ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, Vol. **2002**(2002), No. 35, pp. 1–10. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.swt.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.swt.edu (login: ftp)

# Convergence of the boundary control for the wave equation in domains with holes of critical size \*

#### A. K. Nandakumaran

#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the homogenization of the exact controllability problem for the wave equation in periodically perforated domain with holes of critical size. We show that the boundary control converges to the boundary control of the homogenized system under the assumption that the perforations are uniformly away from the boundary.

### 1 Introduction

In this article, we consider the following exact boundary controllability problem for the wave equation in the perforated domain  $\Omega_{\varepsilon T}$ :

$$y_{\varepsilon}'' - \Delta y_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon T}$$
  

$$y_{\varepsilon} = v_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{\varepsilon T}$$
  

$$y_{\varepsilon}(0) = y_{\varepsilon}^{0}, \ y_{\varepsilon}' = y_{\varepsilon}^{1} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon},$$
  
(1.1)

where  $\Omega_{\varepsilon T} = \Omega_{\varepsilon} \times (0, T)$ ,  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon T} = \Sigma_{\varepsilon} \times (0, T)$ ,  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon} = \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is a perforated domain obtained from  $\Omega$  by removing small holes periodically distributed (with period  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a small parameter) of size  $a_{\varepsilon}$  which is of critical size. We make this precise later. The controllability problem consists in finding a control  $v_{\varepsilon}$  so that the corresponding solution  $y_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies  $y_{\varepsilon}(T) = y'_{\varepsilon}(T) = 0$ . The controllability problem (i.e., the existence of a control  $v_{\varepsilon}$  and the corresponding solution  $y_{\varepsilon}$ ) and homogenization (limit analysis as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ) for wave equation have been extensively studied by various authors [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8].

Our aim in this article is to study the convergence of the outer boundary control  $v_{\varepsilon}|_{\Gamma_T}$  when the holes are of critical size which seems to be open in the literature quoted above. The (strong) convergence of this control when the size is smaller than the critical one has been studied in [2]. Of course even this article does not yield the convergence of the controls on the boundary of the holes, but our expectation is that it should converge to an internal control in some sense. In the next section, we make the problem precise and state our result, while it will be proved in Section 3.

<sup>\*</sup>Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35L05, 35B37, 93D05.

Key words: perforated domain, exact controllability, Hilbert uniqueness method,

homogenization.

<sup>©2002</sup> Southwest Texas State University.

Submitted September 2, 2001. Published April 23, 2002.

## 2 Preliminaries and Main Result

**Notation** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded smooth domain with boundary  $\Gamma$ . Let  $Y = (-1, 1)^N$  and  $S \subset Y$  be an open set containing the origin. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a small parameter and  $0 < a_{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon$ . Perforate the domain  $\Omega$  by making holes of size  $a_{\varepsilon}$  from  $\varepsilon$ -periodic cells.  $Y_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon Y$  and  $S_{\varepsilon} = a_{\varepsilon}S$ . The remaining part is  $Y_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon Y \setminus a_{\varepsilon}S$ . Let  $Y^k = Y + k$ ,  $k \in Z^N$  and  $S^k = S + k$ . Let  $\alpha > 0$  be any positive real number and define

$$D_{\alpha} = \{ x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial \Omega) \ge \alpha \}.$$

Our assumption is that, we make perforations uniformly away from the boundary, i.e., let  $I_{\varepsilon} = \{k \in Z^N : Y_{\varepsilon}^k \subset \Omega \setminus D_{\alpha}\}$  and define

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \Omega \setminus (\bigcup_{k \in I_{\varepsilon}} \overline{S_{\varepsilon}^k}).$$

The boundary of  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon} = \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon} = \Gamma \bigcup (\bigcup_{k \in I_{\varepsilon}} \partial S_{\varepsilon}^k)$ . Let T > 0 and  $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$  and define  $\Omega_{\varepsilon T}, \Sigma_{\varepsilon T}, \Gamma_T$  etc. analogously. The critical size  $a_{\varepsilon}$  is given by

$$a_{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} C_0 \varepsilon^{N/(N-2)} & \text{if } N \ge 3\\ \exp(-C_0/\varepsilon^2) & \text{if } = 2, \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

where  $C_0$  is a constant.

We now introduce the construction of the controls  $v_{\varepsilon}$  given in [2, 3] using the Hilbert Uniqueness Method (HUM) introduced by J. L. Lions [5, 6].

Let  $m(x) = x - x^0$ ,  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  fixed and  $T_0 = 2||m||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$ . Let  $T > T_0$  and consider a real function  $\psi \in C^1[0, T]$  such that  $\psi' \leq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\psi(t) = 1$  for all  $t \in [0, \frac{T_0 + T}{2}]$  and  $\psi(T) = 0$ . Let  $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}^0, \phi_{\varepsilon}^1\} \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \times L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  and solve the system

$$\phi_{\varepsilon}'' - \Delta \phi_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon T}$$
  

$$\phi_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{\varepsilon T}$$
  

$$\phi_{\varepsilon}(0) = \phi_{\varepsilon}^{0}, \ \phi_{\varepsilon}'(0) = \phi_{\varepsilon}^{1} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}$$
  
(2.3)

Then  $y_{\varepsilon}$  is obtained by solving

$$y_{\varepsilon}'' - \Delta y_{\varepsilon} = \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon T}$$
  

$$y_{\varepsilon} = \psi(t)(m.\nu_{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial \phi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}} \text{ on } \Sigma_{\varepsilon T}$$
  

$$y_{\varepsilon}(T) = y_{\varepsilon}'(T) = 0.$$
(2.4)

In the above equation  $\nu_{\varepsilon}$  denotes the exterior unit normal to the boundary. The system (2.4) has a unique solution given by the transposition method and

$$\begin{split} y_{\varepsilon} \in C^0([0,T];L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})) \bigcap C^1([0,T];H^{-1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})) \\ \text{Define } \Lambda_{\varepsilon}: H^1_0(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \times L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \to H^{-1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \times L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \text{ by} \\ \Lambda_{\varepsilon}\{\phi^0_{\varepsilon},\phi^1_{\varepsilon}\} = \{y'_{\varepsilon}(0),-y_{\varepsilon}(0)\}. \end{split}$$

It is proved in [2, 3] that  $\Lambda_{\varepsilon}$  is an isomorphism. Thus for a given  $\{y_{\varepsilon}^{0}, y_{\varepsilon}^{1}\} \in L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \times H^{-1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ , define  $\{\phi_{\varepsilon}^{0}, \phi_{\varepsilon}^{1}\} = \Lambda_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\{y_{\varepsilon}^{1}, -y_{\varepsilon}^{0}\}$ . Then the solution  $y_{\varepsilon}$  of (2.4) associated to  $\phi_{\varepsilon}$  given by (2.3) satisfies  $y_{\varepsilon}(0) = y_{\varepsilon}^{0}, y_{\varepsilon}'(0) = y_{\varepsilon}^{1}$ . Thus the controllability problem is solved. Regarding the convergence of (2.3) and (2.4), the following result can be found in [2, 3]. Throughout the paper,  $\tilde{g}$  denotes the extension of g by zero in the holes.

**Theorem 2.1** Assume the notations as in Section 2.1 and Let  $T > T_0$ . Let  $\{y_{\varepsilon}^0, y_{\varepsilon}^1\} = \{y^0, y^1\}\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}$  with  $\{y^0, y^1\} \in L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}$  is the characteristic function of  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ . Let  $y_{\varepsilon}$  be the solution of (2.4). Then as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , one has

$$\tilde{y_{\varepsilon}} \rightharpoonup y \quad in \ L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega)) \quad weak \star,$$

where y is the solution of

$$y'' - \Delta y + \mu y = 2\mu\psi\phi \quad in \ \Omega_T$$
  

$$y = \psi(t)(m.\nu)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu} \quad in \ \Gamma_T$$
  

$$y(0) = y^0, \quad y'(0) = y^1 \quad in \ \Omega,$$
  
(2.5)

and  $\phi$  is the solution of

$$\phi'' - \Delta \phi + \mu \phi = 0 \quad in \ \Omega_T$$
  

$$\phi = 0 \quad on \ \Gamma_T$$
  

$$\phi(0) = \phi^0, \quad \phi'(0) = \phi^1,$$
  
(2.6)

and such that

$$\{ \tilde{\phi_{\varepsilon}^{0}}, \tilde{\phi_{\varepsilon}^{1}} \} \rightharpoonup \{ \phi^{0}, \phi^{1} \} \quad in \ H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \quad weakly,$$
  
$$\tilde{\phi_{\varepsilon}} \rightharpoonup \phi \quad in \ L^{\infty}(0, T; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)) \quad weak \ \star .$$
 (2.7)

Moreover y(T) = y'(T) = 0.

The non-negative constant  $\mu$  is called the **strange term** in Cioranescu and Murat [4] in the study of elliptic equation in perforated domains. We recall this result in the following lemma. Similar type of test functions are also studied in [7] for other systems.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  be as in Section 2. Then there exists a sequence  $w_{\varepsilon} \in H^1(\Omega)$  and a non negative constant  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

- i.  $0 \le w_{\varepsilon} \le 1, w_{\varepsilon} = 0$  on  $S_{\varepsilon}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$
- ii.  $w_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup 1$ , weakly in  $H^1(\Omega)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$
- *iii.*  $\langle -\Delta w_{\varepsilon}, \zeta u_{\varepsilon} \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^{1}_{0}} \to \mu \int \zeta u,$

for every  $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , every sequence  $u_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $u_{\varepsilon} = 0$  on  $S_{\varepsilon}$  and  $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $H^{1}(\Omega)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Further if  $a_{\varepsilon}$  is sub critical (holes are much smaller), i.e.,

$$a_{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} C_o \varepsilon^{\alpha} & \text{with } \alpha > \frac{N}{N-2} & \text{if } N \ge 3\\ \exp(-C_0/\varepsilon^{\alpha}) & \text{with } \alpha > 2 & \text{if } N = 2, \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

then one can take  $\mu = 0$  and the convergence in (ii) is strong in  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

As mentioned earlier the solution  $y_\varepsilon$  is obtained via transposition method. i.e.,  $y_\varepsilon$  satisfies:

$$\int \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} y_{\varepsilon} f_{\varepsilon} = \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}} \psi(t)(m.\nu_{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial \phi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}}$$

for all  $f_{\varepsilon} = f \cdot \chi_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \in L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))$ , where  $\theta_{\varepsilon}$  is the unique solution of

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime\prime} &- \Delta \theta_{\varepsilon} = f_{\varepsilon} & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon T} \\
\theta_{\varepsilon} &= 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_{\varepsilon T} \\
\theta_{\varepsilon}(0) &= 0 = \theta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0).
\end{aligned}$$
(2.9)

The following convergence is also true:

$$\int \int_{\Gamma_T \bigcup S_{\varepsilon T}} \psi(m.\nu_{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial \phi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}} \to \int \int_{\Gamma_T} \psi(m.\nu) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} + \int \int_{\Omega_T} 2\mu \varphi \phi \theta. \quad (2.10)$$

Here  $\theta$  is the unique solution of

$$\theta'' - \Delta \theta + \mu \theta = f$$
  

$$\theta = 0$$
(2.11)  

$$\theta(0) = 0 = \theta'(0).$$

The natural questions which would arise, at this stage are the convergence of the controls

$$\psi(m.\nu_{\varepsilon})\frac{\partial\phi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}\Big|_{\Gamma_{T}}$$
 and  $\psi(m.\nu_{\varepsilon})\frac{\partial\phi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu_{\varepsilon}}\Big|_{\partial S_{\varepsilon T}}$ 

We have the following theorem which will be proved in the next section.

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $a_{\varepsilon}$  be of critical size as in (2.2) and  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  be given as in Section 2.1. Then the outer boundary controls

$$\psi(t)(m.\nu)\frac{\partial\phi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu} \rightharpoonup \psi(t)(m.\nu)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu} \quad in \ L^2(\Gamma_T) \ weak.$$

where  $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\phi$  respectively are the solutions of (2.3) and (2.6).

**Remark 2.4** We do not have the convergence of the internal boundary controls. Also without the assumption that the perforations are located uniformly away from the boundary, the problem still remains open. **Remark 2.5** Comparing the convergence in (2.10), it is not yet clear that whether the following convergence are true:

$$\iint_{\Gamma_T} \psi(m.\nu) \frac{\partial \phi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} \to \iint_{\Gamma_T} \psi(m.\nu) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu}$$
(2.12)

$$\iint_{S_{\varepsilon T}} \psi(m.\nu_{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial \phi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}} \to \iint_{\Omega_{T}} 2\mu\varphi\phi\theta.$$
(2.13)

Of course the proof of Theorem 2.3 can be applied to see that  $\frac{\partial \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}}|_{\Gamma_T}$  also converges weakly to  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu}|_{\Gamma_T}$ , but this does not directly yield (2.12) (and hence (2.13)). But in the sub critical case, one gets the strong convergence of  $\frac{\partial \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}}$  and hence (2.12) and (2.13) (see [2]) with  $\mu = 0$ .

# 3 Proof of Theorem 2.3

The proof consists of the following two steps. Claim 1: There exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\left\|\frac{\partial\rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}\right\| \le C,\tag{3.14}$$

where  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  is the solution of

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}'' - \Delta \rho_{\varepsilon} = f_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon T}$$

$$\rho_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_T \bigcup S_{\varepsilon T}$$

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(0) = \rho_{\varepsilon}^0, \quad \rho_{\varepsilon}'(0) = \rho_{\varepsilon}^1,$$
(3.15)

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\varepsilon} &\to f \quad \text{in } L^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega)) \quad \text{strong} \\ \rho_{\varepsilon}^{0} &\to \rho^{0} \quad \text{in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text{weak} \\ \rho_{\varepsilon}^{1} &\to \rho^{1} \quad \text{in } L^{2}(\Omega) \quad \text{weak.} \end{aligned}$$
(3.16)

From the homogenization results of [1], one has

$$\rho_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \rho \quad \text{in } L^{\infty}(0, T, H_0^1) \quad \text{weak} 
\rho_{\varepsilon}' \rightharpoonup \rho' \quad \text{in } L^{\infty}(0, T; L^2) \quad \text{weak},$$
(3.17)

where  $\rho$  satisfies

$$\rho'' - \Delta \rho + \mu \rho = f$$
  

$$\rho = 0$$
(3.18)  

$$\rho(0) = \rho^{0}, \quad \rho'(0) = \rho^{1}.$$

**Claim 2:**  $\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu}$  in  $L^2(\Gamma_T)$  weak.

**Proof Claim 1:** Recall  $D_{\alpha} = \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial \Omega) \leq \alpha\}$  and choose  $q \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})^N$  such that

$$q = \begin{cases} \nu & \text{on } \partial \Omega \\ 0 & \text{in } \Omega \backslash D_{\alpha}, \end{cases}$$
(3.19)

where  $\nu$  is the unit normal to  $\Gamma$ . Since  $D_{\alpha}$  does not contains any holes, we have q = 0 on  $S_{\varepsilon}$ , that is on the boundary of the holes.

Now, multiplying (3.15) by  $q_k \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_k}$  (where we use the repeated indices convention) and integrating by parts, we get

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} [\rho_{\varepsilon}' q_{k} \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{k}}]_{0}^{T} - \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \rho_{\varepsilon}' q_{k} \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}'}{\partial x_{k}} + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla q_{k} \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{k}} + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \cdot q_{k} \nabla q_{k} \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{k}} - \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon T} \bigcup S_{\varepsilon T}} \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} q_{k} \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{k}} = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} f_{\varepsilon} q_{k} \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{k}},$$
(3.20)

which can be written under the form

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 - I = I_5.$$

Since  $\rho_{\varepsilon} = 0$  on  $\Gamma_T$ , we have  $\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_k} = \nu_k \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}$ . By the choice of q, it follows that

$$I = \int_{\Gamma_T} |\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}|^2 d\sigma dt.$$

We estimate the other terms as follows:

$$\begin{split} I_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} q_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \operatorname{div} q \cdot |\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_T} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \operatorname{div} q \cdot |\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_T} |\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}|^2. \end{split}$$

$$I_{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} q_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} (\rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2})$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \operatorname{div} q \cdot |\rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{T} \bigcup S_{\varepsilon T}} q_{k} \rho_{\varepsilon}^{\prime 2} \nu_{k},$ 

EJDE-2002/35

#### A. K. Nandakumaran

The second term vanishes as  $\rho'_{\varepsilon} = 0$  on  $\Gamma_T \bigcup S_{\varepsilon T}$ , so we get from (3.20):

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{T}} |\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}|^{2} = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} [\rho_{\varepsilon}'(T)q_{k}\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{k}}(T) - \rho_{\varepsilon}'(0)q_{k}\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{k}}(0)] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \operatorname{div} q.(\rho_{\varepsilon}'^{2} - |\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}|^{2}) + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla q_{k}\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{k}} \\
- \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} f_{\varepsilon}q_{k}\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{k}} \\
\leq C[(\|\rho_{\varepsilon}'(T)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} + \|\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}(T)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2}) \\
+ (\|\rho_{\varepsilon}'(0)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} + \|\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}(0)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2})] \\
+ C[\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} (|\rho_{\varepsilon}'|^{2} + |\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}|^{2})] + C[\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} |f_{\varepsilon}||\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}|].$$
(3.21)

To estimate the right-hand side of the above inequality, we multiply (3.15) by  $\rho'_\varepsilon$  and integrate from 0 to t to get

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho_{\varepsilon}'^2 + \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}) = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} f_{\varepsilon} \rho_{\varepsilon}',$$

i.e.,

$$E_{\varepsilon}(t) - E_{\varepsilon}(0) = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} f_{\varepsilon} \rho_{\varepsilon}',$$

where

$$E_{\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (|\rho_{\varepsilon}'(t)|^2 + |\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}(t)|^2) \, dx.$$

Now

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} f_{\varepsilon} \rho_{\varepsilon}' | \leq \int_{0}^{t} \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} \|\rho_{\varepsilon}'\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}$$

$$\leq \|\rho_{\varepsilon}'\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))} \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))}.$$
(3.22)

Therefore,

$$E_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq E_{\varepsilon}(0) + \|\rho_{\varepsilon}'\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))}\|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))}$$
$$\leq C[\|\nabla\rho_{\varepsilon}^{0}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} + \|\rho_{\varepsilon}^{1}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^{2} + \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))}].$$

Obviously, we also have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} |f_{\varepsilon}| |\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}| \leq ||\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))} ||f_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))}$$
$$\leq C ||f_{\varepsilon}||_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))}.$$

So, using these inequalities in (3.21), it follows that

$$\int_{\Gamma_T} |\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}|^2 \leq C[\|\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}^0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^2 + \|\rho_{\varepsilon}^1\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}^2 + \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(0,T:L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}))}]$$

$$\leq \text{constant}, \qquad (3.23)$$

for all the choices of  $\rho_{\varepsilon}^0$ ,  $\rho_{\varepsilon}'$  and  $f_{\varepsilon}$  as in (3.16). This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Proof of Claim 2: From claim 1, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} \rightharpoonup \eta \quad \text{in } L^2(\Gamma_T) \quad \text{weak.}$$

We have now to identify  $\eta$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{D}(0,T), v \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Multiplying (3.15) by  $gv\omega_{\varepsilon}$ , where  $\omega_{\varepsilon}$  are the test functions given by Lemma 2.2, we get

$$-\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \rho_{\varepsilon}' g' v \omega_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} g \nabla v \omega_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} g \nabla v \omega_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} g v \nabla \omega_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Gamma_{T} \bigcup S_{\varepsilon T}} \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} g v \omega_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} f_{\varepsilon} g v \omega_{\varepsilon}$$
(3.24)

which can be written in the form

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 - I = I_4.$$

Note that since the holes are away from the boundary, we have  $\omega_{\varepsilon} = 1$  in a neighborhood of  $\Gamma$  (this is from the construction of  $\omega_{\varepsilon}$ ). Since  $\omega_{\varepsilon} = 0$  on  $S_{\varepsilon}$ , from (3.17) and Lemma 2.2 we can easily get the convergence of  $I, I_1, I_2$  and  $I_4$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} I &\to \int_{\Gamma_T} \eta g v, \quad I_1 \to \int_{\Omega_T} \rho' g' v, \\ I_2 &\to \int_{\Omega_T} \nabla \rho g \nabla v, \\ I_4 &\to \int_{\Omega_T} f g v. \end{split}$$

Then, it remains to pass to the limit in  $I_3$ . Of course, we can write formally,

$$I_3 = \int_0^T g \langle -\Delta \omega_\varepsilon, \rho_\varepsilon v \rangle$$

At this point, we cannot apply Lemma 2.2, because  $v \notin \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , but we can overcome this using the fact that  $\rho_{\varepsilon} = 0$  on the boundary  $\Gamma_T$ . We proceed as follows: Let  $\delta > 0$ , put

$$A_{\delta} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega : d(x, \partial \Omega) < \delta \}$$

and let  $\Omega_1 = \overline{\Omega} \bigcup A_{\delta}$  be the  $\delta$  neighborhood of  $\Omega$ . Extend  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  to  $\tilde{\rho_{\varepsilon}}$  by zero in  $A_{\delta}$  and since  $\rho_{\varepsilon} = 0$  on  $\Gamma_T$ , we have  $\tilde{\rho_{\varepsilon}} \in L^{\infty}(0,T; H^1_0(\Omega_1))$  and

$$\tilde{\rho_{\varepsilon}} \rightharpoonup \tilde{\rho}$$
 in  $L^{\infty}(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega_1))$  weak \*.

Moreover,

$$\tilde{\rho} = \begin{cases} \rho & \text{in } \Omega \\ 0 & \text{in } A_{\delta}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\tilde{v}$  be any extension of v such that  $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1)$  and extend  $\omega_{\varepsilon}$  by 1 outside  $\Omega$  and again denote the extension by  $\omega_{\varepsilon}$ . We can apply Lemma 2.3 and since  $\tilde{\rho}\tilde{v}|_{\Omega} = \rho v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , we get

$$\langle -\Delta \omega_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\rho_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v} \rangle_{H_{0}^{-1}(\Omega_{1}), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega_{1})} \to \mu \int_{\Omega_{1}} \tilde{\rho} \tilde{v} = \mu \int_{\Omega} \rho v.$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} I_{3} &= \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon T}} \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} g v \nabla \omega_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\Omega_{1T}} \nabla \tilde{\rho_{\varepsilon}} g \tilde{v} \nabla \omega_{\varepsilon} \\ &= \int_{0}^{T} g \langle -\Delta \omega_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\rho_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{v} \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega_{1}), H^{1}_{0}(\Omega_{1})} \\ &\to \int_{\Omega_{1T}} \mu g \tilde{v} \tilde{\rho} = \int_{\Omega_{T}} \mu g v \rho. \end{split}$$

So, passing to the limit in (3.24), we get

$$\int_{\Gamma_T} \eta g v = -\int_{\Omega_T} \rho' g' v + \int_{\Omega_T} \nabla \rho g \nabla v + \int \mu \rho g v - \int f g v.$$
(3.25)

On the other hand, multiplying (3.18) by gv, we get

$$-\int_{\Omega_T} \rho' g' v + \int_{\Omega_T} \nabla \rho g \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_T} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} g v + \mu \int_{\Omega_T} \rho g v = \int_{\Omega T} f g v.$$
(3.26)

From (3.25) and (3.26), it follows that

$$\int_{\Gamma_T} \eta g v = \int_{\Gamma_T} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} g v, \ \forall \ g \in \mathcal{D}(0,T), v \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}),$$

which implies that

$$\eta = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu}.$$

Hence, Claim 2 is proved, which ends the proof of the Theorem 2.3.

### References

- D. Cioranescu, P. Donato, F. Murat, E. Zuazua, Homogenization and corrector results for the wave equation in domains with small holes, in Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and their applications, College de France Seminar, Vol X, 1987-88, Research Notes in Mathematics, Pitman.
- [2] D. Cioranescu, P. Donato, E. Zuazua, Exact boundary controllability for the wave equation in domains with small holes, J. Math. Pures et Appl., 69, 1990, pp. 1-31.

- [3] D. Cioranescu, P. Donato, E. Zuazua, Strong convergence on exact boundary controllability of the wave equation in perforated domains, International Series of Numerical Mathematics, Vol. 100, 1991, Birkauser.
- [4] D. Cioranescu and F. Murat, Un terme étrange venu d'ailleurs, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and their applications, Collège de France Seminar, Vols. II and III, Research Notes in Mathematics, 60 and 70, Pitman 1982, pp 98-138 and 154-178.
- [5] J. L. Lions, Exact controllability, stabilization and perturbations for distributed systems, SIAM Review 30(1988), 1-68.
- [6] J. L. Lions, Contrôlabilité exacte, perturbations et stabilisation de systèmes distribués. Tome 1 and 2, RMA 8 and 9, 1988.
- [7] A. K. Nandakumar, Homogenization of eigenvalue problems of elasticity in perforated domain, Asymptotic Analysis 9 (1994) 337-358.
- [8] E. Zuazua, Exact controllability for the semi linear Wave Equation, J. Math. Pures et Appl. 69 (1) 1990, 1-32.

A. K. NANDAKUMARAN Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Science Bangalore - 560 012, India. e-mail: nands@math.iisc.ernet.in