\omega}_{l},$$

where Ω_{xy} is called the *normal curvature form* of M.

For the Riemannian curvature tensors R and R' of M and M' respectively, it follows from the third equation of (2.1) and (2.9) that the Gauss equation

(2.11)
$$R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = R'_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} - \sum_{x} \varepsilon_{x} h^{x}_{jk} \overline{h}^{x}_{il}$$

holds and by means of (2.2), (2.9) and (2.10) we can see that

$$R_{\overline{x}yk\overline{l}} = R'_{\overline{x}yk\overline{l}} + \sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j}h^{x}_{kj}\overline{h}^{y}_{jl}.$$

It is easy to compute that the components of the Ricci tensor S and the scalar curvature r of M satisfy the identities, respectively

(2.12)
$$S_{\overline{j}i} = \sum_{k} \varepsilon_k R'_{\overline{k}ki\overline{j}} - (h_{\overline{j}i})^2,$$

(2.13)
$$r = 2 \sum_{j,k} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k R'_{\overline{j}jk\overline{k}} - 2h_2,$$

where $(h_{\overline{j}i})^2 = \sum_{r,x} \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_x h_{ir}^x \overline{h}_{rj}^x$ and $h_2 = \sum_i \varepsilon_i (h_{\overline{i}i})^2$.

Hereafter, let the ambient space be an indefinite complex space form $M' = M_{s+t}^{n+p}(c')$. Then from (2.8) and (2.11)-(2.13), we say that

(2.14)
$$R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = \frac{c'}{2} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k (\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}) - \sum_x \varepsilon_x h_{jk}^x \overline{h}_{il}^x$$

(2.15)
$$S_{\overline{j}i} = \frac{(n+1)c'}{2}\varepsilon_i\delta_{ij} - (h_{\overline{j}i})^2,$$

(2.16)
$$r = n(n+1)c' - 2h_2.$$

3 Several results on an indefinite Kähler manifold

Let M be a complex n(>2)-dimensional indefinite Kähler manifold. The Krupka-type curvature tensor \hat{C} with components $\hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}}$ of M is given by

$$(3.1) \qquad \hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} - \frac{1}{n} (\varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} S_{k\bar{l}} + \varepsilon_k S_{\bar{i}j} \delta_{kl}) - \frac{2(n-2)}{n(2n-1)} \varepsilon_j \delta_{jl} S_{\bar{i}k} + \frac{(n+2)r}{2n^2(n+1)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} - \frac{(n+4)r}{2n^2(n+1)(2n-1)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl},$$

which may be found in [5]. Let \hat{S} denote the Ricci contraction of \hat{C} , that is,

$$(3.2) \qquad \qquad \hat{S}_{k\bar{l}} = \sum_{i} \varepsilon_i \hat{C}_{\bar{i}ik\bar{l}}.$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), it is clear that

(3.3)
$$\hat{S}_{k\bar{l}} = -\frac{2(n-2)}{n(2n-1)}(S_{k\bar{l}} - \frac{r}{2n}\varepsilon_k\delta_{kl}).$$

Summing up the equation (3.3) for k and l and taking account of $r = 2 \sum_k \varepsilon_k S_{k\overline{k}}$, we obtain

(3.4)
$$\sum_{k} \varepsilon_k \hat{S}_{k\overline{k}} = 0.$$

If the Ricci contraction \hat{S} vanishes everywhere i.e., $\hat{S}_{k\bar{l}} = 0$ and n > 2, then we obtain $S_{k\bar{l}} = \frac{r}{2n} \varepsilon_k \delta_{kl}$ because of (3.3). Since this equation represents the first Chern class, it follows that r is constant. Thus M is Einstein by means of (2.4). Conversely, if M is Einstein, then we see that $\hat{S}_{k\bar{l}} = 0$ with the aid of (2.4) and (3.3).

Thus we get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). Then the Ricci contraction \hat{S} of the Krupka-type curvature tensor \hat{C} of M vanishes everywhere if and only if M is Einstein.

Remark 3.1. The real version of lemma 3.1 was proved by S. Funabashi, Y.-M. Kim, the first and third authors ([4]).

The Bochner curvature tensor B with components $B_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}}$ of the indefinite Kähler manifold is given by

$$B_{\overline{i}jk\overline{l}} = R_{\overline{i}jk\overline{l}} - \frac{1}{n+2} (\varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} S_{k\overline{l}} + \varepsilon_k S_{\overline{i}j} \delta_{kl} + \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} S_{j\overline{l}} + \varepsilon_j S_{\overline{i}k} \delta_{jl}) + \frac{r}{2(n+1)(n+2)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k (\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}),$$
(3.5)

which was introduced by S. Bochner ([3]). Thus, from (3.1) and (3.5), we know that

$$(3.6) \qquad \hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = B_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} - \frac{2(n-2)}{n(2n-1)} \varepsilon_j \delta_{jl} S_{\bar{i}k}
+ \frac{1}{n+2} \{ \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} S_{j\bar{l}} + \varepsilon_j S_{\bar{i}k} \delta_{jl} - \frac{(n^2-n+4)r}{n^2(2n-1)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} \}
- \frac{2}{n(n+2)} (\varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} S_{k\bar{l}} + \varepsilon_k S_{\bar{i}j} \delta_{kl} - \frac{r}{n} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}).$$

If n > 2, then by means of (3.3) and the last equation (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.7)$$

$$\hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = B_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} + \varepsilon_j \delta_{jl} \hat{S}_{\bar{i}k}$$

$$- \frac{n(2n-1)}{2(n+2)(n-2)} (\varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} \hat{S}_{j\bar{l}} + \varepsilon_j \hat{S}_{\bar{i}k} \delta_{jl})$$

$$+ \frac{2n-1}{(n+2)(n-2)} (\varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} \hat{S}_{k\bar{l}} + \varepsilon_k \hat{S}_{\bar{i}j} \delta_{kl}).$$

Assume that $\hat{C} = B$ and n > 2. Then the equation (3.7) reduces to

$$n(\varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} \hat{S}_{j\bar{l}} + \varepsilon_j \hat{S}_{\bar{i}k} \delta_{jl}) - 2(\varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} \hat{S}_{k\bar{l}} + \varepsilon_k \hat{S}_{\bar{i}j} \delta_{kl}) - \frac{2(n+2)(n-2)}{2n-1} \varepsilon_j \delta_{jl} \hat{S}_{\bar{i}k} = 0.$$

Summing up the above equation for i and k and making use of (3.4), we get $\hat{S}_{j\bar{l}} = 0$. Conversely, if $\hat{S}_{j\bar{l}} = 0$ and n > 2, then the equation (3.7) implies $\hat{C} = B$.

Furthermore owing to Lemma 3.1, we can have

Proposition 3.2. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). Then the Krupka-type curvature tensor is equal to the Bochner curvature tensor on M if and only if M is Einstein.

The conformal curvature tensor C with components $C_{ijk\bar{l}}$ of M is given by

(3.8)

$$C_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} - \frac{1}{n} (\varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} S_{\bar{l}k} + \varepsilon_k S_{\bar{i}j} \delta_{kl}) + \frac{(n+2)r}{2n^2(n+1)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} - \frac{r}{2n(n+1)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl},$$

which was introduced in [6].

The last equation (3.8) combined with (3.1) yields

(3.9)
$$\hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = C_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} - \frac{2(n-2)}{n(2n-1)} (S_{\bar{i}k} - \frac{r}{2n} \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik}) \varepsilon_j \delta_{jl}$$

Assume that $\hat{C} = C$ and n > 2. Then the equation (3.9) gives $S_{\bar{i}k} = \frac{r}{2n} \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik}$. Conversely, if $S_{\bar{i}k} = \frac{r}{2n} \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik}$, then we say that $\hat{C} = C$ by means of (3.9).

Thus we obtain

Proposition 3.3. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). Then the Krupka-type curvature tensor is equal to the conformal curvature tensor on M if and only if M is Einstein.

Remark 3.2. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension 2. Then the Krupka-type curvature tensor is equal to the conformal curvature tensor on M.

Remark 3.3. Making use of the Proposition 3.2 in [10], we can also prove the above Proposition 3.3.

Remark 3.4. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). The Weyl curvature tensor W with components $W_{ijk\bar{l}}$ is defined by

$$W_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} = R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} - \frac{1}{n+1} (\varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} S_{k\bar{l}} + \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} S_{j\bar{l}}).$$

It is easy to know that the Weyl curvature tensor is equal to the Bochner curvature tensor if and only if M is Einstein.

Remark 3.5. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2) and let Z be a concircular curvature tensor is defined in [12]. Then the concircular curvature tensor is equal to the Weyl curvature tensor if and only if M is Einstein.

Remark 3.6. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). Then any two tensors among B, C, \hat{C}, W and Z are equal to each other if and only if M is Einstein. In fact, with the help of Proposition 3.2, 3.3, Remark 3.4 and 3.5, we can see that our assertion is true. The components \hat{S}_{ijk} and $\hat{S}_{ij\bar{k}}$ of the covariant derivative of the Ricci contraction \hat{S} of the Krupka-type curvature tensor \hat{C} are defined by

(3.10)
$$\sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} (\hat{S}_{\bar{i}jk} \omega_{k} + \hat{S}_{\bar{i}j\bar{k}} \overline{\omega}_{k}) = d\hat{S}_{\bar{i}j} - \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} (\hat{S}_{\bar{k}j} \overline{\omega}_{ki} + \hat{S}_{\bar{i}k} \omega_{kj})$$

Since we see that $dr = \sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j} (r_{j}\omega_{j} + r_{\overline{j}}\overline{\omega}_{j})$, taking account of (2.1), (2.6), (3.3) and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} (\hat{S}_{\bar{i}jk} \omega_{k} + \hat{S}_{\bar{i}j\overline{k}} \overline{\omega}_{k}) \\ &= -\frac{2(n-2)}{n(2n-1)} \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} \{ S_{\bar{i}jk} \omega_{k} + S_{\bar{i}j\overline{k}} \overline{\omega}_{k} - \frac{1}{2n} \varepsilon_{j} \delta_{ij} (r_{k} \omega_{k} + r_{\overline{k}} \overline{\omega}_{k}) \} \end{split}$$

so that

$$\hat{S}_{\bar{i}jk} = -\frac{n(n-2)}{n(2n-1)} (S_{\bar{i}jk} - \frac{1}{2n} \varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} r_k),$$

$$\hat{S}_{\bar{i}j\bar{k}} = -\frac{n(n-2)}{n(2n-1)} (S_{\bar{i}j\bar{k}} - \frac{1}{2n} \varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} r_{\bar{k}}).$$
(3.11)

Assume that the Ricci contraction \hat{S} is parallel, i.e., $\hat{S}_{\bar{i}jk} = 0$ and $\hat{S}_{\bar{i}j\bar{k}} = 0$. If n > 2, then from (3.11) it turns out to be

(3.12)
$$S_{\overline{i}jk} = \frac{1}{2n} \varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} r_k, \qquad S_{\overline{i}j\overline{k}} = \frac{1}{2n} \varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} r_{\overline{k}}$$

Then the last equation (3.12) coupled with (2.7) reduces to $r_k = 0$ and $r_{\overline{k}} = 0$. Substituting these equations into (3.12), we obtain $S_{\overline{i}jk} = 0$ and $S_{\overline{i}j\overline{k}} = 0$, that is, the Ricci tensor is parallel.

Conversely, if the Ricci tensor is parallel, then $r_k = 0$ and $r_{\overline{k}} = 0$, and consequently $\hat{S}_{\overline{i}j\overline{k}} = 0$ and $\hat{S}_{\overline{i}j\overline{k}} = 0$ with the help of (3.11).

Thus we established the following

Proposition 3.4. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). Then the Ricci contraction of the Krupka-type curvature tensor is parallel if and only if the Ricci tensor is parallel.

Remark 3.7. The real version of proposition 3.4 was proved by S. Funabashi, Y.-M. Kim, the first and third authors ([4]).

Owing to Proposition 3.4 and Theorem due to the second author ([9]), the following result is immediate

Corollary 3.5. Let M be an indefinite Kaehlerian manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other:

(1) the Ricci contraction of the Krupka-type curvature tensor of M is parallel,

- (2) M has harmonic curvature,
- (3) the Ricci tensor of M is cyclic-parallel.

Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). The components $\hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}m}$ and $\hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}m}$ of the covariant derivative of the Krupka-type curvature tensor \hat{C} are defined by

(3.13)
$$\sum_{m} \varepsilon_{m} (\hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}m}\omega_{m} + \hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}\overline{m}}\overline{\omega}_{m}) = d\hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}} - \sum_{m} \varepsilon_{m} (\hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}}\overline{\omega}_{mi} + \hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}}\omega_{mj} + \hat{C}_{\bar{i}jm\bar{l}}\omega_{mk} + \hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\overline{m}}\overline{\omega}_{ml}).$$

Since $dr = \sum_j \varepsilon_j (r_j \omega_j + r_{\overline{j}} \overline{\omega}_j)$, it follows from (2.1), (2.5), (2.6), (3.1) and (3.13) that

$$(3.14) \qquad \hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}m} = R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}m} - \frac{1}{n} (\varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} S_{k\bar{l}m} + \varepsilon_k S_{\bar{i}jm} \delta_{kl})
- \frac{2(n-2)}{n(2n-1)} \varepsilon_j \delta_{jl} S_{\bar{i}km} + \frac{(n+2)r_m}{2n^2(n+1)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}
- \frac{(n+4)r_m}{2n^2(n+1)(2n-1)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl},$$

1

$$(3.15) \qquad \begin{split} \hat{C}_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}\overline{m}} &= R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}\overline{m}} - \frac{1}{n} (\varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} S_{\bar{l}k\overline{m}} + \varepsilon_k S_{\bar{i}j\overline{m}} \delta_{kl}) \\ &- \frac{2(n-2)}{n(2n-1)} \varepsilon_j \delta_{jl} S_{\bar{i}k\overline{m}} + \frac{(n+2)r_{\overline{m}}}{2n^2(n+1)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \\ &- \frac{(n+4)r_{\overline{m}}}{2n^2(n+1)(2n-1)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}. \end{split}$$

If the Krupka-type curvature tensor of M is parallel, then we kkow that $\hat{S}_{j\bar{l}m} = 0$ and $\hat{S}_{j\bar{l}\overline{m}} = 0$, that is, the Ricci contraction \hat{S} is parallel. Thus, making use of Proposition 3.4, we say that the Ricci tensor is parallel, provided n > 2, which together with (3.11) yields $r_m = 0 = r_{\overline{m}}$. Hence using (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain $R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}m} = 0$ and $R_{\bar{i}jk\bar{l}\overline{m}} = 0$, that is, M is locally symmetric. Conversely, if M is locally symmetric, then we get $S_{j\bar{l}m} = 0, S_{j\bar{l}\overline{m}} = 0, r_m = 0$ and $r_{\overline{m}} = 0$. Thus, from (3.14) and (3.15), we can see that the Krupka-type curvature tensor of M is parallel. Hence we have proved

fience we have proved

Theorem 3.6. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). Then M is locally symmetric if and only if the Krupka-type curvature tensor of M is parallel.

Moreover from Theorem 3.6 and Theorem in [10], we conclude

Theorem 3.7. Let M be an indefinite Kähler manifold of complex dimension n(n > 2). Then the conformal curvature tensor of M is parallel if and only if the Krupka-type curvature tensor of M is parallel.

4 Indefinite complex submanifolds

This section is concerned with indefinite complex submanifold of an indefinite complex space form.

Let $M' = M_{s+t}^{n+p}(c')$ be an indefinite complex space form of index 2(s+t) $(0 \le s \le n, 0 \le t \le p)$. Then we can easily see that the Krupka-type curvature tensor on M' vanishes.

In this discussion we introduce a theorem (Theorem 3.6 in [10]).

Theorem A. Let M' be an (n + 1)-dimensional indefinite Käehler manifold of index 2(s + t), t = 0 or 1, and with vanishing conformal curvature tensor, and let M be an indefinite complex hypersurface of index 2s of M' (n > 2). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other:

(1) M has the vanishing conformal curvature tensor,

(2) M is totally geodesic.

Consequently, owing to the above Theorem A and Proposition 3.3, we are ready to prove the following

Theorem 4.1. Let M' be an (n + 1)-dimensional indefinite complex space form of index 2(s + t), t = 0 or 1, and let M be an indefinite complex hypersurface of index 2s of M' (n > 2). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other :

(1) M has the vanishing Krupka-type curvature tensor,

(2) M is totally geodesic.

Proof. Since the Krupka-type curvature tensor on M' vanishes, we know that M' is Einstein by Lemma 3.1 and so the Krupka-type curvature tensor is equal to the conformal curvature tensor on M' by Proposition 3.3. Hence the conformal curvature tensor on M' vanishes.

Assume that M has the vanishing Krupka-type curvature tensor. Then M is Einstein due to Lemma 3.1, which implies that the Krupka-type curvature tensor is equal to the conformal curvature tensor on M because of Proposition 3.3. Hence the conformal curvature tensor on M vanishes. From Theorem A, we have M is totally geodesic.

Conversely, assume that M is totally geodesic, then the conformal curvature tensor on M vanishes by means of Theorem A, and so we have M is Einstein using the lemma in [10]. Thus Proposition 3.3 implies that the Krupka-type curvature tensor is equal to the conformal curvature tensor field on M. Therefore M has the vanishing Krupkatype curvature tensor.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the 2013 Inje University research grant.

References

- R. Aiyama, J.-H. Kwon and H. Nakagawa, Indefinite complex submanifolds of an indefinite complex space form, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 2 (1987), 43-67.
- [2] M. Barros and A. Romero, Indefinite Kähler anifolds, Math. Ann. 281 (1982), 55-62.
- [3] S. Bochner, Curvature and Betti number II, Ann. of Math. 50 (1949), 77-93.
- [4] S. Funabashi, H. S. Kim, Y.-M. Kim and J. S. Pak, Traceless component of the conformal curvature tensor in Kähler manifold, Czecho. Math. J. 56(131) (2006), 857-874.

- [5] S. Funabashi, H. S. Kim, Y.-M. Kim and J. S. Pak, *F*-traceless component of the conformal curvature tensor on Kähler manifold, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 44-4 (2007), 795-806.
- [6] H. Kitahara, K. Matsuo and J. S. Pak, A conformal curvature tensor field on Hermitian manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc. 27 (1990), 7-17.
- [7] H. Kitahara, K. Matsuo and J. S. Pak, Appendium: A conformal curvature tensor field on Hermitian manifolds, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 27, 1 (1990), 27-30.
- [8] D. Krupka, The trace decomposition problems, Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie Contributions to Algebra and Geometry 36 (1995), 303-315.
- [9] J.-H. Kwon, A Theorem on Indefinite Kaehlerian Manifolds, J. Science Education, Taegu Univ. 1 (1998), 45-49.
- [10] J.-H. Kwon, W.-H. Sohn and K.-H. Cho, Indefinite Kaehlerian manifolds with parallel conformal curvature tensor field, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 8 (1993), 499-509.
- [11] B. O'Neill, Semi-Riemannian Geometry, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [12] S. Tachibana, On the Bochner curvature tensor, Natural Science Report, Ochanomizu Univ. 18-1 (1967), 15-19.
- [13] J. A. Wolf, Spaces of constant curvature, Mc. Graw-Hill, New York, 1967.

Author's address:

Hyang Sook Kim Department of Mathematics, Institute of Basic Science, Inje University, Kimhae 621-749, Korea. E-mail: mathkim@inje.ac.kr

Jung-Hwan Kwon Daegu University, Gyeongsan 712-714, Korea. E-mail: jhkwon@daegu.ac.kr

Jin Suk Pak Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea. E-mail: jspak@knu.ac.kr