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#### Abstract

We apply the Pohozaev identity to sub-domains of a tubular neighbourhood of a closed or broken curve in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and establish uniqueness results for the smooth solutions of the Dirichlet problem for $-\Delta u+|u|^{p-1} u=0$. We require the domain to be in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n \geq 4$ and with $p>(n+1) /(n-3)$.


## 1. Introduction

In this note, we consider the uniqueness of smooth solutions for the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=|u|^{p-1} u \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset R^{n},  \tag{1}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{gather*}
$$

in some non-starshaped and non-contractible domains. Since Pohozaev's work [P], there have been many uniqueness results for (1) and its generalizations (see, for example [ $\mathrm{PS}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{M}]$ ). These results are based on Pohozaev's identity $[\mathrm{P}]$ and are established on star-shaped domains. Under the critical growth condition $p=(n+$ $2) /(n-2)$, it is known [BC] that (1) has nontrivial solutions when the topology of the domain is nontrivial. For some simply connected domains, there are examples [Da, Di] that (1) can have nontrivial solutions when $p=(n+2) /(n-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent.

Recently, possible generalizations have been considered for 'nearly star-shaped' domains [DZ] and for carefully designed non-starshaped rotation domains [CZ] on which (1) does not have nontrivial smooth solutions.

In [CZ] a special class of non-star shaped domains was constructed by rotating a two-dimensional graph designed by using inversions in Euclidean spaces. The first result of the present note is to generalize this result to domains including all rotation domains. Since there is much less restriction on the graph, we have a weaker result, that is, when $n>3$ and $p \geq(n+1) /(n-3)$, the only smooth solution is $u \equiv 0$. We also show that when $p>(n+1) /(n-3)$ the same result holds for sufficiently small tubular neighbourhood of a given closed, smooth embedded curve in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. A simple example of such a non-contractible domain is the solid torus in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. In general, our non-contractible domains have the same homotopic type as the unit circle $S^{1}$.

[^0]When $p>(n+2) /(n-2)$, there are examples of non-starshaped domains [CZ, DZ] on which (1) has only trivial solutions. However, for domains with nontrivial topology, examples I can find such that the same uniqueness result holds are in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n>3$ and with the growth condition $p>(n+1) /(n-3)$.

The method we use is to apply the Pohozaev identity [P, PS] to certain subdomains. We carefully divide a tubular neighbourhood of a closed curve into subdomains by using the normal planes of the central curve, such that each sub-domain is star-shaped. We apply the Pohozaev identity on each of these sub-domains. Then we collect the resulting terms and pass to the limit by using the definition of Riemann integral. In the limit, we obtain quantities which are comparable. By adjusting the thickness of the tubular domain, we can show that, at least for $n>3$ and $p>(n+1) /(n-3)$, the uniqueness result remains true.

In this note all domains are open, bounded, and connected. Recall that a domain $\Omega$ is star-shaped if there is a point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that any line segment $\overline{x_{0} x}$ is contained in $\Omega$ when $x \in \Omega$. For convenience, we call $x_{0}$ a central point.

We need the following Pohozaev identity [P, PS].
For the Dirichlet problem (1), the equation is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the energy density

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u, D u)=\frac{1}{2}|D u|^{2}-\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a piecewise smooth domain. Let $u \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a smooth solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation of the variational integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(u(x), D u(x)) d x, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial \Omega}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}|D u|^{2}-\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\left(x-x^{0}\right)_{\alpha} \nu_{\alpha}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n} h_{\beta} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{\beta}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)-a u \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \nu_{\alpha} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right] d S  \tag{4}\\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x
\end{align*}
$$

holds, where $a$ is any fixed constant and $h(x)=x-x^{0}$ with $x^{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a fixed vector. We use $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ to denote the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then we can write (4) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial \Omega}[F(u, D u)\langle h, \nu\rangle-\langle D u, h\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle] d S \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x . \tag{4'}
\end{align*}
$$

If we further assume that $\Omega$ is star-shaped with $x^{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ a central point, and $u=0$ on a portion $\Gamma$ of $\partial \Omega$, then on $\Gamma$ we have $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \nu_{\alpha}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma}[F(u, D u)\langle h, \nu\rangle-\langle D u, h\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle] d S=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^{2}\langle h, \nu\rangle d S \leq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\Omega$ is star-shaped and $x^{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ is a central point.
The following are the main results of this paper. Theorem 1 deals with general rotation-like domains while Theorem 2 treats tubular neighbourhoods of a closed or broken curve.

Theorem 1. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a smooth domain with $n \geq 4$, and suppose the orthogonal projection of the closure of the domain onto the first component is an interval $[a, b]$. We assume that there is $a \delta>0$, such that for all $a \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq b$, $\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right| \leq \delta$, the set

$$
\Omega_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \Omega, t_{1} \leq x_{1} \leq t_{2}\right\}
$$

is star-shaped and there is some $t_{0} \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ such that $x_{0}=\left(t_{0}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ is a central point. Let $u \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a smooth solution of (1) with $p \geq(n+1) /(n-3)$. Then $u \equiv 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Remark. A rotation domain is a special case of those treated in Theorem 1. More precisely, suppose $x_{2}=f\left(x_{1}\right)>0$ is a smooth function defined in $[a, b]$. Then the rotation in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ around the $x_{1}$-axis of the two-dimensional region bounded by $f$ and the $x_{1}$-axis satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. In particular, the domains we treat are much more general than those in [CZ].

Theorem 2 below deals with the uniqueness problem in general tubular neighbourhoods of embedded curves under a technical condition. We assume that there is a smooth orthogonal moving frame along the curve $[\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Ch} 1]$. Suppose that $\gamma:[0, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a smooth curve parameterized by its arc-length $s \in[0, l]$. Suppose that there is a smooth orthogonal basis $e_{2}(s), \ldots, e_{n}(s)$ on the normal hyperplane of $\gamma(s)$. Let $\dot{\gamma}(s)=e_{1}(s)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{e}_{1}(s)=-k_{1}(s) e_{2} \\
& \dot{e}_{j}(s)=k_{j-1}(s) e_{j-1}-k_{j}(s) e_{j+1}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
& \dot{e}_{n}(s)=k_{n-1} e_{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We call $k_{1}(s) \geq 0[\mathrm{~S}]$ the first curvature of $\gamma$ and $E(s):=\left\{e_{1}(s), e_{2}(s), \ldots, e_{n}(s)\right\}$, $0 \leq s \leq l$ a moving orthogonal frame along $\gamma$.

Notice that if $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a planar curve, such a moving frame always exists. Let $\gamma(s)=\left(x_{1}(s), x_{2}(s)\right), \alpha(s)=\dot{\gamma}(s), \beta(s)=\left(-\dot{x}_{2}(s), \dot{x}_{1}(s)\right)$, and let $e_{3}, \ldots e_{n}$ be the standard Euclidean basis for $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. Then $\alpha(s), \beta(s), e_{3}, \ldots, e_{n}$ form an orthogonal moving frame along $\gamma$.

Let $\gamma:[0, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a simple, smooth and closed curve with bounded curvatures. Then it is easy to see that the $r$-neighbourhood

$$
\Omega_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \operatorname{dist}(x, \gamma)<r\right\}
$$

is a tubular neighbourhood of $\gamma$ for $r>0$ small, with $(n-1)$-dimensional open balls of radius $r$ as its fibres. If $\gamma$ is a broken curve, $\Omega_{r}$ is the union of a tubular neighbourhood $\cup_{0<s<l} B_{s}$ and two half-balls at each end of the curve, where $B_{s}$ is an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional open ball lying in the normal hyperplane of $\gamma(s)$ and centered at $\gamma(s)$.

We have

Theorem 2. Let $n \geq 4$, and let $\gamma$ be an embedded smooth ( $C^{2}$ ) curve (closed or broken) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with an associated smooth moving frame as defined above. Let $p>(n+1) /(n-3)$. Let $\Omega_{r}$ be the r-neighbourhood of $\gamma$. Then for sufficiently small $r>0$, the only smooth solution of (1) on $\Omega_{r}$ is $u \equiv 0$.

Corollary 1. Let $\gamma$ be an embedded smooth ( $C^{2}$ )-planar curve (closed or broken) in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let $\Omega_{r}$ be its $r$-neighbourhood in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ with $n \geq 4$ and $p>(n+1) /(n-3)$. Then for sufficiently small $r>0$ the only smooth solution of (1) on $\Omega_{r}$ is $u \equiv 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We divide $[a, b]$ evenly as $a=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N}=b$, with $t_{i+1}-t_{i}=(b-a) / N, i=0,1,2, \ldots, N$ such that $(b-a) / N<\delta$. Let

$$
\Omega_{i}=\left\{x \in \Omega, t_{i} \leq x_{1} \leq t_{i+1}\right\}
$$

for $i=0,1, \ldots, N-1$. From the property of $\Omega$, we see that $\Omega_{i}$ is star-shaped and there is some $t_{i}^{\prime} \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ such that $x^{i}=\left(t_{i}^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ is a central point of $\Omega_{i}$. We divide the boundary of $\Omega_{i}$ into three parts:

$$
\partial \Omega_{i}=\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{i+1} \cup S_{i},
$$

where $\Gamma_{i}=\left\{x \in \bar{\Omega}, x_{1}=t_{i}\right\}$, and $S_{i}=\partial \Omega \cup \bar{\Omega}_{i}$. Notice that both $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{N}$ are contained in $\partial \Omega$.

Now we apply (4') to $u$ over the sub-domain $\Omega_{i}$ for each fixed $i$ with $h^{i}=x-x^{i}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial \Omega_{i}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, h^{i}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{i}}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let $I_{i}$ and $J_{i}$ be the left hand side and right hand side of (6), respectively. If $0<i<N-1$, we have $\partial \Omega_{i}=\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{i+1} \cup S_{i}$, and on $S_{i}, u=0$ so that (5) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S_{i}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, h^{i}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{i}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^{2}\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle d S \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{i} \leq \int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, h^{i}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S \\
& -\int_{\Gamma_{i}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, h^{i}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have chosen the normal vector of $\Gamma_{i}$ as towards the positive direction of the $x_{1}$-axis.

If $i=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0} \leq \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle h^{0}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, h^{0}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is because that on $\Gamma_{0} \cup S_{0}, u=0$. Similarly, When $i=N-1$, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N-1} \leq-\int_{\Gamma_{N-1}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle h^{N-1}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, h^{N-1}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we sum (7), (8) and (9) for $i=0,1, \ldots, N-1$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} J_{i} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-2}\left\{\int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left(F(u, D u)\left\langle x^{i+1}-x^{i}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, x^{i+1}-x^{i}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right) d S\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x^{i+1}-x^{i}=\left(t_{i+1}^{\prime}-t_{i}^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and the normal vector $\nu$ on every $\Gamma_{i}$ is $\nu=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$, we have in (10),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle x^{i+1}-x^{i}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, x^{i+1}-x^{i}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S \\
=\sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left[F(u, D u)-\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2}\right] d S\left(t_{i+1}^{\prime}-t_{i}^{\prime}\right) \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

We also see that

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} J_{i}=\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x .
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-2}\left[\int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left(F(u, D u)-\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2}\right) d S\right]\left(t_{i+1}^{\prime}-t_{i}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we let $N \rightarrow \infty$ so that $\max _{i}\left\{t_{i+1}^{\prime}-t_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ in (12). We have, by the definition of Riemann integral,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}|D u|^{2}-\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right)-\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2}\right] d x . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{n-3}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n-1}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x \leq-\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2} d x \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\frac{n-3}{2}>\frac{n-1}{p+1}, \quad \text { hence } \quad p>\frac{n+1}{n-3}
$$

we may find a constant $a$ such that

$$
\frac{n-3}{2}>a>\frac{n-1}{p+1}
$$

and conclude from (14) that $u \equiv 0$.
If

$$
\frac{n-3}{2}=\frac{n-1}{p+1}, \quad \text { which implies } \quad p=\frac{n+1}{n-3},
$$

we can only choose $a=(n-3) / 2$ and (14) is reduced to

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right|^{2} d x=0
$$

which gives that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}=0$ in $\Omega$. The zero boundary condition implies that $u \equiv 0$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\gamma:[0, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a $C^{2}$ closed embedded curve parameterized by its arc-length, so that $\gamma(0)=\gamma(l)$. Define $k_{0}=\max _{0 \leq s \leq l} k_{1}(s)$. Let $\bar{\Omega}_{r}$ be the closed $r$-neighbourhood in $\mathbb{R}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ with $n \geq 4$, where $0<r k_{0}<1$.

We first choose $r>0$ small enough so that the periodic mapping (in $s$ with period $l$ )

$$
F:\left(s, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \gamma(s)+x_{2} e_{2}(s)+x_{3} e_{3}(s)+\cdots+x_{n} e_{n}(s)
$$

is one-to-one from $[0, l] \times \bar{B}_{r}(0)$ to $\bar{\Omega}_{r}$ except at 0 and $l$ where $F(0, \cdot)=F(l, \cdot)$, with

$$
\bar{B}_{r}(0)=\left\{\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+\cdots x_{n}^{2} \leq r^{2}\right\}
$$

the closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. The Jacobian of this mapping is $\pm\left(1+x_{2} k_{1}(s)\right)$, where $k_{1}(s)$ is the first curvature of $\gamma$.

Now we divide $[0, l]$ evenly as

$$
0=s_{0}<s_{1}<\cdots<s_{N-1}<s_{N}=l, \quad s_{i+1}-s_{i}=\frac{l}{N}, i=0,1, \ldots N-1
$$

and let $s_{i}^{\prime}$ be the midpoint of $\left[s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right]$. We let $\Gamma_{i}$ be the intersection of the normal hyperplane of $\gamma$ at $s=s_{i}$ and $\Omega_{r}$ and define $\bar{\Omega}_{i}$ to be the closed sub-domain of $\Omega_{r}$ bounded by $\Gamma_{i}$ and $\Gamma_{i+1}$. Notice that $\gamma$ is a closed curve so that $\Gamma_{N}=\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Omega_{N}=\Omega_{0}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1 , we apply (4') to each $\Omega_{i}$ with $h^{i}(x)=x-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial \Omega_{i}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, h^{i}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{i}}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1 , we let $I_{i}$ and $J_{i}$ be the left and right hand sides of (15), respectively, and let $\partial \Omega_{i}=\Gamma_{i} \cup \Gamma_{i+1} \cup S_{i}$, where $S_{i}=\partial \Omega_{i} \cap \partial \Omega_{r}$.

Let us first consider the surface integral over $S_{i} \subset \partial \Omega_{r}$. Notice that $u=0$ on $S_{i}$, so that (5) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S_{i}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, h^{i}\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle-a u\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{i}}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^{2}\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle d S . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that for sufficiently large $N>0,\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle \geq 0$ on $S_{i}$. A general point $x \in S_{i}$ can be written as

$$
x=\gamma(s)+x_{2} e_{2}(s)+x_{3} e_{3}(s)+\cdots+x_{n} e_{n}(s)
$$

with $x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}=r^{2}$, for some $s \in\left[s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right]$, and the outward normal vector at $x$ is

$$
\nu=\left[x_{2} e_{2}(s)+x_{3} e_{3}(s)+\cdots+x_{n} e_{n}(s)\right] / r .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r\left\langle h^{i}, \nu\right\rangle=r\left\langle x-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right), \nu\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\gamma(s)+x_{2} e_{2}(s)+x_{3} e_{3}(s)+\cdots x_{n} e_{n}(s)-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right), x_{2} e_{2}(s)+x_{3} e_{3}(s)+\cdots x_{n} e_{n}(s)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\gamma(s)-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right), x_{2} e_{2}(s)+x_{3} e_{3}(s)+\cdots+x_{n} e_{n}(s)\right\rangle+r^{2} \\
& \geq r^{2}-\left|\gamma(s)-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right| r \geq r^{2}-r\left|s-s_{i}^{\prime}\right|>0
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\left|s-s_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leq l / N$ is sufficiently small.
Now we sum up $I_{i}$ 's as in the proof of Theorem 1 to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} I_{i} \leq \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left[F(u, D u)\left\langle\gamma\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right), \nu\right\rangle-\left\langle D u, \gamma\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle\right] d S \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left[\int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|D u|^{2}-\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right)\left\langle\gamma\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right), \nu\right\rangle d S\right.  \tag{17}\\
& \left.-\int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left\langle D u, \gamma\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\langle D u, \nu\rangle d S\right] \\
& =A_{N}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $\Gamma_{N}=\Gamma_{0}, \nu=\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\gamma\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right), \nu\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right)\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right), \dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\gamma}\left(\xi_{i+1}\right)\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\gamma}\left(\eta_{i+1}\right)\left(s_{i+1}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right)\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_{i+1}$ and $\eta_{i+1}$ are two points in $\left(s_{i+1}, s_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, s_{i+1}\right)$ respectively. Now we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right)\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right), \dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\gamma$ is of class $C^{2}$, there is a constant $C_{0}>0$ such that $|\ddot{\gamma}(s)| \leq C_{0}$ for all $s \in[0 . l]$. Therefore we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left\langle\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\gamma}\left(\xi_{i+1}\right)\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\gamma}\left(\eta_{i+1}\right)\left(s_{i+1}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right)\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} C_{0}\left[\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i+1}\right)^{2}+\left(s_{i+1}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{19}\\
& \leq C_{0}\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\gamma\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right), D u\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right), D u\right\rangle\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& +\left\langle\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\gamma}\left(\xi_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\gamma}\left(\eta_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)\left(s_{i+1}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, D u\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left\langle\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\gamma}\left(\xi_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i+1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\gamma}_{r}\left(\eta_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)\left(s_{i+1}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, D u\right\rangle\right|  \tag{21}\\
& \leq C_{0}|D u|\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can estimate the sum $A_{N}$ in (17):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{N} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left[F(u, D u)-\left\langle D u, \dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right)\right\rangle^{2}\right] d S\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +C_{0} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{l}{N}\left[\left.\int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left|\frac{1}{2}\right| D u\right|^{2}-\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\left|+|D u|^{2} d S\right]\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& =B_{1}(N)+B_{2}(N)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}(N)=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left[F(u, D u)-\left\langle D u, \dot{\gamma}\left(s_{i+1}\right)\right\rangle^{2}\right] d S\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \int_{0}^{l} \int_{\Gamma_{s}}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}|D u|^{2}-\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right)-\langle D u, \dot{\gamma}(s)\rangle^{2}\right] d S d s
\end{aligned}
$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{s}=\left\{\gamma(s)+x_{2} e_{2}(s)+x_{3} e_{3}(s)+\cdots+x_{n} e_{n}(s), x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2} \leq r^{2}\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
B_{2}=C_{0} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{l}{N} \int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left[\left.\left|\frac{1}{2}\right| D u\right|^{2}-\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\left|+|D u|^{2}\right] d S\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0\right.
$$

as $N \rightarrow 0$ because

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Gamma_{i+1}}\left[\left.\left|\frac{1}{2}\right| D u\right|^{2}-\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\left|+|D u|^{2}\right] d S\left(s_{i+1}^{\prime}-s_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right.
$$

converges to an integral.
Now we sum up the right hand side of (15):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} J_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_{i}}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{r}}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

We now change variables

$$
x=\gamma(s)+x_{2} e_{2}(s)+x_{3} e_{3}(s)+\cdots+x_{n} e_{n}(s),
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{r}}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right] d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{l} \int_{\Gamma_{s}}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right]\left(1+x_{2} k_{1}(s)\right) d S d s
\end{aligned}
$$

when $r k_{0}<1$. Finally we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{l} \int_{\Gamma_{s}}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right)|D u|^{2}+\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right)|u|^{p+1}\right]\left(1+x_{2} k_{1}(s)\right) d S d s  \tag{23}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{l} \int_{\Gamma_{s}}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}|D u|^{2}-\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right)-\langle D u, \dot{\gamma}(s)\rangle^{2}\right] d S d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we deduce from (23) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{l} \int_{\Gamma_{s}}\left[\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right) \phi-\frac{1}{2}\right]|D u|^{2}+\left[\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right) \phi+\frac{1}{p+1}\right]|u|^{p+1} d S d s  \tag{24}\\
& -\int_{0}^{l} \int_{\Gamma_{s}}\langle D u, \dot{\gamma}(s)\rangle^{2} d S d s \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi:=1+x_{2} k_{1}(s)$. Now, $|\phi-1| \leq r k_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Therefore

$$
\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right) \phi-\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{n-3}{2}-a, \quad \text { and } \quad\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right) \phi+\frac{1}{p+1} \rightarrow a-\frac{n-1}{p+1}
$$

uniformly on $[0, l] \times \bar{B}_{r}(0)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Because $p>(n+1) /(n-3)$, it is possible to find some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c>0$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-a\right) \phi-\frac{1}{2} \geq c, \quad\left(a-\frac{n}{p+1}\right) \phi+\frac{1}{p+1} \geq c
$$

on $[0, l] \times \bar{B}_{r}(0)$ as $r>0$ sufficiently small. Thus (24) implies that $u=0$ on $\Omega_{r}$.
If $\gamma$ is not a closed curve, the proof is similar. We need to extend the curve at the two end points $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(l)$ along the tangent directions as straight line segments so that the extended curve reaches the boundary of $\Omega_{r}$ at two points. Then the proof proceeds as in the case of closed curves.
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