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#### Abstract

We establish conditions for existence and for nonexistence of nontrivial solutions to an elliptic system of partial differential equations. This system is of gradient type and has a nonlinearity with critical growth.


## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this work is to extend some results known for the quasilinear elliptic equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=u^{p-1}+\lambda u \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

to the general system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u_{i}=f_{i}(u)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} u_{j} \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\
u_{i}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

First we recall some results for the single equation (1.1) on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $2<p<2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$ (the critical Sobolev exponent), then (1.1) has a nontrivial solution if and only if $\lambda<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$, the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$. This is proved by applying the Mountain Pass Theorem for finding nontrivial critical points for the following functional in the Sobolev space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} F(u) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(u)=|u|^{p}$. Then by the compact imbedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega), \varphi$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition $(P S)$. However when $p=2^{*}, \varphi$ may not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition (PS) due to the lack of compactness of the above imbedding. For $\lambda \leq 0$, a Pohozaev identity shows that there are no

[^0]nontrivial solutions when $\Omega$ is star shaped. For the case $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$, Brezis a nd Nirenberg [1] proved the existence of at least one nontrivial solution when $N \geq 4$. Their proof relies in the fact that $\varphi$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ (Palais-Smale at level $c$ ) if $c<c^{*}=S^{N / 2} / N$, where
$$
S=\inf _{u \in D_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\|u\|_{2^{*}}=1}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}
$$
which is the best constant in the Sobolev inequality. Moreover, when the value of $S$ and the optimal functions are explicitly known, it is possible to prove that if
$$
S_{\lambda}=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\|u\|_{2^{*}=1}}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|u\|_{2}^{2}
$$
then $S_{\lambda}<S$ for $\lambda>0$. Then, using the Mountain Pass Theorem a critical value $c<c^{*}$ is obtained. For a detailed exposition see [12].

Quasilinear elliptic systems have been studied by several authors $[4,5,6]$. For gradient type systems such as (1.2), Boccardo and de Figueiredo [2] used variational arguments to show the existence of nontrivial solutions. They proved existence of solutions for the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p} u=F_{u}(x, u, v) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
-\Delta_{q} v=F_{v}(x, u, v) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.4}\\
u=v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F$ is superlinear and subcritical. In this article, we study the critical case $p=q=2^{*}$.

The general problem of finding a condition on the matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ for which (1.2) admits a nontrivial solution is still an open question. In this paper, we present some results toward the solution of this question. For $A$ symmetric with $\|A\|<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$, we prove that the method presented in [1] can be applied. More precisely, we define appropriate numbers $S_{F, A}$ and $S_{F}$ such that if $S_{F, A}<S_{F}$ then (1.2) admits a solution. Furthermore, we show cases where this inequality holds. We prove also that in some particular cases the condition $\|A\|<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$ is necessary. We conclude this paper by showing that Pohoazev's nonexistence result may be generalized to problem (1.2) when $A$ is symmetric and negative definite. We remark that the symmetry of $A$ can be considered as a natural condition, since the proof of existence is based on the variational structure of the problem.

Before we state our results, we recall the following definitions [8].

$$
D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \nabla u_{i} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}
$$

Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. We shall say that:
i) $A$ is nonnegative $(A \geq 0)$ if $a_{i j} \geq 0$ for all $i, j$.
ii) $A$ is reducible if by a simultaneous permutation of rows and columns, it may be written in the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B & 0 \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B$ and $D$ are square matrices. Throughout this article, the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ will be denoted by $|\cdot|$.

## Statement of results

Theorem 1.1 Let $p=2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$. Let [.] be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $F(u)=[u]^{p}$ is differentiable. Define $f_{i}=\frac{1}{p} \partial_{i} F$, and assume that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric, with $\|A\|<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{F} & =\inf _{u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u)=1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}, \\
S_{F, A}(\Omega) & =\inf _{u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \int_{\Omega} F(u)=1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\langle A u, u\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then: 1) $S_{F}$ is attained by a function $u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
2) If $S_{F, A}(\Omega)<S_{F}$ then (1.2) admits at least one nontrivial weak solution.

As a consequence of this theorem, we have the existence of solutions for the following case.

Corollary 1.2 Let $p, A$ and $f_{i}$ satisfy the conditions of the Theroem 1.1 with $[u]=|u|_{q}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|u_{i}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}$ for some $q \geq 2$. Moreover, assume that $N \geq 4$ and that $a_{i i}>0$ for some $i$. Then (1.2) has a nontrivial weak solution.

Theorem 1.3 Let us assume that (1.2) admits a nonnegative nontrivial solution $u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and that $f_{i}(u) \geq 0$, with $f_{i}(u)>0$ for $u>0$. We denote by $\mu_{\min }$ and $\mu_{\max }$ the smallest and the largest eigenvalues of $A$, respectively. Then

1) If $A$ is symmetric and positive definite, then $\mu_{\min }<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$.
2) If $A \geq 0$ is irreducible, then $\mu_{\max }<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$.
3) If $a_{i j}>0$ for every $i, j$, and $A$ is symmetric, then $\|A\|<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$.

Using a Pohozaev-type identity [10] we shall prove as in [11] the following nonexistence result.

Theorem 1.4 Let $F \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be homogeneous of degree $p=2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$ and define $f_{i}=\frac{1}{p} \partial_{i} F$. Assume that $A$ is symmetric and negative definite, and that $\Omega$ is star shaped. Then $u=0$ is the unique classical solution of (1.2).

## 2 The Brezis-Lieb Lemma

We shall use the following version of the Brezis-Lieb lemma [3].

Lemma 2.1 Assume that $F \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $F(0)=0$ and $\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{i}}\right| \leq C|u|^{p-1}$. Let $\left(u_{k}\right) \subset L^{p}(\Omega),(1 \leq p<\infty)$. If $\left(u_{k}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ a.e. on $\Omega$, then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{k}\right)-F\left(u_{k}-u\right)\right)=\int_{\Omega} F(u)
$$

Proof We first remark that $u \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{p} \leq \liminf \left\|u_{k}\right\|_{p}<\infty$. We claim that for a fixed $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $c(\varepsilon)$ such that for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(a+b)-F(a)| \leq \varepsilon|a|^{p}+c(\varepsilon)|b|^{p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, writing

$$
|F(a+b)-F(a)|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{i}}(a+b t) b_{i} d t\right| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1}|a+b t|^{p-1}\left|b_{i}\right| d t
$$

and using that $x y \leq c(\widetilde{\varepsilon}) x^{p}+\widetilde{\varepsilon} y^{p^{\prime}}(x, y>0)$ we obtain

$$
|F(a+b)-F(a)| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\varepsilon}|a+b t|^{p}+c(\widetilde{\varepsilon})\left|b_{i}\right|^{p}\right) d t
$$

Moreover, as $(x+y)^{p} \leq 2^{p-1}\left(x^{p}+y^{p}\right)(x, y>0)$, we obtain:

$$
|F(a+b)-F(a)| \leq 2^{p-1} C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} \widetilde{\varepsilon}\left(|a|^{p}+t^{p}|b|^{p}\right)+c(\widetilde{\varepsilon})\left|b_{i}\right|^{p} d t
$$

and (2.1) follows. Letting $a=u_{k}(x)-u(x), b=u(x)$ we obtain

$$
\left|F\left(u_{k}\right)-F\left(u_{k}-u\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon\left|u_{k}-u\right|^{p}+c(\varepsilon)|u|^{p}
$$

We introduce the functions:

$$
f_{k}^{\varepsilon}=\left(\left|F\left(u_{k}\right)-F\left(u_{k}-u\right)-F(u)\right|-\varepsilon\left|u_{k}-u\right|^{p}\right)^{+}
$$

As $|F(u)| \leq K|u|^{p}$, then $\left|f_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq(K+c(\varepsilon))|u|^{p}$. By Lebesgue theorem $\int_{\Omega} f_{k}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\left|F\left(u_{k}\right)-F\left(u_{k}-u\right)-F(u)\right| \leq f_{k}^{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\left|u_{k}-u\right|^{p}$, we obtain

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|F\left(u_{k}\right)-F\left(u_{k}-u\right)-F(u)\right| \leq \varepsilon c
$$

with $c=\sup _{k}\left\|u_{k}-u\right\|_{p}^{p}<\infty$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the result follows.

Remark In particular, this result holds for $F$ is homogeneous of degree $p$.

## 3 Proofs of results

For the proof of part 1) of Theorem 1.1 we shall use the Lemma 3.1 below, which is a version of the concentration compactness lemma in [9].

Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{1}$ function homogeneous of degree $p=2^{*}$, such that $F(u)>0$ if $u \neq 0$. By homogeneity, it is easy to see that

$$
S_{F}=\inf _{u \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), u \neq 0} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u)\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}}
$$

Lemma 3.1 Let $\left(u^{(i)}\right) \subset D_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a sequence such that:
i) $u^{(i)} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $D^{1,2}(\Omega)$
ii) $\left|\nabla\left(u_{k}^{(i)}-u_{k}\right)\right| \rightarrow \mu_{k}$ in $M\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ weak ${ }^{*}$ for $k=1, \ldots, n$.
iii) $F\left(u^{(i)}-u\right) \rightarrow \nu$ in $M\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ weak ${ }^{*}$
iv) $u^{(i)} \rightarrow u$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$
and define: $\mu=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu^{\infty}=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left(\limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R} F\left(u^{(i)}\right) d x\right), \\
& \mu_{k}^{\infty}=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left(\limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{(i)}\right|^{2} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|\nu\|^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq \frac{1}{S_{F}}\|\mu\|  \tag{3.1}\\
&\left(\nu^{\infty}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq \frac{1}{S_{F}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k}^{\infty}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{(i)}\right|_{2}^{2}=|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\mu_{k}\right\|+\mu_{k}^{\infty} \quad \text { for } k=1, \ldots, n  \tag{3.3}\\
& \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F\left(u^{(i)}\right)=\int_{\Omega} F(u)+\|\nu\|+\nu_{\infty} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if $u=0$ and equality holds in (3.1), then $\mu=0$ or $\mu$ is concentrated at a single point.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Part 1) Let $\left(u^{(i)}\right) \subset D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a minimizing sequence for $S_{F}$, i.e.,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(u^{(i)}\right)=1, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{k}^{(i)}\right|^{2} \rightarrow S_{F}
$$

Using (3.1)-(3.3), we deduce, as in [12, Theorem 1.41], the existence of a sequence $\left(y_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda_{i}^{(N-2) / 2} u\left(\lambda_{i} x+y_{i}\right)$ has a convergent subsequence. In particular there exists a minimizer for $S_{F}$.

To prove the second part of Theorem 1, we shall use the following version of the Mountain Pass Lemma [12].

Theorem 3.2 (Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz) Let $X$ be a Hilbert space, $\varphi$ be an element of $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, $e \in X$ and $r>0$ such that $\|e\|>r, b=\inf _{\|u\|=r} \varphi(u)>$ $\varphi(0) \geq \varphi(e)$. Then for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $u \in X$ such that $c-\varepsilon \leq \varphi(u) \leq$ $c+\varepsilon$ and $\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(u)\right\| \leq \varepsilon$ where

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \varphi(\gamma(t))
$$

with $\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=e\}$.
Letting $\varepsilon=1 / k$, we get a Palais-Smale sequence at level $c$; i.e., a sequence $\left(u^{(k)}\right) \subset X$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(u^{(k)}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad \varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{(k)}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

We shall apply this result to the functional

$$
\varphi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} F(u)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} u_{i} u_{j}
$$

in the Sobolev space $X=H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. As $\|A\|<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$, we may define on $X$ the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} u_{i} u_{j}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

which is equivalent to the usual norm. By standard arguments $\varphi \in C^{1}(X)$ and

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), h\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{i} \cdot \nabla h_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} f_{i}(u) h_{i}-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} h_{i} u_{j}
$$

It follows that the critical points of $\varphi$ are weak solutions of the system.
To ensure that the value $c$ given by the mountain pass theorem is indeed a critical value we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 Let $F$ be homogeneous of degree 2*. Then any $(P S)_{c}$ sequence with $c<c^{*}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \frac{S_{F}^{N / 2}}{N}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Proof Let $\left(u^{(k)}\right) \subset H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence. First we show that it is bounded.

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{(k)}\right), u^{(k)}\right\rangle=\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} f_{i}\left(u^{(k)}\right) u_{i}^{(k)}
$$

Since $F$ is homogeneous of degree $2^{*}$, we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(u^{(k)}\right) u_{i}^{(k)}=F\left(u^{(k)}\right)$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^{2}=\varphi\left(u^{(k)}\right)+\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} F\left(u^{(k)}\right)=\varphi\left(u^{(k)}\right)+\frac{1}{2^{*}}\left(\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{(k)}\right), u^{(k)}\right\rangle\right)
$$

Hence, for $k \geq k_{0}$ we have

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right)\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^{2} \leq C+\varepsilon\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|
$$

and we conclude that $\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|$ is bounded.
We may assume that $u^{(k)} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{n}, u^{(k)} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{n}$, and $u^{(k)} \rightarrow u$ a.e..

Since $\left(u^{(k)}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2^{*}}(\Omega), f\left(u^{(k)}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2 N /(N+2)}(\Omega)$. So we may assume that $f\left(u^{(k)}\right) \rightarrow f(u)$ weakly in $L^{2 N /(N+2)}$. It follows that $u$ is a critical point of $\varphi$, i.e. $u$ is a weak solution of the system. We deduce that

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(u) u_{i}=0
$$

Moreover,

$$
\varphi(u)=\frac{\|u\|^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} F(u)=\frac{\|u\|^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(u) u_{i}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right)\|u\|^{2} \geq 0
$$

Writing $v^{(k)}=u-u^{(k)}$, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} F\left(u^{(k)}\right)=\int_{\Omega} F(u)+\int_{\Omega} F\left(v^{(k)}\right)+o(1)
$$

and then

$$
\varphi\left(u^{(k)}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u-v^{(k)}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} F\left(u^{(k)}\right)-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} F\left(v^{(k)}\right)+o(1)
$$

As $v^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ weakly,

$$
\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^{2}=\left\|u-v^{(k)}\right\|^{2}=\|u\|^{2}+\left\|v^{(k)}\right\|^{2}+o(1)
$$

and then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v^{(k)}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} F(u)-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} F\left(v^{(k)}\right) \rightarrow c \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand we also know that $\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{(k)}\right), u^{(k)}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{(k)}\right), u^{(k)}\right\rangle & =\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(u^{(k)}\right) u_{i}^{(k)}=\left\|u^{(k)}\right\|^{2}-2^{*} \int_{\Omega} F\left(u^{(k)}\right) \\
& =\|u\|^{2}+\left\|v^{(k)}\right\|^{2}-2^{*} \int_{\Omega} F(u)-2^{*} \int_{\Omega} F\left(v^{(k)}\right)+o(1) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left\|v^{(k)}\right\|^{2}-2^{*} \int_{\Omega} F\left(v^{(k)}\right) \rightarrow 2^{*} \int_{\Omega} F(u)-\|u\|^{2}=0
$$

We may therefore assume that $\left\|v^{(k)}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow b, 2^{*} \int_{\Omega} F\left(v^{(k)}\right) \rightarrow b$. From (3.5), we deduce that

$$
\varphi(u)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) b=c
$$

and since $\varphi(u) \geq 0$,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) b \leq c
$$

We claim that $b=0$. Indeed, since $u^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(v^{(k)}\right)_{i}\right|^{2} \rightarrow b$. On the other hand,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(v^{(k)}\right)_{i}\right|^{2} \geq S_{F}\left(\int_{\Omega} F\left(v^{(k)}\right)\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}
$$

and, letting $k \rightarrow \infty, b \geq S_{F} b^{2 / 2^{*}}$. It follows that $b=0$ or $b \geq S_{F}^{N / 2}$. In this last case,

$$
c^{*}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \frac{S_{F}^{N / 2}}{N} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \frac{b}{N} \leq c
$$

a contradiction. Hence, $b=0$ and $v^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ strongly.
Proof of Theorem 1.1 part 2) In the same way of [12, Theorem 1.45], it suffices to apply the Mountain Pass Theorem with a value $c<c^{*}$. We shall find the maximum of the function $h:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
h(t)=\varphi(t v)=\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2}\|v\|^{2}-\frac{t^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} F(v)\right)=A \frac{t^{2}}{2}-B t^{2^{*}}
$$

Since $2^{*}-2=\frac{4}{N-2}$, we obtain the critical point

$$
t_{0}=\left(\frac{A}{2^{*} B}\right)^{(N-2) / 4}
$$

with

$$
h\left(t_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{A}{2^{*} B}\right)^{N / 2}\left(\frac{2^{*}}{2}-1\right) B>0
$$

Then, it is easy to conclude that $c<c^{*}$.
Now we consider the special case

$$
[u]=|u|_{q}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|u_{i}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \quad \text { and } \quad F_{q}(u)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|u_{i}\right|^{q}\right)^{2^{*} / q}
$$

for proving Corollary 1.2.
Lemma 3.4 $S_{F}(\Omega)=S$ for $q \geq 2$ where $S$ is the best constant for the Sobolev inequality with $n=1$.

Proof Suppose first that $q \geq 2^{*}$, then we have the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|u_{i}\right|^{q}\right)^{2^{*} / q}\right]^{2 / 2^{*}} } & \leq\left[\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|u_{i}\right|^{2^{*}}\right]^{2 / 2^{*}}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{i}\right|^{2^{*}}\right]^{2 / 2^{*}} \\
& \leq\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(S^{-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|_{2}^{2}\right)^{2^{*} / 2}\right]^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} S^{-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $S_{F} \geq S$. For $2 \leq q \leq 2^{*}$ we use Minkowski inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|u_{i}\right|^{q}\right)^{2^{*} / q}\right]^{2 / 2^{*}} } & =\left\{\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|u_{i}\right|^{q}\right)^{2^{*} / q}\right]^{q / 2^{*}}\right\}^{2 / q} \\
& \leq\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{i}\right|^{2^{*}}\right)^{q / 2^{*}}\right]^{2 / q} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{i}\right|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} S^{-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality $S_{F} \leq S$ is verified easily taking functions of the form $u=$ $\left(u_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.2 First we note that by the $2^{*}$-homogeneity of $F, S_{F}$ does not depend on $\Omega$. Taking $u(x)=U(x) e_{i}$, where

$$
U(x)=\frac{[N(N-2)]^{(N-2) / 4}}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}}
$$

is the function that attains Sobolev's best constant in one dimension [12, Theorem 1.42], it follows that $S_{F}$ is achieved when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (where $N \geq 4$ ). By translation invariance of the problem, $S_{F}$ is also achieved with $u_{\varepsilon}(x)=U_{\varepsilon}(x) \cdot e_{i}$, for

$$
U_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varepsilon^{(2-N) / 2} U(x / \varepsilon)
$$

We shall see that $S_{F, A}<S_{F}$. Indeed, we may assume that $0 \in \Omega$ and choose $i$ such that $a_{i i}>0$. Then, if we define $u(x)=v_{\varepsilon}(x) e_{i}$, with $v_{\varepsilon}(x)=\psi(x) U_{\varepsilon}(x)$, and $\psi$ a smooth function with compact support in $\Omega$ such that $\psi \equiv 1$ in $B(0, \rho)$, we obtain as in [12, Lemma 1.46]:

$$
\frac{\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\langle A u, u\rangle}{\left(\int_{\Omega} F(u)\right)^{2 / p}}=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}-a_{i i} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}\right)}<S
$$

for $\varepsilon$ small enough.
Proof of Theorem 1.3: Necessary conditions for the existence of nonnegative solutions We recall the following theorem in [8].

Lemma 3.5 (Perron-Frobenius Theorem) Let $A \geq 0$ be irreducible. Then A has a positive simple eigenvalue $\mu_{\max }$ such that $|\mu| \leq \mu_{\max }$ for any $\mu$ eigenvalue of $A$. Furthermore, there exists an eigenvector of $\mu_{\max }$ with positive coordinates.

Now we are able to prove Theorem 1.3.
Suppose that the system has a nonnegative nontrivial solution. If $e_{1}$ is the first eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then $e_{1} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $e_{1}(x)>0 \forall x \in \Omega$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega} u_{i} e_{1}=\int_{\Omega}-\Delta u_{i} e_{1}=\int_{\Omega} f_{i}(u) e_{1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \int_{\Omega} u_{j} e_{1}
$$

If $z_{i}=\int_{\Omega} u_{i} e_{1}$, then

$$
\lambda_{1} z \geq A z,
$$

and the inequality between the $i$-th components is strict if $u_{i} \neq 0$ for some $i$. Since $z \geq 0$, and $z_{i}>0$ for some $i$, we obtain

$$
\lambda_{1}|z|^{2}>\langle A z, z\rangle .
$$

Since $A$ is symmetric and positive definite,

$$
\lambda_{1}|z|^{2}>\mu_{\min }|z|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{1}>\mu_{\min }
$$

This proves the first claim of the theorem.
For $A \geq 0$ and irreducible, let $v$ be the eigenvector of $A^{t}$ corresponding to $\mu_{\max }$, then from the Perron-Frobenius Theorem [8], $v_{i}>0$ for any $i$ and

$$
\lambda_{1}\langle z, v\rangle>\langle A z, v\rangle=\left\langle z, A^{t} v\right\rangle=\mu_{\max }\langle z, v\rangle
$$

and since $\langle z, v\rangle>0$, it follows that $\lambda_{1}>\mu_{\max }$ and the second claim is proved.
Finally when $A \geq 0$ is symmetric, we have $\mu_{\max }=\|A\|$, and the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 The proof of Theorem 1.4 consists of the next lemma and the next corollary.

Lemma 3.6 Suppose that $u \in C^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a classical solution of the gradient elliptic system

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u_{i}=g_{i}(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

where $g_{i}=\frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{i}}, G \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), G(0)=0$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded open set with smooth boundary. Then for a fixed $y$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}(x-y) \cdot n(x) d S=2 N \int_{\Omega} G(u) d x-(N-2) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} g_{k}(u) u_{k} d x
$$

Proof Multiply the $k$-th equation by $(x-y) \cdot \nabla u_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right) \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}$ and integrate by parts, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right) \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} g_{k}(u) \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right) \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{k}}{\partial x_{i} x_{j}}-\int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}(x-y) \cdot n(x) d S
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right) \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} g_{k}(u)=\left(1-\frac{N}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}(x-y) \cdot n(x) d S
$$

Adding this identities for $k=1,2, \ldots n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right) & \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} g_{k}(u) \\
& =\left(1-\frac{N}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\partial \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}(x-y) \cdot n(x) d S
\end{aligned}
$$

By the chain rule we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} g_{k}(u) & =\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right) \frac{\partial G(u)}{\partial x_{i}} \\
& =-N \int_{\Omega} G(u)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u)\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right) \cdot n_{i}(x) d S .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G(u)=0$ on $\partial \Omega$,

$$
-N \int_{\Omega} G(u)=\left(1-\frac{N}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\partial \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}(x-y) \cdot n(x) d S
$$

Finally

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}=\int_{\Omega} g_{k}(u) u_{k}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}(x-y) \cdot n(x)=2 N \int_{\Omega} G(u)-(N-2) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} g_{k}(u) u_{k}
$$

With the following corollary, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 3.7 Assume that $F \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is homogeneous of degree $p=2^{*}=$ $2 N /(N-2)$, with $F(0)=0$. Further, assume that $A$ is symmetric and negative definite, and that $\Omega$ is star shaped. Then the system

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u_{j}=f_{k}(u)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j k} u_{j} \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

with $f_{k}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{k}}$ admits only the trivial solution.
Proof Let $G(u)=F(u)+\frac{1}{2}\langle A u, u\rangle$. Since $F$ is homogeneous of degree $p$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}(u) u_{k}=p F(u)
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}(x-y) \cdot n(x)=[2 N-p(N-2)] \int_{\Omega} F(u)+2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\langle A u, u\rangle
$$

Since $p=2 N /(N-2)$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}(x-y) \cdot n(x)=2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\langle A u, u\rangle
$$

Now, because $A$ is negative definite, $\langle A u, u\rangle \leq M|u|^{2}$ where $M<0$ and then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}(x-y) \cdot n(x) \leq 2 M \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}
$$

Since $\Omega$ is star shaped, $(x-y) \cdot n(x)>0$ on $\partial \Omega$, and we conclude that $u=0$.
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