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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the existence of generalized solutions for a hyperbolic system with a discontinuous multi-valued term and nonlinear second-order damping terms on the boundary.


## 1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the initial boundary value problem for a hyperbolic system with differential inclusion on the boundary

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u^{\prime}-M\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f \quad \text { in }(x, t) \in Q=\Omega \times(0, T), \\
u(x, 0)=u^{\prime}(x, 0)=0 \quad \text { in } x \in \Omega, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{0}=\Gamma_{0} \times(0, T),  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial \nu}+M\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+K(u) u^{\prime \prime}+\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime}+\Xi=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{1}=\Gamma_{1} \times(0, T), \\
\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. }(x, t) \in \Sigma_{1}=\Gamma_{1} \times(0, T),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 3)$ with sufficiently smooth boundary $\Gamma=\partial \Omega$ such that $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}, \overline{\Gamma_{0}} \cap \overline{\Gamma_{1}}=\bar{\emptyset}$ and $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}$ have positive measures, $\rho \in$ $(1, \infty), M(s)$ is a $C^{1}$ class function such that $M(s)>m_{0}>0$ for some constant $m_{0}$, $K(s)$ is a continuously differentiable positive function, $\Delta u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}},\|\nabla u\|^{2}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{2} d x, \nu$ is the outward unit normal vector on $\Gamma, \varphi$ is a discontinuous and nonlinear set valued mapping and $T$ is a positive real number. The precise hypothesis on the above system will be given in the next section.

The background of these problems is in physics, especially in solid mechanics, where non-monotone and multi-valued constitutive laws lead to differential inclusion. For a brief account of the works on such variational inequalities we refer the reader to $[3,4,5]$. Doronin et al. [1] investigated the existence of generalized

[^0]solutions for the hyperbolic equation of the form
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u=f \quad \text { in }(x, t) \in Q \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+K(u) u^{\prime \prime}+\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{1}=\Gamma_{1} \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{0}=\Gamma_{0} \times(0, T) \\
u(x, 0)=u^{\prime}(x, 0)=0 \quad \text { on } \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

Motivated the results of [1], in this paper we study the existence of solutions of the variational inequalities (1.1). It is important to observe that as far as we are concerned it has never been considered differential inclusion acting on the boundary in the literature. The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2 , the assumptions and the main results are given. In section 3, the existence of a solution to problem (1.1) is proved.

## 2. Assumptions and Main results

Throughout this paper we denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{1}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega): u=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\}, \quad(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) d x \\
(u, v)_{\Gamma_{1}}=\int_{\Gamma_{1}} u(x) v(x) d \Gamma, \quad\|u\|_{p, \Gamma_{1}}=\left(\int_{\Gamma_{1}}|u(x)|^{p} d \Gamma\right)^{1 / p}
\end{gathered}
$$

For simplicity, we denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2, \Gamma_{1}}$ by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\Gamma_{1}}$, respectively. We formulate the following assumptions:
(A1) $K(s)$ is a continuous real function satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<K_{0} \leq K(s) \leq K_{1}\left(1+|s|^{\rho}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
\left|K^{\prime}(s)\right|^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} \leq K_{2}(1+K(s)) \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some $K_{0}, K_{1}, K_{2}>0$.
(A2) $b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a locally bounded function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|b(s)| \leq \mu_{1}(1+|s|), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mu_{1}>0$.
The multi-valued function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is obtained by filling in jumps of a function $b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by means of the functions $\underline{b}_{\epsilon}, \bar{b}_{\epsilon}, \underline{b}, \bar{b}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underline{b}_{\epsilon}(t)=\operatorname{ess} \inf _{|s-t| \leq \epsilon} b(s), \quad \bar{b}_{\epsilon}(t)=\operatorname{ess} \sup _{|s-t| \leq \epsilon} b(s), \\
\underline{b}(t)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \underline{b}_{\epsilon}(t), \quad \bar{b}(t)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \bar{b}_{\epsilon}(t) \\
\varphi(t)=[\underline{b}(t), \bar{b}(t)]
\end{gathered}
$$

We shall use the regularization of $b$ defined by

$$
b^{m}(t)=m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(t-\tau) \rho(m \tau) d \tau
$$

where $\rho \in C_{0}^{\infty}((-1,1)), \rho \geq 0$ and $\int_{-1}^{1} \rho(\tau) d \tau=1$.
Remark 2.1. It is easy to show that $b^{m}$ is continuous for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $\underline{b}_{\epsilon}$, $\bar{b}_{\epsilon}, \underline{b}, \bar{b}, b^{m}$ satisfy condition (A2) with a possibly different constant when $b$ satisfies (A2).

Definition A function $u(x, t)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{1}(\Omega)\right), \\
u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\rho+2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \\
u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \\
u(x, 0)=u^{\prime}(x, 0)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

is a generalized solution to (1.1) if there exists $\Xi \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$ and for any functions $v \in W=H_{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\rho+2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ and $\psi \in C^{1}(0, T)$ with $\psi(T)=0$ the relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\{\left(u^{\prime \prime}, v\right)+\left(\nabla u^{\prime}, \nabla v\right)+M\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right)(\nabla u, \nabla v)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime}-K^{\prime}(u)\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\Xi, v\right)_{\Gamma_{1}}\right\} \psi(t) d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left(K(u) u^{\prime}, v\right)_{\Gamma_{1}} \psi^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\int_{0}^{T}(f, v) \psi(t) d t, \\
& \quad \Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. }(x, t) \in \Sigma_{1} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we are in position to state our existence result.
Theorem 2.2. Assume that (A1) and (A2) hold and $f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Then, for all $T>0$ there exists a generalized solution to the problem (1.1).

## 3. Proof of main theorem

In this section we are going to show the existence of solution for problem (1.1) using the Faedo-Galerkin's approximation. For this end we represent by $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ a basis in $W=H_{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\rho+2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. Let $W_{m}=\operatorname{span}\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\}$. Next we define the approximations $u_{m}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{j m}(t) w_{j}$, where $g_{j m}(t)$ are solutions to the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime}, w_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}, \nabla w_{j}\right)+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla u_{m}, \nabla w_{j}\right) \\
+\left(K\left(u_{m}\right) u_{m}^{\prime \prime}+\left|u_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u_{m}^{\prime}+b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}\right), w_{j}\right)_{\Gamma_{1}}=\left(f, w_{j}\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
u_{m}(0)=u_{m}^{\prime}(0)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

By the same argument as in [1], the approximate system (3.1) and (3.2) has solutions $u_{m}(t)$ in $\left[0, t_{m}\right)$. The extension of these solutions to the whole interval $[0, T]$ is a consequence of the priori estimate which we are going to prove below.
Step 1 : A priori estimate. Multiplying (3.1) by $g_{j m}^{\prime}(t)$ and summing from $j=1$ to $j=m$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} K\left(u_{m}(t)\right)\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d \Gamma\right\} \\
& +\left(b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{1}}+\left\|\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{1}}^{\rho+2}  \tag{3.3}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} K^{\prime}\left(u_{m}(t)\right)\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{3} d \Gamma \\
& =\left(f(t), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{M}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} M(r) d r$. By the condition (A2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} & =\int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(x, t)\right)\right)^{2} d \Gamma \\
& \leq \int_{\Gamma_{1}} c_{1}\left(1+\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(x, t)\right|\right)^{2} d \Gamma  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq 2 c_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(1+\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(x, t)\right|^{2}\right) d \Gamma=c_{2}+2 c_{1}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are positive constants(dependent on the geometry of $\Gamma$ but independent of $m$ ). In what follows $c_{i}(i \geq 3)$ denote generic constants independent of $m$.
Inequality (3.4) and Hölder's inequality imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{0}^{t}\left(b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right), u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right)_{\Gamma_{1}} d s\right| \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(c_{2}+2 c_{1}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2}\right) d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq c_{3}\left(1+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, by Young's inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{1}}^{\rho+2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} K^{\prime}\left(u_{m}(s)\right)\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{3} d \Gamma\right\} d s \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}\left\{\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{\rho}-\epsilon\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{\rho}-C(\epsilon)\left|K^{\prime}\left(u_{m}(s)\right)\right|^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}\right\} d \Gamma d s \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is an arbitrary positive number. Therefore, integrating (3.3) over ( $0, t$ ) and taking $\epsilon=\frac{1}{2}$ in (3.6), from (2.2), (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} K\left(u_{m}(t)\right)\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d \Gamma\right\} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{1}}^{\rho+2} d s \\
& \leq c_{3}\left(1+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\|f(s)\|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad+c_{4} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}\left(1+K\left(u_{m}(s)\right)\right) d \Gamma d s .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, note that $K(u) \geq C_{0}(1+K(u))$ where $2 C_{0}=\min \left\{1, K_{0}\right\}$. Thus, letting

$$
E_{m}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)+C_{0} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(1+K\left(u_{m}(t)\right)\right)\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d \Gamma\right)
$$

from (3.7) we have $E_{m}(t) \leq c_{5}\left(1+\int_{0}^{t} E_{m}(s) d s\right)$. Thus, by Gronwall's lemma, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{m}(t) \leq c_{6}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality and (3.7) imply that for all $t \in(0, T)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \leq c_{7}, \quad \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d \Gamma \leq c_{8} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By imbedding theorem, from (3.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \leq c_{9} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from (3.4) and (3.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s \leq c_{10} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \geq m_{0}\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}$, by (3.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq c_{11} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, multiplying (3.1) by $g_{j m}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and summing from $j=1$ to $j=m$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d t}\left(\nabla u_{m}(t), \nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& -M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left(b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right), u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{1}} \\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{1}} K\left(u_{m}(t)\right)\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2} d \Gamma r+\frac{1}{\rho+2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{1}}^{\rho+2}  \tag{3.12}\\
& =\left(f(t), u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating this inequality over $(0, t)$ and using (2.1) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+K_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s+\frac{1}{\rho+2}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{1}}^{\rho+2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(s)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s-M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla u_{m}(t), \nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& \quad+2 M^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla u_{m}(t), \nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\epsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s  \tag{3.13}\\
& \quad+C(\epsilon) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s+\epsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+C(\epsilon) \int_{0}^{t}\|f(s)\|^{2} d s,
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used $u_{m}(0)=u_{m}^{\prime}(0)=0$. Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary and $M(s)$ is a $C^{1}$ function, from (3.8), (3.9),(3.11) and (3.12), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\left\|\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} d s+\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{1}}^{\rho+2} \leq c_{12} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.8)-(3.12), and (3.15), taking into consideration that $\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0$, we obtain

$$
\left(u_{m}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{1}(\Omega)\right),
$$

$\left(u_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\rho+2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \text {, } \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$.

Step 2 : Passage to the limit. Multiplying (3.1) by $\psi \in C^{1}(0, T)$ with $\psi(T)=0$ and integrating over $(0, T)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\{\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t), w_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla u_{m}^{\prime}(t), \nabla w_{j}\right)+M\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla u_{m}(t), \nabla w_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right), w_{j}\right)_{\Gamma_{1}}+\left(\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\rho} u_{m}^{\prime}(t)-K^{\prime}\left(u_{m}(t)\right)\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}, w_{j}\right)_{\Gamma_{1}}\right\} \psi(t) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(K\left(u_{m}(t)\right) u_{m}^{\prime}(t), w_{j}\right)_{\Gamma_{1}} \psi^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{3.16}\\
& =\int_{0}^{T}\left(f(t), w_{j}\right) \psi(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.16), we have subsequences (in the sequel we denote subsequences by the same symbols as original sequences) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{m} \rightarrow u \quad \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{1}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{3.17}\\
u_{m}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { weakly star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\rho+2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right),  \tag{3.18}\\
u_{m}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right),  \tag{3.19}\\
b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Xi \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) . \tag{3.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.18)-(3.21), considering that the imbedding $H_{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Gamma)$ is continuous and compact and using Aubin compactness theorem [2], we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|u_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u_{m}^{\prime}, K\left(u_{m}\right) u_{m}^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)\left(u_{m}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \in L^{q}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right), \quad q=\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1}>1  \tag{3.21}\\
u_{m} \rightarrow u \text { a.e. on } \Sigma_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{m}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \text { a.e. on } \Sigma_{1} \tag{3.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|u_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u_{m}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime}, \quad K\left(u_{m}\right) u_{m}^{\prime} \rightarrow K(u) u^{\prime}, \\
K^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)\left(u_{m}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \rightarrow K^{\prime}(u)\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \quad \text { a.e. on } \Sigma_{1} . \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Step 3 : $(u, \Xi)$ is a solution of (1.1). Letting $m$ tend to infinity in (3.17) and using (3.18)-(3.24), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\{\left(u^{\prime \prime}(t), w_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla u^{\prime}(t), \nabla w_{j}\right)+M\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla u(t), \nabla w_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\Xi(t), w_{j}\right)_{\Gamma_{1}}+\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime}(t)-K^{\prime}(u(t))\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}, w_{j}\right)_{\Gamma_{1}}\right\} \psi(t) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(K(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t), w_{j}\right)_{\Gamma_{1}} \psi^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{3.24}\\
& =\int_{0}^{T}\left(f(t), w_{j}\right) \psi(t) d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ is dense in $H_{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\rho+2}(\Gamma)$, we conclude that (2.4) hold. It remains to show that (2.5), i.e., $\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right)$ a.e. $(x, t) \in \Sigma_{1}$. By the Aubin-Lions compactness Lemma[2], we get from (3.19)-(3.20) that

$$
u_{m}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

This implies $u_{m}^{\prime}(x, t) \rightarrow u^{\prime}(x, t)$ a.e. in $\Sigma_{1}$. Thus, for given $\eta>0$, using the theorems of Lusin and Egoroff, we can choose a subset $\omega \subset \Sigma_{1}$ such that meas $(\omega)<$
$\eta, u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega\right)$ and $u_{m}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ uniformly on $\Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega$. Thus, for each $\epsilon>0$, there is an $N>\frac{2}{\epsilon}$ such that

$$
\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(x, t)-u^{\prime}(x, t)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega
$$

Then, if $\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(x, t)-s\right|<1 / m$, we have $\left|u^{\prime}(x, t)-s\right|<\epsilon$ for all $m>N$ and $(x, t) \in \Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega$. Therefore,

$$
\underline{b}_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \leq b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \leq \bar{b}_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right), \quad \forall m>N,(x, t) \in \Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega .
$$

Let $\phi \in L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right), \phi \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega} \underline{b}_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d \Gamma d t & \leq \int_{\Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega} b^{m}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d \Gamma d t  \tag{3.25}\\
& \leq \int_{\Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega} \bar{b}_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d \Gamma d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $m$ approach $\infty$ in (3.26) and using (3.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega} \underline{b}_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d \Gamma d t & \leq \int_{\Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega} \Xi(x, t) \phi(x, t) d \Gamma d t  \tag{3.26}\\
& \leq \int_{\Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega} \bar{b}_{\epsilon}\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \phi(x, t) d \Gamma d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$in (3.27), we infer that

$$
\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a. e. in } \Sigma_{1} \backslash \omega,
$$

and letting $\eta \rightarrow 0^{+}$we get

$$
\Xi(x, t) \in \varphi\left(u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Sigma_{1} .
$$

This completes the proof.
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