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#### Abstract

For any $n \geq 3$, we construct a field $F$ and an $n$-fold Pfister form $\varphi$ such that the field extension $F(\varphi) / F$ is not excellent. We prove that $F(\varphi) / F$ is universally excellent if and only if $\varphi$ is a Pfister neighbor of dimension $\leq 4$.
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Let $F$ be a field of characteristic different from 2 and $\varphi$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form on an $F$-vector space $V$, by which $V$ gets the structure of a non-degenerate quadratic space. Choosing an orthogonal basis of $V$ we can write $\varphi$ in the form $a_{1} x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+a_{d} x_{d}^{2}$. In this case we use the notation $\varphi=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right\rangle$.

A quadratic form or space $\varphi$ is called isotropic if $\varphi(v)=0$ for some nonzero vector $v \in V$. We say that $\varphi$ is anisotropic otherwise. Up to isometry, there is exactly one non-degenerated isotropic 2-dimensional quadratic space, namely the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}$ equipped with the form $\langle 1,-1\rangle$. A non-degenerate quadratic space is called hyperbolic if it is isometric to the orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes $m \mathbb{H}=\mathbb{H} \perp$ $\cdots \perp \mathbb{H}$.

According to Witt's main theorem any non-degenerate quadratic space $V$ can be decomposed in the orthogonal sum $V=V_{a n} \perp V_{h}$, where $V_{a n}$ is anisotropic and $V_{h} \cong m \mathbb{H}$ is a hyperbolic space. (We will use $\cong$ to denote isometry of quadratic forms or spaces.) Moreover the quadratic space $V_{a n}$ is uniquely determined up to isometry. The restriction $\left.\varphi\right|_{V_{a n}}$ is called the anisotropic part (or anisotropic kernel) of $\varphi$ and is denoted by $\varphi_{a n}$. The number $m=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V_{h}$ is called the Witt index of $\varphi$.

For any quadratic space $V$ and any field extension $L / F$ one can provide $V_{L}=$ $V \otimes_{F} L$ with a structure of a quadratic space. The corresponding quadratic form we shall denote by $\varphi_{L}$. We say that a quadratic form $\varphi$ over $L$ is defined over $F$ if there is a quadratic form $\xi$ over $F$ such that $\varphi \cong \xi_{L}$.

[^0]It is an important problem to study the behavior of the anisotropic part of forms over $F$ under a field extension $L / F$. It occurs sometimes that any anisotropic form over $F$ is still anisotropic over $L$ (for example if $L / F$ is of odd degree). In this case for any quadratic form $\varphi$ over $F$ the anisotropic part $\left(\varphi_{L}\right)_{a n}$ of $\varphi$ over $L$ coincides with $\left(\varphi_{a n}\right)_{L}$ and hence is defined over $F$.

However, very often $\varphi$ becomes isotropic over $L$. In this case we do not know if the anisotropic part of $\varphi$ over $L$ is defined over $F$.

A field extension $L / F$ is called excellent if for any quadratic form $\varphi$ over $F$ the anisotropic part $\left(\varphi_{L}\right)_{\text {an }}$ of $\varphi$ over $L$ is defined over $F$ (i.e., there is a form $\xi$ over $F$ such that $\left.\left(\varphi_{L}\right)_{a n} \cong \xi_{L}\right)$.

It is well known that any quadratic extension is excellent. Since any anisotropic quadratic form $\psi$ over $F$ is still anisotropic over the field of rational functions $F(t)$, every purely transcendental field extension is excellent.

Among all field extensions the fields $F(\varphi)$ of rational functions on the quadric hyper-surface defined by the equation $\varphi=0$ are of special interest in the theory of quadratic forms. One of the important problems is to find a condition on $\varphi$ so that the field extension $F(\varphi) / F$ is excellent.

We say that $F(\varphi) / F$ is universally excellent if for any extension $K / F$ the extension $K(\varphi) / K$ is excellent.

If $\varphi$ is isotropic then $F(\varphi) / F$ is purely transcendental, and it follows from Springer's theorem that $F(\varphi) / F$ is excellent and moreover is universally excellent. Thus it is sufficient to consider only the case of anisotropic forms $\varphi$.

In [Kn] Knebusch has proved that if $\varphi$ is an anisotropic form such that $F(\varphi) / F$ is excellent then $\varphi$ is a Pfister neighbor. This means that there is a quadratic form $\pi=\left\langle 1,-a_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes\left\langle 1,-a_{n}\right\rangle$ (called $n$-fold Pfister form) such that $\varphi$ is similar to a subform of $\pi$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi)>\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim}(\pi)$. This result gives rise to the natural question whether the field extension $F(\varphi) / F$ is excellent for any Pfister neighbor $\varphi$. This problem can be easily reduced to the case of an $n$-fold Pfister forms $\varphi$.

If $n=1$ then $F(\varphi) / F$ is obviously excellent since $F(\varphi) / F$ is a quadratic extension. Arason [ELW1, Appendix II] has proved that, for $n=2, F(\varphi) / F$ is always excellent (see also [R], [LVG]). Thus the answer to our question is yes for $n$-fold Pfister forms with $n \leq 2$. It was an open problem whether $F(\varphi) / F$ is excellent for any field $F$ and any $n$-fold Pfister form $\varphi$ over $F$ (with $n \geq 3$ ).

In [ELW2] some special cases of this problem were considered: for an $n$-fold Pfister form $\varphi$ with $n \geq 3$, the excellence of the field extension $F(\varphi) / F$ was proved for all fields with $\tilde{u}(F) \leq 4$. In [H2] Hoffmann considered another special case of the problem. An extension $L / F$ is called $d$-excellent if for any quadratic form $\psi$ of dimension $\leq d$ the anisotropic part $\left(\psi_{L}\right)_{\text {an }}$ of $\psi$ over $L$ is defined over $F$. Hoffmann has proved that the extension $F(\varphi) / F$ is 6-excellent for any Pfister neighbor $\varphi$.

In this paper we prove that for any $n \geq 3$ there is a field $F$ and an $n$-fold Pfister form $\varphi$ such that the field extension $F(\varphi) / F$ is not excellent. Moreover Theorem 1.1 of our paper says that $F(\varphi) / F$ is universally excellent if and only if $\varphi$ is a Pfister neighbor of an $n$-fold Pfister form with $n \leq 2$, (i.e., either $\operatorname{dim} \varphi \leq 3$ or $\varphi$ is a 4 dimensional form with $\operatorname{det}(\varphi)=1$ ). In $\S 3$ we use the main construction of the paper to study "splitting pairs" $\varphi, \psi$ of quadratic forms. More precisely, we construct a "non standard pair" $\varphi, \psi$ such that $\varphi$ is isotropic over the function field $F(\psi)$ of the quadric $\psi$.

Remark. Some results of this paper were developed further by D. Hoffmann in [H4].

## 1. Main Theorem

We will use the following notation throughout the paper: by $\varphi \perp \psi, \varphi \cong \psi$, and $[\varphi]$ we denote respectively orthogonal sum of forms, isometry of forms, and the class of $\varphi$ in the Witt ring $W(F)$ of the field $F$. The maximal ideal of $W(F)$ generated by the classes of even dimensional forms is denoted by $I(F)$. We write $\varphi \sim \psi$ if $\varphi$ is similar to $\psi$, i.e., $k \varphi=\psi$ for some $k \in F^{*}$. The anisotropic part of $\varphi$ is denoted by $\varphi_{a n}$ and $i_{W}(\varphi)$ denotes the Witt index of $\varphi$. We denote by $\left\langle\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ the $n$-fold Pfister form

$$
\left\langle 1,-a_{1}\right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes\left\langle 1,-a_{n}\right\rangle
$$

and by $P_{n}(F)$ the set of all $n$-fold Pfister forms. The set of all forms similar to $n$-fold Pfister forms we denote by $G P_{n}(F)$. For any field extension $L / F$ we put $\varphi_{L}=\varphi \otimes L$, $W(L / F)=\operatorname{ker}(W(F) \rightarrow W(L))$.
Main Theorem 1.1. Let $\varphi$ be an anisotropic form over $F$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) The field extension $F(\varphi) / F$ is universally excellent, i.e., for any field extension $E / F$ the extension $E(\varphi) / E$ is excellent.
(ii) Either $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi) \leq 3$ or $\varphi \in G P_{2}(F)$.

Proof of $(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$. The case $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi)=2$ is obvious. If $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi)=3$ or $\varphi \in G P_{2}(F)$ the excellence of the extension $E(\varphi) / E$ was proved by Arason (see the introduction).
Proof of $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$. Since $E(\varphi) / E$ is excellent for any extension $E / F$, we see that $F(\varphi) / F$ is excellent. It was shown in [Kn, 7.13] that for $F(\varphi) / F$ to be excellent it is necessary that $\varphi$ is a Pfister neighbor. Let $\varphi$ be a Pfister neighbor of the $n$-fold Pfister form $\pi$. Since $F(\varphi)$ and $F(\pi)$ are $F$-equivalent, we can replace $\varphi$ by $\pi$, i.e., we can suppose that $\varphi=\pi$ is an $n$-fold Pfister form. Thus it is sufficient to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2. Let $\pi$ be anisotropic n-fold Pfister form over the field $F$. If $n \geq 3$ then there is a field extension $E / F$ such that $E(\pi) / E$ is not excellent.

## 2. Proof of Proposition 1.2

Lemma 2.1. Let $\pi$ and $\tau$ be anisotropic $n$-fold Pfister forms over the field $F$. Then there is a field extension $K / F$ such that the following conditions hold.
a) $\pi_{K}=\tau_{K}$,
b) $\pi_{K}$ and $\tau_{K}$ are anisotropic.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be a Pfister neighbor of $\tau$ of dimension $2^{n-1}+1$. It follows from [H3, Theorem 4] that there exists a field extension $K / F$ such that $\pi_{K}$ is anisotropic and $\varphi_{K} \subset \pi_{K}$. Hence $\varphi_{K}$ is a Pfister neighbor of $\pi_{K}$. Since $\varphi_{K}$ is a Pfister neighbor of $\tau_{K}$, we have $\pi_{K}=\tau_{K}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\tau$ and $\pi$ be anisotropic $n$-fold Pfister forms over $F$. Suppose that there is $a \in F^{*}$ such that $\tau_{F(\sqrt{a})}$ and $\pi_{F(\sqrt{a})}$ are isotropic. Then there is an extension $E / F$ and $x \in E^{*}$ such that the following conditions hold.

1) $\pi_{E(\sqrt{x})}=\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$,
2) $\pi_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ and $\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ are anisotropic,
3) $E / F$ is unirational.

Remark: We say that $E / F$ is unirational, if there is a purely transcendental finitely generated field extension $K / F$ such that $F \subset E \subset K$.

Proof. Since $\tau$ is an $n$-fold Pfister form and $\tau_{F(\sqrt{a})}$ is isotropic, we can write $\tau$ in the form $\tau=\left\langle\left\langle a, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. Similarly, we can write $\pi$ in the form $\pi=$ $\left\langle\left\langle a, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. Let $\widetilde{F}=F\left(A, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n-1}\right)$ be the rational function field in $2 n-1$ variables over $\widetilde{F}$.

Put $\widetilde{\tau}=\left\langle\left\langle A, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and $\widetilde{\pi}=\left\langle\left\langle A, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. Let $\gamma=\tau \perp-\pi$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{\tau} \perp-\widetilde{\pi}$. Let $E / \widetilde{F}$ be the universal field extension such that $\gamma_{E}=\widetilde{\gamma}_{E}$, i.e., $E=\widetilde{F}_{h}$, where $\widetilde{F}=\widetilde{F}_{0}, \widetilde{F}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{F}_{h}$ is a generic splitting tower of the quadratic form $\gamma \perp-\widetilde{\gamma}$.

It is well known that the following universal property of $E$ holds: For any field extension $K / \widetilde{F}$ the condition $\gamma_{K}=\widetilde{\gamma}_{K}$ implies that $E K / K$ is purely transcendental.

Now we prove that conditions 1) -3 ) of the lemma hold for $x=A$.

1) We have $\left[\tau_{E(\sqrt{A})}\right]-\left[\pi_{E(\sqrt{A})}\right]=\left[\gamma_{E(\sqrt{A})}\right]=\left[\widetilde{\gamma}_{E(\sqrt{A})}\right]=\left[\widetilde{\tau}_{E(\sqrt{A})}\right]-\left[\widetilde{\pi}_{E(\sqrt{A})}\right]=0$.

Hence $\left[\tau_{E(\sqrt{A})}\right]=\left[\pi_{E(\sqrt{A})}\right]$.
2) Let $K / F$ be as in Lemma 2.1, i.e., $\tau_{K}, \pi_{K}$ are anisotropic and $\tau_{K}=\pi_{K}$. We have $\left[\gamma_{K}\right]=\left[\tau_{K}\right]-\left[\pi_{K}\right]=0$

Let $\widetilde{K}=K\left(A, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n-1}\right)$ be the rational function field in $2 n-1$ variables over $K$. We have $\left[\gamma_{\tilde{K}(\sqrt{A})}\right]=\left[\tau_{\widetilde{K}(\sqrt{A})}\right]-\left[\pi_{\widetilde{K}(\sqrt{A})}\right]=0$ and $\left[\widetilde{\gamma}_{\widetilde{K}}(\sqrt{A})\right]=$ $\left[\tilde{\tau}_{\widetilde{K}(\sqrt{A})}\right]-\left[\tilde{\pi}_{\tilde{K}(\sqrt{A})}\right]=0$. Therefore $\left[\gamma_{\tilde{K}(\sqrt{A})}\right]=\left[\widetilde{\gamma}_{\tilde{K}(\sqrt{A})}\right]$. Using the universal property of $E / \widetilde{F}$ we see that $E \widetilde{K}(\sqrt{A}) / \widetilde{K}(\sqrt{A})$ is purely transcendental.

It is clear that $\widetilde{K}(\sqrt{A}) / K$ is purely transcendental. Therefore $E \widetilde{K}(\sqrt{A}) / K$ is purely transcendental. Hence $\tau_{E \tilde{K}(\sqrt{A})}$ and $\pi_{E \tilde{K}(\sqrt{A})}$ are anisotropic. Therefore $\tau_{E(\sqrt{A})}$ and $\pi_{E(\sqrt{A})}$ are anisotropic.
3) Let $L=\widetilde{F}\left(\sqrt{A / a}, \sqrt{B_{1} / b_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{B_{n-1} / b_{n-1}}, \sqrt{C_{1} / c_{1}}, \ldots, \sqrt{C_{n-1} / c_{n-1}}\right)$. It is clear that $\pi_{L}=\widetilde{\pi}_{L}$ and $\tau_{L}=\widetilde{\tau}_{L}$. Therefore $\gamma_{L}=\widetilde{\gamma}_{L}$. Using the universal property of $E / \widetilde{F}$ we see that $E L / L$ is purely transcendental. It is clear that $L / F$ is purely transcendental. Hence $E L / F$ is purely transcendental. Since $E \subset E L$ we see that $E / F$ is unirational.

Lemma 2.3. Let $F$ be a field and $\pi$ be anisotropic $n$-fold Pfister form over $F$. Then there are a unirational extension $E / F$, an $n$-fold Pfister form $\tau$ over $E$, and $x \in E^{*}$ such that the following conditions hold.

1) $\pi_{E(\sqrt{x})}=\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$,
2) $\pi_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ and $\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ are anisotropic,
3) $\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi_{E} \perp-\tau_{E}\right)_{a n}=2^{n+1}-4$.

Proof. Write $\pi$ in the form $\pi=\left\langle\left\langle a, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. Let $\widetilde{F}=F\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}\right)$ be the rational function field in $n-1$ variables over $F$. Let $\tau=\left\langle\left\langle a, T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. Obviously

$$
\left(\pi_{\widetilde{F}} \perp-\tau\right)_{a n}=\langle\langle a\rangle\rangle\left\langle\left\langle b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{\widetilde{F}}^{\prime} \perp-\langle\langle a\rangle\rangle\left\langle\left\langle T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle^{\prime}
$$

Therefore $\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi_{\widetilde{F}} \perp-\tau\right)_{a n}=2^{n+1}-4$.
The quadratic forms $\pi_{\widetilde{F}(\sqrt{a})}$ and $\tau_{\widetilde{F}(\sqrt{a})}$ are hyperbolic, i.e., all the conditions of Lemma 2.2 hold for $\widetilde{F}, \pi, \tau$. Hence there is a unirational extension $E / \widetilde{F}$ such that

1) $\pi_{E(\sqrt{x})}=\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$,
2) $\pi_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ and $\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ are anisotropic,

Since $E / \widetilde{F}$ is unirational, we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi_{E} \perp-\tau_{E}\right)_{a n}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi_{\tilde{F}} \perp-\tau\right)_{a n}=2^{n+1}-4$. Finally $E / F$ is unirational since $E / \widetilde{F}$ is unirational and $\widetilde{F} / F$ is purely transcendental.
Lemma 2.4. Let $E$ be a field, $n \geq 3, x \in E^{*}$. Let $\pi, \tau \in P_{n}(E)$ be such that

1) $\pi_{E(\sqrt{x})}=\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$.
2) $\pi_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ and $\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ are anisotropic.
3) $\operatorname{dim}(\pi \perp-\tau)_{a n}=2^{n+1}-4$.

Let $\psi=\tau^{\prime} \perp\langle x\rangle$ where $\tau^{\prime}$ is such that $\tau=\tau^{\prime} \perp\langle 1\rangle$.
Then
a) $\psi$ is anisotropic.
b) $\psi_{E(\pi)}$ is isotropic.
c) There is no quadratic form $\gamma$ over $E$ such that $\left(\psi_{E(\pi)}\right)_{\text {an }}=\gamma_{E(\pi)}$.
d) For any subform $\xi \subsetneq \psi$ the form $\xi_{F(\pi)}$ is anisotropic, i.e., $\psi$ is a minimal $F(\pi)$-form.

Proof. a) Obviously $\psi_{E(\sqrt{x})}=\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$. By assumption we see that $\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ is anisotropic. Hence $\psi_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ is anisotropic. Therefore $\psi$ is anisotropic too.
b) Suppose that $\psi_{E(\pi)}$ is anisotropic. Since $\psi_{E(\sqrt{x})}=\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}=\pi_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ we have $\left[\psi_{E(\pi)(\sqrt{x})}\right]=\left[\pi_{E(\pi)(\sqrt{x})}\right]=0$. Since $\psi_{E(\pi)}$ is anisotropic and $\psi_{E(\pi)(\sqrt{x})}$ is hyperbolic, we conclude that $\psi_{E(\pi)}=\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle \xi$ where $\xi$ is a quadratic form over $E(\pi)$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\xi)=$ $2^{n-1}$ is even, we have $\xi \in I(E(\pi))$. Therefore $\psi_{E(\pi)}=\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle \xi \in I^{2}(E(\pi))$. Hence $\psi \in I^{2}(E)$. Therefore $[\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle]=[\tau]-[\psi] \in I^{2}(E)$, a contradiction.
c) Suppose that $\left(\psi_{E(\pi)}\right)_{\text {an }}=\gamma_{E(\pi)}$ where $\gamma$ is a quadratic form over $E$. It is clear that $\operatorname{dim}(\gamma) \leq 2^{n}-2$. We have $(\psi \perp-\gamma)_{a n} \in W(E(\pi) / E)$. Since $\pi$ is a Pfister form we conclude that $(\psi \perp-\gamma)_{a n}=\pi \mu$, with $\mu$ a quadratic form over $E$.

Since $2=2^{n}-\left(2^{n}-2\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\psi \perp-\gamma)_{a n}=2^{n}+\left(2^{n}-2\right)=2^{n+1}-2$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\pi)=2^{n}$ divides $\operatorname{dim}(\pi \mu)$ we conclude that $\operatorname{dim}(\mu)=1$. Writing $\mu$ in the form $\mu=\langle k\rangle$ we have $(\psi \perp-\gamma)_{a n}=k \pi$. Hence $[k \pi]=[\psi]-[\gamma]$. Therefore

$$
[\tau \perp-k \pi]=[\tau]-[k \pi]=([\psi]+[\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle])-([\psi]-[\gamma])=[\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle \perp \gamma] .
$$

Hence $\tau$ and $k \pi$ contain a common subform of dimension

$$
\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{dim}(\tau)+\operatorname{dim}(k \pi)-\operatorname{dim}(\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle \perp \gamma)) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2^{n}+2^{n}-2^{n}\right)=2^{n-1} \geq 2^{3-1}=4>3
$$

Therefore there is a 3-dimensional form $\rho$ such that $\rho \subset \tau, \rho \subset k \pi$. Let $a, b \in E$ be such that $\rho \sim\langle 1,-a,-b\rangle$. Let $\varepsilon=\langle\langle a, b\rangle\rangle$. Obviously $\tau_{E(\varepsilon)}$ and $\pi_{E(\varepsilon)}$ are isotropic. Since $\tau, \pi$, and $\varepsilon$ are anisotropic Pfister forms, we conclude that $\varepsilon \subset \tau$ and $\varepsilon \subset \pi$. Therefore $\operatorname{dim}(\pi \perp-\tau)_{a n} \leq \operatorname{dim}(\pi)+\operatorname{dim}(\tau)-2 \operatorname{dim}(\varepsilon)=2^{n}+2^{n}-2 \cdot 4=2^{n+1}-8$, a contradiction.
d) We can suppose that $\xi$ is a $\left(2^{n}-1\right)$-dimensional subform of $\psi$. let $k \in E^{*}$ be such that $\xi \perp\langle-k\rangle=\psi$. Set $\widetilde{\xi}=\xi \perp\langle-x k\rangle$. We have

$$
[\tau]-[\tilde{\xi}]=[\tau]-([\xi]-[\langle x k\rangle])=([\psi]+[\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle])-([\psi]+[\langle k\rangle]-[\langle x k\rangle])=[\langle\langle x, k\rangle\rangle]
$$

Let $\rho=\langle\langle x, k\rangle\rangle$. We have $\left[\tau_{E(\rho)}\right]=\left[\widetilde{\xi}_{E(\rho)}\right]$. Comparing dimensions we see that $\tau_{E(\rho)}=\widetilde{\xi}_{E(\rho)}$. Therefore $\tau_{E(\rho, \pi)}=\widetilde{\xi}_{E(\rho, \pi)}$.

Our goal is to prove that $\xi_{E(\pi)}$ is anisotropic. Let us suppose that $\xi_{E(\pi)}$ is isotropic. Then $\widetilde{\xi}_{E(\rho, \pi)}$ is isotropic too. Therefore $\tau_{E(\rho, \pi)}$ is isotropic. Hence the Pfister form $\tau_{E(\rho)}$ becomes isotropic over the function field of the Pfister form $\pi_{E(\rho)}$. Therefore either $\tau_{E(\rho)}$ or $\tau_{E(\rho)}=\pi_{E(\rho)}$ is hyperbolic.

Suppose first that $\tau_{E(\rho)}$ is hyperbolic. Since $\rho_{E(\sqrt{x})}=\langle\langle x, k\rangle\rangle_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ is isotropic we conclude that $\tau_{E(\sqrt{x})}$ is isotropic. This contradicts the assumption in this lemma.

Let now $\tau_{E(\rho)}=\pi_{E(\rho)}$. Then $(\tau \perp-\pi)_{a n} \in W(E(\rho) / E)$. Hence $(\tau \perp-\pi)_{a n}=\rho \lambda$ with $\lambda$ a quadratic form over $E([\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{Ch} .4,5.6])$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\tau \perp-\pi)_{a n}=2^{n}-4$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\rho)=4$ we conclude that $\operatorname{dim}(\lambda)=\left(2^{n}-4\right) / 4=2^{n-2}-1$. Since $n \geq 3$ we see that $\operatorname{dim}(\lambda)$ is odd and hence $[\lambda] \equiv[\langle 1\rangle](\bmod I(E))$. Since $\rho \in I^{2}(E)$ we have $[\rho \lambda] \equiv[\rho]\left(\bmod I^{3}(E)\right)$. Since $\tau, \pi \in P_{n}(E)$ and $n \geq 3$, we see that $\left[(\tau \perp-\pi)_{a n}\right] \equiv 0$ $\left(\bmod I^{3}(E)\right)$. We have

$$
[\rho] \equiv[\rho \lambda]=\left[(\tau \perp-\pi)_{a n}\right] \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod I^{3}(E)\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim}(\rho)=4<8$ we conclude that $\rho$ is hyperbolic. Therefore $(\tau \perp-\pi)_{a n}=\rho \lambda$ is hyperbolic. However $\operatorname{dim}(\tau \perp-\pi)_{a n}=2^{n}-4>0$, a contradiction.

Corollary 2.5. Let $\pi$ be an anisotropic $n$-fold Pfister form over the field $F$. If $n \geq 3$ then there is a unirational extension $E / F$ such that $E(\pi) / E$ is not excellent.

This corollary completes the proof of Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.1.
Corollary 2.6. Let $n \geq 3$. Then there are a field $E$, an $n$-fold Pfister form $\pi$ over $E$, and a $2^{n}$-dimensional form $\psi$ over $E$ such that $\psi$ is an $E(\pi)$-minimal form.

Corollary 2.7. Let $n \geq 3$. Then there are a field $E$ and $2^{n}$-dimensional forms $\psi$ and $\pi$ over $E$ such that $\psi$ is an $E(\pi)$-minimal form and $\psi$ is not similar to $\pi$.

## 3. Nonstandard Splitting

An important problem in the theory of quadratic forms is to determine when an anisotropic quadratic form $\varphi$ over $F$ becomes isotropic over the function field $F(\psi)$ of another form $\psi$. There are some well-known situations when this occurs and we list some of them in the following two definitions.

Definition 3.1. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be anisotropic quadratic forms. We say that the ordered pair $\varphi, \psi$ is elementary splitting (or elementary) if one of the following conditions holds.

1) There is a $k \in F^{*}$ such that $k \psi \subset \varphi$;
2) There is a $k \in F^{*}$, such that $k \varphi \subset \psi$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi)>\operatorname{dim}(\psi)-i_{1}(\psi)$;
3) There is a $\rho \in W(F(\psi) / F)$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(\rho)<2 \operatorname{dim}(\varphi)$ and $k \varphi \subset \rho$ for some $k \in F^{*}$.

Definition 3.2. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be anisotropic quadratic forms. We say that the ordered pair $\varphi, \psi$ is standard if there is a collection

$$
\varphi_{0}=\varphi, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}, \varphi_{n}=\psi
$$

such that the pair $\varphi_{i-1}, \varphi_{i}$ is elementary for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
It is clear that if the pair $(\varphi, \psi)$ is elementary splitting or standard, then $\varphi_{F(\psi)}$ is isotropic.
Examples 3.3. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be anisotropic quadratic forms such that $\varphi_{F(\psi)}$ is isotropic. Suppose that at least one of the following conditions holds
a) $\varphi$ is a Pfister neighbor;
b) $\operatorname{dim}(\psi) \leq 3$, or $\psi \in G P_{2}(F)$;
c) $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi) \leq 5$;

Then the pair $\varphi, \psi$ is elementary.
Proof. a) Let $\varphi$ be a Pfister neighbor of $\rho$. Then condition 3) of Definition 3.1 is fulfilled.
b) By the excellence property of the field extension $F(\psi) / F$ there exists an anisotropic form $\xi$ over $F$ such that $\left(\varphi_{F(\psi)}\right)_{a n}=\xi_{F(\psi)}$. Setting $\rho=\varphi \perp-\xi$ one can see that condition 3) of Definition 3.1 holds.
c) Let $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi) \leq 5$. We can suppose that $\varphi$ is not a Pfister neighbor and $\psi \notin$ $G P_{2}(F)$ (see a), b) ). Then $\varphi_{F(\psi)}$ is isotropic if and only if $\varphi$ contains a subform similar to $\psi$ (see [H1, Th. 1, Main Theorem]). Therefore condition 1) of Definition 3.1 holds.

Example 3.4. Let $F=\mathbb{R}(T), \varphi=\langle T, T, T, 1,1,1,1,1\rangle, \psi=\langle T, T, 1,1,1,1,1,1\rangle$. Then the pair $\varphi, \psi$ is standard but not elementary.
Proof. Let $\rho=\langle T, T, 1,1,1,1,1\rangle$. Since $\rho \subset \varphi$, the pair $(\varphi, \rho)$ is elementary. Since $\rho \subset \psi$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\rho)=7>8-2=\operatorname{dim}(\psi)-i_{1}(\psi)$, we see that the pair $(\rho, \psi)$ is elementary. Since the pairs $(\varphi, \rho)$ and $(\rho, \psi)$ are elementary, we see that the pair $(\varphi, \psi)$ is standard. It follows from Lemma 3.7 below that the pair $(\varphi, \psi)$ is not elementary.

In this section we construct a pair of anisotropic forms $\varphi$ and $\psi$ with $\varphi_{F(\psi)}$ isotropic which is not standard.

Lemma 3.5. Let $F$ be a field, $n \geq 3, x \in F^{*}$. Let $\pi, \tau \in P_{n}(F)$ be such that

1) $\pi \neq \tau$,
2) $\pi_{F(\sqrt{x})}=\tau_{F(\sqrt{x})}$,
3) $\pi_{F(\sqrt{x})}$ and $\tau_{F(\sqrt{x})}$ are anisotropic.

Let $\varphi=\pi^{\prime} \perp\langle x\rangle$ and $\psi=\tau^{\prime} \perp\langle x\rangle$. Then
a) $\psi$ and $\varphi$ are anisotropic,
b) $\varphi_{F(\psi)}$ and $\psi_{F(\varphi)}$ are isotropic,
c) $\varphi \nsim \psi$.

Proof. a) Obviously $\psi_{F(\sqrt{x})}=\pi_{F(\sqrt{x})}$ and $\psi_{F(\sqrt{x})}=\tau_{F(\sqrt{x})}$. It follows from condition 3) that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are anisotropic.
b) Let us suppose that $\varphi_{F(\psi)}$ is anisotropic. Since $\varphi_{F(\sqrt{x})}=\pi_{F(\sqrt{x})}$ and $\psi_{F(\sqrt{x})}=$ $\tau_{F(\sqrt{x})}=\pi_{F(\sqrt{x})}$ we see that $\varphi_{F(\psi, \sqrt{x})}=\pi_{F(\pi, \sqrt{x})}$. Since $\pi \in P_{n}(F)$ we conclude that $\varphi_{F(\psi, \sqrt{x})}$ is hyperbolic. Therefore $\varphi_{F(\psi)}=\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle \xi$ where $\xi$ is a quadratic form over $F(\psi)$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\xi)=2^{n-1}$ is even, we have $\xi \in I(F(\psi))$. Therefore $\psi_{F(\psi)}=\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle \xi \in$ $I^{2}(F(\psi))$. Hence $\psi \in I^{2}(F)$. Therefore $[\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle]=[\tau]-[\psi] \in I^{2}(F)$, a contradiction.
c) Suppose that $k \varphi=\psi$. Then $[k \pi]-[k\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle]=[k \varphi]=[\psi]=[\tau]-[\langle\langle x\rangle\rangle$. Therefore $[\langle\langle x, k\rangle\rangle]=[\tau]-[k \pi] \in I^{n}(F) \subset I^{3}(F)$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\langle\langle x, k\rangle\rangle)=4<8$, we have $[\tau]-[k \pi]=$ $[\langle\langle x, y\rangle\rangle]=0$. Hence $\tau \sim \pi$. Since $\tau, \pi \in P_{n}(F)$ we see that $\tau=\pi$, a contradiction.
Lemma 3.6. Let $\pi \in P_{3}(F)$ and $x \in F^{*}\left(x \notin F^{* 2}\right)$ be such that $\pi_{F(\sqrt{x})}$ is anisotropic. Let $\varphi=\pi^{\prime} \perp\langle x\rangle$. Suppose that $\psi$ is an anisotropic quadratic form such that $\psi_{F(\varphi)}$ and $\varphi_{F(\psi)}$ are isotropic. Then $\operatorname{dim}(\psi)=8$.

By $C(\varphi)$ (resp. $C_{0}(\varphi)$ ) we will denote the Clifford algebra (resp. even Clifford algebra) of the quadratic form $\varphi$. If they are central simple we denote their classes in the Brauer group of the underlying field by $[C(\varphi)]$ (resp. $\left[C_{0}(\varphi)\right]$ ).
Proof. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi)=8$ and $\varphi_{F(\psi)}$ is isotropic, it follows from Hoffmann's theorem $[\mathrm{H} 3, \S 1$, Theorem 1] that $\operatorname{dim}(\psi) \leq 8$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(\psi) \leq 6$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi)=8$ and $\psi_{F(\varphi)}$ is isotropic, it follows from Hoffmann's theorems [H1], [H2] that $\varphi \in G P_{3}(F)$. Therefore $x=\operatorname{det}(\varphi)=1$, a contradiction.

Consider now the case $\operatorname{dim}(\psi)=7$. Since $\pi_{F(\psi, \sqrt{x})}=\varphi_{F(\psi, \sqrt{x})}$ is isotropic we see that $\psi_{F(\sqrt{x})}$ is a Pfister neighbor of $\pi_{F(\sqrt{x})}$. Therefore $\left[C_{0}(\psi)_{F(\sqrt{x})}\right]=0$. Hence there is $y \in F^{*}$ such that $\left[C_{0}(\psi)\right]=\left[\binom{x, y}{F}\right]$. Let $\rho=\langle\langle x, y\rangle\rangle$.

We claim that ${\underset{\sim}{F}}_{F(\rho)}$ is an anisotropic Pfister neighbor. To prove this we consider the quadratic form $\widetilde{\psi}=\psi \perp\langle\operatorname{det}(\psi)\rangle$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\widetilde{\psi})=8$ and $\left[C\left(\widetilde{\psi}_{F(\rho)}\right)\right]=\left[\binom{x, y}{F(\rho)}\right]=0$ we have $\widetilde{\psi}_{F(\rho)} \in G P_{3}(F(\rho))$. If $\psi_{F(\rho)}$ is isotropic then $\widetilde{\psi}_{F(\rho)}$ is isotropic too and hence hyperbolic. Therefore, $(\widetilde{\psi})_{a n}=\rho \mu$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\widetilde{\psi})=6$ or 8 we must have $\operatorname{dim} \mu=2$ which implies $\widetilde{\psi}_{a n}=\widetilde{\psi} \in G P_{3}(F)$. Therefore $[C(\rho)]=\left[C_{0}(\psi)\right]=[C(\widetilde{\psi})]=0$. Hence, $\rho$ is hyperbolic and $\psi$ stays anisotropic over $F(\rho)$, a contradiction.

Since $\psi_{F(\varphi)}$ is isotropic, $\psi_{F(\rho)}$ becomes isotropic over the functional field of the form $\varphi_{F(\rho)}$. Since $\psi_{F(\rho)}$ is an anisotropic Pfister neighbor and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi_{F(\rho)}\right)=8$ we see that $\varphi_{F(\rho)} \in G P_{3}(F(\rho)) \subset I^{2}(F(\rho))$. Since $W(F) / I^{2}(F) \rightarrow W(F(\rho)) / I^{2}(F(\rho))$ is injective we have $\varphi \in I^{2}(F)$. Hence $x=\operatorname{det}(\varphi)=1$, a contradiction.
Lemma 3.7. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be anisotropic 8-dimensional quadratic form such that $\psi \notin G P_{3}(F)$ and the pair $\varphi, \psi$ is elementary. Then $\varphi \sim \psi$.

Proof. Since the pair $\varphi, \psi$ is elementary, one of conditions 1)-3) of Definition 3.1 holds. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi)=\operatorname{dim}(\psi)$, both the conditions 1 ), 2) imply that $\varphi \sim \psi$. Now
we suppose that condition 3) holds, i.e., there is $\rho \in W(F(\psi) / F)$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(\rho)<$ $2 \operatorname{dim}(\varphi)=16$ and $k \varphi \subset \rho$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\psi)>4$, the homomorphism $W(F) / I^{3}(F) \rightarrow$ $W(F(\psi)) / I^{3}(F(\psi))$ is injective. Hence $\rho \in I^{3}(F)$. Let $\sigma \in P_{2}(F)$ be such that $\psi$ contains a Pfister neighbor of $\sigma$. Then $\rho \in W(F(\psi) / F) \subset W(F(\sigma) / F)$ and thus $\rho_{a n} \cong \sigma \mu$ for some $\mu$. If $\operatorname{dim} \mu$ is odd then $\sigma \equiv \sigma \mu=\rho \equiv 0\left(\bmod I^{3}(F)\right)$, a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{dim} \mu$ is even and $8 \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(\rho_{a n}\right)$. Therefore $\operatorname{dim}\left(\rho_{a n}\right)=8$. Hence $\rho_{a n} \in G P_{3}(F)$. Since $\rho_{F(\psi)}$ is hyperbolic, $\psi$ is a Pfister neighbor in $\rho_{a n}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\psi)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\rho_{a n}\right)=8$ we have $\psi \sim \rho_{a n} \in G P_{3}(F)$, a contradiction.
Lemma 3.8. Let $n=3$, and let $\varphi, \psi$ be as in Lemma 3.5. Then the pair $\varphi, \psi$ is not standard.
Proof. Assume that the pair $\varphi, \psi$ is standard. Then there is a collection

$$
\varphi_{0}=\varphi, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}, \varphi_{n}=\psi
$$

such that the pair $\varphi_{i-1}, \varphi_{i}$ is elementary for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Obviously, the quadratic forms $\varphi_{F\left(\varphi_{i}\right)}$ and $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{F(\psi)}$ are isotropic. Since $\psi_{F(\varphi)}$ is isotropic (see Lemma 3.5) and $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{F(\psi)}$ is isotropic, we see that $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{F(\varphi)}$ is isotropic too. Thus $\varphi_{F\left(\varphi_{i}\right)}$ and $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{F(\varphi)}$ are isotropic. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)=8$.

Consider first the case $\psi_{i} \in G P_{3}(F)$. Since $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{F(\varphi)}$ and is isotropic, $\varphi$ is a Pfister neighbor of $\psi_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}(\varphi)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\psi_{i}\right)=8$ we have $\varphi \sim \psi_{i}$. Hence $\varphi \in G P_{3}(F)$, a contradiction.

Thus we have proved that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)=8$ and $\psi_{i} \notin G P_{3}(F)$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. It follows from Lemma 3.7 that $\varphi_{i-1} \sim \varphi_{i}$. We have

$$
\varphi=\varphi_{0} \sim \varphi_{1} \sim \cdots \sim \varphi_{n}=\psi
$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that $\varphi \nsim \psi$. The contradiction obtained proves the lemma.

Theorem 3.9. For any field $F$ there is a unirational field extension $E / F$ and a pair of 8-dimensional anisotropic quadratic forms $\varphi$ and $\psi$ over $E$ such that $\varphi_{E(\psi)}$ is isotropic, but the pair $\varphi, \psi$ is not standard.
Proof. Let $n=3$. Let $E, \pi$ and $\tau$ be such as in Lemma 2.3. Set $\varphi=\pi^{\prime} \perp\langle x\rangle$, $\psi=\tau^{\prime} \perp\langle x\rangle$. It is clear that all the conditions of Lemma 3.5 hold. Now the desired result follows immediately from Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.8.
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