

# Integral formulae for codimension-one foliated Finsler manifolds

V. Rovenski, P. Walczak

**Abstract.** We study extrinsic geometry of a codimension-one foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of a Finsler space  $(M, F)$ , in particular, of a Randers space  $(M, \alpha + \beta)$ . Using a unit vector field  $\nu$  orthogonal (in the Finsler sense) to the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we define a new Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$ , which for Randers case depends nicely on  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . For that  $g$  we derive several geometric invariants of  $\mathcal{F}$  (e.g. the Riemann curvature and the shape operator) in terms of  $F$ ; then under natural assumptions on  $\beta$  which simplify derivations, we express them in terms of invariants arising from  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Using our approach of [13], we produce the integral formulae for  $\mathcal{F}$  of closed  $(M, F)$  and  $(M, \alpha + \beta)$ , which relate integrals of mean curvatures with those involving algebraic invariants obtained from the shape operator of  $\mathcal{F}$  and the Riemann curvature in the direction  $\nu$ . They generalize formulae by Brito-Langevin-Rosenberg (that total mean curvatures of any order for a foliated closed Riemannian space of constant curvature don't depend on a choice of  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

**M.S.C. 2010:** 53C12, 53C21.

**Key words:** Finsler space; Randers norm; foliation; Riemann curvature; integral formula; shape operator; Cartan torsion; variation formula.

## 1 Introduction

Two recent decades brought increasing interest in Finsler geometry (see [2, 4, 15] and the bibliographies therein), in particular, in extrinsic geometry of hypersurfaces of Finsler manifolds (see the items above and, for example, [14]). Among all the Finsler structures, Randers metrics (introduced in [9] and being the closest relatives of Riemannian ones) play an important role.

Extrinsic geometry of foliated Riemannian manifolds is also of definite interest since some time (see [11, 12] and, again, the bibliographies therein). Among other topics of interest, one can find a number of papers devoted to so called *integral formulae* (see surveys in [12, 1]), which provide obstructions for existence of foliations

(or compact leaves of them) with given geometric properties. A series of integral formulae has been provided in [13]. They include the formulae in [10] that the total mean curvature of the leaves is zero, and generalize the formulae in [3], which show that total mean curvatures (of arbitrary order  $k$ ) for codimension-one foliations on a closed  $(m+1)$ -dimensional manifold of constant sectional curvature  $K$  depend only on  $K$ ,  $k$ ,  $m$  and the volume of the manifold, not on a foliation. One of such formulae was used in [7] to prove that codimension-one foliations of a closed Riemannian manifold of negative Ricci curvature are far (in a sense defined there) from being umbilical.

In this paper we study extrinsic geometry of a codimension-one transversely oriented foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of a closed Finsler space  $(M, F)$ , in particular, of a Randers space  $(M, \alpha + \beta)$ ,  $\alpha$  being the norm of a Riemannian structure  $a$  and  $\beta$  a 1-form of  $\alpha$ -norm smaller than 1 everywhere on  $M$ . Using a unit normal  $\nu$  (in the Finsler sense) to the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  we define a new Riemannian structure  $g$  on  $M$ , which in Randers case depends nicely on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . For that  $g$ , we derive several geometric invariants of  $\mathcal{F}$  (e.g. the Riemann curvature and the shape operator) in terms of  $F$ ; under natural assumptions on  $\beta$  which simplify derivations, we express them in terms of corresponding invariants arising from  $\alpha$  and some quantities related to  $\beta$ . Then, using the approach of [13], we produce the integral formulae for  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $(M, F)$  and  $(M, \alpha + \beta)$ ; some of them generalize the formulae in [3].

Our formulae relate integrals of  $\sigma_i$ 's with those involving algebraic invariants (see Appendix) obtained from  $A_p$  ( $p \in M$ ) – the shape operator of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $R_p$  – the Riemann curvature in the direction  $\nu$  normal to  $\mathcal{F}$ , and their products of the form  $(R_p)^j A_p$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . In fact, we get a bit more: we produce an infinite sequence of such formulae for a smooth unit vector field  $\nu$  on  $M$  involving these algebraic invariants. To simplify calculations, we work on locally symmetric ( $\nabla R = 0$  with respect to  $g$ ) Finsler manifolds, where our approach can be applied with the full force (Section 3). We show that our formulae reduce to these in [3] in the case of constant curvature and to those in [13] in the Riemannian case. Using Finsler geometry of Randers spaces we produce also (Section 4) integral formulae on codimension-one foliated Riemannian manifolds which involve not only  $A_p$ 's and  $R_p$ 's but also an auxiliary 1-form  $\beta$ .

We discuss a number of particular cases and provide consequences of our new formulae.

## 2 Preliminaries

Recall Euler's Theorem: If a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  is smooth away from the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  then the following two statements are equivalent:

- $f$  is positively homogeneous of degree  $r$ , that is  $f(\lambda y) = \lambda^r f(y)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- the radial derivative of  $f$  is  $r$  times  $f$ , namely,  $f_{y^i}(y) y^i = r f(y)$ .

The obvious consequence of Euler's Theorem helps us to represent several formulae in what follows:

**Corollary 2.1.** *If a smooth function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$  obeys the 2-homogeneity condition  $f(\lambda y) = \lambda^2 f(y)$  for  $\lambda > 0$  then  $f(y) = \frac{1}{2} f_{y^i y^j}(y) y^i y^j$  for smooth functions  $f_{y^i y^j}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* By Euler's Theorem,  $f_{y^i}(y) y^i = 2f(y)$ . Since  $f_{y^i}(\lambda y) = \lambda f_{y^i}(y)$ , by Euler's Theorem, we have  $f_{y^i}(y) = f_{y^i y^j}(y) y^j$ .  $\square$

## 2.1 The Minkowski and Randers norms

**Definition 2.1** (see [15]). A *Minkowski norm* on a vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  is a function  $F : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with the following properties (of regularity, positive 1-homogeneity and strong convexity):

$$M_1 : F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}), \quad M_2 : F(\lambda y) = \lambda F(y) \text{ for all } \lambda > 0 \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1},$$

$M_3$  : For any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$ , the following symmetric bilinear form is positive definite on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  :

$$(2.1) \quad g_y(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} [F^2(y + su + tv)]_{|s=t=0}.$$

By (M<sub>2</sub>),  $g_{\lambda y} = g_y$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . By (M<sub>3</sub>),  $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : F(y) \leq 1\}$  is a strictly convex set. Note that

$$(2.2) \quad g_y(y, v) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [F^2(y + tv)]_{|t=0}, \quad g_y(y, y) = F^2(y).$$

One can check that  $F(u + v) \leq F(u) + F(v)$  (the triangle inequality) and  $F_{y^i}(y) u^i \leq F(u)$  (the fundamental inequality) for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ . By Corollary 2.1, we have  $F^2(y) = g_{ij}(y) y^i y^j$ , where  $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} [F^2]_{y^i y^j} = F F_{y^i y^j} + F_{y^i} F_{y^j}$  are smooth functions in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$  which, in general, cannot be extended continuously to all of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ . The following symmetric trilinear form  $C$  for Minkowski norms is called the *Cartan torsion*:

$$(2.3) \quad C_y(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [g_{y+tw}(u, v)]_{|t=0} \quad \text{where } y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}, u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}.$$

The homogeneity of  $F$  implies the following:

$$C_y(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial r \partial s \partial t} [F^2(y + ru + sv + tw)]_{|r=s=t=0}, \quad C_{\lambda y} = \lambda^{-1} C_y \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

We have  $C_y(y, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$ . The *mean Cartan torsion* is given by  $I_y(u) := \text{Tr } C_y(\cdot, \cdot, u)$ . Observe that

$$C_{ijk} := C(\partial_{y^i}, \partial_{y^j}, \partial_{y^k}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} g_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} [F^2]_{y^i y^j y^k}, \quad I_k = g^{ij} C_{ijk}.$$

Let  $(b_i)$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  and  $(\theta^i)$  the dual basis in  $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})^*$ . The *Busemann-Hausdorff volume form* is defined by  $dV_F = \sigma_F(x) \theta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{m+1}$ , where  $\sigma_F = \frac{\text{vol } \mathbb{B}^{m+1}}{\text{vol } B^{m+1}}$ . Here  $\mathbb{B}^{m+1} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : \|y\| < 1\}$  is a Euclidean unit ball, and  $\text{vol } B^{m+1}$  is the Euclidean volume of a strongly convex subset  $B^{m+1} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : F(y^i b_i) < 1\}$  (so that for the unit cubic  $\mathcal{U} = [0, 1]^{m+1}$ ,  $\text{vol } \mathcal{U} = 1$ ).

The *distortion* of  $F$  is defined by  $\tau(y) = \log(\sqrt{\det g_{ij}(y)}/\sigma_F)$ . It has the 0-homogeneity property:  $\tau(\lambda y) = \tau(y)$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ), and  $\tau = 0$  for Riemannian spaces.

The *angular form* is defined by  $h_y(u, v) = g_y(u, v) - F(y)^{-2} g_y(y, u) g_y(y, v)$ . Observe that  $h_y(u, u) \geq g_y(u, u) - F(y)^{-2} g_y(y, y) g_y(u, u) = 0$  and equality holds if and only if  $u \parallel y$ .

A vector  $n \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  is called a *normal* to a hyperplane  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  if  $g_n(n, w) = 0$  ( $w \in W$ ). There are exactly two normal directions to  $W$ , see [15], which are opposite when  $F$  is *reversible* (i.e.,  $F(-y) = F(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ ).

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $a(\cdot, \cdot) = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be a scalar product and  $\alpha(y) = \|y\|_\alpha = \sqrt{\langle y, y \rangle}$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  the corresponding Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ . If  $\beta$  is a linear form on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  with  $\|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$  then the following function  $F$  is called the *Randers norm*:

$$(2.4) \quad F(y) = \alpha(y) + \beta(y) = \sqrt{\langle y, y \rangle} + \beta(y).$$

For Randers norm (2.4) on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ , the bilinear form  $g_y$  obeys, see [15],

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} g_y(u, v) &= \alpha^{-2}(y)(1 + \beta(y)) \langle u, v \rangle + \beta(u) \beta(v) \\ &- \alpha^{-3}(y) \beta(y) \langle y, u \rangle \langle y, v \rangle + \alpha^{-1}(y) (\beta(u) \langle y, v \rangle + \beta(v) \langle y, u \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \det g_y = (F(y)/\alpha(y))^{m+2} \det a.$$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  be a unit normal to a hyperplane  $W$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , i.e.,

$$\langle N, w \rangle = 0 \quad (w \in W), \quad \alpha(N) = \|N\|_\alpha = \sqrt{\langle N, N \rangle} = 1.$$

Let  $n$  be a vector  $F$ -normal to  $W$ , lying in the same half-space with  $N$  and such that  $\|n\|_\alpha = 1$ . Set

$$g(u, v) := g_n(u, v), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}.$$

Then  $g(n, n) = F^2(n)$ , see (2.2), and  $F(n) = 1 + \beta(n)$ .

The 'musical isomorphisms'  $\sharp$  and  $\flat$  will be used for rank one tensors and symmetric rank 2 tensors on  $(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}, a)$  and Riemannian manifolds. For example, if  $\beta$  is a 1-form on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  then  $\langle \beta^\sharp, u \rangle = \beta(u)$  and  $v^\flat(u) = \langle v, u \rangle$  for any  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If the Randers norm obeys  $\beta(N) = 0$  (i.e.,  $\beta^\sharp \in W$ ) then*

$$(2.7) \quad n = cN - \beta^\sharp,$$

$$(2.8) \quad g(u, v) = c^2(\langle u, v \rangle - \beta(u) \beta(v)), \quad u, v \in W,$$

$$(2.9) \quad g(n, n) = c^4, \quad g(n, v) = 0,$$

where  $c := (1 - \|\beta\|_\alpha^2)^{1/2} > 0$ . The vector  $\nu = c^{-2}n$  is an  $F$ -unit normal to  $W$ .

*Proof.* For arbitrary  $\beta$  and  $y = n$  and  $\alpha(n) = 1$ , the formula (2.5) reads

$$(2.10) \quad g(u, v) = (1 + \beta(n))\langle u, v \rangle + \beta(u) \beta(v) - \beta(n) \langle n, u \rangle \langle n, v \rangle + \beta(u) \langle n, v \rangle + \beta(v) \langle n, u \rangle.$$

Assuming  $u = n$ , from (2.10) we find

$$(2.11) \quad g(n, v) = (1 + \beta(n)) \langle n + \beta^\sharp, v \rangle.$$

Note that  $|\beta(n)| = |\langle \beta^\sharp, n \rangle| \leq \alpha(\beta^\sharp) \alpha(n) < 1$ ; hence,  $1 + \beta(n) > 0$ . We find from (2.11) with  $v \in W$  that  $n + \beta^\sharp = \hat{c}N$  for some  $\hat{c} > 0$ . Using  $1 = \langle n, n \rangle = \hat{c}^2 - 2\hat{c}\beta(N) + \|\beta\|_\alpha^2$ , we get two values

$$\hat{c} = \beta(N) \pm (\beta(N)^2 + c^2)^{1/2}.$$

By condition  $\beta(N) = 0$  we have  $\beta^\sharp \in W$ , this yields  $\hat{c} = c$  and (2.7). Thus,

$$\beta(n) = \beta(cN - \beta^\sharp) = -\|\beta\|_\alpha^2, \quad 1 + \beta(n) = c^2.$$

Finally, (2.8) follows from (2.10).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let the Randers norm obeys  $\beta(N) = 0$  (i.e.,  $\beta^\sharp \in W$ ). If  $u, U \in W$  and*

$$(2.12) \quad g(u, v) = \langle U, v \rangle \quad \text{for all } v \in W$$

then  $\beta(u) = c^{-4}\beta(U)$  and

$$(2.13) \quad c^2 u = U + c^{-2}\beta(U)\beta^\sharp.$$

*Proof.* By (2.8), we have

$$g(u, v) = c^2 \langle u - \beta(u)\beta^\sharp, v \rangle.$$

Then from (2.12), since  $u, U$  and  $\beta^\sharp$  belong to  $W$ , we obtain

$$u - \beta(u)\beta^\sharp = c^{-2}U.$$

Applying  $\beta$  we get  $\beta(u) - \beta(u)\|\beta\|_\alpha^2 = c^{-2}\beta(U)$ ,  $\beta(u) = c^{-4}\beta(U)$  and then (2.13).  $\square$

## 2.2 Finsler spaces

Let  $M^{m+1}$  be a connected smooth manifold and  $TM$  its tangent bundle. The natural projection  $\pi : TM_0 \rightarrow M$ , where  $TM_0 := TM \setminus \{0\}$  is called the *slit tangent bundle*. A *Finsler structure* on  $M$  is a Minkowski norm  $F$  in tangent spaces  $T_pM$ , which smoothly depends on a point  $p \in M$ . Note that  $\pi_*$  maps the double tangent bundle  $T^2M$  into  $TM$  itself.

A *spray* on a manifold  $M$  is a smooth vector field  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $TM_0$  such that

$$(2.14) \quad \pi_*(\mathbb{G}_v) = v, \quad \mathbb{G}_{\lambda v} = \lambda(h_\lambda)_*(\mathbb{G}_v) \quad (v \in TM_0, \lambda > 0),$$

where  $h_\lambda : v \mapsto \lambda v$  is the homothety of  $TM$ . The meaning of (2.14)<sub>1</sub> is that  $\mathbb{G}$  is a *second-order vector field* over  $M$ , and (2.14)<sub>2</sub> is the homogeneous quadratic condition. In local coordinates  $(x^i)$ ,  $\mathbb{G}$  is expressed as  $\mathbb{G}(y) = y^i \partial_{x^i} - 2G^i \partial_{y^i}$ , where  $G^i(\lambda y) = \lambda^2 G^i(y)$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ).

Using  $\mathbb{G}$  we define the following notions: covariant derivative, parallel translation (and parallel vectors) along a curve, geodesics and curvature. A curve  $\gamma(t)$  in  $TM_0$  satisfying  $\dot{\gamma} = \mathbb{G}_\gamma$  is an integral curve of  $\mathbb{G}$ ; it is equal to the canonical lift of  $c := \pi \circ \gamma$ . The *covariant derivative* of a vector field  $u(t)$  along a curve  $c(t)$  in  $M$  is given by  $D_{\dot{c}} u = \{ \dot{u}^i + \Gamma_{kj}^i(\dot{c}) \dot{c}^k u^j \} \partial_{x^i} |_c$ . Here  $G^i = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{kj}^i y^k y^j$  for smooth functions  $\Gamma_{kj}^i = (G^i)_{y^k y^j}$  on  $TM_0$ , see Corollary 2.1. The following properties are obvious:

$$D_{\dot{c}}(u + v) = D_{\dot{c}} u + D_{\dot{c}} v, \quad D_{\dot{c}}(fu) = \dot{c}(f)u + fD_{\dot{c}} u, \quad D_{\lambda \dot{c}} u = \lambda D_{\dot{c}} u$$

for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , see [15]. A vector field  $u(t)$  along  $c$  is *parallel* if  $D_{\dot{c}} u(t) \equiv 0$ , i.e.,

$$\dot{u}^i + \Gamma_{kj}^i(\dot{c}) \dot{c}^k u^j = 0 \quad (i \geq 1).$$

A curve  $c(t)$  in  $M$  is called a *geodesic* of  $\mathbb{G}$  if it is a projection of an integral curve of  $\mathbb{G}$ ; hence,  $\ddot{c} = \mathbb{G}_{\dot{c}}$ . A curve  $c(t)$  is a geodesic if and only if the tangent vector  $u = \dot{c}$  is parallel along itself:  $D_{\dot{c}} \dot{c} = 0$ . For a geodesic  $c(t)$  we have the following quasilinear system of second order ODEs

$$\ddot{c}^i + 2G^i(\dot{c}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m+1.$$

A Finsler metric  $F$  on  $M$  induces a *Finsler spray*  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $TM_0$ , whose geodesics are locally shortest paths connecting endpoints and have constant speed. Its geodesic coefficients are given by

$$G^i = \frac{1}{4} g^{il} ([F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [F^2]_{x^l}) = \frac{1}{4} g^{il} (2 \frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l}) y^j y^k,$$

see [15]. Here  $g_{ij}(y) = \frac{1}{2} [F^2]_{y^i y^j}(y)$ , compare (2.1). Then  $\Gamma_{kj}^i(y) = \frac{1}{2} g^{il} (\frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l})$  are homogeneous of 0-degree functions on  $TM_0$ .

**Remark 2.3.** A Finsler metric on a manifold  $M$  is called a *Berwald metric* if in any local coordinate system  $(x, y)$  in  $TM_0$ , the Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{jk}^i$  are functions on  $M$  only, in which case the geodesic coefficients  $G^i = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{kj}^i(x) y^k y^j$  are quadratic in  $y = y^i \partial_{x^i}$ . On a Berwald space, the parallel translation along any geodesic preserves the Minkowski functionals; thus, such spaces can be viewed as Finsler spaces modeled on a single Minkowski space. Berwald metrics are characterized among Randers ones,  $F = \alpha + \beta$ , by the following criterion:  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ , see [15, Theorem 2.4.1]. If  $\beta$  is a closed 1-form, then Finslerian geodesics are the same (as sets) as the geodesics of the metric  $\alpha$ .

A Finsler manifold is *positively* (resp. *negatively*) *complete* if every geodesic  $c(t)$  on  $(0, t_0)$  can be extended for  $(0, \infty)$  (resp.  $(-\infty, 0)$ ), and  $F$  is *complete* if it is both positively and negatively complete. This property is satisfied by all closed Finsler manifolds. Let  $(M, F)$  be positively complete; hence, for any  $p, q \in M$  there exists a globally minimizing geodesic from  $p$  to  $q$ , see also Hopf-Rinow theorem [15, p. 178]. Let  $c_y$  be a geodesic with  $c_y(0) = p$  and  $\dot{c}_y(0) = y \in T_p M$ . The *exponential map* is defined by  $\exp_p(y) = c_y(1)$ . By homogeneity of  $\mathbb{G}$  one has  $c_y(t) = c_{ty}(1)$  for  $t > 0$ ; hence,  $\exp_p(ty) = c_y(t)$ . Recall [14] that  $\exp_p$  is smooth on  $TM_0$  and  $C^1$  at the origin with  $d(\exp_p)|_0 = \text{id}_{T_p M}$ .

Consider a geodesic  $c(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . A  $C^\infty$  map  $\mathcal{H} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  is called a *geodesic variation* of  $c$  if  $\mathcal{H}(0, t) = c(t)$  and for each  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ , the curve  $c_s(t) := \mathcal{H}(s, t)$  is a geodesic. For a geodesic variation  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $c$ , the variation field  $Y(t) := \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial s}(0, t)$  along  $c$  satisfies the *Jacobi equation*:

$$(2.15) \quad D_{\dot{c}} D_{\dot{c}} Y + R_{\dot{c}}(Y) = 0$$

for some  $(y \in TM)$ -dependent (1,1)-tensor  $R_y$ . Jacobi equation (2.15) serves as the definition of curvature. A vector field  $Y(t)$  satisfying (2.15) along a geodesic  $c(t)$  is called *Jacobi field*. We have  $g_{\dot{c}}(Y(t), \dot{c}(t)) = \lambda^2(a + bt)$  and  $g_{\dot{c}}(D_{\dot{c}} Y(t), \dot{c}(t)) = \lambda^2 b$  for some constants  $a, b$  and  $\lambda = F(\dot{c})$ . The orthogonal component  $Y^\perp(t) = Y(t) - (a + bt)\dot{c}(t)$  of the Jacobi field  $Y(t)$  along  $c(t)$  is also a Jacobi field such that  $Y^\perp(t)$  and  $D_{\dot{c}} Y^\perp(t)$  are  $g_{\dot{c}}$ -orthogonal to  $\dot{c}(t)$ . Define  $R_{\dot{c}(t)}^{(1)} : T_{c(t)} M \rightarrow T_{c(t)} M$  by  $R_{\dot{c}(t)}^{(1)}(u(t)) =$

$D_{\dot{c}(t)}[R_{\dot{c}(t)}(u(t))]$ , where  $u(t)$  is a parallel vector field along  $c$ . Similarly, we define  $R_{\dot{c}(t)}^{(2)}$ ,  $R_{\dot{c}(t)}^{(3)}$  etc. Thus, by (2.15), a spray defines transformations  $R_y : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$  called the *Riemann curvature in a direction*  $y \in T_p M \setminus \{0\}$ , and we have  $R_y(y) = 0$  and  $R_{\lambda y} = \lambda^2 R_y$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ). In coordinates,  $R_y = R^i_k dx^k \partial_{x_i}$  and  $R^i_k(y) y^k = 0$ , where  $R^i_k$ 's depend on the Finsler spray only [14]:

$$R^i_k = 2(G^i)_{x^k} - y^j (G^i)_{x^j} y^k + 2G^j (G^i)_{y^j} y^k - (G^i)_{y^j} (G^j)_{y^k}.$$

Moreover,  $R^i_k = R_{j^i_{kl}} y^j y^l$  for local functions  $\{R_{j^i_{kl}}\} = \frac{1}{2} (R^i_k)_{y^j y^l}$  on  $TM_0$  (see Corollary 2.1) and

$$R_{j^i_{kl}} = (\Gamma^i_{jl})_{x^k} - (\Gamma^i_{jk})_{x^l} + \Gamma^m_{jl} \Gamma^i_{mk} - \Gamma^m_{jk} \Gamma^i_{ml}.$$

For the Finsler spray,  $R_y$  is  $g_y$ -self-adjoint:  $g_y(R_y(u), v) = g_y(u, R_y(v))$ ,  $u, v \in T_p M$ .

For a plane  $P \subset T_p M$  tangent to  $M$  and a vector  $y \in P \setminus \{0\}$ , the *flag curvature*  $K(P, y)$  is given by

$$K(P, y) = \frac{g_y(R_y(u), u)}{g_y(y, y)g_y(u, u) - g_y(y, u)g_y(y, u)},$$

where  $u \in P$  is such that  $P = \text{span}\{y, u\}$ ; certainly, the value of  $K(P, y)$  is independent of the choice of  $u \in P$ . If  $K(P, y)$  is a scalar function on  $TM_0$  (that holds in dimension two) then  $F$  is said to be of *scalar (flag) curvature*, in this case,  $R_y(u) = K(\pi(y))\{g_y(y, y)u - g_y(y, y)y\}$  ( $y, u \in TM_0$ ). If  $K = K(\pi(y))$  (i.e., the flag curvature is *isotropic*) and  $m \geq 2$  then  $K = \text{const}$ , see [5, Lemma 7.1.1]. For each  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  there exist many non-isometric Finsler metrics of constant scalar curvature  $K$ .

Let  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m+1}$  be a  $g_y$ -orthonormal basis for  $T_p M$  such that  $e_{m+1} = y/F(y)$ , and let  $P_i = \text{span}\{e_i, y\}$  for some  $y \in T_p M$ . Then  $K(P_i, y) = F^{-2}(y) g_y(R_y(e_i), e_i)$ . The *Ricci curvature* is a function on  $TM_0$  defined as the trace of the Riemann curvature,

$$\text{Ric}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_y(R_y(e_i), e_i) = F^2(y) \sum_{i=1}^m K(P_i, y)$$

with the homogeneity property  $\text{Ric}(\lambda y) = \lambda^2 \text{Ric}(y)$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ). In a coordinate system, by Corollary 2.1 we have  $\text{Ric}(y) = R^i_{jk} y^j y^k = \text{Ric}_{jk} y^j y^k$ . A Finsler space  $(M^{m+1}, F)$  is said to be of *constant Ricci curvature*  $\lambda$  (or, *Einstein*) if  $\text{Ric}(y) = m\lambda F^2(y)$  ( $y \in TM_0$ ), or  $\text{Ric}_{jk} = m\lambda g_{jk}$  in coordinates.

### 3 Codimension-one foliated Finsler spaces

Given a transversally oriented codimension-one foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of a Finsler manifold  $(M^{m+1}, F)$ , there exists a globally defined  $F$ -normal (to the leaves) smooth vector field  $n$  which defines a Riemannian metric  $g := g_n$  with the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . We have  $g(n, u) = 0$  ( $u \in T\mathcal{F}$ ) and  $g(n, n) = F^2(n)$ , see (2.9). Then  $\nu = n/F(n)$  is an  $F$ -unit normal.

#### 3.1 The Riemann curvature and the shape operator

In this section we apply the variational approach to find a relationship between the Riemann curvature of  $F$  and  $g$ . It generalizes the following.

**Proposition 3.1** (see [15]). *Let  $Y$  be a geodesic field on an open subset  $\mathcal{U}$  in a Finsler space  $(M, F)$  and  $\hat{g} := g_Y$  the induced metric on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then the Riemann curvature of  $F$  and  $\hat{F} := \sqrt{\hat{g}}$  obey  $R_Y = \hat{R}_Y$ . Moreover,  $Y$  is a geodesic field of  $\hat{F}$  and for the Levi-Civita connection we have  $D_Y X = \hat{D}_Y X$ .*

For a codimension-one Riemannian foliation, a unit normal  $\nu$  is a geodesic vector field; hence, by Proposition 3.1, transformations  $R_\nu$  defined for  $F$  by (2.15) coincide with the Jacobi operator  $R(\cdot, \nu)\nu$  of the metric  $g$ . Recall that the second differential is defined by  $\nabla_{u,v}^2 = \nabla_u \nabla_v - \nabla_{\nabla_u v}$  for any  $u, v$ .

Let  $Y_t$  ( $|t| \leq \varepsilon$ ) be a smooth family of  $F$ -unit vector fields on an open subset  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $(M, F)$ . Put  $\dot{Y}_t = \partial_t Y_t$  and  $\dot{g}_t = \partial_t g_t$ , where  $g_t := g_{Y_t}$  is a family of metrics on  $\mathcal{U}$ . By definition (2.3) of the Cartan torsion, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{g}_t = 2C_{Y_t}(\cdot, \cdot, \dot{Y}_t).$$

Note that  $\dot{g}_t(Y_t, \cdot) = 2C_{Y_t}(Y_t, \cdot, \dot{Y}_t) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $Y_t$  ( $|t| \leq \varepsilon$ ) doesn't depend on  $t$  at a point  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $u, v \in T_p M$ . Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} -\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t, Y_t, v) &= C_Y(u, \nabla_v^t Y_t, \nabla_Y^t \dot{Y}_t) + C_Y(\nabla_u^t Y_t, v, \nabla_Y^t \dot{Y}_t) \\ &\quad + C_Y(\nabla_Y^t Y_t, v, \nabla_u^t \dot{Y}_t) + C_Y(u, \nabla_Y^t Y_t, \nabla_v^t \dot{Y}_t) \\ &\quad + C_Y(u, v, (\nabla^t)_{Y_t}^2 \dot{Y}_t) + 2(\nabla_Y^t C_{Y_t})(u, v, \nabla_Y^t \dot{Y}_t). \end{aligned}$$

The shape operators  $A_t$  (when  $Y_p = \nu_p$ ) of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $g_t$  and the volume forms  $dV_t$  at  $p$  obey

$$(3.3) \quad g_t(\partial_t A_t(u), v) = -C_\nu(u, v, \nabla_\nu^t \dot{Y}_t), \quad \partial_t(dV_t) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Put  $\Pi(u, v) = \partial_t \nabla_u^t v$  for  $t$ -independent vector fields  $u, v$ . Then, see [16],

$$(3.4) \quad 2g_t(\Pi(u, v), w) = (\nabla_v^t \dot{g}_t)(u, w) + (\nabla_u^t \dot{g}_t)(v, w) - (\nabla_w^t \dot{g}_t)(u, v),$$

and for arbitrary  $t$ -dependent vector fields  $X_t$  and  $Z_t$  we obtain

$$\partial_t \nabla_{X_t}^t Z_t = \Pi(X_t, Z_t) + \nabla_{X_t}^t (\partial_t Z_t) + \nabla_{\partial_t X_t}^t Z_t.$$

By definition,

$$R_t(u, Z_t)Y_t = \nabla_u^t (\nabla_{Z_t}^t Y_t) - \nabla_{Z_t}^t (\nabla_u^t Y_t) - \nabla_{[u, Z_t]}^t Y_t.$$

So,

$$\partial_t R_t(u, Z_t)Y_t = \partial_t (\nabla_u^t (\nabla_{Z_t}^t Y_t)) - \partial_t (\nabla_{Z_t}^t (\nabla_u^t Y_t)) - \partial_t (\nabla_{[u, Z_t]}^t Y_t).$$

Deriving the terms of the above,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\nabla_{Z_t}^t (\nabla_u^t Y_t)) &= \Pi(Z_t, \nabla_u^t Y_t) + \nabla_{Z_t}^t (\Pi(u, Y_t)) + \nabla_{Z_t}^t (\nabla_u^t \dot{Y}_t) + \nabla_{\dot{Z}_t}^t (\nabla_u^t Y_t), \\ \partial_t (\nabla_u^t (\nabla_{Z_t}^t Y_t)) &= \Pi(u, \nabla_{Z_t}^t Y_t) + \nabla_u^t (\Pi(Z_t, Y_t)) + \nabla_u^t (\nabla_{Z_t}^t Y_t) + \nabla_u^t (\nabla_{Z_t}^t \dot{Y}_t), \\ \partial_t (\nabla_{[u, Z_t]}^t Y_t) &= \Pi([u, Z_t], Y_t) + \nabla_{[u, Z_t]}^t \dot{Y}_t + \nabla_{[u, \dot{Z}_t]}^t Y_t \end{aligned}$$

with  $\dot{Z}_t = \partial_t Z_t$ , we obtain a ‘time-dependent’ version of [16, Proposition 2.3.4],

$$\partial_t R_t(u, Z_t) Y_t = (\nabla_u^t \Pi)(Z_t, Y_t) - (\nabla_{Z_t}^t \Pi)(u, Y_t) + R_t(u, Z_t) \dot{Y}_t + R_t(u, \dot{Z}_t) Y_t.$$

We shall compute  $\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t, Y_t, v) := \partial_t g_t(R_t(u, Y_t) Y_t, v)$  at  $p$ ; thus, terms with  $\dot{Y}$  will be canceled at the final stage. Assume at a ‘time’  $t$  of our choice,  $\nabla = \nabla^t$  and  $\nabla u = \nabla v = 0$  at  $p$ . Then perform the following preparatory calculations at  $p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} Y((\nabla_u^t \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, v)) &= Y(u(C_{Y_t}(Y_t, v, \dot{Y}_t)) - C_{Y_t}(\nabla_u^t Y_t, v, \dot{Y}_t)) \\ &= -C_Y(\nabla_u Y_t, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t), \\ \frac{1}{2} Y((\nabla_{Y_t}^t \dot{g}_t)(u, v)) &= Y(Y_t(C_{Y_t}(u, v, \dot{Y}_t)) - Y(C_{Y_t}(\nabla_{Y_t}^t u, v, \dot{Y}_t)) \\ &\quad - Y(C_{Y_t}(u, \nabla_{Y_t}^t v, \dot{Y}_t))) \\ &= C_Y(u, v, \nabla_Y \nabla_{Y_t} \dot{Y}_t) + 2(\nabla_Y C_Y)(u, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t), \\ \frac{1}{2} Y((\nabla_v^t \dot{g}_t)(u, Y_t)) &= Y(v(C_{Y_t}(u, Y_t, \dot{Y}_t)) - C_{Y_t}(u, \nabla_v Y_t, \dot{Y}_t)) \\ &= -C_Y(u, \nabla_v Y_t, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t), \\ (\nabla_{\nabla_Y Y_t} \dot{g}_t)(u, v) &= 2C_Y(u, v, \nabla_{\nabla_Y Y_t} \dot{Y}_t), \\ (\nabla_u \dot{g}_t)(\nabla_Y Y_t, v) &= 2C_Y(\nabla_Y Y_t, v, \nabla_u \dot{Y}_t), \\ (\nabla_v \dot{g}_t)(u, \nabla_Y Y_t) &= 2C_Y(u, \nabla_Y Y_t, \nabla_v \dot{Y}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Using all of that and (3.1) we obtain at  $p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla_Y \Pi)(u, Y_t), v \rangle &= \langle \nabla_Y (\Pi(u, Y_t)) - \Pi(u, \nabla_Y Y_t), v \rangle \\ &= Y \langle \Pi(u, Y_t), v \rangle - \langle \Pi(u, \nabla_Y Y_t), v \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} Y [ (\nabla_u^t \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, v) + (\nabla_{Y_t}^t \dot{g}_t)(u, v) - (\nabla_v^t \dot{g}_t)(u, Y_t) ] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} [ (\nabla_{\nabla_Y Y_t} \dot{g}_t)(u, v) + (\nabla_u \dot{g}_t)(\nabla_Y Y_t, v) - (\nabla_v \dot{g}_t)(u, \nabla_Y Y_t) ] \\ &= C_Y(u, \nabla_v Y_t, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t) - C_Y(\nabla_u Y_t, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t) \\ &\quad + 2(\nabla_Y C_{Y_t})(u, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t) + C_Y(u, v, \nabla_Y \nabla_{Y_t}^t \dot{Y}_t) - C_Y(u, v, \nabla_{\nabla_Y Y_t} \dot{Y}_t) \\ &\quad - C_Y(\nabla_Y Y_t, v, \nabla_u \dot{Y}_t) + C_Y(u, \nabla_Y Y_t, \nabla_v \dot{Y}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Here the terms with  $C_Y(Y, \cdot, \cdot)$  were canceled on  $\mathcal{U}$ , and the identity  $[Y_t, v]^\top = -(\nabla_v^t Y_t)^\top$  at  $p$  (where  $^\top$  is the orthogonal to  $Y$  at  $p$  component of a vector) was applied. Similarly, we use at  $p$

$$\begin{aligned} u[(\nabla_{Y_t}^t \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, v)] &= -2C_Y(\nabla_Y Y_t, v, \nabla_u \dot{Y}_t), \quad u[(\nabla_v^t \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, Y_t)] = 0, \\ (\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \dot{g})(Y, v) &= 0, \quad (\nabla_v \dot{g})(Y, \nabla_u Y_t) = 0, \\ (\nabla_Y \dot{g})(\nabla_u Y_t, v) &= 2C_Y(\nabla_u Y_t, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t) \end{aligned}$$

to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (\nabla_u \Pi)(Y_t, Y_t), v \rangle &= \langle \nabla_u(\Pi(Y_t, Y_t)) - 2\Pi(Y_t, \nabla_u Y_t), v \rangle \\
&= u \langle \Pi(Y_t, Y_t), v \rangle - 2 \langle \Pi(Y_t, \nabla_u Y_t), v \rangle \\
&= u \left[ (\nabla_{Y_t}^t \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, v) - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_v^t \dot{g}_t)(Y_t, Y_t) \right] \\
&\quad - (\nabla_{\nabla_u Y_t} \dot{g})(Y_t, v) - (\nabla_Y \dot{g})(\nabla_u Y_t, v) + (\nabla_v \dot{g})(Y, \nabla_u Y_t) \\
&= -2C_Y(\nabla_Y Y_t, v, \nabla_u \dot{Y}_t) - 2C_Y(\nabla_u Y_t, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\dot{Y} = 0$  at  $p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t, Y_t, v) &= (\partial_t g)(R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v) + g(\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v) \\
&= 2C_Y(R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v, \dot{Y}) + g(\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v) = g(\partial_t R_t(u, Y_t)Y_t, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have (3.2) at  $p$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . For the second fundamental form  $b_t$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  (with respect to  $g_t$ ), as in the proof of [12, Lemma 2.9], using (3.1), (3.4),  $\dot{g}(p) = 0$  and  $\dot{Y}(p) = 0$ , we get at a point  $p$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t b_t(u, v) &= \dot{g}(\nabla_u v, Y) + g(\partial_t \nabla_u v, Y) + g(\nabla_u v, \partial_t Y) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( (\nabla_u \dot{g})(v, Y) + (\nabla_v \dot{g})(u, Y) - (\nabla_Y \dot{g})(u, v) \right) + g(\nabla_u v, \dot{Y}) \\
&= -\nabla_Y(C_Y(u, v, \dot{Y})) = -C_Y(u, v, \nabla_Y \dot{Y}).
\end{aligned}$$

From this, using  $b_t(u, v) = g_t(A_t(u), v)$ , we get (3.3)<sub>1</sub>:

$$g_t(A_t(u), v) = \partial_t b_t(u, v) - \dot{g}(A(u), v) = -C_\nu(u, v, \nabla_\nu \dot{Y}).$$

By the formula for the volume form of a  $t$ -dependent metric,  $\partial_t(dV_t) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr } \dot{g})dV_t$ , see [16], and definition of the mean Cartan torsion, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \partial_t(dV_t) = I_{Y_t}(\dot{Y}_t) dV_t.$$

Next, (3.3)<sub>2</sub> follows from (3.5) and  $\dot{Y}(p) = 0$ . □

Let  $L$  be a leaf through a point  $p \in M$ , and  $\rho$  the local distance function to  $L$  in a neighborhood of  $p$ . Denote by  $\hat{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connection of the (local again) Riemannian metric  $\hat{g} := g_{\nabla \rho}$ . Note that  $\nabla \rho = \nu$  on  $L$ . The *shape operator*  $A : T\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}$  (self-adjoint for  $g$ ) is defined at  $p \in M$  by (compare [15] with the opposite sign)

$$A(u) = -\hat{\nabla}_u \nu \quad (u \in T_p \mathcal{F}).$$

The shape operator  $A^g : T\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}$  with respect to the metric  $g$  is defined at  $p \in M$  by

$$A^g(u) = -\nabla_u \nu \quad (u \in T_p \mathcal{F}).$$

Note that  $2g(\nabla_u \nu, \nu) = u(g(\nu, \nu)) = 0$  ( $u \in T\mathcal{F}$ ); hence,  $\nabla_u \nu \in T\mathcal{F}$ . The *mean curvature* function (of the leaves with respect to  $g$ ) is defined by  $H^g = \text{Tr } A^g$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *g-totally umbilical* if  $A^g = H^g I_m$ , and is *g-totally geodesic* if  $A^g \equiv 0$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $L$  be a hypersurface in an open set  $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ . If an  $F$ -unit vector field  $Y_t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon$ ) is given in  $\mathcal{U}$  and orthogonal to  $L$  then for the metric  $g_t := g_{Y_t}$  for all  $u, v \in T_p L$  ( $p \in L$ ) we have*

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t R_t(u, Y_t, Y_t, v) &= C_Y(A_t(u), v, \nabla_Y^t \dot{Y}_t) + C_Y(u, A_t(v), \nabla_Y^t \dot{Y}_t) \\ &\quad - C_Y(u, v, (\nabla^t)_{Y, Y}^2 \dot{Y}_t) - 2(\nabla_Y^t C_{Y_t})(u, v, \nabla_Y^t \dot{Y}_t), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.7) \quad g(\partial_t A_t(u), v) = -C_Y(u, v, \nabla_Y^t \dot{Y}_t), \quad \partial_t(dV_t) = 0.$$

*Proof.* This follows from  $\dot{Y}_t = 0$  on  $L$ , the definition of  $A_t$  (for  $g_t$ ) and (3.2)–(3.3).  $\square$

**Definition 3.1.** A vector field  $\widehat{Y}$  defined in some neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset M$  of a point  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  is called a *geodesic extension* of a vector  $Y_p \in T_p M$  if  $\widehat{Y}(p) = Y_p$  and the integral curves of  $\widehat{Y}$  are geodesics of the Finsler metric. Similarly, we define a *geodesic extension* of a (e.g. normal) vector field along a hypersurface  $L \subset \mathcal{U}$ . In both cases,  $\widehat{g} := g_{\widehat{Y}}$  is called the *osculating Riemannian metric* of  $F$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

We will use osculating metric (given locally) to express the Riemannian curvature of  $g = g_\nu$  (for an unit  $F$ -normal  $\nu$  to  $\mathcal{F}$ ) in terms of Riemannian curvature and the Cartan torsion of  $F$ .

Given a vector field  $Y$ , let  $C_Y^\sharp$  be a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor  $g_Y$ -dual to the symmetric bilinear form  $C_Y(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_Y Y)$ . Note that  $C_n(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_n n) = C_{c^2\nu}(\cdot, \cdot, c^4 \nabla_\nu \nu) = c^2 C_\nu(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_\nu \nu)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\nu$  be a unit normal to a codimension-one foliation of a Finsler space  $(M^{m+1}, F)$ . The Riemann curvatures (in the  $\nu$ -direction) of  $F$  and  $g = g_\nu$  are related by*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} g((R_\nu - R_\nu^g)(u), v) &= -C_\nu(A^g(u) + \frac{1}{2} C_\nu^\sharp(u), v, \nabla_\nu \nu) \\ &\quad - C_\nu(u, A^g(v) + \frac{1}{2} C_\nu^\sharp(v), \nabla_\nu \nu) \\ &\quad + C_\nu(u, v, \nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \nu - C_\nu^\sharp(\nabla_\nu \nu)) + 2(\nabla_\nu C_\nu)(u, v, \nabla_\nu \nu) \quad (u, v \in T_p L). \end{aligned}$$

The shape operators and volume forms are related by

$$(3.9) \quad A - A^g = C_\nu^\sharp, \quad dV_g = e^{\tau(\nu)} dV_F.$$

In particular, the traces are related by

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_\nu - \text{Ric}_\nu^g &= I_\nu(\nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \nu - C_\nu^\sharp(\nabla_\nu \nu)) + 2(\nabla_\nu I_\nu)(\nabla_\nu \nu) \\ &\quad - \text{Tr}(C_\nu^\sharp(C_\nu^\sharp + 2A^g)), \\ \text{Tr} A - \text{Tr} A^g &= I_\nu(\nabla_\nu \nu). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a “small” neighborhood of  $p \in L$  such that any two geodesics starting from  $L \cap \mathcal{U}$  in the  $\nu$ -direction do not intersect in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then for any  $q \in \mathcal{U}$  there is a unique geodesic  $\gamma$  starting from  $L$  in the  $\nu$ -direction such that  $\gamma(s) = q$  for some  $s \geq 0$ , in other words,  $q = \exp_{\gamma(0)}(s \dot{\gamma}(0))$ . Thus,  $\widehat{Y} : q \rightarrow \dot{\gamma}(s)$  ( $q \in \mathcal{U}$ ) is an  $F$ -unit geodesic vector field ( $\nabla_{\widehat{Y}} \widehat{Y} = 0$ ) – a geodesic extension of  $\nu|_L$ .

Consider a family of vector fields  $Y_t = t\widehat{Y} + (1-t)\nu$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) on  $\mathcal{U}$ , define the Riemannian metrics  $g_t := g_{Y_t}$ ,  $g_1$  being osculating, and denote by  $R_t$  their Riemann

curvatures. Since  $\dot{Y}_t = \widehat{Y} - \nu$  and  $Y_t|_L = \nu|_L = \widehat{Y}|_L$  for all  $t$ , we have  $\dot{Y}_t|_L = 0$  and  $g_t|_L \equiv g|_L$ . By (3.1) and (3.4), we get  $\Pi_t(\nu, \nu) = \Pi_t(\nu, \widehat{Y}) = 0$  on  $L$ ; hence,  $\nabla_\nu^t \nu$  and  $\nabla_\nu^t \widehat{Y}$  restricted on  $L$  don't depend on  $t$ . Next, we find

$$g(\Pi(\nu, \nu), v) = C_\nu(u, v, \nabla_\nu(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) = -C_\nu(u, v, \nabla_\nu \nu), \quad u, v \in TM|_L,$$

i.e.,  $\Pi(\nu, u) = -C_\nu^\sharp(u)$ . We calculate on  $L$ :

$$\begin{aligned} g(\partial_t(\nabla_\nu^t u), v) &= \nabla_\nu^t(C_Y(u, v, \widehat{Y} - \nu)) + \nabla_u^t(C_Y(\nu, v, \widehat{Y} - \nu)) - \nabla_v^t(C_Y(u, \nu, \widehat{Y} - \nu)) \\ &= (\nabla_\nu^t C_Y)(u, v, \widehat{Y} - \nu) + C_Y(u, v, \nabla_\nu^t(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_u^t C_\nu)(\nu, v, \widehat{Y} - \nu) + C_\nu(\nabla_u^t \nu, v, \widehat{Y} - \nu) + C_\nu(\nu, v, \nabla_u^t(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) \\ &\quad - (\nabla_v^t C_\nu)(u, \nu, \widehat{Y} - \nu) - C_\nu(u, \nabla_v^t \nu, \widehat{Y} - \nu) - C_\nu(u, \nu, \nabla_v^t(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) \\ &= C_\nu(u, v, \nabla_\nu^t(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) = -C_\nu(u, v, \nabla_\nu \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $\partial_t(g(\nabla_\nu^t u, v)) = g(\partial_t \nabla_\nu^t u, v)$  and  $\partial_t(g(\nabla_u^t \nu, v)) = g(\partial_t \nabla_u^t \nu, v)$  on  $L$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_\nu^t u, v) &= g(\nabla_\nu u, v) - t C_\nu(u, v, \nabla_\nu \nu), \\ g(\nabla_u^t \nu, v) &= g(\nabla_u \nu, v) - t C_\nu(u, v, \nabla_\nu \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\nabla_{u, \nu}^2$  is tensorial in  $u, v$ . We show that  $(\nabla^t)_{\nu, \nu}^2 \widehat{Y}$  is  $t$ -independent on  $L$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^t)_{\widehat{Y}, \widehat{Y}}^2 \widehat{Y} &= \nabla_n^t(\nabla_{\widehat{Y}}^t \widehat{Y}) = \nabla_\nu(\nabla_{\widehat{Y}}^t \widehat{Y}) - t C_\nu^\sharp(\nabla_\nu^t \widehat{Y}) \\ &= \nabla_\nu(\nabla_{\widehat{Y}}^t \widehat{Y}) = \nabla_\nu(\nabla_{\widehat{Y}} \widehat{Y} - t C_\nu^\sharp(\widehat{Y})) \\ &= \nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \widehat{Y} - t(\nabla_\nu C_\nu^\sharp)(\widehat{Y}) - t C_\nu^\sharp(\nabla_\nu \widehat{Y}) = \nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \widehat{Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(\nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \widehat{Y})|_L = (\widehat{\nabla}_{\nu, \nu}^2 \widehat{Y})|_L = 0$ . Using this and  $(\nabla_\nu \widehat{Y})|_L = 0$ , we find on  $L$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\widehat{Y}_t}^t \dot{Y}_t &= -\nabla_\nu \nu, \\ (\nabla^t)_{\widehat{Y}_t, \widehat{Y}_t}^2 \dot{Y}_t &= (\nabla^t)_{\nu, \nu}^2(\widehat{Y} - \nu) = \nabla_\nu^t(\nabla_\nu(\widehat{Y} - \nu) - t C_\nu^\sharp(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) \\ &= \nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2(\widehat{Y} - \nu) - t \nabla_\nu(C_\nu^\sharp(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) - t C_\nu^\sharp(\nabla_\nu(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) \\ &= -\nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \nu + 2t C_\nu^\sharp(\nabla_\nu \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain on  $L$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C_{Y_t}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_{Y_t} \dot{Y}_t) &= C_\nu(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_\nu(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) = -C_\nu(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_\nu \nu), \\ C_{Y_t}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_{Y_t, Y_t}^2 \dot{Y}_t) &= C_\nu(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2(\widehat{Y} - \nu)) = -C_\nu(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we calculate on  $L$ , using  $C_Z(Z, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$  for  $Z = \nabla_\nu \nu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{Y_t} C_{Y_t})(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_{Y_t} \dot{Y}_t) &= (\nabla_\nu C_{t\widehat{Y} + (1-t)\nu})(\cdot, \cdot, -\nabla_\nu \nu) \\ &= (\nabla_\nu C)_\nu(\cdot, \cdot, -\nabla_\nu \nu) + C_{(1-t)\nabla_\nu \nu}(\cdot, \cdot, -\nabla_\nu \nu) = -(\nabla_\nu C)_\nu(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_\nu \nu). \end{aligned}$$

By the above and (3.3)<sub>1</sub>, we obtain (3.9)<sub>1</sub>. By Corollary 3.3, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and using  $A_t = A^g + t C_\nu^\sharp$ , see (3.9)<sub>1</sub>, and  $(\nabla^t)_{\nu, \nu}^2 \nu = -\nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \nu + 2t C_\nu^\sharp(\nabla_\nu \nu)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t R_t(u, \nu, \nu, v) &= -C_\nu(A_t(u), v, \nabla_\nu \nu) - C_\nu(u, A_t(v), \nabla_\nu \nu) \\ &\quad + C_\nu(u, v, (\nabla^t)_{\nu, \nu}^2 \nu) + 2(\nabla_\nu C_\nu)(u, v, \nabla_\nu \nu) \\ &= -C_\nu(A^g(u) + t C_\nu^\sharp(u), v, \nabla_\nu \nu) - C_\nu(u, A^g(v) + t C_\nu^\sharp(v), \nabla_\nu \nu) \\ &\quad + C_\nu(u, v, -\nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \nu + 2t C_\nu^\sharp(\nabla_\nu \nu)) + 2(\nabla_\nu C_\nu)(u, v, \nabla_\nu \nu) \end{aligned}$$

for  $u, v \in T_p L$ , where the right hand side becomes linear in  $t$ . Integrating this by  $t \in [0, 1]$  yields (3.8). Finally, using the equality for volume forms,  $d\widehat{V} = dV_g$ , and definition of  $\tau$  (see Section 2.1), we get (3.9)<sub>2</sub>.  $\square$

Since any geodesic vector field  $Y$  satisfies conditions

$$(3.11) \quad C_Y(u, v, \nabla_Y Y) = 0, \quad C_Y(u, v, \nabla_{Y,Y}^2 Y) = 0 \quad (\forall u, v),$$

the following corollary generalizes Proposition 3.1.

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $Y$  is a unit vector field on a Finsler space  $(M, F)$  and  $g := g_Y$  a Riemannian metric on  $M$  with the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  and conditions (3.11), then  $R_Y = R_Y^g$ .*

*Proof.* By (3.11), we have  $C_Y^\sharp = 0$  and

$$(\nabla_Y C_Y)(u, v, \nabla_Y Y) = \nabla_Y(C_Y(u, v, \nabla_Y Y)) - C_Y(u, v, \nabla_{Y,Y}^2 Y) = 0.$$

If a vector field  $\widehat{Y}$  is a local geodesic extension of  $Y(p)$  then  $R_Y^g = \widehat{R}_Y$  (and  $A^g = \widehat{A}$ ) at  $p$ , see (3.8) and (3.9). Thus, the claim follows from Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

### 3.2 Integral formulae

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  is a codimension-one foliation of a closed Finsler space  $(M^{m+1}, F)$  with the Busemann-Hausdorff volume form  $dV_F$ . Define a family of diffeomorphisms  $\{\phi_t : M \rightarrow M, 0 \leq t < \varepsilon\}$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$  being small enough) by

$$\phi_t(p) = \exp_p(t\nu), \quad \text{where } \nu \in T_p M \text{ is an } F\text{-unit normal to } \mathcal{F} \text{ at } p \in M.$$

Let  $c(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) be an  $F$ -geodesic with  $c(0) = p$  and  $\dot{c}(0) = \nu(p)$ . Any geodesic variation built of  $\phi_t$ -trajectories determines an  $F$ -Jacobi field  $Y(t)$  on  $c$ , and  $A_p(Y(0)) = -[D_{\dot{c}(t)} Y(t)]|_{t=0}$ , see [15, p. 225]. Recall that if vectors  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$  are  $D$ -parallel along  $c(t)$  then  $g_{\dot{c}(t)}(u(t), v(t))$  is constant. Choose a positively oriented  $g_{\nu(p)}$ -orthonormal frame  $(e^1, \dots, e^m)$  of  $T_p \mathcal{F}$  and extend it by parallel translation to the frame  $(E_t^1, \dots, E_t^m)$  of vector fields  $g_{\dot{c}(t)}$ -orthogonal to  $\dot{c}(t)$  along  $c(t)$ . Denote also by  $E_t^{m+1} = \dot{c}(t)$  the tangent vector field along  $c(t)$ . Denote by  $Y^i(t)$  ( $i \leq m$ ) the Jacobi field along  $c(t)$  satisfying  $Y^i(0) = e^i$  and  $D_{\dot{c}} Y^i(0) = A_p(e^i)$ . Let  $R(t)$  be the matrix with entries  $g_{\dot{c}}(R_{\dot{c}}(E_t^i), E_t^j)$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{Y}(t)$  the  $m \times m$  matrix consisting of the scalar products  $g_{\dot{c}}(Y^i(t), E_t^j)$  (" $F$ -Jacobi tensor"). Then  $\mathbf{Y}(0) = I_m$  and  $\mathbf{Y}'(0) = A_p$ . It is known (see, for instance, [15, Sections 2.1 and 2.2]) that

$$|d\phi_t(p)| = \det \mathbf{Y}(t),$$

where  $|d\phi_t(p)|$  is the Jacobian of  $\phi_t$  at  $p$ . Assume that  $R_{\dot{c}(t)}^{(1)} \equiv 0$  for any  $F$ -geodesic  $c(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) (e.g.  $(M, F)$  is *locally symmetric* with respect to  $F$ ). For  $t = 0$ , we have  $R_{\dot{c}(0)}^{(2)} \equiv R_{\dot{c}(0)}^{(3)} \equiv \dots \equiv 0$ . For short, write  $R_p := R(0)$ . Note that  $\text{Tr } R_p = \text{Ric}(\nu(p))$ . The  $F$ -Jacobi equation  $\mathbf{Y}'' = -R(t)\mathbf{Y}$  implies that

$$\mathbf{Y}^{(2k)}(0) = (-R_p)^k, \quad \mathbf{Y}^{(2k+1)}(0) = (-R_p)^k A_p, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, our Jacobi tensor has the form

$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}^{(k)}(0) \frac{t^k}{k!} = I_m + tA_p - \frac{t^2}{2!}R_p - \frac{t^3}{3!}R_p A_p + \frac{t^4}{4!}R_p^2 + \dots$$

Certainly, the radius of convergence of the series is uniformly bounded from below on  $M$  (by  $1/\|R\|_F > 0$ ). The volume of  $M$  is defined by  $\text{Vol}_F(M) = \int_M dV_F$ . Therefore – by Dominated Convergence Theorem – its integration together with Change of Variables Theorem yield the equality for any  $t \geq 0$  small enough

$$(3.12) \quad \text{Vol}_F(M) = \int_M \det \left( I_m + tA_p - \frac{t^2}{2!}R_p - \frac{t^3}{3!}R_p A_p + \frac{t^4}{4!}R_p^2 + \dots \right) dV_F,$$

where  $dV_F$  is the volume form of  $F$ . Formula (3.12) together with Lemma 5.2 of Appendix imply our main result (which generalizes that of [13] valid for the Riemannian case). Note that the invariants  $\sigma_\lambda(A_1, \dots, A_k)$  of a set of real  $m \times m$  matrices  $A_i$  are defined and discussed in Appendix.

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a codimension-one foliation on a closed Finsler manifold  $(M^{m+1}, F)$ , which is  $F$ -locally symmetric, then for any  $0 \leq k \leq m$  one has*

$$(3.13) \quad \int_M \sum_{\|\lambda\|=k} \sigma_\lambda(B_1(p), \dots, B_k(p)) dV_F = 0,$$

where  $B_{2k}(p) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k)!} (R_p)^k$ ,  $B_{2k+1}(p) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)!} (R_p)^k A_p$  for  $p \in M$ .

The formulae (3.13) for few initial values of  $k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, 3$ , read as follows:

$$(3.14) \quad \int_M \sigma_1(A_p) dV_F = 0,$$

$$(3.15) \quad \int_M \left( \sigma_2(A_p) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} R_p \right) dV_F = 0,$$

$$(3.16) \quad \int_M \left( \sigma_3(A_p) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(A_p) \text{Tr} R_p + \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(R_p A_p) \right) dV_F = 0.$$

The formulae (3.14) and (3.15) are well known for arbitrary foliated Riemannian manifolds, see the Introduction. For  $m = 1$ , (3.15) reduces to the integral of flag (Gauss) curvature,  $\int_M K dV_F = 0$ .

**Remark 3.2.** 1. The compactness of  $M$  in Theorem 3.6 can be replaced by weaker conditions:  $M$  is positively complete of finite  $F$ -volume, and has ‘bounded geometry’ in the following sense:

$$(3.17) \quad \sup_{p \in M} \|R_p\|_F < \infty, \quad \sup_{p \in M} \|A_p\|_F < \infty.$$

2. Similar formulae exist for codimension-one foliations of on arbitrary (non-locally symmetric with respect to  $F$ ) Finsler manifolds. They are more complicated since they contain terms which depend on covariant derivatives of  $R_p$ . More precisely, they contain just terms of the form  $R_p^{(k)}$ , where  $R_p^{(1)} = D_{\nu(p)} R_p$ ,  $R_p^{(2)} = D_{\nu(p)} D_{\nu(p)} R_p$  and so on. For the  $F$ -Jacobi tensor  $\mathbf{Y}(t)$  we get

$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = I_m + tA_p - \frac{t^2}{2!}R_p - \frac{t^3}{3!}(R_p A_p + R_p^{(1)}) + \frac{t^4}{4!}(R_p^2 - R_p^{(2)} - 2R_p^{(1)} A_p) + \dots$$

The  $t^3$  term of (3.12) becomes, compare (3.16),

$$\int_M (\sigma_3(A_p) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(R_p) \text{Tr}(A_p) + \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(R_p A_p) - \frac{1}{6} \text{Tr} R_p^{(1)}) dV_F = 0.$$

In general, the  $t^k$  term in (3.12) contains  $R_p^{(j)}$ 's with  $j \leq k - 2$ .

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension-one foliation on a  $F$ -locally symmetric complete Finsler manifold  $(M, F)$  of finite  $F$ -volume and bounded (in the sense of (3.17)) geometry. If  $\text{rank}(A_p) \leq 1$  for all  $p \in M$  (for example,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $F$ -totally geodesic) then the Riemannian curvature  $R_p$  vanishes identically provided that  $M$  has everywhere non-negative (or, non-positive) Ricci curvature  $\text{Ric}_p = \text{Tr} R_p$ .*

*Proof.* Since in this case  $\sigma_2(A_p) = 0$ , integral formula (3.15) implies the claim.  $\square$

Given a unit normal  $\nu$  to  $\mathcal{F}$ , denote by  $Q_R$  the symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor in the rhs of (3.8). Then, see (3.10),

$$\text{Tr} Q_R = I_\nu(\nabla_{\nu, \nu}^2 \nu + C_\nu^\sharp(\nabla_\nu \nu)) + 2(\nabla_\nu I_\nu)(\nabla_\nu \nu) - \text{Tr}(C_\nu^\sharp(C_\nu^\sharp + 2A^g)).$$

Define the 1-form  $\theta_g$  by the equality

$$\theta_g(X) = g([X, \nu], \nu) \quad (X \in TM).$$

Note that  $\nabla_\nu \nu = \theta_g^\sharp$  is the mean curvature of  $\nu$ -curves with respect to  $g$ . Comparing (3.13) for  $F$  and  $g$ , we obtain a series of integral formulas, the first two of which are given in the following.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $\tau(\nu) = \text{const}$  on a codimension-one foliated Finsler space  $(M, F)$ . Then*

$$(3.18) \quad \int_M I_\nu(\nabla_\nu \nu) dV_F = 0,$$

$$(3.19) \quad \int_M (\sigma_2(C_\nu^\sharp) + (\text{Tr} A^g)(\text{Tr} C_\nu^\sharp) - \text{Tr}(A^g C_\nu^\sharp) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} Q_R) dV_F = 0.$$

*Proof.* By (3.9)<sub>1</sub>,  $A = A^g + C_\nu^\sharp$ , where  $A = A_p$ . Thus, (3.18) follows from (3.14), using (3.9)<sub>2</sub> and Theorem 3.4. Note that by (5.4) with  $k = 1$  and (5.6) (of Appendix), and by (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2(A_p) &= \sigma_2(A^g) + \text{Tr}(A^g) \text{Tr} C_\nu^\sharp - \text{Tr}(A^g C_\nu^\sharp), \\ \text{Ric}_\nu &= \text{Tr} R_p = \text{Ric}^g + \text{Tr} Q_R. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.19) follows from (3.15), using (3.9)<sub>2</sub> and (5.6) with  $k = 2$  (of Appendix).  $\square$

### 3.3 Examples

**Finsler manifolds of constant flag curvature.** We provide examples, these of  $(M, F)$  with constant flag curvature  $K(\nu, P)$  on  $M$ , i.e., such that  $R_p = K I_m$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ .

a) For  $(M, F)$  with zero flag curvature,  $R_p = 0$ , and we obtain the Jacobi tensor of a simple form, linear in  $t$ :  $\mathbf{Y}(t) = I_m + tA_p$  ( $t \geq 0$ ). Then (3.12) reduces to

$\text{Vol}_F(M) = \int_M \det(I_m + tA_p) dV_F$ . From this we obtain the Finsler generalization of the case  $K = 0$  of [3, Theorem 1.1], i.e.,

$$(3.20) \quad \int_M \sigma_k(A_p) dV_F = 0, \quad k > 0.$$

b) Assume now that the flag curvature  $K(\nu, P)$  of  $(M, F)$  is constant and positive, say  $K = 1$ . Then  $R_p = I_m$  and one can rewrite the Taylor series for  $\mathbf{Y}(t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) in the form  $\mathbf{Y}(t) = \cos t (I_m + (\tan t)A_p)$ . Change of Variables Theorem for integration implies that the equality

$$\text{Vol}_F(M) = (\cos t)^m \int_M \det(I_m + (\tan t)A_p) dV_F$$

holds for arbitrary  $t \geq 0$  small enough. One can use the substitution  $\tan t \rightarrow \tilde{t}$  and the identity  $\cos^2 t = (1 + \tilde{t}^2)^{-1}$  for further derivations.

c) The case of negative constant flag curvature  $K(\nu, P)$  of  $M$ , say  $K = -1$ , is similar to the case (b). One can use the substitution  $\tanh(t) \rightarrow \tilde{t}$  and the identity  $\cosh^2 t = (1 - \tilde{t}^2)^{-1}$  for derivations.

The above yields the following extension of Theorem 1.1 in [3].

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a transversally oriented codimension-one foliation on a Finsler manifold  $(M^{m+1}, F)$  of finite  $F$ -volume and  $\sup_{p \in M} \|A_p\|_F < \infty$  (e.g. closed) with a unit normal  $\nu$  and condition  $R_p = KI_m$ . Then, for any  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ,*

$$(3.21) \quad \int_M \sigma_k(A_p) dV_F = \begin{cases} K^{k/2} \binom{m/2}{k/2} \text{Vol}_F(M), & m, k \text{ even,} \\ 0, & m \text{ or } k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.3.** By Theorem 8.2.4 in [8], if a Finsler manifold  $M$  is closed and has constant negative curvature then it is Randers.

If  $(M, F)$  is  $F$ -locally symmetric and the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are  $F$ -totally geodesic (i.e.,  $A_p = 0$ ) then

$$\mathbf{Y}^{(2k+1)}(0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{Y}^{(2k)}(0) = (-R_p)^k.$$

Finally we get the  $F$ -Jacobi tensor  $\mathbf{Y}(t) = I_m - \frac{t^2}{2!} R_p + \frac{t^4}{4!} R_p^2 - \frac{t^6}{6!} R_p^3 + \dots$ , and (3.13) reduces to

$$\int_M \sum_{\|\lambda\|=k} \sigma_\lambda \left( -\frac{1}{2!} R_p, \frac{1}{4!} R_p^2, \dots, \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k)!} R_p^k \right) dV_F = 0.$$

For codimension-one  $F$ -totally geodesic foliations on arbitrary positively complete (or closed) Finsler manifolds of finite  $F$ -volume, we get

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M \text{Tr } R_p dV_F &= 0, & \int_M \text{Tr } R_p^{(1)} dV_F &= 0, \\ \int_M \left( \sigma_2(R_p) + \frac{1}{6} \text{Tr } R_p^2 - \frac{1}{6} \text{Tr } R_p^{(2)} \right) dV_F &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Equalities (3.22) imply directly the following statement (see also Corollary 3.7).

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension-one  $F$ -totally geodesic foliation on a  $F$ -locally symmetric positively complete Finsler manifold  $(M, F)$  of finite  $F$ -volume and with condition (3.17)<sub>1</sub>. Then  $R_p$  vanishes identically provided that either  $M$  has everywhere non-negative (or, non-positive) Ricci curvature  $\text{Ric}$ , or  $\sigma_2(R_p)$  is non-negative.*

It has been observed in [7] that codimension-one foliations of compact negatively-Ricci curved Riemannian spaces are far (in a sense) from being totally umbilical. In the case of an  $F$ -totally umbilical foliation,  $A_p = H I_m$ , therefore on a locally symmetric Finsler space  $(M, F)$  the following can be derived from (3.15)–(3.16) etc. with the use of Lemma 5.1 of Appendix:

$$(3.23) \quad \int_M ((m-1)(m-2)H^2 - \text{Tr } R_p) dV_F = 0,$$

$$(3.24) \quad \int_M H \left( \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{3m-2} H^2 - \text{Tr } R_p \right) dV_F = 0.$$

These integrals for  $k$  even ((3.23), (3.24), etc.) contain polynomials depending on  $H^2$  only. If all the coefficients of such polynomials are positive, then the polynomials are positive for all values of  $H$  and one may easily get obstructions for existence of totally umbilical foliations on some Finsler manifolds.

## 4 Codimension-one foliated Randers spaces

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a transversally oriented codimension-one foliation of  $M^{m+1}$  equipped with a Randers metric

$$F(y) = \sqrt{a(y, y)} + \beta(y), \quad \|\beta\|_\alpha < 1, \quad \beta^\sharp \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F}).$$

As before, let us write  $a(\cdot, \cdot) = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $N$  be a unit  $a$ -normal vector field to  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.,  $\langle N, N \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle N, v \rangle = 0$  for  $v \in T\mathcal{F}$ , and  $n$  an  $F$ -normal vector field to  $\mathcal{F}$  with the property  $\langle n, n \rangle = 1$ . Denote by  $\bar{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric  $a$  and by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric  $g = g_n$  on  $M$ . According to [4, (1.15) and (1.19)] we have

$$(4.1) \quad \tau(y) = (m+2) \log \sqrt{(1 + \beta(y)/\alpha(y)) c^{-2}},$$

$$(4.2) \quad I_y(v) = \frac{m+2}{2F(y)} \left( \beta(v) - \frac{\langle v, y \rangle \beta(y)}{\alpha^2(y)} \right).$$

In particular,  $\tau(n) = 0$  and  $I_n(v) = \frac{m+2}{2c^4} \langle \beta^\sharp - (c^2 - 1)n, v \rangle$ . Remark that for Randers spaces

$$C_n(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{m+2} (I_n(u) h_n(v, w) + I_n(v) h_n(u, w) + I_n(w) h_n(u, v)),$$

where the angular form  $h_n$  is given by

$$(4.3) \quad h_n(u, v) = c^2 (\langle u, v \rangle - \langle u, n \rangle \langle v, n \rangle),$$

see [4, (1.11) and (1.20)]. Since  $\sigma_F = c^{m+2} \sqrt{\det a_{ij}}$ , see [4, p. 6], and  $\sqrt{\det g_{ij}(n)} = c^{m+2} \sqrt{\det a_{ij}}$ , see (2.6), the volume form of  $F$  and canonical volume forms of Riemannian metrics  $g$  and  $a$  obey

$$(4.4) \quad dV_F = c^{m+2} dV_a, \quad dV_g = c^{m+2} dV_a, \quad dV_F = dV_g.$$

Let  $Z = \nabla_\nu \nu$  (which is dual of  $\theta_g$  in Sect. 3.2) and  $\bar{Z} = \bar{\nabla}_N N$  be the curvature vectors of  $\nu$ -curves and  $N$ -curves for Riemannian metrics  $g$  and  $a$ , respectively.

#### 4.1 The shape operators of $g$ and $a$

The shape operators of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to the metrics  $a$  and  $g$  are defined as follows:

$$\bar{A}(u) = -\bar{\nabla}_u N, \quad A^g(u) = -\nabla_u \nu,$$

where  $u \in T\mathcal{F}$  and  $\nu = c^{-2}n = c^{-1}(N - c^{-1}\beta^\sharp)$  with  $c = \sqrt{1 - \|\beta\|_\alpha^2} > 0$ .

The derivative  $\bar{\nabla}u : TM \rightarrow TM$  is defined by  $(\bar{\nabla}u)(v) = \bar{\nabla}_v u = \bar{\nabla}_v u$ , where  $v \in TM$ . The conjugate derivative  $(\bar{\nabla}u)^t : TM \rightarrow TM$  is defined by  $\langle (\bar{\nabla}u)^t(v), w \rangle = \langle v, (\bar{\nabla}u)(w) \rangle$  for all  $v, w \in TM$ . The *deformation tensor*  $\bar{\text{Def}}$ ,

$$2\bar{\text{Def}}_u = \bar{\nabla}u + (\bar{\nabla}u)^t,$$

measures the degree to which the flow of a vector field  $u \in \Gamma(TM)$  distorts the metric  $a$ . The same notation  $\bar{\text{Def}}_u$  will be used for its dual (with respect to  $a$ ) (1, 1)-tensor. Set  $\bar{\text{Def}}_u^\top(v) = (\bar{\text{Def}}_u(v))^\top$ . For  $\beta \neq 0$ , let

$$\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta} = \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) - \langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp \rangle \beta^\sharp \cdot \|\beta^\sharp\|_\alpha^{-2}$$

be the projection of  $\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)$  on  $(\beta^\sharp)^\perp$ . Note that  $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta} = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\beta(N) = 0$  on  $M$ . Then on  $T\mathcal{F}$  we have*

$$(4.5) \quad cA^g = \bar{A} - c^{-2}(cN - \beta^\sharp)(c)I_m + c^{-1}(\bar{\text{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp})^\top|_{T\mathcal{F}} + U_1^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp + U_2 \otimes \beta,$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} U_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}c^{-2}((cN - \beta^\sharp)(c)\beta^\sharp - 2c^{-1}(\bar{\text{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}\beta^\sharp)^\top - \bar{\nabla}_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}^\top\beta^\sharp \\ &\quad + c\bar{Z} + c\beta(\bar{Z})\beta^\sharp - \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta}), \\ U_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\nabla}_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}^\top\beta^\sharp - c\bar{Z} - \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the well-known formula for Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ , using equalities  $g(u, n) = 0 = g(v, n)$  and  $g([u, v], n) = 0$ , we have

$$(4.7) \quad 2g(\nabla_u n, v) = n(g(u, v)) + g([u, n], v) + g([v, n], u) \quad (u, v \in T\mathcal{F}).$$

One may assume  $\bar{\nabla}_X^\top u = \bar{\nabla}_X^\top v = 0$  for all  $X \in T_p M$  at a given point  $p \in M$ . Using (2.11) with  $u = [u, n]$  and  $v = v$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n(g(u, v)) &= n(c^2(\langle u, v \rangle - \beta(u)\beta(v))) \\ &= n(c^2(\langle u, v \rangle - \beta(u)\beta(v)) - c^2\beta(u)(\bar{\nabla}_n \beta)(v) - c^2(\bar{\nabla}_n \beta)(u)\beta(v), \\ g([u, n], v) &= c^2(\langle [u, n], v \rangle + \beta(v)\langle [u, n], n \rangle) \\ &= -c^2\langle c\bar{A}(u) + \bar{\nabla}_u \beta^\sharp, v \rangle + c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}, u \rangle \beta(v), \\ g([v, n], u) &= c^2(\langle [v, n], u \rangle + \beta(u)\langle [v, n], n \rangle) \\ &= -c^2\langle c\bar{A}(v) + \bar{\nabla}_v \beta^\sharp, u \rangle + c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}, v \rangle \beta(u). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above into (4.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_u n, v) &= n(c^2(\langle u, v \rangle - \beta(u)\beta(v)) - 2c^3\langle \bar{A}(u), v \rangle - 2c^2\langle \overline{\text{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}(u), v \rangle \\ &\quad - c^2(\bar{\nabla}_n \beta)(u)\beta(v) - c^2\beta(u)(\bar{\nabla}_n \beta)(v) + c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}, u \rangle \beta(v) \\ (4.8) \quad &\quad + c^3\beta(u)\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}, v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.8), assuming  $g(\nabla_u n, v) = \langle \mathfrak{D}(u), v \rangle$  and using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$(4.9) \quad -2c^4 A^g(u) = 2\mathfrak{D}(u) + c^{-2}\langle 2\mathfrak{D}(u), \beta^\sharp \rangle \beta^\sharp,$$

where  $\mathfrak{D} : T\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}$  is a linear operator, and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathfrak{D}(u) &= n(c^2)(u - \beta(u)\beta^\sharp) - 2c^3\bar{A}(u) - 2c^2(\overline{\text{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}(u))^\top \\ &\quad - c^2(\bar{\nabla}_n^\top \beta)(u)\beta^\sharp - c^2\beta(u)\bar{\nabla}_n^\top \beta^\sharp + c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}, u \rangle \beta^\sharp \\ (4.10) \quad &\quad + c^3\beta(u)(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \mathfrak{D}(u), \beta^\sharp \rangle &= n(c^2)c^2\beta(u) - 2c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), u \rangle - 2c^2\langle \overline{\text{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}(\beta^\sharp), u \rangle \\ &\quad - c^2(1 - c^2)(\bar{\nabla}_n^\top \beta)(u) + c^3n(c)\beta(u) + c^3(1 - c^2)\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}, u \rangle \\ (4.11) \quad &\quad + c^3\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z}, \beta^\sharp \rangle \beta(u). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.9)–(4.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} cA^g &= \bar{A} - c^{-1}(N - c^{-1}\beta^\sharp)(c)I_m c^{-1}(\overline{\text{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp})_{|T\mathcal{F}}^\top \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}c^{-2}((cN - \beta^\sharp)(c)\beta^\sharp - 2c^{-1}(\overline{\text{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}\beta^\sharp)^\top - \bar{\nabla}_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}^\top \beta^\sharp + c\bar{Z} + c\langle \bar{Z}, \beta^\sharp \rangle \beta^\sharp \\ &\quad - \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + \langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp \rangle \beta^\sharp)^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\nabla}_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}^\top \beta^\sharp - c\bar{Z} - \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)) \otimes \beta. \end{aligned}$$

From the above the expected (4.5)–(4.6) follow.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\beta(N) = 0$ . If  $\|\beta\|_\alpha = \text{const}$  then on  $T\mathcal{F}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} cA^g &= \bar{A} + c^{-1}(\overline{\text{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp})_{|T\mathcal{F}}^\top + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\nabla}_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}^\top \beta^\sharp - c\bar{Z} - \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta}) \otimes \beta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}c^{-2}(2c^{-1}\overline{\text{Def}}_{\beta^\sharp}^\top(\beta^\sharp) + \bar{\nabla}_{N-c^{-1}\beta^\sharp}^\top \beta^\sharp + \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^{\perp\beta} \\ (4.12) \quad &\quad - c\bar{Z} - c\langle \bar{Z}, \beta^\sharp \rangle \beta^\sharp)^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp. \end{aligned}$$

If, in particular,  $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$  (i.e.,  $F$  is a Berwald structure) then

$$(4.13) \quad cA^g = \bar{A} - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^\perp + c\bar{Z}) \otimes \beta + \frac{1}{2} c^{-2} (\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^\perp - c\bar{Z} - c\langle \bar{Z}, \beta^\sharp \rangle \beta^\sharp)^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp.$$

## 4.2 The Riemann curvature of $g$ and $a$

In this section we study relationship between Riemann curvature of two metrics,  $g$  and  $a$ , on a Randers space.

**Proposition 4.3.** *For a codimension-one foliation of  $M$  with Riemannian metrics  $g$  and  $a$  we have*

$$(4.14) \quad Z = c^{-2}\bar{Z} - c^{-3}\bar{\nabla}^\top c + c^{-4}\beta(\bar{Z} - c^{-1}\bar{\nabla}^\top c)\beta^\sharp,$$

$$(4.15) \quad C_n^\sharp = c^{-2}\bar{C} + c^{-4}(\beta \circ \bar{C}) \otimes \beta^\sharp,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{C} &= \text{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{Z}) + c^{-3}(c\beta(\bar{Z}) - 2\beta^\sharp(c) - n(c))(I_m - \beta \otimes \beta^\sharp) \\ &\quad - c^{-1}\text{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{\nabla}^\top c) + c^{-1}(\beta^\sharp(c) + n(c))(I_m - 3\beta \otimes \beta^\sharp). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$(4.16) \quad \langle \bar{\nabla}_u \bar{Z}, v \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_v \bar{Z}, u \rangle, \quad g(\nabla_u Z, v) = g(\nabla_v Z, u) \quad (u, v \in T\mathcal{F}),$$

$$(4.17) \quad \bar{R}_N = (\bar{\text{Def}}_Z)^\top|_{T\mathcal{F}} + \bar{\nabla}_N \bar{A} - \bar{A}^2 - \bar{Z}^\flat \otimes \bar{Z}, \quad R_\nu^g = (\text{Def}_Z)^\top|_{T\mathcal{F}} + \nabla_\nu A - A^2 - Z^\flat \otimes Z.$$

*Proof.* Extend  $X \in T_p\mathcal{F}$  at a point  $p \in M$  onto a neighborhood of  $p$  with the property  $(\bar{\nabla}_Y X)^\top = 0$  for any  $Y \in T_pM$ . By the well known formula for the Levi-Civita connection, we obtain at  $p$ :

$$g(Z, X) = g([X, \nu], \nu).$$

Then, using the equalities  $\nu = c^{-1}N - c^{-2}\beta^\sharp$  and  $[X, fY] = X(f)Y + f[X, Y]$ , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, \nu], \nu) &= c^{-4}X(c)g(N, \beta^\sharp) - c^{-3}X(c)g(N, N) \\ &\quad + c^{-2}g([X, N], N) - c^{-3}g([X, N], \beta^\sharp). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$[X, N] = \bar{\nabla}_X N - \bar{\nabla}_N X = -\bar{A}(X) - \langle \bar{\nabla}_N X, N \rangle N = -\bar{A}(X) + \langle \bar{Z}, X \rangle N$$

and  $N = c\nu + c^{-1}\beta^\sharp$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2 and the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} g(\beta^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) &= c^2(\langle \beta^\sharp, \beta^\sharp \rangle - \beta(\beta^\sharp)^2) = c^4(1 - c^2), \\ g(N, \beta^\sharp) &= g(c\nu + c^{-1}\beta^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) = c^{-1}g(\beta^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) = c^3(1 - c^2), \\ g(N, N) &= g(c\nu + c^{-1}\beta^\sharp, c\nu + c^{-1}\beta^\sharp) = c^2 + c^{-2}g(\beta^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) = c^2(2 - c^2), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, N], N) &= -\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), X \rangle + \langle \bar{Z}, X \rangle g(N, N) = c^2\langle (2 - c^2)\bar{Z} - c\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), X \rangle, \\ g([X, N], \beta^\sharp) &= -\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), X \rangle + \langle \bar{Z}, X \rangle g(N, \beta^\sharp) = c^3\langle (1 - c^2)\bar{Z} - c\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$g(Z, X) = -c^{-1}X(c) + \langle \bar{Z}, X \rangle = \langle \bar{Z} - c^{-1}\bar{\nabla}c, X \rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get (4.14). From (4.2)–(4.3), (4.14) and a bit of help from Maple program we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2C_n(u, v, Z) &= \langle \bar{Z}, u \rangle \beta(v) + \langle \bar{Z}, v \rangle \beta(u) \\ &+ c^{-3}(c\beta(\bar{Z}) - 2\beta^\sharp(c) - n(c))(\langle u, v \rangle - \beta(u)\beta(v)) \\ &- c^{-1}(u(c)\beta(v) + v(c)\beta(u)) + c^{-1}(\beta^\sharp(c) + n(c))(\langle u, v \rangle - 3\beta(u)\beta(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Using  $g(C_n^\sharp(u), v) = \langle \bar{C}(u), v \rangle$ , where  $C_n^\sharp$  is  $g$ -dual to  $C_n(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla_n n)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{C}(u) &= \langle \bar{Z}, u \rangle \beta^\sharp + \beta(u)\bar{Z} + c^{-3}(c\beta(\bar{Z}) - 2\beta^\sharp(c) - n(c))(u - \beta(u)\beta^\sharp) \\ &- c^{-1}(u(c)\beta^\sharp + \beta(u)\bar{\nabla}^\top c) + c^{-1}(\beta^\sharp(c) + n(c))(u - 3\beta(u)\beta^\sharp), \end{aligned}$$

we apply Lemma 2.3 to get (4.15).

We shall prove (4.16) and (4.17) for  $a$ . It is sufficient to show that

$$(4.18) \quad \langle \bar{R}(u, N)N, v \rangle = \langle (\bar{\nabla}_N \bar{A} - \bar{A}^2)(u), v \rangle - \langle \bar{Z}, u \rangle \langle \bar{Z}, v \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_u \bar{Z}, v \rangle, \quad u, v \in T\mathcal{F}.$$

Since the left hand side of (4.18) is symmetric, we obtain  $\langle \bar{\nabla}_u \bar{Z}, v \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_v \bar{Z}, u \rangle$ , see (4.17)<sub>1</sub> and (4.16)<sub>1</sub>. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}(u, N)N, v \rangle &= \langle \bar{\nabla}_u \bar{\nabla}_N N, v \rangle - \langle \bar{\nabla}_N \bar{\nabla}_u N, v \rangle - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\nabla}_u N - \bar{\nabla}_N u} N, v \rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{\nabla}_u \bar{Z}, v \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_N (\bar{A}(u)), v \rangle - \langle \bar{A}^2(u), v \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\langle \bar{\nabla}_N u, N \rangle N} N, v \rangle - \langle \bar{A}(\bar{\nabla}_N^\top u), v \rangle \\ &= \langle (\bar{\nabla}_N \bar{A} - \bar{A}^2)(u), v \rangle - \langle \bar{Z}, u \rangle \langle \bar{Z}, v \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_u \bar{Z}, v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

that completes the proof of (4.18). The proof of (4.16)<sub>2</sub> and (4.17)<sub>2</sub> (for the metric  $g$ ) is similar.  $\square$

By (4.15), the equality  $C_n^\sharp = 0$  is independent of the condition  $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$ . Moreover, we have the following.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $m > 3$  and  $c = \text{const}$ . Then  $C_n^\sharp = 0$  if and only if  $\bar{Z} = 0$ .

*Proof.* By our assumptions,  $\bar{C} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{Z}) + \frac{1}{2} c^{-2} \beta(\bar{Z})(I_m - \beta \otimes \beta^\sharp)$ . Hence,  $C_n^\sharp = 0$  reads

$$\beta(\bar{Z})I_m = \beta(\bar{Z})\beta \otimes \beta^\sharp - c^2 \text{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{Z}) - 2(\beta \circ \bar{C}) \otimes \beta^\sharp.$$

Since the matrix  $\beta(\bar{Z})I_m$  is conformal, while the matrix in the right hand side of above equality has the form  $\omega \otimes \beta^\sharp - c^2 \bar{Z}^{\perp\beta} \otimes \beta$  and  $\text{rank} \leq 3$ , for  $m > 3$  we obtain

$$\beta(\bar{Z}) = 0, \quad \text{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{Z}) + 2c^{-2}(\beta \circ \bar{C}) \otimes \beta^\sharp = 0.$$

By the first condition,  $\bar{Z} \perp \beta^\sharp$ ; thus, the second condition yields  $\bar{Z} = 0$  (that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Riemannian foliation for the metric  $a$ ) and  $\bar{C} = 0$ . The converse claim follows directly from (4.15) and the definition of  $\bar{C}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** In [15] and [5] one may find coordinate presentations of  $R_y$  through  $\bar{R}_y$  for all  $y \in TM$ . For example, if  $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$  (i.e.,  $F$  is a Berwald structure) then  $R_y(u) = \bar{R}_y(u)$  for all  $u$ . Alternative formulas with relationship between  $R_\nu$  and  $\bar{R}_\nu$  follow from (4.17), where  $A^g$  and  $Z$  are expressed using  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{Z}$  given in Propositions 4.1 and 4.3.

### 4.3 Around the Reeb and Brito-Langevin-Rosenberg formula

Based on (3.13) and (3.21), one may produce a sequence of similar formulae for Randers spaces. We will discuss first two of them (i.e.,  $k = 1, 2$ ).

**Remark 4.2.** In [10], G. Reeb proved that the total mean curvature of the leaves of a codimension-one foliation on a closed Riemannian manifold equals zero. Note that  $\text{Tr Def}_{\beta^\sharp}^\top = \overline{\text{div}} \beta^\sharp + \beta(\bar{Z})$ , where  $\bar{Z} = \bar{\nabla}_N N$  is the curvature vector of  $N$ -curves for the metric  $a$ . Using notations of Appendix, we find from (4.6),

$$\beta(U_1) = -\frac{2-c^2}{2c} N(c) - \frac{1}{2} \beta^\sharp(c) - \frac{2-c^2}{2c} \beta(\bar{Z}), \quad \beta(U_2) = -\frac{1}{2} (cN - \beta^\sharp)(c) - \frac{1}{2} c \beta(\bar{Z}).$$

Hence,

$$\beta(U_1) + \beta(U_2) = -c^{-1}(N(c) + \beta(\bar{Z})).$$

Tracing (4.5), we get

$$c \sigma_1(A^g) = \sigma_1(\bar{A}) - (m+1)c^{-1}N(c) + m c^{-2} \beta^\sharp(c) + c^{-1} \overline{\text{div}} \beta^\sharp.$$

The volume forms of  $g$  and  $a$  obey  $dV_g = c^{m+2} dV_a$ , see (4.4). Using the Reeb formula for metric  $g$ ,

$$\int_M \sigma_1(A^g) dV_g = 0,$$

the equality  $\overline{\text{div}}(c^m \beta^\sharp) = c^m \overline{\text{div}} \beta^\sharp + \beta^\sharp(c^m)$  and the Divergence Theorem, we get

$$(4.19) \quad \int_M (c^{m+1} \sigma_1(\bar{A}) - N(c^{m+1})) dV_a = 0,$$

which for  $\beta = 0$  is the Reeb formula for metric  $a$ . Remark that (4.19) is a particular case of a general formula for any  $f \in C^2(M)$ , see [12, Lemma 2.5]:

$$\int_M (f \sigma_1(\bar{A}) - N(f)) dV_a = 0.$$

The next results concern Brito-Langevin-Rosenberg type formulas for foliated Randers spaces.

The *Newton transformations*  $T_k(A)$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ) of an  $m \times m$  matrix  $A$  (see [12]) are defined either inductively by  $T_0(A) = I_m$ ,  $T_k(A) = \sigma_k(A)I_m - A T_{k-1}(A)$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) or explicitly as

$$T_k(A) = \sigma_k(A)I_m - \sigma_{k-1}(A)A + \dots + (-1)^k A^k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m,$$

and we have  $T_k(\lambda A) = \lambda^k T_k(A)$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Observe that if a rank-one matrix  $A := U \otimes \beta$  (and similarly for  $A := \omega \otimes \beta^\sharp$ ) has zero trace, i.e.,  $\beta(U) = 0$ , then

$$A^2 = U(\beta^\sharp)^t \cdot U(\beta^\sharp)^t = U\beta(U)(\beta^\sharp)^t = \beta(U)A = 0.$$

Note that for  $c = \text{const}$  we have, see (4.15),  $C_n^\sharp = c^{-2}\bar{C} + c^{-4}(\beta \circ \bar{C}) \otimes \beta^\sharp$ , where  $C_n^\sharp = c^2 C_n^\sharp$  and

$$2\bar{C} = \text{Sym}(\beta \otimes \bar{Z}) + c^{-2}\beta(\bar{Z})(I_m - \beta \otimes \beta^\sharp).$$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(M^{m+1}, \alpha + \beta)$  be a codimension-one foliated closed Randers space with constant sectional curvature  $\bar{K}$  of  $a$ . If a nonzero vector field  $\beta^\sharp \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$  obeys  $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$ , then  $\bar{K} = 0$  and for  $1 \leq k \leq m$  we have*

$$(4.20) \quad \int_M \left( \sum_{j>0} \sigma_{k-j,j}(\bar{A}, cC_\nu^\sharp) + \langle T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + cC_\nu^\sharp)(\beta^\sharp), U_1 \rangle + \langle T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + cC_\nu^\sharp + U_1^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp)(U_2), \beta^\sharp \rangle \right) dV_a = 0,$$

where  $U_1 = \frac{1}{2} c^{-2}(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) - c\bar{Z})$ ,  $U_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) + c\bar{Z})$ . Moreover, if  $m > 3$  and  $\bar{Z} = 0$  then

$$(4.21) \quad \int_M \langle (c^{-2}T_{k-1}(\bar{A}) - T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + \frac{1}{2}c^{-2}\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp))(\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)), \beta^\sharp \rangle dV_a = 0.$$

*Proof.* By our assumptions,  $c = \text{const}$  and  $\bar{R}(x, y)z = \bar{K}(\langle y, z \rangle x - \langle x, z \rangle y)$ . Hence, on  $T\mathcal{F}$

$$\bar{R}_N = \bar{K}I_m, \quad \bar{R}_{\beta^\sharp} = (1 - c^2)\bar{K}I_m, \quad \bar{R}(\cdot, N)\beta^\sharp = 0.$$

If  $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$  then  $\bar{R}(U, \beta^\sharp, \beta^\sharp, U) = 0$  and  $\bar{K}(U \wedge \beta^\sharp) = 0$  for all  $U \perp \beta^\sharp$ ; hence, in our case,  $\bar{K} = 0$ . By Remark 4.1,  $R_y = \bar{R}_y$  for all  $y \in TM_0$ ; hence,  $R_y = 0$ . Since  $\bar{\nabla}\beta^\sharp = 0$ , we obtain  $\beta(\bar{Z}) = 0$  and  $\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp \rangle = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta^\sharp, \bar{Z} \rangle &= \langle \beta^\sharp, \bar{\nabla}_N N \rangle = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_N \beta^\sharp, N \rangle = 0, \\ \langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp \rangle &= -\langle \beta^\sharp, \bar{\nabla}_{\beta^\sharp} N \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\beta^\sharp} \beta^\sharp, N \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.9) and Corollary 4.2,

$$cA = cA^g + cC_\nu^\sharp = \bar{A} + cC_\nu^\sharp + A_1 + A_2,$$

where  $A_1 = U_1^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp$  and  $A_2 = U_2 \otimes \beta$  are rank  $\leq 1$  matrices (since  $\langle U_i, \beta^\sharp \rangle = 0$ ). By Corollary 5.5 of Appendix, we have

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} c^k \sigma_k(A) &= \sigma_k(\bar{A}) + \sum_{j>0} \sigma_{k-j,j}(\bar{A}, cC_\nu^\sharp) + U_1(T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + cC_\nu^\sharp)(\beta^\sharp)) \\ &+ \beta(T_{k-1}(\bar{A} + cC_\nu^\sharp + A_1)(U_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $dV_F = c^{m+2} dV_a$ , see (4.4). Comparing (3.21) (when  $K = 0$ ) with

$$\int_M \sigma_k(\bar{A}_p) dV_a = 0,$$

we find (4.20). By Corollary 4.4, if  $m > 3$ ,  $\bar{Z} = 0$  then  $C_\nu^\sharp = 0$ ; hence, (4.20) yields (4.21).  $\square$

**Example 4.3.** For  $k = 1$ , (4.20) yields the Reeb type formula

$$\int_M \sigma_1(C_\nu^\sharp) dV_a = 0.$$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $(M^{m+1}, \alpha + \beta)$ ,  $m > 3$ , be a codimension-one foliated closed Randers space with constant sectional curvature  $\bar{K}$  of  $a$ . If  $\bar{Z} = 0$  and a nonzero vector field  $\beta^\sharp \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$  obeys  $\bar{\nabla}\beta = 0$  then  $\bar{K} = 0$  and  $\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) = 0$  at any point of  $M$ . If, in addition,  $\mathcal{F}$  is totally umbilical ( $\bar{A} = \bar{H} \cdot I_m$ ) then  $\mathcal{F}$  is totally geodesic.*

*Proof.* For  $k = 2$ , the integrand in (4.21) reduces to  $\frac{c^2-1}{4c^2} \|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|^2$ . Thus, when  $c \neq 1$ , the claim follows.

Nevertheless, we will give alternative proof with use of integral formula (3.15). Our Randers space  $(M, \alpha + \beta)$  is now Berwald. For the rank 1 matrices  $A_1 = U_1^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp$  and  $A_2 = U_2 \otimes \beta$ , where  $U_1 = \frac{1}{2} c^{-2} \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)$  and  $U_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)$  and  $\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp \rangle = 0$ , see (4.13) with  $\bar{Z} = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(A_1 A_2) &= \langle U_1, U_2 \rangle \beta(\beta^\sharp) = \frac{c^2 - 1}{4c^2} \|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|_\alpha^2, \\ \text{Tr}(\bar{A} A_1) &= \langle U_1, \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) \rangle = \frac{1}{2c^2} \|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|_\alpha^2, \\ \text{Tr}(\bar{A} A_2) &= \langle U_2, \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|_\alpha^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\text{Tr}(A_1 A_2 + \bar{A} A_1 + \bar{A} A_2) = \frac{1-c^2}{4c^2} \|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|^2$ . By the identity for square matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2\left(\sum_i A_i\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}^2\left(\sum_i A_i\right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}\left(\left(\sum_i A_i\right)^2\right) \\ &= \sum_i \sigma_2(A_i) + \sum_{i < j} \left(\text{Tr} A_i \text{Tr} A_j - \text{Tr}(A_i A_j)\right), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sigma_2(A_1) = \sigma_2(A_2) = 0$ , by the above and since  $cA = cA^g = \bar{A} + A_1 + A_2$ , we get

$$c^2 \sigma_2(A) = c^2 \sigma_2(A^g) = \sigma_2(\bar{A}) + \frac{1}{4} (c^{-2} - 1) \|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|_\alpha^2.$$

From the integral formulae, (3.20), for  $F$  and for Riemannian metric  $a$ ,

$$\int_M \sigma_2(\bar{A}) dV_a = 0, \quad \int_M \sigma_2(A) dV_F = 0,$$

where the volume forms are related by  $dV_F = c^{m+2} dV_a$ , see (2.6), we find that  $(c^{-2} - 1) \int_M \|\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp)\|_\alpha^2 dV_a = 0$ . Since  $c \neq 1$  (for  $\beta \neq 0$ ), we obtain  $\bar{A}(\beta^\sharp) = 0$ .  $\square$

Similar integral formulae exist for codimension one totally umbilical (i.e.,  $\bar{A} = \bar{H} I_m$ , where  $\bar{H} = \frac{1}{m} \text{Tr} \bar{A}$ ) and totally geodesic foliations. Notice that non-flat closed Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature do not admit such foliations.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension-one totally umbilical (for the metric  $a$ ) foliation of a closed Randers space  $(M^{m+1}, \alpha + \beta)$  with constant sectional curvature  $\bar{K}$  of  $a$ . If a nonzero vector field  $\beta^\sharp \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$  obeys  $\nabla \beta^\sharp = 0$  then  $\bar{K} = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is totally geodesic and for  $1 \leq k \leq m$  (for  $k = 1$ , see also Example 4.3) we have*

$$(4.23) \quad \int_M \left( c^k \sigma_k(C_\nu^\sharp) - \frac{1}{2} c^{-1} \langle T_{k-1}(c C_\nu^\sharp)(\beta^\sharp), \bar{Z} \rangle - \frac{c}{2} \langle T_{k-1}(c C_\nu^\sharp - \frac{1}{2} c^{-1} \bar{Z}^\flat \otimes \beta^\sharp)(\bar{Z}), \beta^\sharp \rangle \right) dV_a = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\langle \bar{A}(\beta^\sharp), \beta^\sharp \rangle = 0$  (see the proof of Theorem 4.5), we obtain  $\bar{H} = 0$ . Thus, (4.23) follows from (4.20) with  $\bar{A} = 0$  and  $\beta(\bar{Z}) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** In results of this section, a closed manifold can be replaced by a complete manifold of finite volume with bounded geometry, see conditions (3.17).

## 5 Appendix: Invariants of a set of matrices

Here, we collect the properties of the invariants  $\sigma_\lambda(A_1, \dots, A_k)$  of real matrices  $A_i$  that generalize the elementary symmetric functions of a single symmetric matrix  $A$ . Let  $S_k$  be the group of all permutations of  $k$  elements. Given arbitrary quadratic  $m \times m$  real matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  and the unit matrix  $I_m$ , one can consider the determinant  $\det(I_m + t_1 A_1 + \dots + t_k A_k)$  and express it as a polynomial of real variables  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ . Given  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , a sequence of nonnegative integers with  $|\lambda| := \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k \leq m$ , we shall denote by  $\sigma_\lambda(A_1, \dots, A_k)$  its coefficient at  $\mathbf{t}^\lambda = t_1^{\lambda_1} \dots t_k^{\lambda_k}$ :

$$(5.1) \quad \det(I_m + t_1 A_1 + \dots + t_k A_k) = \sum_{|\lambda| \leq m} \sigma_\lambda(A_1, \dots, A_k) \mathbf{t}^\lambda.$$

Evidently, the quantities  $\sigma_\lambda$  are invariants of conjugation by  $GL(m)$ -matrices:

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma_\lambda(A_1, \dots, A_k) = \sigma_\lambda(QA_1Q^{-1}, \dots, QA_kQ^{-1})$$

for all  $A_i$ 's,  $\lambda$ 's and nonsingular  $m \times m$  matrices  $Q$ . Certainly,  $\sigma_i(A)$  (for a single symmetric matrix  $A$ ) coincides with the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial of the eigenvalues  $\{k_j\}$  of  $A$ .

In the next lemma, we collect properties of these invariants.

**Lemma 5.1** (see [13]). *For any  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  and any  $m \times m$  matrices  $A_i, A$  and  $B$  one has*

- (I)  $\sigma_\lambda(0, A_2, \dots, A_k) = 0$  if  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and  $\sigma_{0, \hat{\lambda}}(A_1, \dots, A_k) = \sigma_{\hat{\lambda}}(A_2, \dots, A_k)$  where  $\hat{\lambda} = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ ,
- (II)  $\sigma_\lambda(A_{s(1)}, \dots, A_{s(k)}) = \sigma_{\lambda \circ s}(A_1, \dots, A_k)$ , where  $s \in S_k$  and  $\lambda \circ s = (\lambda_{s(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{s(k)})$ ,
- (III)  $\sigma_\lambda(I_m, A_2, \dots, A_k) = \binom{m - |\hat{\lambda}|}{\lambda_1} \sigma_{\hat{\lambda}}(A_2, \dots, A_k)$ ,
- (IV)  $\sigma_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \hat{\lambda}}(A, A, A_3, \dots, A_k) = \binom{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \sigma_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \hat{\lambda}}(A, A_3, \dots, A_k)$ ,
- (V)  $\sigma_{1, \hat{\lambda}}(A + B, A_2, \dots, A_k) = \sigma_{1, \hat{\lambda}}(A, A_2, \dots, A_k) + \sigma_{1, \hat{\lambda}}(B, A_2, \dots, A_k)$  and  $\sigma_\lambda(aA_1, A_2, \dots, A_k) = a^{\lambda_1} \sigma_\lambda(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$  if  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

The invariants defined above can be used in calculation of the determinant of a matrix  $B(t)$  expressed as a power series  $B(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i B_i$ . Indeed, if one wants to express  $\det(B(t))$  as a power series in  $t$ , then the coefficient at  $t^j$  depends only on the part  $\sum_{i \leq j} t^i B_i$  of  $B(t)$ .

**Lemma 5.2** ([13]). *If  $B(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the  $m \times m$  matrix given by  $B(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i B_i$ ,  $B_0 = I_m$  then*

$$(5.3) \quad \det(B(t)) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\lambda, \|\lambda\|=k} \sigma_\lambda(B_1, \dots, B_k) \right) t^k,$$

where  $\|\lambda\| = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + k\lambda_k$  for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ . □

Since  $\det : \mathcal{M}(m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(m) \approx \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$  being the space of all  $m \times m$ -matrices, is a polynomial function, the series in (5.3) is convergent for all  $t \in (-r_0, r_0)$ , where  $r_0 = 1 / \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_k\|^{1/k}$  is the radius of convergence of the series  $B(t)$ .

By the First Fundamental Theorem of Matrix Invariants, see [6], all the invariants  $\sigma_\lambda$  can be expressed in terms of the traces of the matrices involved and their products.

**Lemma 5.3** ([13]). *For arbitrary matrices  $B, C$  and  $k, l > 0$  we have*

$$\sigma_{k,l}(B, C) = \sigma_k(B) \sigma_l(C) - \sum_{i=1}^{\min(k,l)} \sigma_{k-i, l-i, i}(B, C, BC).$$

*In particular, for  $l = 1$ , it follows that*

$$(5.4) \quad \sigma_{k,1}(B, C) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \sigma_{k-i}(B) \operatorname{Tr}(B^i C) = \operatorname{Tr}(T_k(B)C).$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $A, C$  be  $m \times m$  matrices and  $\operatorname{rank} A = 1$ . Then*

$$(5.5) \quad \sigma_k(C + A) = \sigma_k(C) + \operatorname{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C)A).$$

*Proof.* There exists a nonsingular matrix  $Q$  such that  $\tilde{A} = QAQ^{-1}$  has one nonzero element,  $\tilde{a}_{1i} \neq 0$  for some  $i$  (the simplest rank one matrix). By (5.2),  $\sigma_{k,l}(\tilde{C}, \tilde{A}) = \sigma_{k,l}(C, A)$  where  $\tilde{C} = QCQ^{-1}$ . By Laplace's formula (which expresses the determinant of a matrix in terms of its minors),  $\det(I_m + t\tilde{C} + s\tilde{A})$  is a linear function in  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ; hence, see (5.1),  $\sigma_{k,l}(\tilde{C}, \tilde{A}) = 0$  for  $l > 1$ . By the above,  $\sigma_{k,l}(C, A) = 0$  for  $l > 1$  and all  $k$ . Using the identity, see [13],

$$(5.6) \quad \sigma_k(C_1 + C_2) = \sum_{i=0}^k \sigma_{k-i, i}(C_1, C_2),$$

we find that

$$\sigma_k(C + A) = \sigma_k(C) + \sigma_{k-1,1}(C, A).$$

By (5.4),  $\sigma_{k-1,1}(C, A) = \operatorname{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C)A)$  and (5.5) follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $C, D, A_i$  be  $m \times m$  matrices and  $\operatorname{rank} A_i = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ). Then*

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sigma_k(C + D + A_1 + \dots + A_s) = \sigma_k(C) + \sum_{j>0} \sigma_{k-j, j}(C, D) \\ & + \operatorname{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C + D)A_1) + \dots + \operatorname{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C + D + A_1 + \dots + A_{s-1})A_s). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 5.4 and (5.4). For  $s = 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_k(C + D + A_1) \stackrel{(5.5)}{=} \sigma_k(C + D) + \operatorname{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C + D)A_1) \\ & \stackrel{(5.6)}{=} \sigma_k(C) + \sum_{j>0} \sigma_{k-j, j}(C, D) + \operatorname{Tr}(T_{k-1}(C + D)A_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by induction for  $s$ , (5.7) follows.  $\square$

Let  $C_i$  and  $P_i$  be  $m$ -vectors (columns) and  $I_m$  the identity  $m$ -matrix and  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$ . Note that  $C_i P_j^t$  are  $m \times m$ -matrices of rank 1 with

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_1(C_i P_j^t) = C_i^t P_j = P_j^t C_i, \quad \sigma_2(C_i P_j^t) = 0, \\ & (I_m + C_i P_j^t)^{-1} = I_m - (1 + C_i^t P_j)^{-1} C_i P_j^t. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.6.** *We have  $\det(I_m + \sum_{i=1}^k C_i P_i^t) = 1 + \det(\{C_i^t P_j\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq k})$ . For example,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(I_m + C_1 P_1^t) = 1 + C_1^t P_1, \\ & \det(I_m + C_1 P_1^t + C_2 P_2^t) = 1 + C_1^t P_1 + C_2^t P_2 + C_1^t P_1 \cdot C_2^t P_2 - C_1^t P_2 \cdot C_2^t P_1, \end{aligned}$$

*and so on.*

## References

- [1] K. Andrzejewski, V. Rovenski and P. Walczak, *Integral formulas in foliation theory*, 73-82, in “*Geometry and its Applications*”, Springer Proc. in Math. and Statistics, 72, Springer, 2014.
- [2] D. Bao, S.S. Chern, Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Springer, 2000.
- [3] F. Brito, R. Langevin, H. Rosenberg, *Intégrales de courbure sur des variétés feuilletées*. J. Diff. Geom. 16 (1981), 19-50.
- [4] X. Cheng, Z. Shen, *Finsler geometry. An approach via Randers spaces*, Springer, 2012.
- [5] S.S. Chern, Z. Shen, *Riemann-Finsler geometry*, World Scientific, 2005.
- [6] G.G. Gurevich, *Foundations of the theory of algebraic invariants*, Noordhof, Groningen, 1964.
- [7] R. Langevin, P. Walczak, *Conformal geometry of foliations*, Geom. Dedic. 132 (2008), 135-178.
- [8] X. Mo, *An introduction to Finsler geometry*, World Scientific, 2006.
- [9] G. Randers, *On an asymmetrical metric in the four-space of general relativity*, Phys. Rev. 59, (1941) 195-199.
- [10] G. Reeb, *Sur la courbure moyenne des variétés intégrales d’une équation de Pfaff  $\omega = 0$* . C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 231, (1950) 101-102.
- [11] V. Rovenski, *Foliations on Riemannian manifolds and submanifolds*, Birkhäuser, Boston 1998.
- [12] V. Rovenski, P. Walczak, *Topics in extrinsic geometry of codimension-one foliations*, Springer 2011.
- [13] V. Rovenski, P. Walczak, *Integral formulae on foliated symmetric spaces*, Math. Ann. 352, (2012) 223-237.
- [14] Z. Shen, *On Finsler geometry of submanifolds*, Math. Ann. 311, (1998) 549-576.
- [15] Z. Shen, *Lectures on Finsler geometry*, World Scientific Publishers, 2001.
- [16] P. Topping, *Lectures on the Ricci flow*, LMS Lecture Notes 325, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.

*Authors’ addresses:*

Vladimir Rovenski  
 Faculty of Natural Sciences, Department of Mathematics,  
 University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, 31905 Haifa, Israel.  
 E-mail: vrovenski@univ.haifa.ac.il

Paweł Walczak  
 Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
 Department of Geometry, University of Łódź,  
 22 Banach Str., 90-238 Łódź, Poland.  
 E-mail: pawelwal@math.uni.lodz.pl