BULLETIN OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS ISSN: 1821-1291, URL: http://www.bmathaa.org Volume 1 Issue 3(2009), Pages 64-70.

SOME RESULTS ON $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -MANIFOLDS

(DEDICATED IN OCCASION OF THE 65-YEARS OF PROFESSOR R.K. RAINA)

G.T. SREENIVASA, VENKATESHA AND C.S. BAGEWADI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study Lorentzian Concircular Structure manifolds (briefly $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold) and obtain some interesting results.

1. INTRODUCTION

An (2n+1)-dimensional Lorentzian manifold M is a smooth connected paracompact Hausdorff manifold with a Lorentzian metric g, that is, M admits a smooth symmetric tensor field g of type (0, 2) such that for each point $p \in M$, the tensor $g_p: T_pM \times T_pM \to R$ is a non-degenerate inner product of signature (-,+,...,+), where T_pM denotes the tangent space of M at p and R is the real number space.

In a Lorentzian manifold (M,g) a vector field P defined by

$$g(X,P) = A(X),$$

for any vector field $X \in \chi(M)$ is said to be a concircular vector field [8] if

$$(\nabla_X A)(Y) = \alpha[g(X, Y) + \omega(X)A(Y)],$$

where α is a non-zero scalar function, A is a 1-form and ω is a closed 1-form. Let M be a Lorentzian manifold admitting a unit timelike concircular vector field ξ , called the characteristic vector field of the manifold. Then we have

$$g(\xi,\xi) = -1.$$
(1.1)

Since ξ is a unit concircular vector field, there exists a non-zero 1-form η such that

$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X) \tag{1.2}$$

the equation of the following form holds:

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \alpha[g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)] \quad (\alpha \neq 0)$$
(1.3)

for all vector fields X, Y where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to Lorentzian metric g and α is a non-zero scalar function satisfying

$$\nabla_X \alpha = (X\alpha) = \rho \eta(X), \tag{1.4}$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C10, 53C15, 53C20.

Key words and phrases. $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold, pseudo projective curvature tensor, η -Einstein. ©2009 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted November, 2008. Published November 2009.

 ρ being a certain scalar function. If we put

$$\phi X = \frac{1}{\alpha} \nabla_X \xi, \tag{1.5}$$

then from (1.3) and (1.5) we have

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \tag{1.6}$$

from which if follows that ϕ is a symmetric (1,1) tensor. Thus the Lorentzian manifold M together with the unit timelike concircular vector field ξ , its associated 1-form η and (1,1) tensor field ϕ is said to be a Lorentzian concircular structure manifold (briefly $(LCS)_{2n+1} - manifold)$ [9]. Especially, if we take $\alpha = 1$, then we can obtain the LP-Sasakian structure of Matsumoto [6].

A Riemannian manifold M is called locally symmetric if its curvature tensor R is parallel, that is, $\nabla R = 0$, where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection. As a proper generalization of locally symmetric manifold the notion of semi-symmetric manifold was defined by

$$(R(X,Y) \cdot R)(U,V,W) = 0, \quad X, \quad W \in \chi(M)$$

and studied by many authors (e.g. [7], [11]). A complete intrinsic classification of these spaces was given by Z.I. Szabo [11]. The notion of semi-symmetry was weakened by R. Deszcz and his coauthors ([4]- [5]) and introduced the notion of pseudosymmetric and Ricci-Pseudosymmetric manifolds.

We define endomorphisms R(X, Y) and $X \wedge Y$ by

$$R(X,Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z, \qquad (1.7)$$

$$(X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y, \qquad (1.8)$$

respectively, where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M), \chi(M)$ being the Lie algebra of vector fields on M.

The present paper deals with the study of $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold satisfying certian conditions. After preliminaries, in section 3 we show that $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold satisfying the condition R(X, Y). $\overline{P} = 0$, where \overline{P} is the pseudo projective curvature tensor and R(X, Y) is considred as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the manifold for tangent vectors X, Y is an pseudo projectively flat manifold. In section 4 we study pseudo projectively flat $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold and proved that it is an η -Einstein manifold. Section 5 is devoted to the study of pseudo projectively recurrent $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold. In the last section we study partially Ricci-pseudosymmetric $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifolds.

2. Preliminaries

A differentiable manifold M of dimension (2n+1) is called $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold if it admits a (1, 1)-tensor field ϕ , a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and a Lorentzian metric g which satisfy

$$\eta(\xi) = -1,\tag{2.1}$$

$$\phi^2 = I + \eta \otimes \xi, \tag{2.2}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \qquad (2.3)$$

$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$.

Also in a $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold M the following relations are satisfied ([10]):

$$\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = (\alpha^{2} - \rho)[g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)], \qquad (2.6)$$

$$R(\xi,X)Y = (\alpha^{2} - \rho)(g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X), \qquad (2.7)$$

$$R(X,Y)\xi = (\alpha^{2} - \rho)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (2.8)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = (\alpha^{2} - \rho)(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X),$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (\alpha^{2} - \rho)[g(Y)X - \eta(Y)X]$$
(2.7)
(2.8)

$$\mathcal{K}(X,Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \rho)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\xi,Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \rho)[\eta(Y)\xi + Y)] \qquad (2.9)$$

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = (\alpha^{2} - \rho)[\eta(X)\xi + X)],$$
(2.9)

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = \alpha[g(X, Y)\xi + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X], \qquad (2.10)$$

$$S(X,\xi) = 2n(\alpha^2 - \rho)\eta(X),$$
 (2.11)

$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + 2n(\alpha^2 - \rho)\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$
 (2.12)

where S is the Ricci curvature and Q is the Ricci operator given by S(X,Y) =g(QX, Y).

3. $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -Manifolds Satisfying $R(X,Y).\overline{P} = 0$

The Pseudo projective curvature tensor \overline{P} is defined as [2]

$$\overline{P}(X,Y)Z = aR(X,Y)Z + b[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y]$$

$$-\frac{r}{(2n+1)} \left[\frac{a}{2n} + b\right] [g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y],$$
(3.1)

where a and b are constants such that $a, b \neq 0, R$ is the curvature tensor, S is the Ricci tensor and r is the scalar curvature.

In view of (2.4) and (2.8), we get

$$\eta\left(\overline{P}(X,Y)Z\right) = \left[a(\alpha^2 - \rho) - \frac{r}{(2n+1)}\left(\frac{a}{2n} + b\right)\right] \left[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\right] \\ + b\left[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y\right].$$
(3.2)

Putting $Z = \xi$ in (3.2), we get

$$\eta\left(\overline{P}(X,Y)\xi\right) = 0.$$

Again taking $X = \xi$ in (3.2), we have

$$\eta\left(\overline{P}(\xi,Y)Z\right) = -\left[a(\alpha^2 - \rho) - \frac{r}{(2n+1)}\left(\frac{a}{2n} + b\right)\right]g(Y,Z)$$

$$-\left[(a+2nb)(\alpha^2 - \rho) - \frac{r}{(2n+1)}\left(\frac{a}{2n} + b\right)\right]\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$$

$$-bS(Y,Z),$$

$$(3.3)$$

where (2.4) and (2.11) are used.

Now,

$$\begin{split} \left(R(X,Y)\overline{P} \right)(U,V)Z &= R(X,Y).\overline{P}(U,V)Z - \overline{P}(R(X,Y)U,V)Z \\ &-\overline{P}(U,R(X,Y)V)Z - \overline{P}(U,V)R(X,Y)Z. \end{split}$$

Let $R(X, Y).\overline{P} = 0$. Then we have

$$R(X,Y).\overline{P}(U,V)Z - \overline{P}(R(X,Y)U,V)Z - \overline{P}(U,R(X,Y)V)Z - \overline{P}(U,V)R(X,Y)Z = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} g[R(\xi,Y).\overline{P}(U,V)Z,\xi] &- g[\overline{P}(R(\xi,Y)U,V)Z,\xi] \\ &- g[\overline{P}(U,R(\xi,Y)V)Z,\xi] - g[\overline{P}(U,V)R(\xi,Y)Z,\xi] = 0. \end{split}$$

From this, it follows that,

$$(\alpha^{2} - \rho)[-\overline{P}(U, V, Z, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(\overline{P}(U, V)Z) + \eta(U) \eta(\overline{P}(Y, V)Z)$$

$$- g(Y, U)\eta(\overline{P}(\xi, V)Z) + \eta(V)\eta(\overline{P}(U, Y)Z)$$

$$- g(Y, V)\eta(\overline{P}(U, \xi)Z) + \eta(Z)\eta(\overline{P}(U, V)Y)] = 0,$$
(3.4)

where $\overline{P}(U, V, Z, Y) = g(\overline{P}(U, V)Z, Y).$

Putting Y = U in (3.4), we get

$$(\alpha^2 - \rho)[-\overline{P}(U, V, Z, U) - g(U, U)\eta(\overline{P}(\xi, V)Z) + \eta(V)\eta(\overline{P}(U, U)Z)$$
(3.5)
$$- g(U, V)\eta(\overline{P}(U, \xi)Z) + \eta(Z)\eta(\overline{P}(U, V)U)] = 0.$$

Let $\{e_i\}$, i = 1, 2, ..., (2n + 1) be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point. Then the sum for $1 \le i \le 2n + 1$ of the relation (3.5) for $U = e_i$, yields

$$\eta(\overline{P}(\xi, V)Z) = -\left[\frac{a}{2n+1} + b\right] S(V,Z)$$

$$+ \left[\frac{(a+2nb)r}{2n(2n+1)} - \frac{a}{2n+1}(\alpha^2 - \rho)\right] g(V,Z)$$

$$+ \left[\frac{ar}{2n(2n+1)} - a(\alpha^2 - \rho)\right] \eta(V)\eta(Z).$$
(3.6)

From (3.3) and (3.6) we have

$$S(V,Z) = 2n(\alpha^2 - \rho)g(V,Z) + \frac{b}{a} \left[2n(2n+1) - r\right]\eta(V)\eta(Z).$$
(3.7)

Taking $Z = \xi$ in (3.7) and using (2.11) we obtain

$$r = 2n(2n+1)(\alpha^2 - \rho).$$
(3.8)

Now using (3.2), (3.3), (3.7) and (3.8) in (3.4), we get

$$-\overline{P}(U, V, Z, Y) = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.9) it follows that

$$\overline{P}(U,V)Z = 0.$$

Hence the $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold is pseudo projectively flat. Therefore, we can state **Theorem 3.1.** If in a $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold M of dimension 2n + 1, n > 0, the relation $R(X, Y).\overline{P} = 0$ holds then the manifold is pseudo projectively flat.

4. Pseudo Projectively Flat $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -Manifolds

In this section we assume that $\overline{P} = 0$. Then form (3.1) we get

$$aR(X,Y)Z + b[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y] - \frac{r}{(2n+1)} \left[\frac{a}{2n} + b\right] [g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y] = 0.$$
(4.1)

From (4.1), we get

$$a R(X, Y, Z, W) + b[S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W)]$$

$$- \frac{r}{(2n+1)} \left[\frac{a}{2n} + b\right] [g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] = 0,$$
(4.2)

where $\mathbf{\hat{R}}(X,Y,Z,W)=g(R(X,Y,Z),W).$

Putting $X = W = \xi$ in (4.2), we get

$$S(Y,Z) = \left\{ \frac{r}{(2n+1)} \left(\frac{a}{2nb} + 1 \right) - \frac{a}{b} (\alpha^2 - \rho) \right\} g(Y,Z)$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{r}{(2n+1)} \left(\frac{a}{2nb} + 1 \right) - \frac{a}{b} (\alpha^2 - \rho) - 2n(\alpha^2 - \rho) \right\} \eta(Y) \eta(Z).$$
(4.3)

Therefore, the manifold is η -Einstein. Hence we can state

Theorem 4.1. A pseudo projectively flat $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold is an η -Einstein manifold.

5. Pseudo projectively recurrent $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -Manifolds

A non-flat Riemannian manifold M is said to be pseudo projectively recurrent if the pseudo-projective curvature tensor \overline{P} satisfies the condition $\nabla \overline{P} = A \otimes \overline{P}$, where A is an everywhere non-zero 1-form. We now define a function f on M by $f^2 = g(\overline{P}, \overline{P})$, where the metric g is extended to the inner product between the tensor fields in the standard fashion.

Then we know that $f(Yf) = f^2 A(Y)$. From this we have

$$Yf = fA(Y) \quad (because f \neq 0). \tag{5.1}$$

From (5.1) we have

$$X(Yf) = \frac{1}{f}(Xf)(Yf) + (XA(Y))f.$$

Hence

$$X(Yf) - Y(Xf) = \{XA(Y) - YA(X)\}f.$$

Therefore we get

$$(\nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]})f = \{XA(Y) - YA(X) - A([X,Y])\}f$$

Since the left hand side of the above equation is identically zero and $f \neq 0$ on M by our assumption, we obtain

$$dA(X,Y) = 0.$$
 (5.2)

that is the 1-form A is closed.

Now, from $(\nabla_X \overline{P})(U, V)Z = A(X)\overline{P}(U, V)Z$, we get

$$(\nabla_U \nabla_V \overline{P})(X, Y)Z = \{UA(V) + A(U)A(V)\}\overline{P}(X, Y)Z.$$

Hence from (5.2), we get

$$(R(X,Y).\overline{P})(U,V)Z = [2dA(X,Y)]\overline{P}(U,V)Z = 0.$$
(5.3)

Therefore, for a pseudo projectively recurrent manifold, we have

$$R(X,Y)\overline{P} = 0 \quad for \quad all \quad X,Y. \tag{5.4}$$

Thus, we can state the following:

Theorem 5.1. A pseudo projectively recurrent $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold M is an η -Einstein manifold.

6. Partially Ricci-pseudosymmetric $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -Manifolds

A $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold M is said to be a partially Ricci-pseudosymmetric if it satisfies.

$$(R(X,Y) \cdot S)(U,V) = L_C[((X \wedge Y) \cdot S(U,V)], \qquad (6.1)$$

where

$$L_C \in C^{\infty}(M),$$

$$(R(X,Y) \cdot S)(U,V) = -S(R(X,Y)U,V) - S(U,R(X,Y)V),$$

and

$$((X \wedge Y) \cdot S)(U, V) = -S((X \wedge Y)U, V) - S(U, (X \wedge Y)V).$$

Thus (6.1) has the following more developed form

$$S(R(X,Y)U,V) + S(U,R(X,Y)V)$$

$$= L_C[S((X \wedge Y)U,V) + S(U,(X \wedge Y)V)].$$
(6.2)

We want to investigate partially pseudo-Ricci-symmetric $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifolds which satisfy (6.1) with the restriction $Y = V = \xi$. So we have

$$S(R(X,\xi)U,\xi) + S(U,R(X,\xi)\xi)$$

$$= L_C[S((X \land \xi)U,\xi) + S(U,(X \land \xi)\xi)].$$
(6.3)

Applying (1.8), (2.7) and (2.11), we obtain

$$2n(\alpha^{2} - \rho)\eta(R(X,\xi)U) - (\alpha^{2} - \rho)S(U,X) - (\alpha^{2} - \rho)S(U,\xi)\eta(X)$$

= $L_{C}[\eta(U)S(X,\xi) - g(X,U)S(\xi,\xi) - S(U,X) - \eta(X)S(U,\xi)].$

Using (2.1) and (2.11) in above relation, this becomes

$$-(\alpha^2 - \rho)[S(X,U) - 2n(\alpha^2 - \rho)g(X,U)] = -L_C[S(X,U) - 2n(\alpha^2 - \rho)g(X,U)].$$
(6.4)

This can be written as

$$[L_C - (\alpha^2 - \rho)][S(X, U) - 2n(\alpha^2 - \rho)g(X, U)] = 0.$$
(6.5)

This can be hold only if either (a) $L_C = (\alpha^2 - \rho)$ or (b) $S(X,U) = 2n(\alpha^2 - \rho)g(X,U)$. However (b) means that M is an Einstein manifold. Hence the we can state

Theorem 6.1. A partially pseudo-Ricci symmetric $(LCS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold with never vanishing function $[L_C - (\alpha^2 - \rho)]$ is an Einstein manifold.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments that helped us improve this article.

References

- C.S. Bagewadi and Venkatesha, Some curvature tensors on a Kenmotsu manifold, Tensor.N.S., 68(2007), 140-147
- Bhagwat Prasad, A Pseudo Projective Curvature Tensor on a Riemannian Manifolds, Bull.Cal.Math.Soc., 94 (3) (2002), 163-166.
- [3] U.C. De and D. Tarafdar, On a type of new tensor in a Riemannian manifold and its relativistic significance, Ranchi Univ. Math. J., 24 (1993), 17-19.
- [4] R. Deszcz and W. Grycak, On some class of warped product manifolds, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica., 15 (1987), 311-322.
- [5] R. Deszcz, On pseudosymmetric Spaces, Bull. Soc. Math., Belg. Ser., A 44 (1992), 1-34.
- [6] Matsumoto. K., On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds, Bull. of Yamagata Univ. Nat. Sci., 12(1989), 151-156.
- [7] K. Nomizu, On hypersurfaces satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensor, Tohoku Math J., 20 (1968), 46-69.
- [8] Schouten. J.A., Ricci Calculas(second Edition), Springer-Verlag., (1954), 322.
- Shaikh, A.A., Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds with a structure of concircular type, Kyungpook Math. J., 43(2003), 305-314.
- [10] A.A Shaikh, T. Basu and S. Eyasmin, On the existence of ϕ -Recurrent $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds, Extracta Mathematicae, 23(1)(2008), 71-83.
- [11] Z.I. Szabo, Structure theorem on Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$. 1. The local version, J. Diff. Geom., 17, (1982), 531-582.

G.T. SREENIVASA

- Department of Mathematics,
- Akshaya Institute of Technology,
- TUMKUR-572 106, KARNATAKA, INDIA. E-mail address: sreenivasgt@gmail.com

Venkatesha

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,

KUVEMPU UNIVERSITY,

SHIMOGA- 577 451, KARNATAKA, INDIA. *E-mail address*: vensprem@gmail.com

C.S.BAGEWADI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,

KUVEMPU UNIVERSITY,

SHIMOGA- 577 451, KARNATAKA, INDIA. *E-mail address:* csbagewadi@gmail.com