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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. A sequence in $G$ is barycentric if it contains one element "average" of its terms. We give a survey of results and open problems concerning sufficient conditions for the existence of barycentric sequences. Moreover values and open problems on the $k$-barycentric Davenport constant $B D(k, G)$, the barycentric Davenport constant $B D(G)$, the strong $k$-barycentric Davenport constant $S B D(k, G)$ and barycentric Ramsey numbers $B R(H, G)$ for some graphs $H$ are presented. These constants are related to the Davenport constant $D(G)$. Key words and phrases: barycentric sequence, Davenport constant, $k$-barycentric Davenport constant, barycentric Davenport constant, strong $k$-barycentric Davenport constant, barycentric Ramsey number.


## Resumen

Sea $G$ un grupo abeliano finito. Una sucesión en $G$ es baricéntrica si contiene un elemento el cual es "promedio"de sus términos. En este artículo, se presenta una revisión de resultados y problemas abiertos sobre condiciones suficientes para la existencia de sucesiones baricéntricas. Además se dan valores y problemas abiertos sobre la constante $k$-baricéntrica de Davenport $B D(k, G)$, la constante baricéntrica de Davenport $B D(G)$, la constante fuerte $k$-baricéntrica de Davenport $S B D(k, G)$ y el número Ramsey baricéntrico $B R(H, G)$ para algunos

[^0]grafos $H$. Estas constantes están relacionadas con la constante de Davenport $D(G)$.
Palabras y frases clave: sucesión baricéntrica, constante de Davenport, constante $k$-baricéntrica de Davenport, constante baricéntrica de Davenport, constante fuerte $k$-baricéntrica de Davenport, número Ramsey baricéntrico.

## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. Then $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{r}}, 1<n_{1}|\ldots.| n_{r}$, where $n_{r}=\exp (G)$ is the exponent of $G$ and $r$ is the rank of $G$. Let $M(G)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-1\right)+1$. In this paper, we denote by $p$ a prime number.

Definition 1 ([12]). Let $A$ be a finite set with $|A| \geq 2$ and $G$ an abelian group. A sequence $f: A \rightarrow G$ is barycentric if there exists $a \in A$ such that $\sum_{A} f=|A| f(a)$. The element $f(a)$ is called its barycenter.

The word sequence is used to associate the set $A$ with the set $\{1,2, \ldots,|A|\}$. When $|A|=k$ we shall speak of a $k$-barycentric sequence. Moreover when $f$ is injective the word barycentric set is used instead of barycentric sequence. The condition $|A| \geq 2$ avoids the trivial realization of equality $\sum_{A} f=|A| f(a)$ when $A=\{a\}$.

The history of barycentric sequences is short, it dates back to 1995 [10]. The works of Hamidoune [20,21] on weighted sequences was the inspiration, in the regular seminar on Combinatoria held at the LaTecS Laboratory, ISYS Center, Universidad Central de Venezuela, to introduce barycentric sequences and barycentric constants.

Let $f$ be a sequence in $G$. An obvious sufficient condition for the existence of a barycentric subsequence is that $|A|>|G|$ since this implies the existence of two distinct elements $a, a^{1}$ with $f(a)=f\left(a^{1}\right)$. Then $f(a) f\left(a^{1}\right)$ is a 2-barycentric subsequence of $f$. Moreover, $|A|>(k-1)|G|$ implies the existence of a $k$-barycentric subsequence of $f$.

Notice that $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k}$ is a $k$-barycentric sequence of barycenter $a_{j}$ if and only if $a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+(1-k) a_{j}+\cdots+a_{k}=0$. That is to say, a weighted zero-sum sequence with $w_{i}=1$ for all $i=1, \cdots, k$ excepting $w_{j}=1-k$. Therefore, the barycentric-sum problem can be located among the so called zero-sum problems.

A weighted sequence is constituted by terms of the form $w_{i} a_{i}$, where $a_{i} \in$ $G$ and $w_{i}$ are positive integers. The weighted sequences with zero-sum are studied in [18], [19], [20], [21] and [23].

The following theorem is the starting point of zero-sum problems.
Theorem 1 ([14]). Let $G$ be a finite abelian group of order $n$. Then every sequence of length $2 n-1$ has a subsequence of length $n$ with zero-sum.

Caro in 1966 [3] gives a nice structured survey on zero-sum problems, where the following conjecture due to Caro was formulated:

Conjecture 1. Let $G$ be a finite abelian group of order n. Let $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}$ be positive integers such that $w_{1}+w_{2}+\ldots+w_{k}=0(\bmod n)$. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+k-1}$ in $G$ not necessarily distinct. Then there exist $k$ distinct indices $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$ such that $w_{1} a_{i_{1}}+w_{2} a_{i_{2}}+\ldots+w_{k} a_{i_{k}}=0(\bmod n)$.

In the context of weighted sequence, Grynkiewicz in [19] proves the veracity of this conjecture giving the following theorem:
Theorem 2 ([19]). Let $m, n$ and $k \geq 2$ be positive integers. If $f$ is a sequence of $n+k-1$ elements from a nontrivial abelian group $G$ of order $n$ and exponent $m$, and if $W=\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ is a sequence of integers whose sum is zero modulo $m$, then there exists a rearranged subsequence $\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ of $f$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i} b_{i}=0$. Furthermore, if $f$ has an $k$-set partition $A=A_{1}, \cdots, A_{k}$ such that $\left|w_{i} A_{i}\right|=$ $\left|A_{i}\right|$ for all $i$, then there exists a nontrivial subgroup $H$ of $G$ and an $k$-set partition $A^{1}=A_{1}^{1}, \cdots, A_{k}^{1}$ of $f$ with $H \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i} A_{i}^{1}$ and $\left|w_{i} A_{i}^{1}\right|=\left|A_{i}^{1}\right|$ for all $i$.

Theorem 2 extends the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv, which is the case when $k=n$ and $w_{i}=1$ for all $i$.

Recently Gao and Geroldinger present a survey on zero-sum problems [16], updating the Caro survey [3].

The following remark establishes a relationship between the zero-sum problem and the barycentric-sum problem.

Remark 1 ([25]). Let $A$ be a set in a finite abelian group $G$. Let $a \in A$, then $A$ contains a barycentric set with barycenter $a$ if and only if $A-a \backslash\{0\}$ contains a zero-sum set.
Definition 2 ([9]). Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. The Davenport constant $D(G)$ is the least positive integer $d$ such that every sequence of length $d$ in $G$ contains a non-empty subsequence with zero-sum.

It is clear that $M(G) \leq D(G) \leq|G|[17]$. It is well known that $D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=n$. Moreover for noncyclic groups we have:

Theorem 3 ([27]). Let $G$ be a finite noncyclic group of order $n$ then $D(G) \leq$ $\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rceil$, where $\lceil x\rceil$ denotes the smallest integer not less than $x$.

Moreover for $p$-groups we have:
Lemma 1 ([26]). Let $G=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{1}}} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{k}}}$ be a p-group. Then we have $D(G)=M(G)$.

We have the following results:
Theorem 4 ([22]). Let $G$ be an abelian group. Let $f: A \rightarrow G$ be a sequence with $k \leq|A| \leq 2 k-1$ and $\left|\left\{\sum_{x \in S} f(x): S \subseteq A:|S|=k\right\}\right| \leq|A|-k$. Then $f$ contains a $k$-barycentric or a $(k+1)$-barycentric sequence.

Theorem 5 ([20]). Let $G$ be a finite abelian group of order $n \geq 2$ and $f: A \rightarrow$ $G$ a sequence with $|A| \geq n+k-1$. Then there exists a $k$-barycentric subsequence of $f$. Moreover, in the case $k \geq|G|$ the condition $|A| \geq k+D(G)-1$ is sufficient for the existence of a $k$-barycentric subsequence of $f$.

This result shows the existence of the following constant:
Definition 3 ([11]). Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n \geq 2$. The $k$ barycentric Davenport constant $B D(k, G)$ is the minimal positive integer $t$ such that every $t$-sequence in $G$ contains a $k$-barycentric subsequence.

Hence by Theorem 5 we have $B D(k, G) \leq n+k-1$. Notice that by Theorem 2 this constant is also assured.

The following two theorems are the algebraic background used in [12], in order to establish in Theorem 8, Theorem 9 and Corollary 1 conditions for the existence of barycentric subsequences in a given sequence with prescribed length.

Theorem 6 ([13]). Let $H$ be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Let d be a positive integer such that $2 \leq d \leq|H|$.

$$
\text { Set } \bigwedge^{d} H=\left\{\sum_{x \in S} x: S \subset H,|S|=d\right\}
$$

Then $\left|\bigwedge^{d} H\right| \geq \min \{p, d(|H|-d)+1\}$.
Theorem 7 ([8]). Let $A$ and $B$ be subsets in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then $|A+B| \geq \min \{p,|A|+$ $|B|-1\}$.

Theorem 8 ([12]). Let $s, d$ be integers $\geq 2$ such that $p \geq d+2+\frac{1}{d-1}$. Let $A$ be $a$ set with $s+d$ elements, and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ a sequence with $|f(A)| \geq \frac{p-1}{d}+d+1$. Then $f$ contains an s-barycentric subsequence.

The following theorem improves Theorem 8, under the additional condition $s>\left\lceil\frac{p-1}{d}\right\rceil$.

Theorem 9 ([12]). Let $s, d$ be integers $\geq 2$ such that $s>\left\lceil\frac{p-1}{d}\right\rceil$. Let $A$ be a set with $|A|=s+d$. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be a sequence such that $|f(A)| \geq\left\lceil\frac{p-1}{d}\right\rceil+d$. Then there exists an s-barycentric subsequence of $f$.

Corollary 1 ([12]). Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be a sequence with $|A|=p+2$ and $|f(A)| \geq \frac{p+3}{2}$. Then $f$ contains a p-subsequence with zero-sum.

The following problem is still open:
Problem 1 ([12]). Let $A$ be a subset of size $k$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. If there are no barycentric sequences of size $\leq t$ in $A$, what can be said about the minimum number $F(k, d, t)$ of sums of $d$ different terms in $A$ when it is less than $p$ ?

The case $t=2$ is described by Hamidoune and Dias da Silva in Theorem 6: $F(k, d, 2)=d(k-d)+1$.

As an example, we easily see that $F(4,2,3)=5=F(4,2,2)$, and that the function has the symmetry $F(k, d, t)=F(k, k-d, t)$. It seems that $F(5,2,3)=9>F(5,2,2)=7$.

In order to present another barycentric constant, we have the following definition:

Definition 4 ([10],[17],[29]). Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. The Olson constant, denoted $O(G)$, is the least positive integer $d$ such that every subset $A \subseteq G$, with $|A|=d$ contains a non-empty subset with zero-sum.

It is clear that $O(G) \leq D(G)$. Moreover we have the theorem:
Theorem 10 ([17]). Let $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{r}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{s+1}$ with $r \geq 0, s \geq 0$, $1<n_{1}|\ldots| n_{r} \mid n$ and $n_{r} \neq n$. If $G$ is a $p-$ group and $r+\frac{s}{2} \geq n$, then $O(G)=$ $M(G)=D(G)$.

Theorem 11 ([11, 29]). $O\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{s}\right)=s+1$ for $s \geq 1$ and $O\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{s}\right)=2 s+1$ for $s \geq 3$.

The following constant is introduced and studied in [12].

Definition 5 ([12]). Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. The barycentric Davenport constant $B D(G)$ is the least positive integer $m$ such that every $m$ sequence in $G$ contains a barycentric subsequence of length $\geq 2$.

If $f$ is not injective, then there is a 2 -barycentric subsequence. In the injective case, if $|G| \neq 1$, then using pairs of distinct elements it is easy to show that $B D(G) \geq 3$. Hence, we have the following alternate definition of $B D(G)$ :
Definition 6 ([12]). Let $G$ be a finite abelian group with $|G| \geq 3 ; B D(G)$ is the least positive integer $d$ such that every subset $A \subset G$, with $|A|=d$ contains a barycentric subset $B$.

By Remark 1 and Definition 6 we have that $B D(G) \leq O(G)+1$.
We have the following results, conjecture and open problem:
Theorem $12([12]) . B D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \leq\lceil\sqrt{4 p+1}\rceil-2$ for $p \geq 5$.
Theorem 13 ([12]). $B D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{s}\right)=s+2$ for $s \geq 1$.
Theorem 14 ([12, 25]). For $s \geq 2$ we have $2 s+1 \leq B D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{s}\right) \leq 2 s+2$. Moreover $B D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{s}\right)=2 s+1$, for $1 \leq s \leq 5$.

At present there is no known value of $s$ for which the upper bound $2 s+2$, in Theorem 14, is attained. Then the following conjecture is formulated:
Conjecture 2. $B D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{s}\right)=2 s+1$ for $s \geq 2$.
Problem 2. The groups $G$ and their values or upper bounds known up now of $O(G)$ and $B D(G)$ are those given in [12]. Since $B D(G) \leq O(G)+1$, in the measure that $O(G)$ is determined for specific $G$ then we have an upper bound for $B D(G)$. To enlarge the groups and their values or upper bounds for both constants is an open problem.

In [28] the strong barycentric Davenport constant $S B D(k, G)$ is introduced as the minimum positive integer $t$ such that any $t$-set in $G$ contains a $k$ barycentric set, provided such an integer exists. Moreover in [28], the existence of $S B D(k, G)$ are established and some values or bounds are given. In general there is no known algebraic background to calculate $S B D(k, G)$. The action of the group $G_{n}=\left\{f_{a, b}: \mathbb{Z}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n}, f_{a, b}(x)=a x+b, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{n},(a, n)=1\right\}$ on the set $X_{n}^{k}=\left\{\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}: x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$ partitions it in equivalence classes or orbits. If $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right\}$ is $k$-barycentric then all elements of its orbit $\theta\left(\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right\}\right)$ are $k$-barycentric sets. This fact allowed in [28] give the existence and then to calculate $S B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ for some $n$ and $k$ in particular for $3 \leq n \leq 12$ and $3 \leq k \leq n$. For example the following results are establish:
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Theorem $15([28]) . S B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=5$ for $n=6,8,9,10,13$ and $S B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)=3$.

We discuss now another barycentric constant:
Definition 7 ([11]). Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n \geq 2$ and let $H$ be a graph with $e(H)=k$ edges. The barycentric Ramsey number of the pair $(H, G)$, denoted by $B R(H, G)$, is the minimum positive integer $r$ such that any coloring $c: E\left(K_{r}\right) \rightarrow G$ of the edges of $K_{r}$ by elements of $G$ yields a copy of $H$, say $H_{0}$, with an edge $e_{0}$ such that the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{e \in E\left(H_{0}\right)} c(e)=k c\left(e_{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case $H$ is called a barycentric graph.
The barycentric Ramsey number theory introduced in [11] can be traced back in the Ramsey number $R(H, n)$ and in the Ramsey-zero-sum number $R(H, G)$.

The Ramsey number $R(H, n)$ is the smallest integer $t$ such that for any coloring of the edges of $K_{t}$ with $n$ colors there exists a monochromatic copy of $H$.

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group of order $n$. Let $H$ be a graph where its edges satisfy $e(H)=0(\bmod n)$, the Ramsey zero-sum number $R(H, G)$ is defined as the minimal positive integer $s$ such that any coloring $c: E\left(K_{s}\right) \rightarrow G$ of the edges of the complete graph $K_{s}$ by elements of $G$ yields a copy of $H$, say $H_{0}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{e \in E\left(H_{0}\right)} c(e)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 0 is the zero element of $G$. The necessity of the condition $e(H)=$ $(\bmod n)$ for the existence of $R(H, G)$ is clear, it comes from the monochromatic coloration of the edges of $H$.

The Ramsey zero-sum number was introduced by Bialostocki and Dierker in [1] when $e(H)=n$ and the concept is extended to $e(H)=0(\bmod n)$ by Caro in [4]. Notice that when $e(H)=0(\bmod n)$ then $R(H, G) \leq R(H, n)$ and $R(H, 2) \leq R(H, G)$ when $e(H)=n$.

It is clear that $B R(H, G) \leq R(H,|G|)$, then $B R(H, G)$ always exists. Besides this introduction that provides the history and tools on barycentric sequences, this paper contains two main sections dedicated to discuss the $k$-barycentric Davenport constant and the barycentric Ramsey number respectively.

## $2 k$-barycentric Davenport constant

Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$. In general there is no known algebraic method to calculate $B D(k, G)$. In [11] $B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is calculated for some prime $p$. In [28] some $B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ for $3 \leq n \leq 12$ and $3 \leq k \leq n$ is derived from $S B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$. For example $B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)=5$ and $B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{6}\right)=6$ are obtained from $S B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)=3$ and $S B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{6}\right)=5$ respectively.

In [11], the following inequality are used to calculate $B D(k, G)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
B D(k, G) \leq n+k-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example from (3) we have:
Proposition 1 ([11]). $B D(2, G)=n+1$.
Proposition $2([11]) . B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=2\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1$.
Proposition $3([12]) . B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)= \begin{cases}k+1 & \text { if } k \neq 0(\bmod 3), \\ k+2 & \text { if } k=0(\bmod 3)\end{cases}$
The following theorem is derived from the Dias da Silva-Hamidoune theorem.

Theorem 16 ([11]). $B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \leq 2\left\lceil\frac{p}{3}\right\rceil+1$ for $p \geq 5$.
In particular we have:
Corollary $2([11]) . B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)=5, B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{7}\right)=7, B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{11}\right)=$ $B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{13}\right)=9$.

For certain values of $p$, the inequality (3) can be improved:
Theorem 17 ([11]). $B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \leq p+k-2$ for $4 \leq k \leq p-1$.
Problem 3. Derive from Theorem 17 exact values of $B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ for $4 \leq k \leq$ $p-1$. Moreover, find for which $4 \leq k \leq p-1$ it is verified $B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=p+k-2$.

Related to Problem 3, we have the following corollary and theorem:
Corollary 3 ([11]). $B D\left(p-1, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=2 p-3$ for $p \geq 5$.
However, we have:
Theorem 18 ([11]). $B D\left(4, \mathbb{Z}_{7}\right)=8$.

The following theorem is used to derive a result (Theorem 20) similar to Theorem 17 for $k>p$.

Theorem 19 ([11]). Let $G$ be a group of order $n$, and $k>n$.

- If $B D(k-n, G) \geq n-1$, then $B D(k, G) \leq n+B D(k-n, G)$.
- If $B D(k-n, G) \leq n-1$, then $B D(k, G) \leq 2 n-1$.

Theorem 20 ([11]). Let $p \geq 5, k>p$ and the remainder of the division of $k$ by $p$ is in $\{4, \ldots, p-1\}$, then $B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \leq p+k-2$. Moreover when the remainder is $p-1$ we have $B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=p+k-2$.

Finally we have the following two theorems and problem.
Theorem $21([11]) . B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{s}\right)=2^{s}+1$.
Theorem 22 ([11]).

| $s$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B D\left(4, \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{s}\right)=B D\left(3, \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{s}\right)$ | 5 | 9 | 19 | 41 |

Problem 4. In papers [11] and [28] the orbit technique was used to calculate $S B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ and $B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ for some $n$ and $k$. Using this technique, we propose to extend the list of known exact values or bounds of $S B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ and $B D\left(k, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ presented in both papers.

## 3 Barycentric Ramsey numbers

Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n$ and let $H$ be a graph with $e(H)$ edges. In this section we summarize the values or bounds of $B R\left(H, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ for stars, paths, circuits and matching. In particular for $2 \leq n \leq 5$ and $2 \leq e(H) \leq 4$. We use the following notations: the stars are the complete bipartite graphs $K_{1, k}$, $P_{k}$ are paths with $k$ vertices and $k-1$ edges, $C_{k}$ are circuits with $k$ vertices and $m K_{2}$ an $m$ matching, i.e. $m$ disjoint edges. At present there is no known algebraic background to calculate the upper bound values of $B R\left(H, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ for $e(H) \neq 0(\bmod n)$, so that it is only possible to compute them manually by cases or by computer. For lower bounds it is sufficient to find an ad hoc decomposition of a complete graph in edges disjoint subgraphs, colored in order to avoid some particular barycentric graph. Moreover, in some cases the following remark gives a lower bound:

Table 1: Barycentric graphs coloring

| $e(H)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | monochromatic | monochromatic | monochromatic | monochromatic |
| 3 | any coloring | $a, b, c$ | $a, b, c$ | $a, b, c$ |
|  |  | monochromatic | $a, a, a+2$ | monochromatic |
|  |  |  | monochromatic |  |
| 4 | $a, a, b, b$ | $a, a, b, c$ | $a, a, a+2, a+2$ | $a, a, b, c$ |
|  | monochromatic | $a, a, a, b$ | $a, a, a+1, a+3$ | monochromatic |
|  |  | monochromatic | monochromatic |  |

Remark 2. If a graph $H$ is not barycentric with any 2-coloring, then $R(H, 2) \leq B R(H, G)$.

The following remark is useful to establish an upper bound of $B R\left(H, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ :
Remark 3 ([15]). Let $H$ be a graph with $2 \leq e(H) \leq 4$ edges colored by elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}(2 \leq n \leq 5)$. Table 1 shows the possible coloring for $H$ to be barycentric. For example, in case $e(H)=3$ and the edges colored by elements from $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$, $H$ is barycentric when the edges are colored with three different colors $a, b, c$ or the edges are colored by $a, a, a+2$ for any color $a$ or the edges are colored monochromatically.

The following remark and theorem, allow to establish $B R\left(H, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ :
Remark 4 ([11]). Let $H$ be a graph and $e(H)$ the number of its edges. Then:

$$
B R\left(H, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}|V(H)| & \text { if } e(H) \text { is odd } \\ R\left(H, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) & \text { if } e(H) \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 23 ([6]). Let $H$ be a graph on $h$ vertices and an even number of edges. Then:

$$
R\left(H, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}h+2 & \text { if } H=K_{h}, h=0,1((\bmod 4)) \\ h+1 & \text { if } H=K_{p} \cup K_{q},\binom{p}{2}+\binom{q}{2}=0((\bmod 2)) \\ h+1 & \text { if all the degrees in } H \text { are odd } \\ h & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

### 3.1 Barycentric Ramsey numbers for stars

The barycentric Ramsey numbers for stars is obtained in the following way: the upper bound is derived from the inequality $B R\left(K_{1, k}, G\right) \leq B D(k, G)+1$ :
for any vertex in $K_{B D(k, G)+1}$ there is a barycentric star centered on this vertex.

We have the following theorem:
Theorem $24([2,4])$. Let $K_{1, m}$ be the star on $m$ edges with $m=0(\bmod n)$. Then
$B R\left(K_{1, m}, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=R\left(K_{1, m}, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}m+n-1 & \text { if } m=n=0(\bmod 2) \\ m+n & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
The following theorem and its corollaries allow to obtain a particular coloring of a complete graph avoiding the existence of a barycentric $K_{1, k}$. That is to say, we derive lower bounds of $B R\left(K_{1, k}, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ by decomposing a complete graph into edge-disjoint subgraphs.

Theorem 25 ([24]). Let $K_{n}$ be a complete graph of $n$ vertices. Then: $K_{n}$, with $n$ odd, is the edge-disjoint union of $\frac{n-1}{2}$ hamiltonian cycles. $K_{n}$, with $n$ even, is the edge-disjoint union of $\frac{n-2}{2}$ hamiltonian cycles and one perfect matching. Hence $K_{n}$ can be decomposed in $n-1$ perfect matching.

Corollary 4. Let $K_{n}$ be a complete graph of $n$ vertices, with $n$ odd. Then $K_{n}$ can be decomposed into two complete graphs $K_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ sharing a vertex and a bipartite complete graph $K_{\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}}$.

Corollary 5. Let $K_{n}$ be a complete graph of $n$ vertices, with $n$ even. Then $K_{n}$ can be decomposed into two vertex-disjoint complete graphs $K_{\frac{n}{2}}$, the remaining $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ into one perfect matching and one $\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)$-regular graph.

Therefore with the above considerations, the following results for stars were proved in [11]:

Theorem 26. $B R\left(K_{1,3}, \mathbb{Z}_{13}\right)=10$.
Theorem 27. $B R\left(K_{1, p-1}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=2 p-2$.
Theorem 28. $B R\left(K_{1,4}, \mathbb{Z}_{7}\right)=9$.
Theorem 29. $B R\left(K_{1,9}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)=13$.
Theorem 30. $B R\left(K_{1, t p+1}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=(t+1) p$ for $p \geq 3$ and $t$ positive integer.
Theorem 31. $B R\left(K_{1,5 t+2}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)=5(t+1)$.

### 3.2 Barycentric Ramsey numbers for matching

For an $m$-matching, the following two theorems are established:
Theorem 32 ([15]). Let $G$ be an abelian group of order $n \geq 2$. Then $B R\left(2 K_{2}, G\right)=n+3$.

Theorem $33([5,2]) . B R\left(m K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=R\left(m K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=2 m+n-1$ for $m=0$ $(\bmod n)$.

In [15] the following values for $B R\left(m K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ with $m=2$ and $n=3,4,5$, $m=3$ and $n=4,5, m=4$ and $n=3,5$ are given.

Theorem $34([15])$. $B R\left(2 K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)=6, B R\left(2 K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)=7, B R\left(2 K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)=$ $B R\left(3 K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)=B R\left(3 K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)=8, B R\left(4 K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)=8$ and $B R\left(4 K_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)=11$.

### 3.3 Barycentric Ramsey numbers for paths and circuits

The following lemma was used in [15] to establish for $3 \leq n \leq 5$, the values of $B R\left(P_{m}, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ for $m=3,4,5$ and $B R\left(C_{m}, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ for $m=3,4$.

Lemma 2 ([1]). If the edges of $K_{n}$ where $n \geq 5$, are colored by at least three different colors, then there exists a path on three differently colored edges.

Theorem 35 ([3]). $B R\left(P_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)=B R\left(P_{5}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)=5$.
We have then the following theorems:
Theorem 36 ([15]).

- $B R\left(P_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)=B R\left(P_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)=5$ and $B R\left(P_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)=7$.
- $B R\left(P_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)=B R\left(P_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)=5$.
- $B R\left(P_{5}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)=B R\left(P_{5}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)=5$.

We have the following results:
Theorem 37 ([7]). $B R\left(C_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)=11$.
Theorem 38 ([3]). $B R\left(C_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)=6$.
Theorem 39 ([15]). $51 \leq B R\left(C_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right) \leq 126$.
Problem 5. Determine the exact value of $B R\left(C_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$ or improve the bounds given in Theorem 39.
Problem 6. The computation of $B R\left(H, \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 6$ and the same graph $H$ treated here, is an open problem.
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