

m -QUASI- (n, \mathbf{A}) -PARANORMAL OPERATORS IN SEMI-HILBERTIAN SPACES

SID AHMED OULD AHMED MAHMOUD, RWABI MOBARAK ALSHARAR AND AYDAH
 MOHAMMED AYED AL-AHMADII

ABSTRACT. The study of semi-Hilbert spaces operators is motivated by what are called pseudo-Hermitian quantum mechanics. In this paper, we introduce the concept of m -quasi- n -paranormal of a bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space with a semi-inner product induced by a positive operator \mathbf{A} . This generalizes the classical m -quasi- n -paranormality of operators on Hilbert spaces to semi-Hilbert space. We investigate some basic properties of this new class. Product and tensor product results were also investigated.

1. INTRODUCTION

Assume that $(\mathcal{Z}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a complex Hilbert space with associated norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ denotes the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on \mathcal{Z} . The identity operator on \mathcal{Z} is denoted simply by \mathbf{I} . For every $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$, $\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{N})$, $\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N})$, $\overline{\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N})}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\overline{\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N})}}$ (or \mathbf{P}) denote, the null space, the range, the closure of the range of \mathbf{N} and the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N})}$ respectively. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ be a positive operator. Set $\langle \varphi | \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \langle \mathbf{A}\varphi | \psi \rangle$. It was observed that $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is a positive semidefinite sesquilinear form which yield a seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}$ as $\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \langle \varphi | \varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$. Moreover $\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} = 0$ if and only if $\varphi \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})$. The study of these concepts goes back to the papers [1, 2, 3].

From [1], we recall that for $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$, an element $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is said to be an \mathbf{A} -adjoint operator of \mathbf{N} if $\langle \mathbf{N}\varphi | \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = \langle \varphi | \mathbf{T}\psi \rangle_{\mathbf{A}}$ for every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{Z}$, which can be view as $\mathbf{N}^*\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}$ where \mathbf{N}^* is the adjoint of \mathbf{N} . According to [8, Theorem 1], it follows that \mathbf{N} admits an \mathbf{A} -adjoint operator if and only if $\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N}^*\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{A})$. The unique solution of the operator equation $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{N}^*\mathbf{A}$ for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ such that $\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{X}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{A})}$ is denoted by \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} and is called the distinguished \mathbf{A} -adjoint operator of \mathbf{N} . The set of all operators in $\mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ which admitting \mathbf{A} -adjoint is

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A65.

Key words and phrases. \mathbf{A} -adjoint, Semi-Hilbertian spaces, \mathbf{A} -positive operators, Paranormal operators, Quasi-paranormal operators.

©2023 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted August 18, 2023. Published September 29, 2023.

Communicated by S. Mecheri.

This work was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Jouf University under grant No (DSR-2021-03-0337).

denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$. An operator $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is called \mathbf{A} -positive if $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{N}$ is positive and it symbols by $\mathbf{N} \geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0$. Notice that for $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ we have $\mathbf{N} \geq_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{T} \geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0$.

We mention here some properties of the members of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ that we use in this work and which are extracted from [1, 2, 3].

For $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$, the following properties are met.

- (1) $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{N}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{N}^* \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}) \subset \overline{\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{A})}$, $\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}) = \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{N}^* \mathbf{A})$,
- (2) $\mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$, $(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^{\sharp} = \mathbf{P}_{\overline{\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{A})}} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{P}_{\overline{\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{A})}}$,
- (3) $\mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp}$ are \mathbf{A} -selfadjoint and \mathbf{A} -positive.
- (4) If $\mathbf{S} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$, then $\mathbf{N}\mathbf{S} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ and $(\mathbf{N}\mathbf{S})^{\sharp} = \mathbf{S}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp}$,
- (5) $\|\mathbf{N}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 = \|\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 = \|\mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N}\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|\mathbf{N} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\|_{\mathbf{A}}$.

An operator $N \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is said to be A -bounded if there exists $k > 0$ such that $\|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \leq k\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$. The set of all operators in $\mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ admitting $\mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -adjoint is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}}[\mathcal{Z}]$. We note from

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}}[\mathcal{Z}] = \{\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}] : \exists k > 0; \|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \leq k\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}\}.$$

The A -norm of $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is given by

$$\|\mathbf{N}\|_{\mathbf{A}} := \sup_{\varphi \notin \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})} \frac{\|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}}{\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}=1} \|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \leq 1} \|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}.$$

(see [3]). Observe that if \mathbf{N} is \mathbf{A} -bounded, then

$$\|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \leq \|\mathbf{N}\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

This implies that, for $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ we have $\|\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathbf{A}} \leq \|\mathbf{N}\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})) \subseteq \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}] \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}}[\mathcal{Z}]$.

An operator $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is called ([1])

- (1) \mathbf{A} -isometry if $\mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{P} \left(\|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z} \right)$,
- (2) \mathbf{A} -unitary if $\mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} = (\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^{\sharp} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{P} \left(\|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|\mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z} \right)$.

For more details on semi-Hilbertian space operators can be found in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25] and references therein.

The concepts of paranormal, n -paranormal, k -quasi-paranormal and m -quasi- k -paranormal for Hilbert space operators were introduced and investigated in [6, 7, 12, 13, 19, 26]. An operator $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is said to be

- (i) hyponormal if $\|\mathbf{N}\varphi\| \geq \|\mathbf{N}^* \varphi\| \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$,
- (ii) paranormal if $\|\mathbf{N}^2 \varphi\| \|\varphi\| \geq \|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ ([11]),
- (iii) n -paranormal if $\|\mathbf{N}^{n+1} \varphi\| \|\varphi\|^n \geq \|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|^{n+1} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ ([7]),

- (iv) k -quasi-paranormal if $\|\mathbf{N}^{k+2}\varphi\| \|\mathbf{N}^k\varphi\| \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{k+1}\varphi\|^2$, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ and for some positive integer k ([13])
- (v) m -quasi- n -paranormal if $\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\| \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|^n \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|^{n+1} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ for some positive integers n and m ([26]).

Here and henceforth, suppose that m is a nonnegative integer, and n is a positive integer.

Many authors has extended some of these concepts to the semi-Hilbertian operators. An operator $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is said to be

- (i) A -hyponormal if $\|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{\#}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}$ ([24],
- (ii) k -quasi- \mathbf{A} -hyponormal if $\|\mathbf{N}^{k+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{\#}\mathbf{N}^k\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}$ ([24],
- (iii) \mathbf{A} -paranormal if $\|\mathbf{N}^2\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \geq \|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2$, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ ([15]),
- (iv) (n, A) -paranormal if $\|\mathbf{N}^{n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \geq \|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$, ([22])
- (v) k -quasi- \mathbf{A} -paranormal if $\|\mathbf{N}^{k+2}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{k+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ ([14]).

Following our work in [21], in the present paper we introduce and study a class of operators on the semi-Hilbertian space $(\mathcal{Z}, \langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{A}})$ which is a common generalization of (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal and k -quasi- \mathbf{A} -paranormal operators. More precisely, which is called the class of m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal operator. It is proved in Example 2.1 that there is an operator which is m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal but not (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal for some positive integers m and n , and thus, the proposed new class of operators contains the class of (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal operators as a proper subclass. This paper consists of two parts as follows. In Section 2, we show some properties of m -quasi- (n, A) -paranormal operators via an equivalent condition for an operator $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ to be m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal (Theorem 2.2). Several properties are proved by exploiting this characterization (Proposition 2.3, Proposition 2.4, Proposition 2.5, Theorem 2.7, Lemma 3.1). In particular, we prove that if $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{H}]$ is an m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{H}]$ is an \mathbf{A} -isometry or an \mathbf{A} -unitary operator then $\mathbf{N.T}$ is an m -quasi- (m, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal under suitable conditions (Theorem 2.8, Theorem 2.9). The product of two members of m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal operators is also studied(Theorem 2.10, Theorem 2.11). Section 3, is devoted to describe some properties of tensor product of some members related to m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal operators. We show that the class of m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal operators is closed under tensor product (Theorem 3.4).

2. PROPERTIES OF m -QUASI- (n, \mathbf{A}) -PARANORMAL OPERATORS

In this section, we define the class of m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces and we investigate some properties of such operators.

Firstly, we start with the definition of this class.

Definition 2.1. *Let m and n be positive integers, an operator $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is called an m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal if*

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ be the sets of all m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal operators.

Remark. (1) if $m = 0$ we get the class of (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal operators introduced in [15].

(2) If $m = 1$, \mathbf{N} is a quasi- (n, A) -paranormal operator.

(3) If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$, then every m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal is m -quasi- n -paranormal operators ([26]).

(5) The following inclusions hold:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[1] \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n] \subseteq \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n] \subset \mathcal{Q}[m+1] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n].$$

From the above inclusion we can see that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ form a subclass of $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ for all positive integers m and n . The following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

Example 2.1. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{C}^3$, $\mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. A direct calculation shows that $\mathbf{A} \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N}^* \mathbf{A}) \subset \mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{A})$ Thus $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$. Moreover \mathbf{N} satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$, $m \geq 2, n \geq 2$. But

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \geq \|\mathbf{N}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1}$$

not satisfied for $n \geq 2$ and $\varphi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence \mathbf{N} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ for $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ but \mathbf{N} is not in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ for $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 2.1. ([10]) Let a and b two positive number, then $a^\alpha b^\mu \leq \alpha a + \mu b$ holds for $\alpha, \mu > 0$ such that $\alpha + \mu = 1$.

In [15] it has been shown that $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is an (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal if and only if

$$\mathbf{N}^{\#n+1} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\#} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0, \quad \forall \beta > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly, we have the following characterization for the members of the class of m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal operators. It is similar to [13, Theorem 2.1] for Hilbert space operators.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$. Then \mathbf{N} is an m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal if and only if

$$(\mathbf{N}^{\#})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\#n+1} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\#} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $\beta > 0$. Equivalently, \mathbf{N} is an m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal if and only if

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \left(\beta^{-n} \mathbf{N}^{\#(m+n+1)} \mathbf{N}^{m+n+1} + n\beta \mathbf{N}^{\#m} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{N}^m \right) \geq_{\mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{N}^{\#})^{m+1} \mathbf{N}^{m+1}, \quad \forall \beta > 0.$$

Proof. First we show the direct implication. Assume that

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ or equivalently

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then by taking into account Lemma 2.1, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n+1} \left\langle \beta^{-n} (\mathbf{N}^\#)^{m+n+1} \mathbf{N}^{m+n+1} \varphi \mid \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} + \frac{n}{n+1} \left\langle \beta \mathbf{N}^\#{}^m \mathbf{P} \mathbf{N}^m \varphi \mid \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} \\ & \geq \left\langle \beta^{-n} (\mathbf{N}^\#)^{m+n+1} \mathbf{N}^{m+n+1} \varphi \mid \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left\langle \beta \mathbf{N}^\#{}^m \mathbf{P} \mathbf{N}^m \varphi \mid \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \\ & \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \\ & \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \left\langle \beta^{-n} (\mathbf{N}^\#)^{m+n+1} \mathbf{N}^{m+n+1} \varphi \mid \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} + \frac{n}{n+1} \left\langle \beta \mathbf{N}^\#{}^m \mathbf{P} \mathbf{N}^m \varphi \mid \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} - \left\langle \mathbf{N}^\#{}^{(m+1)} \mathbf{N}^{(m+1)} \varphi \mid \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} \geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0,$$

the above inequality forces

$$\left\langle (\mathbf{N}^\#)^m \left(\mathbf{N}^\#{}^{n+1} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^\# \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \varphi \mid \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} \geq 0.$$

This leads to,

$$(\mathbf{N}^\#)^m \left(\mathbf{N}^\#{}^{n+1} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^\# \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0,$$

for all $\beta > 0$.

For the other direction, assume that (2.2) holds. If $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{A}} = 0$ or equivalently, $\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi_0 \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})$ we have by equation (2.2) that

$$-(n+1)\|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 + n\beta\|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \geq 0.$$

If $\beta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{A}} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi_0\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1}.$$

Suppose that $\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \neq 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$. From (2.2) we have for all $\beta > 0$

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \left(\beta^{-n} \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 + \beta n \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \right) \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Choosing $\beta = \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}}{\|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$ we get

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2}{\|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2} \right)^{\frac{-n}{n+1}} \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 + \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}}{\|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

This leads to

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{2}{n+1}} + \frac{n}{n+1} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

This yields,

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}\|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \geq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Hence \mathbf{N} is an m -quasi (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal. \square

Remark. It should be noted that (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 - (n+1)\beta^n\|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 + n\beta^{n+1}\|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \geq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\beta > 0$.

Proposition 2.3. If $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$, then $\lambda\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. For $\lambda \neq 0$, we have for all $\beta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda\mathbf{N})^{\sharp(m+n+1)}(\lambda\mathbf{N})^{m+n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n(\lambda\mathbf{N})^{\sharp m+1}(\lambda\mathbf{N})^{m+1} + n\beta^{n+1}(\lambda\mathbf{N})^{\sharp m}\mathbf{P}(\lambda\mathbf{N})^m \\ = & |\lambda|^{2(m+n+1)}\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(m+n+1)}\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1} - (n+1)|\lambda|^{2(m+1)}\beta^n\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(m+1)}\mathbf{N}^{m+1} + n\beta^{n+1}|\lambda|^{2m}\mathbf{N}^{\sharp m}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{N}^m \\ = & |\lambda|^{2(m+n+1)}\left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(m+n+1)}\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1} - (n+1)\left(\frac{\beta}{|\lambda|^2}\right)^n\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(m+1)}\mathbf{N}^{m+1} + n\left(\frac{\beta}{|\lambda|^2}\right)^{n+1}\mathbf{N}^{\sharp m}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{N}^m\right) \\ \geq_A & 0 \quad \left(\text{since } \mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth, $\lambda\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ by Theorem 2.2. \square

Proposition 2.4. Let $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ be an m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal. If $\overline{\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N}^m)} = \mathcal{Z}$, then \mathbf{N} is an (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ it follows by Theorem 2.2

$$\mathbf{N}^{\sharp m}\left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)}\mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbf{N}^m \geq_A 0,$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ and for all $\beta > 0$. It results that

$$\left\langle \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)}\mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbf{N}^m\varphi \mid \mathbf{N}^m\varphi \right\rangle_A \geq 0,$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$ and for all $\beta > 0$. The last inequality is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)}\mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P} \geq_A 0 \quad \text{on } \overline{\mathbf{ran}(\mathbf{N}^m)} = \mathcal{Z}.$$

This implies that \mathbf{N} is an (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal by [22, Theorem 2.4]. \square

Proposition 2.5. Let $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ is such that $\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N}^m) = \mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N}^j)$ for some integer $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, then $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[j] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$, we have in view of Theorem 2.2 that

$$\left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\right)^m\left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}}\mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbf{N}^m \geq_A 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for all $\beta > 0$. Therefore

$$\left\langle \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}}\mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbf{N}^m\varphi \mid \mathbf{N}^m\varphi \right\rangle_A \geq 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

From the range condition $\mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N}^j) = \mathbf{Ran}(\mathbf{N}^m)$ it is enough to see that

$$\left\langle \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}}\mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbf{N}^j\psi \mid \mathbf{N}^j\psi \right\rangle_A \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

This yields to

$$\left\langle N^{\sharp j} \left(N^{\sharp^{n+1}} N^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n N^{\sharp} N + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \right) N^j \psi \mid \psi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} \geq 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

So we have,

$$N^{\sharp j} \left(N^{\sharp^{n+1}} N^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n N^{\sharp} N + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \right) N^j \geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0.$$

This shows that N is a j -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal. □

Lemma 2.6. [5, Lemma 3.1] *Let $(N_k)_{1 \leq k \leq 4}$ where $N_k \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ for all $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then $N = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & N_2 \\ N_3 & N_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}_{A_0}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ where $A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A} \end{pmatrix}$. Furthermore, $N^{\sharp A_0} = \begin{pmatrix} N_1^{\sharp} & N_3^{\sharp} \\ N_2^{\sharp} & N_4^{\sharp} \end{pmatrix}$.*

Theorem 2.7. *Let $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ and let N be the operator on $\mathcal{B}_{A_0}[\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}]$ defined as*

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & N_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If N_1 is an $(m-1)$ -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal, then N is an m -quasi- (n, A_0) -paranormal for $m \geq 2$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.6, we have $N^{\sharp A_0} = \begin{pmatrix} N_1^{\sharp} & 0 \\ N_2^{\sharp} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and with simple calculation we show that

$$\begin{aligned} & N^{\sharp m} \left(N^{\sharp^{(n+1)}} N^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n N^{\sharp} N + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \right) N^m \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} N_1^{\sharp m} \Psi_n(N_1, N_1^{\sharp}) N_1^m & N_1^{\sharp m} \Psi_n(N_1, N_1^{\sharp}) N_1^{m-1} N_2 \\ N_2^{\sharp} N_1^{\sharp(m-1)} \Psi_n(N_1, N_2^{\sharp}) N_1^m & N_2^{\sharp} N_1^{\sharp(m-1)} \Psi_n(N_1, N_1^{\sharp}) N_1^{m-1} N_2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi_n(N_1, N_1^{\sharp}) = N_1^{\sharp(n+1)} N_1^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n N_1^{\sharp} N_1 + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

Let $\varphi = \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2 \in \mathcal{Z} \oplus \mathcal{Z}$ and taking into account that N_1 is an $(m-1)$ -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle N^{\sharp m} \left(N^{\sharp^{(n+1)}} N^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n N^{\sharp} N + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \right) N^m \psi \mid \psi \right\rangle_{A_0} \\ &= \left\langle N_1^{\sharp m} \Psi_n(N_1, N_1^{\sharp}) N_1^m \psi_1 \mid \psi_1 \right\rangle_A + \left\langle N_1^{\sharp m} \Psi_n(N_1, N_1^{\sharp}) N_1^{m-1} N_2 \psi_2 \mid \psi_1 \right\rangle_A \\ & \quad + \left\langle N_2^{\sharp} N_1^{\sharp(m-1)} \Psi_n(N_1, N_2^{\sharp}) N_1^m \psi_1 \mid \psi_2 \right\rangle_A + \left\langle N_2^{\sharp} N_1^{\sharp(m-1)} \Psi_n(N_1, N_1^{\sharp}) N_1^{m-1} N_2 \psi_2 \mid \psi_2 \right\rangle_A \\ &= \left\langle N_1^{\sharp(m-1)} \Psi_n(N_1, N_1^{\sharp}) N_1^{m-1} (N_1 \psi_1 + N_2 \psi_2), (N_1 \psi_1 + N_2 \psi_2) \right\rangle_A \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following theorem presents the sufficient conditions for which the product of a member of $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ with an \mathbf{A} -isometry remains in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ be such that $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ and \mathbf{T} be an \mathbf{A} -isometry. Assume that*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{TN} = \mathbf{NT}, \quad \mathbf{TN}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}) \subseteq \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp} \\ \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}) \subseteq \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp} \end{array} \right.$$

Then $\mathbf{TN} \in \mathcal{Q}[k] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$.

Proof. Since \mathbf{T} is an \mathbf{A} -isometry, we have $\mathbf{T}^{\sharp}\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{P}$. However, by the conditions $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}) \subseteq \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}) \subseteq \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}$ it follows that $\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})$ is a reducing subspace for both \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{N} . This yield to

$$\mathbf{PT} = \mathbf{TP}, \quad \mathbf{PT}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{T}^{\sharp}\mathbf{P}$$

and

$$\mathbf{PN} = \mathbf{NP}, \quad \mathbf{PN}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\mathbf{P}.$$

We deduce that $\mathbf{T}^{\sharp(n+1)}\mathbf{T}^{n+1} = \mathbf{P}$.

In order to prove that $\mathbf{TN} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$, we will apply Theorem 2.2. To this gaol we have for all $\beta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{NT})^{\sharp m} \left((\mathbf{NT})^{\sharp(n+1)} (\mathbf{NT})^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n (\mathbf{NT})^{\sharp} (\mathbf{NT}) + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P} \right) (\mathbf{NT})^m \\ = & \mathbf{T}^{\sharp m} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp m} \left(\mathbf{T}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{T}^{n+1} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^m \\ = & \mathbf{T}^{\sharp m} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp m} \mathbf{P} \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^m \\ = & \\ = & (\mathbf{PT})^{\sharp m} \left[\underbrace{\mathbf{N}^{\sharp m} \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1}\mathbf{P} \right) \mathbf{N}^m}_{\geq_{\mathbf{A}} 0} \right] (\mathbf{PT})^m \\ \geq_{\mathbf{A}} & 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the assumption that $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ and [24, Lemma 2.1)]. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ be in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$. Assume that $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}) \subseteq \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ be an \mathbf{A} -unitarily operator, then $\mathbf{UNU}^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$.*

Proof. Since \mathbf{U} is an \mathbf{A} -unitary operator, we get $\mathbf{UU}^{\sharp} = (\mathbf{U}^{\sharp})^{\sharp}\mathbf{U}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{P}$ or equivalently

$$\|\mathbf{U}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|\mathbf{U}^{\sharp}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

By the assumption that $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}) \subseteq \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}$ it follows that $\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})$ is a reducing subspace for \mathbf{N} , from which we can write $\mathbf{NP} = \mathbf{PN}$ and $\mathbf{PA} = \mathbf{AP} = \mathbf{A}$.

So we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)^j &= \underbrace{(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)\cdots(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)}_{j\text{-times}} \\
 &= (\mathbf{UNPN}^m\mathbf{U}^\sharp)\cdots(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp) \\
 &= \mathbf{UPN}^{2j}\mathbf{U}^\sharp\cdots(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp) \\
 &= \vdots \\
 &= \mathbf{UPN}^j\mathbf{U}^\sharp.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

By the assumptions on \mathbf{N} , \mathbf{U} and (2.5), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1} &= \|\mathbf{UPN}^{m+1}\mathbf{U}^\sharp\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1} \\
 &\leq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\mathbf{U}^\sharp\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\mathbf{U}^\sharp\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \\
 &= \|\mathbf{PN}^{n+1}\mathbf{U}^\sharp\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{PN}^m\mathbf{U}^\sharp\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{N}(\overline{\text{ran}(\mathbf{A})}) \subseteq \overline{\text{ran}(\mathbf{A})}) \\
 &= \|\mathbf{UPN}^{m+n+1}\mathbf{U}^\sharp\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{UPN}^m\mathbf{U}^\sharp\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \\
 &= \|(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\|(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)^{n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \geq \|(\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp)^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z},$$

which immediately gives that $\mathbf{UNU}^\sharp \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$. \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ such that $\mathbf{NT} = \mathbf{TN}$. If \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{T} satisfy*

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\mathbf{T}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{T}^{m+n+1}\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \leq \|(\mathbf{NT})^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|(\mathbf{NT})^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}, \tag{2.6}$$

$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$. Then $\mathbf{N.T} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(\mathbf{NT})^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1} = \|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\mathbf{T}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1} \\
 &\leq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\mathbf{T}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\mathbf{T}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \\
 &= \|\mathbf{T}^{m+1}\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{T}^{m+1}\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \\
 &\leq \left(\|\mathbf{T}^{m+n+1}\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{T}^m\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\|\mathbf{T}^{m+n+1}\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{T}^m\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \\
 &= \|(\mathbf{NT})^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \|(\mathbf{NT})^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{n^2}{n+1}} \left(\|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\mathbf{T}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{T}^{m+n+1}\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \\
 &\leq \|(\mathbf{NT})^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \|(\mathbf{NT})^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\frac{n^2}{n+1}} \left(\|(\mathbf{NT})^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|(\mathbf{TN})^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \\
 &= \|(\mathbf{NT})^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|(\mathbf{TN})^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\|(\mathbf{NT})^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|(\mathbf{TN})^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \geq \|(\mathbf{NT})^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

\square

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{I}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ be an invertible operator and \mathbf{N} be an operator such that $[\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T}^{\sharp}\mathbf{T}] = 0$. Then, \mathbf{N} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{I}}[n]$ if and only if $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1}$ is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{I}}[n]$.*

Proof. Assume that \mathbf{N} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{I}}[n]$, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \geq_{\mathbf{I}} 0.$$

From this we have that

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} \geq_{\mathbf{I}} 0.$$

Since $[\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T}^{\sharp}\mathbf{T}] = 0$ we have $[\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}, \mathbf{T}^{\sharp}\mathbf{T}] = 0$ and we may write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{\sharp}) \\ &= \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m (\mathbf{T}^{\sharp}\mathbf{T}) \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} \\ &= \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{T}^{\sharp}\mathbf{T}) (\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} \\ &= (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{\sharp}) \mathbf{T} (\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{\sharp}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\left[\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{\sharp}, \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} \right] = 0$$

and hence

$$\left[(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{\sharp})^{-1}, \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} \right] = 0.$$

By observing that $(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{\sharp})^{-1} \geq_{\mathbf{I}} 0$ and

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} \geq 0$$

it follows that

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{\sharp})^{-1} \geq 0.$$

According to the condition $[\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T}^{\sharp}\mathbf{T}] = 0$ we may write

$$(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1})^{\sharp k} = \mathbf{T}^{\sharp^{-1}} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp k} \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1})^k = \mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{N}^k \mathbf{T}$$

and

$$(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1})^{\sharp} (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}^{\sharp}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1})^{\sharp(n+1)} (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1})^{n+1} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} \mathbf{T}^{-1}.$$

Now we are ready to show that $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1}$ is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{I}}[n]$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S}^{\sharp m} \left(\mathbf{S}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{S}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{S}^{\sharp} \mathbf{S} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{S}^m \\ &= \mathbf{T}^{\sharp^{-1}} \mathbf{N}^{\sharp m} \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} \left(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} \mathbf{T}^{-1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}^{-1} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{T}\mathbf{N}^{\sharp m} \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that the last expression is positive, we take in our consideration that

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp})^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{\sharp} (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{\sharp})^{-1} \geq 0.$$

This leads to

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^\sharp)^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^\sharp \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T}^{\sharp^{-1}} \mathbf{T}^{-1} \geq 0$$

and therefore

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^\sharp)^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^\sharp \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{-1} \geq 0.$$

This does means that \mathbf{TNT}^{-1} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{I}}[n]$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{TNT}^{-1}$ is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{I}}[n]$. Similarly, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S}^{\sharp m} \left(\mathbf{S}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{S}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{S}^\sharp \mathbf{S} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{S}^m \geq_{\mathbf{I}} 0 \\ \implies & \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^\sharp)^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^\sharp \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{-1} \geq_{\mathbf{I}} 0 \\ \implies & \mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^\sharp)^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^\sharp \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{T} \geq_{\mathbf{I}} 0 \\ \implies & \mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{N}^\sharp)^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^\sharp \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \geq_{\mathbf{I}} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T}] = 0$, $[\mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{R}] = 0$ and $[(\mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T})^{-1}, \mathbf{R}] = 0$ where

$$\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T}) \left((\mathbf{N}^\sharp)^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^\sharp \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \right).$$

Moreover $(\mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T}), (\mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T})^{-1}$ and \mathbf{R} are \mathbf{I} -positive we deduce that

$$(\mathbf{T}^\sharp \mathbf{T})^{-1} \mathbf{R} \geq_{\mathbf{I}} 0.$$

This yields that

$$(\mathbf{N}^\sharp)^m \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp^{n+1}} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^\sharp \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \geq_{\mathbf{I}} 0.$$

This does means \mathbf{N} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{I}}[n]$. □

3. TENSOR PRODUCT OF m -QUASI- $(n, \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B})$ -PARANORMAL OPERATORS

In this section, we prove under suitable conditions that the tensor product of an m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal and an A -isometry is an m -quasi- $(n, \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A})$ -paranormal operator (Proposition 3.2). However, the tensor product of an m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{A}) -paranormal and an m -quasi- (n, \mathbf{B}) -paranormal is an m -quasi $(n, \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B})$ -paranormal (Theorem 3.4).

Let $\mathcal{Z} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}$ denote the completion, endowed with a reasonable uniform cross norm, of the algebraic tensor product of \mathcal{Z} with itself. An inner product on $\mathcal{Z} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}$ is defines as

$$\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \mid \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \rangle := \langle \varphi_1 \mid \psi_1 \rangle \langle \varphi_2 \mid \psi_2 \rangle \text{ where } \varphi_k, \psi_k \in \mathcal{Z}, \text{ for } k = 1, 2$$

Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ are positive operators. The operator $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}]$ is positive and defines a positive semi-definite sesquilinear form

$$\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}} : \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by

$$\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \mid \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}} = \langle \mathbf{A} \varphi_1 \mid \psi_1 \rangle \langle \mathbf{B} \varphi_2 \mid \psi_2 \rangle.$$

This semi-inner product induces a semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi \otimes \psi\|_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}}^2 &= \langle \varphi \otimes \psi \mid \varphi \otimes \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}} \\ &= \langle \mathbf{A}\varphi \mid \varphi \rangle \langle \mathbf{B}\psi \mid \psi \rangle \\ &= \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \|\psi\|_{\mathbf{B}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that $\|\varphi \otimes \psi\|_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}} = 0$ if and only if $\varphi \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})$ or $\psi \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{B})$. For $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$ and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z}]$, $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}[\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z}]$ denotes the tensor product of \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{T} given by $(\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T})(\varphi \otimes \psi) = \mathbf{N}\varphi \otimes \mathbf{T}\psi$ for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{Z}$.

We begin this section by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ be in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$, then $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{N}$ are in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A}}[n]$.*

Proof. For all $\beta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})^{\sharp m} \left((\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})^{\sharp(n+1)} (\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n (\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})^{\sharp} (\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I}) + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \right) (\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})^m \\ &= \mathbf{N}^{\sharp m} \left(\mathbf{N}^{\sharp(n+1)} \mathbf{N}^{n+1} - (n+1)\beta^n \mathbf{N}^{\sharp} \mathbf{N} + n\beta^{n+1} \mathbf{P} \right) \mathbf{N}^m \otimes \mathbf{P} \\ &\geq_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A}} 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ such that $\mathbf{null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}$ is invariant for both \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{T} . If \mathbf{N} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ and \mathbf{T} is an \mathbf{A} -isometry, then $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z})$ is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A}}[n]$.*

Proof. We like to notice that $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{T}) = (\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{T})(\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})$. On the other hand we have $\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})^{\perp}$ is invariant for \mathbf{N} , we obtain $\mathbf{NP} = \mathbf{PN}$ and hence

$$(\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{T})^{\sharp} = (\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{T})^{\sharp} (\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I}).$$

Since \mathbf{N} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ and \mathbf{T} is an \mathbf{A} -isometry, it follows that $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A}}[n]$. Moreover

$$(\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A})^{\perp}) \subset \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A})^{\perp}.$$

In fact, let $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A})^{\perp}$ and $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2), \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{N}\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \mid \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{N}\varphi_1 \mid \psi_1 \rangle \langle \varphi_2 \mid \psi_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_1 \mid \mathbf{N}^* \psi_1 \rangle \langle \varphi_2 \mid \psi_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \mid \mathbf{N}^* \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

According to the fact that $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A})$ we get $\psi_1 \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})$ or $\psi_2 \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A})$. This above consideration shows that

$$\mathbf{N}^* \psi_1 \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A}) \text{ or } \psi_2 \in \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A}) \left(\text{because } \mathbf{Null}(\mathbf{A}) \text{ reduces } \mathbf{N} \right),$$

which implies that

$$\langle (\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I})(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \mid \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \rangle = 0.$$

Repeating this argument, we show that

$$(\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{T})(\text{Null}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A})^\perp) \subset \text{Null}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A})^\perp.$$

By applying Theorem 2.8 to $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{T}$ we can assert that $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A}}[n]$. The proposition is proved. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ such that $\text{Null}(\mathbf{A})^\perp$ is invariant for both \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{T} . If \mathbf{N} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ and \mathbf{T} is an \mathbf{A} -isometry, then $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T}^q \in \mathcal{Q}[n] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A}}[n]$ for all positive integer q .*

Proof. Since \mathbf{T} is an \mathbf{A} -isometry and $\mathbf{T}(\text{Null}(\mathbf{A})^\perp) \subset \text{Null}(\mathbf{A})^\perp$ it follows that \mathbf{T}^q is an \mathbf{A} -isometry for all positive q . The desired result follows using Proposition 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}[\mathcal{Z}]$ and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{B}}[\mathcal{Z}]$. If \mathbf{N} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A}}[n]$ and \mathbf{T} is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{B}}[n]$, then $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T}$ is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}}[n]$.*

Proof. From assumptions $\mathbf{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{B}_{\frac{\mathbf{B}}{2}}(\mathcal{Z})$ we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{m+1} \leq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{Z}$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{T}^{m+1}\psi\|_{\mathbf{B}}^{n+1} \leq \|\mathbf{T}^{m+n+1}\psi\|_{\mathbf{B}} \|\mathbf{T}^m\psi\|_{\mathbf{B}}^n, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

So we have that

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{n+1} \|\mathbf{T}^{m+1}\psi\|_{\mathbf{B}}^{n+1} \leq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1}\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{T}^{m+n+1}\psi\|_{\mathbf{B}} \|\mathbf{N}^m\varphi\|_{\mathbf{A}}^n \|\mathbf{T}^m\psi\|_{\mathbf{B}}^n,$$

$\forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{Z}$. This shows that

$$\|\mathbf{N}^{m+1} \otimes \mathbf{T}^{m+1}(\varphi \otimes \psi)\|_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}}^{n+1} \leq \|\mathbf{N}^{m+n+1} \otimes \mathbf{T}^{m+n+1}(\varphi \otimes \psi)\|_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}} \|\mathbf{N}^m \otimes \mathbf{T}^m(\varphi \otimes \psi)\|_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}}^n,$$

$\forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{Z}$ or equivalently,

$$\|(\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T})^{m+1}(\varphi \otimes \psi)\|_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}}^{n+1} \leq \|(\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T})^{m+n+1}(\varphi \otimes \psi)\|_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}} \|(\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T})^m(\varphi \otimes \psi)\|_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}}^n,$$

$\forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{Z}$. Therefore we have $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{T}$ is in $\mathcal{Q}[m] \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}}[n]$. \square

Acknowledgments. This work was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Jouf University under grant No (DSR-2021-03-0337).

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments that helped us improve this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.L. Arias, G. Corach, M.C. Gonzalez, *Partial isometries in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428**, 1460–1475 (2008).
- [2] M.L. Arias, G. Corach, M. C. Gonzalez, *Metric properties of projections in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Integral Equ. Oper. Thoery **62**, 11–28 (2008).
- [3] M.L. Arias, G. Corach, M. C. Gonzalez, *Lifting properties in operator ranges*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **75**, 635–653 (2009).
- [4] H. Baklouti, K. Feki, O. A. M. Sid Ahmed, *Joint numerical ranges of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **555**, 266–284 (2018).
- [5] P. Bhunia, K. Feki and K. Paul, *A-Numerical Radius Orthogonality and Parallelism of Semi-Hilbertian Space Operators and Their Applications*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., **47**(2021), no.2,pp. 435-457..
- [6] S.L. Campell, B. C. Gupta, *On k -quasihyponormal operators*, Math. Jpn. **23**, 185–189 (1978).

- [7] M. Chō and S. Ōta, *On n -Paranormal Operators*, Journal of Mathematics Research, Vol. 5, No. 2; 2013.
- [8] R. G. Douglas, *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert space*, Proc. Am. Soc. **17**, 413–416 (1966).
- [9] K. Feki, *Spectral radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators and its applications*, Ann. Funct. Anal., **11** (2020), no.4, pp. 929–946.
- [10] T. Furuta, *invitation to Linear operators*, Taylor and Francis, London, New York 2002.
- [11] T. Furuta, *On the class of paranormal operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **43** (1967), no. 7, 594–598.
- [12] T. Furuta, *On the class of paranormal operators*, Proc. Jpn. Acad. **43**, 594–598 (1967).
- [13] F. Gao and X. Li, *On k -Quasi-paranormal operators*, Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, Volume 8, Number 1 (2014), 113–122.
- [14] M. Guesba and O. A. Mahmoud Sid Ahmed, *k -Quasi- A -Paranormal Operators in Semi-Hilbertian Spaces*, Operators and Matrices. Volume 16, Number 3 (2022), 623–643.
- [15] M. Guesba and O. A. Mahmoud Sid Ahmed, *Paranormal operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Afrika Matematika, volume 31, 1409–1428 (2020).
- [16] F. Kittaneh and A. Zamani, *A refinement of A -Buzano inequality and applications to A -numerical radius inequalities*, Linear Algebra Appl. (2023), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2023.02.020>
- [17] H. Qiao, G. Hai and E. Bai, *A -numerical radius and A -norm inequalities for semi-Hilbertian space operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra doi: 10.1080/03081087.2021.1971599.
- [18] W. Majdak, N. A. Secelean and L. Suciuc, *Ergodic properties of operators in some semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **61(2)** (2013), 139–159.
- [19] S. Mecheri, S. M. Patel, *Spectral properties of k -quasi-paranormal operators*, Math. Reports **16** (66),4 (2014), 465–476.
- [20] M. S. Moslehian, Q. Xu and A. Zamani, *Seminorm and numerical radius inequalities of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **591** (2020), 299–321.
- [21] N. C. Rout, S. Sahoo and D. Mishra, *Some A -numerical radius inequalities for semi-Hilbertian space operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **69**, no. 5 (2021), 980–996.
- [22] M. A. Rwabi and O. A. M. Sid Ahmed, *(n, A) -Paranormal Operators in Semi-Hilbertian Spaces* (to appear).
- [23] A. Saddi, *A -normal operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **9** (2012) pp. 1–12.
- [24] O. A. M. Sid Ahmed and A. Benali, *Hyponormal and k -quasi-hyponormal operators on semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **13(1)**, 1–22 (2016).
- [25] J. Sen and K. Paul, *(ϵ, A) -approximate numerical radius orthogonality and numerical radius derivative*, Mathematica Slovaca, 10.1515/ms-2023-0014.
- [26] D. Senthilkumar and P. T. Selvi, *Weighted Composition of m -Quasi k -Paranormal Operators*, Int. J. Math. And Appl., **7(1)**(2019), 1–8.

SID AHMED OULD AHMED MAHMOUD

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, JOUF UNIVERSITY,

SAKAKA P.O.BOX 2014. SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: sidahmed@ju.edu.sa

RWABI MOBARAK ALSHARAR

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, JOUF UNIVERSITY,

SAKAKA P.O.BOX 2014. SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: 431204004@ju.edu.sa

AYDAH MOHAMMED AYED AL-AHMADI

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, JOUF UNIVERSITY,

SAKAKA P.O.BOX 2014. SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: amahmadi@ju.edu.sa, aydahahmadi2011@gmail.com