ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, Vol. **2001**(2001), No. 61, pp. 1–5. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.swt.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.swt.edu (login: ftp)

Orders of solutions of an n-th order linear differential equation with entire coefficients *

Benharrat Belaïdi & Saada Hamouda

Abstract

We study the solutions of the differential equation

 $f^{(n)} + A_{n-1}(z)f^{(n-1)} + \dots + A_1(z)f' + A_0(z)f = 0,$

where the coefficients are entire functions. We find conditions on the coefficients so that every solution that is not identically zero has infinite order.

1 Introduction

For $n \geq 2$, we consider the linear differential equation

$$f^{(n)} + A_{n-1}(z)f^{(n-1)} + \dots + A_1(z)f' + A_0(z)f = 0, \qquad (1.1)$$

where $A_0(z), \ldots, A_{n-1}(z)$ are entire functions with $A_0(z) \neq 0$. Let $\rho(f)$ denote the order of the growth of an entire function f as defined in [4]:

$$\rho(f) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log\left(\log\left(\max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|\right)\right)}{\log r}$$

The value $T(r, f) = \log(\max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|)$ is known as the Nevanlinna characteristic of f [4]. It is well known that all solutions of (1.1) are entire functions and when some of the coefficients of (1.1) are transcendental, (1.1) has at least one solution with order $\rho(f) = \infty$. The question which arises is:

What conditions on $A_0(z), \ldots, A_{n-1}(z)$ will guarantee that every solution $f \neq 0$ has infinite order?

In this paper we prove two results concerning this question.

When $A_0(z), \ldots, A_{n-1}(z)$ are polynomials with $A_0(z) \neq 0$, every solution of (1.1) is an entire function with finite rational order; see for example [3], [5, pp. 199-209], [6, pp. 106-108], and [7, pp. 65-67].

Key words: Linear differential equations, entire functions, order of growth. ©2001 Southwest Texas State University.

^{*} Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30D35, 34M10, 34C10, 34C11.

Submitted July 23, 2001. Published September 17, 2001.

In the study of the differential equation

$$f'' + A(z)f' + B(z)f = 0 (1.2)$$

where A(z) and $B(z) \neq 0$ are entire functions, Gundersen proved the following results.

Theorem 1.1 ([1, p. 418]) Let A(z) and $B(z) \neq 0$ be entire functions such that for real constants α , β , θ_1 , θ_2 with $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, and $\theta_1 < \theta_2$, we have

$$|B(z)| \ge \exp\{(1+o(1))\alpha|z|^{\beta}\}$$
(1.3)

and

$$|A(z)| \le \exp\{o(1)|z|^{\beta}\}$$
(1.4)

as $z \to \infty$ with $\theta_1 \leq \arg z \leq \theta_2$. Then every solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of (1.2) has infinite order.

Theorem 1.2 ([1, p. 419]) Let $\{\Phi_k\}$ and $\{\theta_k\}$ be two finite collections of real numbers satisfying $\Phi_1 < \theta_1 < \Phi_2 < \theta_2 < \cdots < \Phi_n < \theta_n < \Phi_{n+1}$, where $\Phi_{n+1} = \Phi_1 + 2\pi$, and set

$$\mu = \max_{1 \le k \le n} (\Phi_{k+1} - \theta_k).$$
(1.5)

Suppose that A(z) and B(z) are entire functions such that for some constant $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$|A(z)| = O(|z|^{\alpha}) \tag{1.6}$$

as $z \to \infty$ with $\Phi_k \leq \arg z \leq \theta_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ and where B(z) is transcendental with $\rho(B) < \frac{\pi}{\mu}$. Then every solution $f \neq 0$ of (1.2) has infinite order.

2 Statement and proof of results

In this paper we prove the following two theorems:

Theorem 2.1 Let $A_0(z), \ldots, A_{n-1}(z)$, $A_0(z) \neq 0$ be entire functions such that for real constants α , β , μ , θ_1 , θ_2 , where $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$, $\mu > 0$ and $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ we have

$$|A_0(z)| \ge e^{\alpha |z|^{\mu}}$$
(2.1)

and

$$|A_k(z)| \le e^{\beta |z|^{\mu}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1$$
(2.2)

as $z \to \infty$ with $\theta_1 \leq \arg z \leq \theta_2$. Then every solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of (1.1) has infinite order.

EJDE-2001/61

Theorem 2.2 Let $\{\Phi_k\}$ and $\{\theta_k\}$ be two finite collections of real numbers satisfying $\Phi_1 < \theta_1 < \Phi_2 < \theta_2 < \cdots < \Phi_m < \theta_m < \Phi_{m+1}$ where $\Phi_{m+1} = \Phi_1 + 2\pi$, and set

$$\mu = \max_{1 \le k \le m} (\Phi_{k+1} - \theta_k).$$
(2.3)

Suppose that $A_0(z), \ldots, A_{n-1}(z)$ are entire functions such that for some constant $\alpha \ge 0$,

$$|A_j(z)| = O(|z|^{\alpha}), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1$$
(2.4)

as $z \to \infty$ with $\Phi_k \leq \arg z \leq \theta_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m$ and where $A_0(z)$ is transcendental with $\rho(A_0) < \pi/\mu$. Then every solution $f \neq 0$ of (1.1) has infinite order.

Next, we provide a lemma that is used in the proofs of our theorems.

Lemma 2.3 ([2, p. 89]) Let w be a transcendental entire function of finite order ρ . Let $\Gamma = \{(k_1, j_1), (k_2, j_2), \dots, (k_m, j_m)\}$ denote a finite set of distinct pairs of integers satisfying $k_i > j_i \ge 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a given constant. Then there exists a set $E \subset [0, 2\pi)$ that has linear measure zero, such that if $\psi_0 \in [0, 2\pi) - E$, then there is a constant $R_0 = R_0(\psi_0) > 0$ such that for all z satisfying $\arg z = \psi_0$ and $|z| \ge R_0$ and for all $(k, j) \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\left|\frac{w^{(k)}(z)}{w^{(j)}(z)}\right| \le |z|^{(k-j)(\rho-1+\varepsilon)}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1

Suppose that $f \neq 0$ is a solution of (1.1) with $\rho(f) < \infty$. Set $\delta = \rho(f)$. Then from Lemma 1, there exists a real constant ψ_0 where $\theta_1 \leq \psi_0 \leq \theta_2$, such that

$$\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| = o(1)|z|^{k\,\delta}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \tag{2.5}$$

as $z \to \infty$ with $\arg z = \psi_0$. Then from (2.5) and (1.1), we obtain that

$$|A_0(z)| \le o(1)|z|^{\delta}|A_1(z)| + \dots + o(1)|z|^{(n-1)\delta}|A_{n-1}(z)| + o(1)|z|^{n\delta}$$
(2.6)

as $z \to \infty$ with $\arg z = \psi_0$. However this contradicts (2.1) and (2.2). Therefore, every solution $f \neq 0$ of (1.1) has infinite order.

Next we give an example that illustrates Theorem 2.1.

Example 1. Consider the differential equation

$$f'' - (3 + 6e^z)f'' + (2 + 6e^z + 11e^{2z})f' - 6e^{3z}f = 0$$
(2.7)

In this equation, for $z = re^{i\theta}$, $r \to +\infty$, $\frac{\pi}{6} \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{4}$ we have

$$|A_0(z)| = |-6e^{3z}| = 6e^{3r\cos\theta} > e^{3\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}r},$$

$$|A_1(z)| = 2 + 6e^z + 11e^{2z}| \le 19e^{2r\cos\theta} \le 19e^{\sqrt{3}r} < e^{2r}$$

$$|A_2(z)| = |-(3+6e^z)| \le 9e^{r\cos\theta} \le 9e^{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}r} < e^{2r}.$$

As we see, conditions (2.1) and (2.2) of Theorem 2.1 are verified. The three linearly independent functions $f_1(z) = e^{e^z}$, $f_2(z) = e^{2e^z}$, $f_3(z) = e^{3e^z}$ are solutions of (2.7) with $\rho(f_1) = \rho(f_2) = \rho(f_3) = \infty$.

Next we give a generalization of Example 1.

Example 2. Consider the differential equation

$$f^{(n)} + P_{n-1}(e^z)f^{(n-1)} + \dots + P_1(e^z)f' + \beta e^{\alpha z}f = 0, \qquad (2.8)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\beta| \ge 1$, and P_1, \ldots, P_{n-1} are polynomials. If we take the sector $\theta_1 \le \arg z \le \theta_2$, θ_1 , $\theta_2 \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ with θ_1 near enough to θ_2 such that $\max_{1\le k\le n-1} \deg(P_k) < \alpha \frac{\cos \theta_2}{\cos \theta_1}$, then conditions (2.1) and (2.2) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied as $z \to \infty$ with $\theta_1 \le \arg z \le \theta_2$. From Theorem 2.1, it follows that every solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of (2.8) has infinite order.

Proof of Theorem 2.2

Suppose that $f \neq 0$ is a solution of (1.1) where $\rho(f) < \infty$ and we set $\beta = \rho(f)$. From Lemma 1, there exists a set $E \subset [0, 2\pi)$ that has linear measure zero, such that if $\psi_0 \in [\Phi_k, \theta_k) - E$ for some k, then

$$\left|\frac{f^{(l)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| = O(|z|^{l\beta}), \quad l = 1, \dots, n$$
(2.9)

as $z \to \infty$ with arg $z = \psi_0$. From (2.9), (2.4) and (1.1), we obtain that

$$|A_0(z)| \le |\frac{f^{(n)}}{f}| + |A_{n-1}(z)||\frac{f^{(n-1)}}{f}| + \dots + |A_1(z)||\frac{f'}{f}| = O(|z|^{\sigma})$$
 (2.10)

as $z \to \infty$ with $\arg z = \psi_0$, where $\sigma = \alpha + n\beta$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a small constant that satisfies $\rho(A_0) < \frac{\pi}{\mu + 2\varepsilon}$ (this is possible since $\rho(A_0) < \frac{\pi}{\mu}$). By using the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem on (2.10), it can be deduced that for some integer s > 0

$$|A_0(z)| = O(|z|^s) \tag{2.11}$$

as $z \to \infty$ with $\Phi_k + \varepsilon \leq \arg z \leq \theta_k - \varepsilon$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

Now for each k, we have from (2.3) that $\Phi_{k+1} + \varepsilon - (\theta_k - \varepsilon) \leq \mu + 2\varepsilon$, and so $\rho(A_0) < \frac{\pi}{\Phi_{k+1} - \theta_k + 2\varepsilon}$. Hence using the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem on (2.11) we can deduce that $|A_0(z)| = O(|z|^s)$ as $z \to \infty$ in the whole complex plane. This means that $A_0(z)$ is a polynomial which contradicts our hypothesis and completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Next we give an example that illustrates Theorem 2.2.

Example 3. If $A_0(z)$ is transcendental with $\rho(A_0) < 2$, then from Theorem 2.2, every solution $f \neq 0$ of the equation

$$f^{(n)} + P_{n-1}(z)f^{(n-1)} + \dots + P_2(z)f^{\prime\prime} + (e^{z^3} + e^{iz^3})f^{\prime} + A_0(z)f = 0,$$

where P_{n-1}, \ldots, P_2 are polynomials, is of infinite order.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful remarks and suggestions.

References

- G. Gundersen, Finite order solutions of second order linear differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 305 (1988), pp. 415-429.
- [2] G. Gundersen, Estimates for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic functions, plus similar estimates, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 37 (1988), pp. 88-104.
- [3] G. Gundersen, M. Steinbart M., and S. Wang, The possible orders of solutions of linear differential equations with polynomial coefficients, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), pp. 1225-1247.
- [4] W. K. Hayman W. K, Meromorphic functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [5] G. Jank and L. Volkmann, Einführung in die Theorie der ganzen und meromorphen Funktionen mit Anwendungen auf Differentialgleichungen, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart, 1985.
- [6] G. Valiron, Lectures on the general theory of integral functions, translated by E. F. Collingwood, Chelsea, New York, 1949.
- [7] H. Wittich, Neuere Untersuchungen über eindeutige analytishe Funktionen, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1968.

BENHARRAT BELAÏDI (e-mail:belaidi.benharrat@caramail.com) SAADA HAMOUDA (e-mail: hamouda.saada@caramail.com) Department of Mathematics, University of Mostaganem B. P. 227 Mostaganem, Algeria