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#### Abstract

J.H. Koolen and J. Park proved a lower bound for the intersection number $c_{2}$ of a distance-regular graph $\Gamma$. Moreover, they showed that a graph $\Gamma$, for which equality is attained in this bound, is a Terwilliger graph. We prove that $\Gamma$ is the icosahedron, the Doro graph or the Conway-Smith graph if equality is attained and $c_{2} \geqslant 2$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ be a distance-regular graph with degree $k$ and diameter at least 2. Let $c$ be maximal such that, for each vertex $x \in \Gamma$ and every pair of nonadjacent vertices $y, z$ of $\Gamma_{1}(x)$, there exists a $c$-coclique in $\Gamma_{1}(x)$ containing $y, z$. In [1], J.H. Koolen and J. Park showed that the following bound holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}-1 \geqslant \max \left\{\left.\frac{c^{\prime}\left(a_{1}+1\right)-k}{\binom{c^{\prime}}{2}} \right\rvert\, 2 \leqslant c^{\prime} \leqslant c\right\}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equality implies that $\Gamma$ is a Terwilliger graph. (For definitions see Sections 2 and 3.)
A similar inequality for a distance-regular graph with a $c$-claw was proved by C.D. Godsil, see [2]. J.H. Koolen and J. Park [1] noted that the bound (1) is met for the three known examples of Terwilliger graphs with $c_{2} \geqslant 2$. We recall that only three examples of distance-regular Terwilliger graphs with $c_{2} \geqslant 2$ are known: the icosahedron, the Doro graph and the Conway-Smith graph.

In this paper, we will show that a distance-regular graph $\Gamma$ with $c_{2} \geqslant 2$, for which equality is attained in (1), is a known Terwilliger graph.
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## 2 Definitions and preliminaries

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph. The distance $\mathrm{d}(u, w)$ between any two vertices $u$ and $w$ of $\Gamma$ is the length of a shortest path from $u$ to $w$ in $\Gamma$. The diameter $\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma$ is the maximal distance occurring in $\Gamma$.

For a subset $A$ of the vertex set of $\Gamma$, we will also write $A$ for the subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced by $A$. For a vertex $u$ of $\Gamma$, define $\Gamma_{i}(u)$ to be the set of vertices that are at distance $i$ from $u(0 \leqslant i \leqslant \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma))$. The subgraph $\Gamma_{1}(u)$ is called the local graph of a vertex $u$ and the degree of $u$ is the number of neighbors of $u$, i.e., $\left|\Gamma_{1}(u)\right|$.

For two vertices $u, w \in \Gamma$ with $\mathrm{d}(u, w)=2$, the subgraph $\Gamma_{1}(u) \cap \Gamma_{1}(w)$ is called the $\mu$ subgraph of vertices $u, w$. We say that the number $\mu(\Gamma)$ is well-defined if each $\mu$-subgraph occurring in $\Gamma$ contains the same number of vertices and this number is equal to $\mu(\Gamma)$.

Let $\Delta$ be a graph. A graph $\Gamma$ is locally $\Delta$ if, for all $u \in \Gamma$, the subgraph $\Gamma_{1}(u)$ is isomorphic to $\Delta$. A graph is regular with degree $k$ if the degree of each of its vertices is $k$.

A connected graph $\Gamma$ with diameter $d=\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)$ is distance-regular if there are integers $b_{i}, c_{i}(0 \leqslant i \leqslant d)$ such that, for any two vertices $u, w \in \Gamma$ with $\mathrm{d}(u, w)=i$, there are exactly $c_{i}$ neighbors of $w$ in $\Gamma_{i-1}(u)$ and $b_{i}$ neighbors of $w$ in $\Gamma_{i+1}(u)$ (we assume that $\Gamma_{-1}(u)$ and $\Gamma_{d+1}(u)$ are empty sets). In particular, a distance-regular graph $\Gamma$ is regular with degree $b_{0}, c_{1}=1$ and $c_{2}=\mu(\Gamma)$. For each vertex $u \in \Gamma$ and $0 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, the subgraph $\Gamma_{i}(u)$ is regular with degree $a_{i}=b_{0}-b_{i}-c_{i}$. The numbers $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}(0 \leqslant i \leqslant d)$ are called the intersection numbers and the array $\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{d-1} ; c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{d}\right\}$, is called the intersection array of the distance-regular graph $\Gamma$.

A graph $\Gamma$ is amply regular with parameters $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ if $\Gamma$ has $v$ vertices, is regular with degree $k$ and satisfies the following two conditions:
$i$ ) for each pair of adjacent vertices $u, w \in \Gamma$, the subgraph $\Gamma_{1}(u) \cap \Gamma_{1}(w)$ contains exactly $\lambda$ vertices;
ii) $\mu=\mu(\Gamma)$ is well-defined.

An amply regular graph with diameter 2 is called a strongly regular graph and is a distance-regular graph. A distance-regular graph is an amply regular graph with parameters $k=b_{0}, \lambda=b_{0}-b_{1}-1$ and $\mu=c_{2}$.

A c-clique $C$ of $\Gamma$ is a complete subgraph (i.e., every two vertices of $C$ are adjacent) of $\Gamma$ with exactly $c$ vertices. We say that $C$ is a clique if it is a $c$-clique for certain $c$. A coclique $C$ of $\Gamma$ is an induced subgraph of $\Gamma$ with empty edge set. We say a coclique is a $c$-coclique if it has exactly $c$ vertices.

Let $\Gamma$ be a strongly regular graph with parameters $(v, k, \lambda, 1)$. There are integers $r$ and $s$ such that the local graph of each vertex of $\Gamma$ is the disjoint union of $r$ copies of the $s$-clique. Furthermore, $v=1+r s+s^{2} r(r-1), k=r s$ and $\lambda=s-1$. The set of strongly regular graph with parameters $\left(1+r s+s^{2} r(r-1)\right.$, $\left.r s, s-1,1\right)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(s, r)$.

Any graph of $\mathcal{F}(1, r)$, i.e., a strongly regular graph with $\lambda=0$ and $\mu=1$, is called a Moore strongly regular graph. It is well known (see Ch. 1 [3]) that any Moore strongly regular graph has degree $2,3,7$ or possibly 57 . The graphs with degree 2,3 and 7 are the pentagon, the Petersen graph and the Hoffman-Singleton graph, respectively. It is still unknown whether there exists a Moore graph with degree 57.

Lemma 2.1 If $\mathcal{F}(s, r)$ is a nonempty set of graphs, then $s+1 \leqslant r$.
Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph of $\mathcal{F}(s, r)$. We can choose vertices $u$ and $w$ from $\Gamma$ with $\mathrm{d}(u, w)=2$. Let $x$ be a vertex of $\Gamma_{1}(u) \cap \Gamma_{1}(w)$. Then the subgraph $\Gamma_{1}(w)-\left(\Gamma_{1}(x) \cup\{x\}\right)$ contains a coclique of size at most $r-1$. Let us consider an $s$-clique of $\Gamma_{1}(u)-\Gamma_{1}(w)$ on vertices $y_{1}, y_{2}, . ., y_{s}$. The subgraph $\Gamma_{1}(w) \cap \Gamma_{1}\left(y_{i}\right)(1 \leqslant i \leqslant s)$ contains a single vertex $z_{i}$. The vertices $z_{1}, z_{2}, . ., z_{s}$ are mutually nonadjacent and distinct. Hence, $s \leqslant r-1$. The lemma is proved.

## 3 Terwilliger graphs

In this section we give a definition of Terwilliger graphs and some useful facts concerning them.

A Terwilliger graph is a connected non-complete graph $\Gamma$ such that $\mu(\Gamma)$ is well-defined and each $\mu$-subgraph occurring in $\Gamma$ is a complete graph (hence, there are no induced quadrangles in $\Gamma$ ). If $\mu(\Gamma)>1$, then, for each vertex $u \in \Gamma$, the local graph of $u$ is also a Terwilliger graph with diameter 2 and $\mu\left(\Gamma_{1}(u)\right)=\mu(\Gamma)-1$.

For an integer $\alpha \geqslant 1$, the $\alpha$-clique extension of a graph $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the graph $\Gamma$ obtained from $\bar{\Gamma}$ by replacing each vertex $\bar{u} \in \bar{\Gamma}$ by a clique $U$ with $\alpha$ vertices, where, for any $\bar{u}, \bar{w} \in \bar{\Gamma}$, $u \in U$ and $w \in W, \bar{u}$ and $\bar{w}$ are adjacent if and only if $u$ and $w$ are adjacent.

Lemma 3.1 Let $\Gamma$ be an amply regular Terwilliger graph with parameters $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$, where $\mu>1$. Then there is a number $\alpha$ such that the local graph of each vertex of $\Gamma$ is the $\alpha$-clique extension of a strongly regular Terwilliger graph with parameters ( $\bar{v}, \bar{k}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}$ ), where

$$
\bar{v}=k / \alpha, \bar{k}=(\lambda-\alpha+1) / \alpha, \bar{\mu}=(\mu-1) / \alpha
$$

and $\alpha \leqslant \bar{\lambda}+1$. In particular, if $\bar{\lambda}=0$, then $\alpha=1$.
Proof. The result follows from [3, Theorem 1.16.3].
There are only three amply regular Terwilliger graphs known with $\mu \geqslant 2$. All of them are distance-regular and are characterized by theirs intersection arrays. The three examples are:
(1) the icosahedron with intersection array $\{5,2,1 ; 1,2,5\}$ is locally pentagon graph;
(2) the Doro graph with intersection array $\{10,6,4 ; 1,2,5\}$ is locally Petersen graph;
(3) the Conway-Smith graph with intersection array $\{10,6,4,1 ; 1,2,6,10\}$ is locally Petersen graph.

In [4], A. Gavrilyuk and A. Makhnev showed that a distance-regular locally HoffmanSingleton graph has intersection array $\{50,42,9 ; 1,2,42\}$ or $\{50,42,1 ; 1,2,50\}$ and hence it is a Terwilliger graph. Whether there exist graphs with these intersection arrays is an open question.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\Gamma$ be a Terwilliger graph. Suppose that, for an integer $\alpha \geqslant 1$, the local graph of each vertex of $\Gamma$ is the $\alpha$-clique extension of a Moore strongly regular graph $\Delta$. Then $\alpha=1$ and one of the following holds:
(1) $\Delta$ is the pentagon and $\Gamma$ is the icosahedron;
(2) $\Delta$ is the Petersen graph and $\Gamma$ is the Doro graph or the Conway-Smith graph;
(3) $\Delta$ is the Hoffman-Singleton graph or a Moore graph with degree 57; in both cases, the diameter of $\Gamma$ is at least 3 .

Proof. It is easy to see that the graph $\Gamma$ is amply regular. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\alpha=1$. Statements (1) and (2) follow from [3, Proposition 1.1.4] and [3, Theorem 1.16.5], respectively.

If the graph $\Delta$ is the Hoffman-Singleton graph and the diameter of $\Gamma$ is 2 , then $\Gamma$ is strongly regular with parameters $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$, where $k=50, \lambda=7$ and $\mu=2$. By [3, Theorem 1.3.1], the eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ are $k$ and the roots of the quadratic equation $x^{2}+(\mu-\lambda) x+(\mu-k)=0$. The roots of the equation $x^{2}-5 x-48=0$ are not integers, a contradiction. In the remaining case, when $\Delta$ is regular with degree 57 , we get the same contradiction. The lemma is proved.

The next lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.2 (see Section 4).
Lemma 3.3 Let $\Gamma$ be a strongly regular Terwilliger graph with parameters ( $v, k, \lambda, \mu$ ). Suppose that, for an integer $\alpha \geqslant 1$, the local graph of each vertex of $\Gamma$ is the $\alpha$-clique extension of a strongly regular graph with parameters $(\bar{v}, \bar{k}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$. Then the inequality $\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-\bar{\mu}>1$ implies that $k-\lambda-\mu>1$.

Proof. We have $k=\alpha(1+\bar{k}+\bar{k}(\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1) / \bar{\mu}), \lambda=\alpha \bar{k}+\alpha-1$ and $\mu=\alpha \bar{\mu}+1$. If $\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-\bar{\mu}>1$, then $\bar{k}(\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1) / \bar{\mu}>\bar{k}$ and this implies that $k-\lambda-\mu=\alpha(\bar{k}(\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1) / \bar{\mu}-\bar{\mu})>$ $\alpha(\bar{k}-\bar{\mu})>\alpha(\bar{\lambda}+1) \geqslant 1$.

## 4 The Koolen-Park inequality

In this section, we consider bound (1) and classify distance-regular graphs with $c_{2} \geqslant 2$, for which this bound is attained.

The next statement is a slight generalization of Proposition 3 from [1], which was formulated by J.H. Koolen and J. Park for distance-regular graphs. We generalize it to amply regular graphs. (Our proof is similar to the proof in [1], but we give it for the convenience of the reader.)

Proposition 4.1 Let $\Gamma$ be an amply regular graph with parameters $(v, k, \lambda, \mu$ ), and let $c \geqslant 2$ be maximal such that, for each vertex $x \in \Gamma$ and every pair of nonadjacent vertices $y, z$ of $\Gamma_{1}(x)$, there exists a c-coclique in $\Gamma_{1}(x)$ containing $y, z$. Then

$$
\mu-1 \geqslant \max \left\{\left.\frac{c^{\prime}(\lambda+1)-k}{\binom{c^{\prime}}{2}} \right\rvert\, 2 \leqslant c^{\prime} \leqslant c\right\}
$$

and, if equality is attained, then $\Gamma$ is a Terwilliger graph.
Proof. Let $\Gamma_{1}(x)$ contain a coclique $C^{\prime}$ on vertices $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{c^{\prime}}, c^{\prime} \geqslant 2$. Since $\mathrm{d}\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right)=2$, it follows that $\left|\Gamma_{1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{1}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma_{1}\left(y_{j}\right)\right| \leqslant \mu-1$ holds for all $i \neq j$. Then, by the inclusionexclusion principle,

$$
\begin{gathered}
k=\left|\Gamma_{1}(x)\right| \geqslant\left|\cup_{i=1}^{c^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma_{1}(x) \cap\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(y_{i}\right) \cup\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right)\right)\right| \\
\geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{c^{\prime}}\left|\Gamma_{1}(x) \cap\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(y_{i}\right) \cup\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right)\right|-\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant c^{\prime}}\left|\Gamma_{1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{1}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma_{1}\left(y_{j}\right)\right| \\
\geqslant c^{\prime}(\lambda+1)-\binom{c^{\prime}}{2}(\mu-1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu-1 \geqslant \frac{c^{\prime}(\lambda+1)-k}{\binom{c^{\prime}}{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that equality in (2) implies that the inclusion $\Gamma_{1}(x) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{c^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(y_{i}\right) \cup\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right)$ holds and we have $\left|\Gamma_{1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{1}\left(y_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma_{1}\left(y_{j}\right)\right|=\mu-1$ for all $i \neq j$.

Let $c$ be the maximal number satisfying the condition of Proposition 4.1. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu-1 \geqslant \max \left\{\left.\frac{c^{\prime}(\lambda+1)-k}{\binom{c^{\prime}}{2}} \right\rvert\, 2 \leqslant c^{\prime} \leqslant c\right\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume that for an integer $c^{\prime \prime}$, where $2 \leqslant c^{\prime \prime} \leqslant c$, (3) turns into equality, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu-1=\frac{c^{\prime \prime}(\lambda+1)-k}{\binom{c^{\prime \prime}}{2}}=\max \left\{\left.\frac{c^{\prime}(\lambda+1)-k}{\binom{c^{\prime}}{2}} \right\rvert\, 2 \leqslant c^{\prime} \leqslant c\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $c=c^{\prime \prime}$. For a vertex $x \in \Gamma$ and nonadjacent vertices $y, z \in \Gamma_{1}(x)$, there exists a $c$-coclique $C$ in $\Gamma_{1}(x)$ containing $y, z$. Equality (4) implies that, for any subset of vertices $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{c^{\prime \prime}}\right\} \subseteq C$, we have $\Gamma_{1}(x) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{c^{\prime \prime}}\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(y_{i}\right) \cup\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right)$. However, if $c^{\prime \prime}<c$, then $C \not \subset \cup_{i=1}^{c^{\prime \prime}}\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(y_{i}\right) \cup\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right)$, a contradiction.

Hence, $c=c^{\prime \prime}$ and we have $\left|\Gamma_{1}(x) \cap \Gamma_{1}(y) \cap \Gamma_{1}(z)\right|=\mu-1$ for every pair of nonadjacent vertices $y, z \in \Gamma_{1}(x)$ and for all $x \in \Gamma$. This implies that each $\mu$-subgraph in $\Gamma$ is a clique of size $\mu$ and $\Gamma$ is a Terwilliger graph.

We call inequality (3) the $\mu$-bound.
It is easy to check that the three known Terwillger graphs with $\mu \geqslant 2$ (see Section 3) have equality in the $\mu$-bound.

Our main theorem is to show that the only Terwilliger graphs with $\mu \geqslant 2$ and equality in the $\mu$-bound are the three known examples (of Section 3).

Theorem 4.2 Let $\Gamma$ be an amply regular graph with parameters $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$, and let $\mu>1$. If the $\mu$-bound is attained, then $\mu=2$ and $\Gamma$ is the icosahedron, the Doro graph or the Conway-Smith graph.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, the graph $\Gamma$ is a Terwilliger graph and, by Lemma 3.1, there is an integer $\alpha \geqslant 1$ such that the local graph of each vertex of $\Gamma$ is the $\alpha$-clique extension of a strongly regular Terwilliger graph with parameters $(\bar{v}, \bar{k}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $k=\alpha \bar{v}, \lambda=\alpha \bar{k}+(\alpha-1)$ and $\mu=\alpha \bar{\mu}+1$.

By the assumption on $\Gamma$, for a vertex $u \in \Gamma$, the local graph of $u$ contains a $c$-coclique, for which equality is attained in the $\mu$-bound, i.e.,

$$
\mu-1=\alpha \bar{\mu}=\frac{c(\lambda+1)-k}{\binom{c}{2}}=\frac{c(\alpha \bar{k}+(\alpha-1)+1)-\alpha \bar{v}}{\binom{c}{2}}=\alpha \frac{c(\bar{k}+1)-\bar{v}}{\binom{c}{2}}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\mu}=\frac{c(\bar{k}+1)-\bar{v}}{\binom{c}{2}} .
$$

Hence, $c$ satisfies the following quadratic equation:

$$
c^{2} \bar{\mu}-c(\bar{\mu}+2(\bar{k}+1))+2 \bar{v}=0,
$$

in other words,

$$
c=\frac{(\bar{\mu}+2(\bar{k}+1)) \pm \sqrt{(\bar{\mu}+2(\bar{k}+1))^{2}-8 \bar{v} \bar{\mu}}}{2 \bar{\mu}} .
$$

This implies that

$$
(\bar{\mu}+2(\bar{k}+1))^{2} \geqslant 8 \bar{v} \bar{\mu}
$$

Let the subgraph $\Gamma_{1}(u)$ be isomorphic to the $\alpha$-clique extension of a strongly regular Terwilliger graph with parameters $(\bar{v}, \bar{k}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$, say $\Delta$. The cardinality of the vertex set of $\Delta$ is $\bar{v}=1+\bar{k}+\bar{k}(\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1) / \bar{\mu}$, hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\bar{\mu}+2(\bar{k}+1))^{2} \geqslant 8(\bar{\mu}+\bar{k} \bar{\mu}+\bar{k}(\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1)), \\
\bar{\mu}^{2}+4 \geqslant 4 \bar{\mu}+4 \bar{k} \bar{\mu}+4 \bar{k}^{2}-8 \bar{k} \bar{\lambda}-16 \bar{k} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\bar{\mu} / 2)^{2}+1 \geqslant \bar{\mu}+\bar{k} \bar{\mu}+\bar{k}^{2}-2 \bar{k} \bar{\lambda}-4 \bar{k}, \\
((\bar{\mu} / 2)-(\bar{k}+1))^{2} \geqslant 2 \bar{k}(\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1) . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us first consider the case $\bar{\mu}=1$. There are integers $s, r$ such that $\Delta \in \mathcal{F}(s, r)$ and $\bar{k}=r s, \bar{\lambda}=s-1$. If $\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1 \geqslant \bar{k} / 2+1$, then $2 \bar{k}(\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1) \geqslant 2 \bar{k}(\bar{k} / 2+1)=\bar{k}^{2}+2 \bar{k}$. It follows from (5) that $(\bar{k}+1 / 2)^{2} \geqslant \bar{k}^{2}+2 \bar{k}$ and hence $1 / 4 \geqslant \bar{k}$, which is impossible. Therefore, $\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1<\bar{k} / 2+1$, i.e., $\bar{k}<2(\bar{\lambda}+2)$. Substituting the expressions for $\bar{k}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ into the previous inequality, we get $r s<2(s+1)$. By Lemma 2.1 , we have $s+1 \leqslant r$. Hence, $s+1 \leqslant r<2(s+1) / s$ and it follows that $s=1, r \in\{2,3\}$ and $\Delta$ is the pentagon
or the Petersen graph. As we already checked that the three examples in Lemma 3.2 (i) and ( $i i$ ) satisfy equality in the $\mu$-bound, Theorem 4.2 follows in this case from Lemma 3.2.

Now we may assume $\bar{\mu}>1$. Since $\bar{\mu}<\bar{k}$, the left-hand side of (5) is at most $\bar{k}^{2}$. On the other hand, if $\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1>\bar{k} / 2$, then the right-hand side of (5) is greater than $2 \bar{k} \bar{k} / 2=\bar{k}^{2}$, which is impossible. Hence, we have $\bar{k}-\bar{\lambda}-1 \leqslant \bar{k} / 2$, i.e., $\bar{k} \leqslant 2(\bar{\lambda}+1)$.

Since $\bar{\mu}>1$, there is an integer $\alpha_{1} \geqslant 1$ such that, for a vertex $w \in \Delta$, the subgraph $\Delta_{1}(w)$ is the $\alpha_{1}$-clique extension of a strongly regular Terwilliger graph, say $\Sigma$, with parameters $\left(v_{1}, k_{1}, \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$, where $v_{1}=\frac{\bar{k}}{\alpha_{1}}, k_{1}=\frac{\bar{\lambda}-\left(\alpha_{1}-1\right)}{\alpha_{1}}, \mu_{1}=\frac{\bar{\mu}-1}{\alpha_{1}}$. Then the inequality $\bar{k} \leqslant 2(\bar{\lambda}+1)$ is equivalent to the inequality $v_{1} \leqslant 2\left(k_{1}+1\right)$ and the cardinality of the vertex set of $\Sigma$ is

$$
v_{1}=1+k_{1}+k_{1} \frac{\left(k_{1}-\lambda_{1}-1\right)}{\mu_{1}} .
$$

Further, $v_{1} \leqslant 2\left(k_{1}+1\right)$ implies that

$$
\frac{k_{1}\left(k_{1}-\lambda_{1}-1\right)}{\mu_{1}} \leqslant k_{1}+1,
$$

so

$$
k_{1}-\lambda_{1}-1 \leqslant \mu_{1}\left(1+1 / k_{1}\right)<\mu_{1}+1
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}<\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}+2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mu_{1}=1$, then, for certain $s_{1}, r_{1}$, we have $k_{1}=r_{1} s_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}=s_{1}-1$. It follows from (6) that $r_{1} s_{1}<s_{1}-1+1+2=s_{1}+2, r_{1}<1+2 / s_{1}$ and $s_{1}=1, r_{1}=2$. Hence, the graph $\Delta_{1}(w)$ is the $\alpha_{1}$-clique extension of the pentagon. By Lemma 3.2, the graph $\Delta$ is the icosahedron and the diameter of $\Gamma_{1}(u)$ is 3 , which is impossible because $\Gamma$ is a Terwilliger graph.

Hence, $\mu_{1}>1$. Let us consider a sequence of strongly regular graphs $\Sigma_{1}=\Sigma$, $\Sigma_{2}, \ldots, \Sigma_{h}, h \geqslant 2$, such that, for an integer $\alpha_{i+1} \geqslant 1$, the local graph of a vertex in $\Sigma_{i}$ is the $\alpha_{i+1}$-clique extension of a strongly regular Terwilliger graph $\Sigma_{i+1}$ with parameters $\left(v_{i+1}, k_{i+1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \mu_{i+1}\right), 1 \leqslant i<h$ and $\mu\left(\Sigma_{h}\right)=1$, i.e., $\Sigma_{h} \in \mathcal{F}\left(s_{h}, r_{h}\right)$ for certain $s_{h}, r_{h}$. Such a sequence exists by Lemma 3.1.

Assuming $s_{h}>1$, we get $k_{h}-\lambda_{h}-\mu_{h}=r_{h} s_{h}-\left(s_{h}-1\right)-1=s_{h}\left(r_{h}-1\right)>1$. According to Lemma 3.3, we have $k_{i}-\lambda_{i}-\mu_{i}>1$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant h-1$, which contradicts (6). Hence, $s_{h}=1$ and $\Sigma_{h}$ is a Moore strongly regular graph. By Lemma 3.2, the diameter of $\Sigma_{h-1}$ is at least 3, and this contradiction completes the proof.
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