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# MULTIPLE PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF A DISCRETE TIME PREDATOR-PREY SYSTEMS WITH TYPE IV FUNCTIONAL RESPONSES 

ZHIGANG LIU, ANPING CHEN, JINDE CAO, \& FENGDE CHEN


#### Abstract

By using the continuation theorem of Mawhin's coincidence degree theory, some sufficient conditions are obtained ensuring the existence of multiple positive periodic solutions of a discrete time predator-prey systems with type IV functional responses.


## 1. Introduction

Recently, a Lotka-Volterra model with Holloing Type functional response has been extensively studied by number of papers (see papers [1]-[7], [9]-[12], [15], [18], [21]-[23] and the references cited therein). The model is described by the following system

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=x_{1}(t)\left[b_{1}(t)-a_{1}(t) x_{1}(t)-\frac{c(t) x_{2}(t)}{m(t) x_{2}(t)+x_{1}(t)}\right], \\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=x_{2}(t)\left[-b_{2}(t)+\frac{a_{2}(t) x_{1}(t)}{m(t) x_{2}(t)+x_{1}(t)}\right], \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$ represent the densities of the prey and the predator, respectively, $b_{1}(t), c(t), b_{2}(t)$ and $a_{2}(t)$ are the prey intrinsic growth rate, capture rate, death rate of the predator, and the conversion rate, respectively, $b_{1}(t) / a_{1}(t)$ gives the carrying capacity of the prey, and $m$ is the half saturation constant, the functional response $x /(m(t) y+x)$ is ratio-dependent.

When the prey group has defence or toxicity, the functional response in a predatorprey model should be type IV. Kot [19] proposed the following predator-prey model with a type IV functional response

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=x_{1}(t)\left[b_{1}(t)-a_{1}(t) x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}(t)\right)-\frac{c(t) x_{2}(t-\sigma(t))}{\frac{x_{1}^{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}(t)\right)}{i}+x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{2}(t)\right)+a}\right],  \tag{1.2}\\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=x_{2}(t)\left[-b_{2}(t)+\frac{a_{2}(t) x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{3}(t)\right)}{\frac{x_{1}^{2}\left(t-\tau_{4}(t)\right)}{i}+x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{4}(t)\right)+a}\right],
\end{gather*}
$$

[^0]where $c, \sigma, a_{i}, b_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $\tau_{j}(j=1,2,3,4)$ are continuous $\omega$-periodic functions with $c(t) \geq 0, \sigma(t) \geq 0, a_{i}(t) \geq 0$ and $\tau_{j}(t) \geq 0, \int_{0}^{\omega} c(t) d t>0$ and $\int_{0}^{\omega} b_{i}(t) d t>0, i$ and $a$ are positive constants.

Recently, many authors studied the existence of positive periodic solutions in population models by using the powerful and effective method of coincidence degree. Chen [8] has established the results of the existence of multiple positive periodic solutions by applying the continuation theorem for system (1.2) in the case $\tau_{2}(t)=$ 0 .

When the populations have non-overlapping generations, discrete time model described by difference equations is more appropriate than the continuous one. In [9] and [28], authors studied the periodic solutions of some difference equations by using coincidence degree theory. However, no work has been done for the multiple positive periodic solutions of discrete time predator-prey model with type IV functional responses.

The main purpose of this paper is to propose a discrete analogue of system (1.2) and to obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of its multiple positive periodic solutions by employing coincidence degree theory and some analysis technique. This is the first time that a discrete time predator-prey model with a type IV functional response has been studied by using this way.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we propose a discrete predator-prey model with type IV functional responses described by difference equations with the help of differential equations with piecewise constant arguments. In section 3, we shall establish easily verifiable sufficient criteria for the existence of multiple positive periodic solutions of the difference equations derived in Section 2.

## 2. Discrete analogue of system (1.2)

Let us consider the following equation with piecewise arguments, it is considered as a semi-discretization of (1.2)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{x_{1}(t)} \frac{d x_{1}(t)}{d t}=b_{1}([t])-a_{1}([t]) x_{1}\left([t]-\tau_{1}([t])\right)-\frac{c([t]) x_{2}([t]-\sigma([t]))}{\frac{x_{1}^{2}\left([t]-\tau_{2}([t])\right)}{i}+x_{1}\left([t]-\tau_{2}([t])\right)+a}, \\
\frac{1}{x_{2}(t)} \frac{d x_{2}(t)}{d t}=-b_{2}([t])+\frac{a_{2}([t]) x_{1}\left([t]-\tau_{3}([t])\right)}{\frac{x_{1}^{2}\left([t]-\tau_{4}([t])\right)}{i}+x_{1}\left([t]-\tau_{4}([t])\right)+a}, \quad t \neq 0,1,2, \cdots, \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $[t]$ denotes the integer part $t, t \in(0,+\infty)$.
By a solution of (2.1), we mean a function $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T}$, which is defined for $t \in[0,+\infty)$, and possesses the following properties:
(1) $x$ is continuous on $[0,+\infty)$.
(2) The derivative $\frac{d x_{1}(t)}{d t}, \frac{d x_{2}(t)}{d t}$ exist at each point $t \in[0,+\infty)$ with the possible exception of the points $t \in\{0,1,2, \cdots\}$, where left-sided derivatives exist.
(3) The equations in (2.1) are satisfied on each interval $[k, k+1)$ with $k=$ $0,1,2, \cdots$.

For $k \leq t<k+1, k=0,1,2, \cdots$, integrating (2.1) from $k$ to $t$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1}(t)=x_{1}(k) \exp \left\{\left[b_{1}(k)-a_{1}(k) x_{1}\left(k-\tau_{1}(k)\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.-\frac{c(k) x_{2}(k-\sigma(k))}{\frac{x_{1}^{2}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)}{i}+x_{1}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)+a}\right](t-k)\right\},  \tag{2.2}\\
x_{2}(t)=x_{2}(k) \exp \left\{\left[-b_{2}(k)+\frac{a_{2}(k) x_{1}\left(k-\tau_{3}(k)\right)}{\frac{x_{1}^{2}\left(k-\tau_{4}(k)\right)}{i}+x_{1}\left(k-\tau_{4}(k)\right)+a}\right](t-k)\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow k+1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}(k+1)= x_{1}(k) \exp \left\{\left[b_{1}(k)-a_{1}(k) x_{1}\left(k-\tau_{1}(k)\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.-\frac{c(k) x_{2}(k-\sigma(k))}{\frac{x_{1}^{2}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)}{i}+x_{1}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)+a}\right]\right\},  \tag{2.3}\\
& x_{2}(k+1)=x_{2}(k) \exp \left\{\left[-b_{2}(k)+\frac{a_{2}(k) x_{1}\left(k-\tau_{3}(k)\right)}{\frac{x_{1}^{2}\left(k-\tau_{4}(k)\right)}{i}+x_{1}\left(k-\tau_{4}(k)\right)+a}\right]\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=0,1,2, \cdots$. (2.3) is a discrete analogue of system (1.2).
Throughout this paper, we are interested only in solutions $\left(x_{1}(k), x_{2}(k)\right)^{T}$ of (2.3) with the initial conditions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(s) \geq 0, \quad x_{i}(0)>0, \quad s=-m,-m+1, \cdots, 0, \quad i=1,2, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\max _{k \in I_{\omega}}\left\{\tau_{1}(k), \tau_{2}(k), \tau_{3}(k), \tau_{4}(k), \sigma(k)\right\}, \tau_{i}(k)$ and $\sigma(k)$ are integers. For given initial conditions (2.4), we may prove that (2.3) has a unique solution $\left(x_{1}(k), x_{2}(k)\right)^{T}$ defined on $\{-m, \cdots,-1,0,1,2, \cdots\}$ and satisfying

$$
x_{i}(k)>0, \quad i=1,2 ; k=0,1,2, \cdots
$$

## 3. Existence of multiple positive periodic solutions

In this section, we shall apply the continuation theorem of Mawhin's coincidence degree theory to establish our main results.

Let $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{+}$, and $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ denote the sets of all integers, nonnegative integers, real numbers, nonnegative real numbers, and two-dimensional Euclidean vector space, respectively.

Throughout this paper, we will use the following notation:

$$
I_{\omega}=\{0,1, \cdots, \omega-1\}, \quad \bar{g}=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} g(k), \quad \bar{G}=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}|g(k)|,
$$

where $\{g(k)\}$ is an $\omega$-periodic sequence of real numbers defined for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
In system (2.3), we always assume that $b_{i}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $c, \sigma, a_{i}, \tau_{j}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$are $\omega$-periodic, i.e.,

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{i}(k+\omega)=a_{i}(k), \quad b_{i}(k+\omega)=b_{i}(k), \quad c(k+\omega)=c(k), \\
\sigma(k+\omega)=\sigma(k), \quad \tau_{j}(k+\omega)=\tau_{j}(k),
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}, i=1,2 ; j=1,2,3,4$ and $\bar{c}>0, \bar{b}_{i}>0, i$ and $a$ are positive constants, where $\omega$, a fixed positive integer, denotes the prescribed common period of the parameters in (2.3).

For the reader's convenience, we first summarize a few concepts from the book by Gaines and Mawhin [14].

Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed vector spaces. Let $L: \operatorname{Dom} L \subset X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear mapping and $N: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous mapping. The mapping $L$ will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L<\infty$ and $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed in $Z$. If $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, then there exist continuous projectors $P: X \rightarrow X$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{ker} L$ and $\operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{ker} Q=$ $\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$. It follows that $L \mid \operatorname{Dom} L \cap \operatorname{ker} P:(I-P) X \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} L$ is invertible and its inverse is denoted by $K_{p}$. If $\Omega$ is a bounded open subset of $X$, the mapping $N$ is called $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$ if $(Q N)(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_{p}(I-Q) N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact. Because $\operatorname{Im} Q$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{ker} L$, there exists an isomorphism $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} L$. In the proof our existence result, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 (Continuation theorem [14]). Let $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and $N$ be L-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. Suppose
(a) For each $\lambda \in(0,1)$, every solution $x$ of $L x=\lambda N x$ is such that $x \notin \partial \Omega$;
(b) $Q N x \neq 0$ for each $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L$ and $\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} L, 0\} \neq 0$.

Then the operator equation $L x=N x$ has at least one solution lying in $\operatorname{Dom} L \cap \bar{\Omega}$.
Lemma 3.2 ([9, Lemma 3.2]). Let $g: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $\omega$-periodic, i.e., $g(k+\omega)=g(k)$. Then for any fixed $k_{1}, k_{2} \in I_{\omega}$, and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(k) \leq g\left(k_{1}\right)+\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)| \\
& g(k) \geq g\left(k_{2}\right)-\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is only necessary to prove that the inequalities hold for any $k \in I_{\omega}$. For the first inequality, it is easy to see the first inequality holds if $k=k_{1}$. If $k>k_{1}$, then
$g(k)-g\left(k_{1}\right)=\sum_{s=k_{1}}^{k-1}(g(s+1)-g(s)) \leq \sum_{s=k_{1}}^{k-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)|$,
and hence, $g(k) \leq g\left(k_{1}\right)+\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)|$. If $k<k_{1}$, then
$g\left(k_{1}\right)-g(k)=\sum_{s=k}^{k_{1}-1}(g(s+1)-g(s)) \geq-\sum_{s=k}^{k_{1}-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)| \geq-\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)|$,
equivalently, $g(k) \leq g\left(k_{1}\right)+\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}|g(s+1)-g(s)|$. Now we can claim that the first inequality is valid.

The proof of the second inequality is exactly the same as that carried out above and the details are omitted here. The proof is complete.

Define

$$
l_{2}=\left\{y=\{y(k)\}: y(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

For $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, define $|a|=\max \left\{\left|a_{1}\right|,\left|a_{2}\right|\right\}$. Let $l^{\omega} \subset l_{2}$ denote the subspace of all $\omega$-periodic sequences equipped with the usual supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$, i.e., for $y=\{y(k): k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \in l^{\omega},\|y\|=\max _{k \in I_{\omega}}|y(k)|$. It is difficult to show that $l^{\omega}$ is a finite-dimensional Banach space.

Let the linear operator $S: l^{\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be defined by

$$
S(y)=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} y(k), \quad y=\{y(k): k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \in l^{\omega} .
$$

Then we obtain two subspaces $l_{0}^{\omega}$ and $l_{c}^{\omega}$ of $l^{\omega}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{0}^{\omega}=\left\{y=\{y(k)\} \in l^{\omega}: S(y)=0\right\} \\
l_{c}^{\omega}=\left\{y=\{y(k)\} \in l^{\omega}: y(k) \equiv \beta, \text { for some } \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \text { and for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively. Denote by $L: l^{\omega} \rightarrow l^{\omega}$ the difference operator given by $L y=\{(L y)(k)\}$ with

$$
(L y)(k)=y(k+1)-y(k), \quad \text { for } y \in l^{\omega} \text { and } k \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Let a linear operator $K: l^{\omega} \rightarrow l_{c}^{\omega}$ be defined by $K y=\{(K y)(k)\}$ with

$$
(K y)(k) \equiv S(y), \quad \text { for } y \in l^{\omega} \text { and } k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Then we have the following lemma. [28].
Lemma 3.3 ([28]). (i) Both $l_{0}^{\omega}$ and $l_{c}^{\omega}$ are closed linear subspaces of $l^{\omega}$ and $l^{\omega}=l_{0}^{\omega} \oplus l_{c}^{\omega}, \operatorname{dim} l_{c}^{\omega}=2$.
(ii) $L$ is a bounded linear operator with $\operatorname{ker} L=l_{c}^{\omega}$ and $\operatorname{Im} L=l_{0}^{\omega}$.
(iii) $K$ is a bounded linear operator with $\operatorname{ker}(L+K)=\{0\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}(L+K)=l^{\omega}$.

For convenience, we denote $f: y \rightarrow \frac{\exp (2 y)}{i}+\exp (y)+a$. From now on, we assume that
(H1) $\bar{a}_{2}>\bar{b}_{2}\left(1+2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{i}}\right) \exp \left[\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]$.
For further convenience, we introduce the following six positive numbers:

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{ \pm}=\frac{i\left\{\bar{a}_{2} \exp \left[\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]-\bar{b}_{2}\right\} \pm \sqrt{i^{2}\left\{\bar{a}_{2} \exp \left[\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]-\bar{b}_{2}\right\}^{2}-4 i a \bar{b}_{2}^{2}}}{2 \bar{b}_{2}} \\
u_{ \pm}=\left(i\left\{\bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2} \exp \left[\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]\right\}\right. \\
\left.\quad \pm \sqrt{i^{2}\left\{\bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2} \exp \left[\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]\right\}^{2}-4 i a \bar{b}_{2}^{2} \exp \left[2\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]}\right) \\
\quad \div\left(2 \bar{b}_{2} \exp \left[\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]\right), \\
y_{ \pm}=\frac{i\left(\bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{i^{2}\left(\bar{a}_{2}-\bar{b}_{2}\right)^{2}-4 i a \bar{b}_{2}^{2}}}{2 \bar{b}_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is not difficult to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{-}<y_{-}<u_{-}<u_{+}<y_{+}<l_{+} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To state and prove the main result of this paper, we use the hypothesis
(H2) $\bar{a}_{1} l_{+} \exp \left[\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]<\overline{b_{1}}$.
Theorem 3.4. Under the hypotheses (H1)-(H2), the system (2.3) has at least two $\omega$-periodic positive solutions.

Proof. we make the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(k)=\exp \left(y_{i}(k)\right), \quad i=1,2 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.3) is rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{1}(k+1)-y_{1}(k)=b_{1}(k)-a_{1}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{1}(k)\right)\right\}-\frac{c(k) \exp \left\{y_{2}(k-\sigma(k))\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)\right)}, \\
y_{2}(k+1)-y_{2}(k)=-b_{2}(k)+\frac{a_{2}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{3}(k)\right)\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{4}(k)\right)\right)} \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

If (3.3) has an $\omega$-periodic solution $\{y(k)\}$, then $\{x(k)\}: x_{i}(k)=\exp \left(y_{i}(k)\right)$ is a positive $\omega$-periodic solution of (2.3).

Now let we define $X=Y=l^{\omega},(L y)(k)=y(k+1)-y(k)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(N y)(k) & =\binom{b_{1}(k)-a_{1}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{1}(k)\right)\right\}-\frac{c(k) \exp \left\{y_{2}(k-\sigma(k))\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)\right)}}{-b_{2}(k)+\frac{a_{2}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{3}(k)\right)\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{4}(k)\right)\right)}} \\
& :=\binom{\triangle_{1}(y, k)}{\triangle_{2}(y, k)},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $y \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $L$ is a bounded linear operator and

$$
\operatorname{ker} L=l_{c}^{\omega}, \quad \operatorname{Im} L=l_{0}^{\omega}, \quad \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L=2=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L,
$$

then it follows that $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero.
Define

$$
P y=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} y(s), \quad y \in X, \quad Q z=\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} z(s), \quad z \in Y
$$

It is not difficult to show that $P$ and $Q$ are two continuous projectors such that

$$
\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{ker} L \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{ker} Q=\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)
$$

Furthermore, the generalized inverse (of $L$ ) $K_{p}: \operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} P \cap \operatorname{Dom} L$ exists and is given by

$$
K_{p}(z)=\sum_{s=0}^{k-1} z(s)-\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}(\omega-s) z(s) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q N y=\left(\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \triangle_{1}(y, k), \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \triangle_{2}(y, k)\right)^{T}, \\
K_{p}(I-Q) N y=\left(\Phi_{1}(y, k), \Phi_{2}(y, k)\right)^{T},
\end{gathered}
$$

where for $i=1,2$,

$$
\Phi_{i}(y, k)=\sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \triangle_{i}(y, s)-\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}(\omega-s) \triangle_{i}(y, s)-\left(\frac{k}{\omega}-\frac{\omega+1}{2 \omega}\right) \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} \triangle_{i}(y, s) .
$$

Obviously, $Q N$ and $K_{p}(I-Q) N$ are continuous. Since $X$ is a finite-dimensional Banach space, it is not difficult to show that $\overline{K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})}$ is compact for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. Moreover, $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded. Thus, $N$ is $L$-compact on with any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. The isomorphism $J$ of $\operatorname{Im} Q$ onto ker $L$ can be the identity mapping, since $\operatorname{Im} Q=\operatorname{ker} L$.

From now on, we shall search for at least two appropriate open, bounded subsets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in $X$. Corresponding to the operator equation $L y=\lambda N y, \lambda \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{1}(k+1)-y_{1}(k)=\lambda\left[b_{1}(k)-a_{1}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{1}(k)\right)\right\}\right. \\
\left.-\frac{c(k) \exp \left\{y_{2}(k-\sigma(k))\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)\right)}\right],  \tag{3.4}\\
y_{2}(k+1)-y_{2}(k)=\lambda\left[-b_{2}(k)+\frac{a_{2}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{3}(k)\right)\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{4}(k)\right)\right)}\right],
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that $y=\left(y_{1}(k), y_{2}(k)\right)^{T} \in X$ is an solution of (3.4) for a certain $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Summing on both sides of (3.4) from 0 to $\omega-1$ about $k$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left(y_{1}(k+1)-y_{1}(k)\right) \\
& =\lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left[b_{1}(k)-a_{1}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{1}(k)\right)\right\}-\frac{c(k) \exp \left\{y_{2}(k-\sigma(k))\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)\right)}\right], \\
0 & =\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left(y_{2}(k+1)-y_{2}(k)\right)=\lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left[-b_{2}(k)+\frac{a_{2}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{3}(k)\right)\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{4}(k)\right)\right)}\right] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{b}_{1} \omega=\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left[a_{1}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{1}(k)\right)\right\}+\frac{c(k) \exp \left\{y_{2}(k-\sigma(k))\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)\right)}\right]  \tag{3.5}\\
\bar{b}_{2} \omega=\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{a_{2}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{3}(k)\right)\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{4}(k)\right)\right)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

From the first equation of (3.4), and (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|y_{1}(k+1)-y_{1}(k)\right| \\
& <\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left[\left|b_{1}(k)\right|+a_{1}(k) \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{1}(k)\right)\right\}+\frac{c(k) \exp \left\{y_{2}(k-\sigma(k))\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(k-\tau_{2}(k)\right)\right)}\right] \\
& =\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|y_{1}(k+1)-y_{1}(k)\right|<\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, it follows from the second equation of (3.4), (3.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|y_{2}(k+1)-y_{2}(k)\right|<\left(\bar{B}_{2}+\bar{b}_{2}\right) \omega . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of $y=\{y(k)\} \in X$, there exist $\xi_{i}, \eta_{i} \in I_{\omega}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\min _{k \in I_{\omega}}\left\{y_{i}(k)\right\}, \quad y_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\max _{k \in I_{\omega}}\left\{y_{i}(k)\right\}, \quad i=1,2 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the second equation of (3.5) and (3.8) that

$$
\bar{b}_{2} \omega \leq \frac{\bar{a}_{2} \omega \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right\}}{f\left(y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right)}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \geq \ln \left[\frac{\bar{b}_{2}}{\bar{a}_{2}} f\left(y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right)\right] \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.2 and (3.6), (3.9) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(k) \geq y_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)-\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1}\left|y_{1}(k+1)-y_{1}(k)\right|>\ln \left[\frac{\bar{b}_{2}}{\bar{a}_{2}} f\left(y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right)\right]-\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have $y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)>\ln \left[\frac{\bar{b}_{2}}{\bar{a}_{2}} f\left(y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right)\right]-\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega$, or

$$
\frac{\bar{b}_{2}}{i} \exp \left(2 y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right)-\left[\bar{a}_{2} \exp \left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega-\bar{b}_{2}\right] \exp \left\{y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right\}+\bar{b}_{2} a<0
$$

Because of (H1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln l_{-}<y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)<\ln l_{+} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)<\ln u_{-} \quad \text { or } \quad y_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)>\ln u_{+} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.11), (3.6) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(k) \leq y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}\left|y_{1}(s+1)-y_{1}(s)\right|<\ln l_{+}+\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega:=H_{12} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.5) and (3.13) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{b}_{1} \omega \geq \frac{\bar{c} \omega \exp \left\{y_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right\}}{f\left(\ln l_{+}+\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right)}  \tag{3.14}\\
\bar{b}_{1} \omega \leq \bar{a}_{1} \omega \exp \left[\ln l_{+}+\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]+\frac{\bar{c} \omega \exp \left\{y_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right\}}{a} . \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (3.14) that $y_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \leq \ln \left\{\frac{\bar{b}_{1}}{\bar{c}} f\left(\ln l_{+}+\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right)\right\}$. This, combined with (3.7), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2}(k) & \leq y_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}\left|y_{2}(s+1)-y_{2}(s)\right|  \tag{3.16}\\
& <\ln \left\{\frac{\bar{b}_{1}}{\bar{c}} f\left(\ln l_{+}+\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right)\right\}+\left(\bar{B}_{2}+\bar{b}_{2}\right) \omega:=H_{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, because of (H2), it follows from (3.15) that

$$
y_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \geq \ln \frac{a\left\{\bar{b}_{1}-\bar{a}_{1} l_{+} \exp \left[\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]\right\}}{\bar{c}}
$$

This, combined with (3.7) again, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2}(k) & \geq y_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-\sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1}\left|y_{2}(s+1)-y_{2}(s)\right|  \tag{3.17}\\
& >\ln \frac{a\left\{\bar{b}_{1}-\bar{a}_{1} l_{+} \exp \left[\left(\bar{B}_{1}+\bar{b}_{1}\right) \omega\right]\right\}}{\bar{c}}-\left(\bar{B}_{2}+\bar{b}_{2}\right) \omega:=H_{21} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.16) and (3.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{k \in I_{\omega}}\left|y_{2}(k)\right|<\max \left\{\left|H_{21}\right|,\left|H_{22}\right|\right\}:=H_{2} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\ln l_{ \pm}, \ln u_{ \pm}, H_{12}$ and $H_{2}$ are independent of $\lambda$.
Now, let's consider $Q N y$ with $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Note that

$$
Q N\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)^{T}=\left(\bar{b}_{1}-\bar{a}_{1} \exp \left(y_{1}\right)-\frac{\bar{c} \exp \left(y_{2}\right)}{f\left(y_{1}\right)},-\bar{b}_{2}+\frac{\bar{a}_{2} \exp \left(y_{1}\right)}{f\left(y_{1}\right)}\right)^{T}
$$

Because of (H1) and (H2), we can show that $Q N\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)^{T}=0$ has two distinct solutions $\widetilde{y}=\left(\ln y_{-}, \ln \frac{\left(\bar{b}_{1}-\bar{a}_{1} y_{-}\right) f\left(\ln y_{-}\right)}{\bar{c}}\right)$ and $\widehat{y}=\left(\ln y_{+}, \ln \frac{\left(\bar{b}_{1}-\bar{a}_{1} y_{+}\right) f\left(\ln y_{+}\right)}{\bar{c}}\right)$. Choose $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C>\max \left\{\left|\ln \frac{\left(\bar{b}_{1}-\bar{a}_{1} y_{-}\right) f\left(\ln y_{-}\right)}{\bar{c}}\right|,\left|\ln \frac{\left(\bar{b}_{1}-\bar{a}_{1} y_{+}\right) f\left(\ln y_{+}\right)}{\bar{c}}\right|\right\} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{1}=\left\{y=\left(y_{1}(k), y_{2}(k)\right) \in X: y_{1}(k) \in\left(\ln l_{-}, \ln u_{-}\right), \max _{k \in I_{\omega}}\left|y_{2}(k)\right|<H_{2}+C\right\}, \\
\Omega_{2}=\left\{y=\left(y_{1}(k), y_{2}(k)\right) \in X: \min _{k \in I_{\omega}} y_{1}(k) \in\left(\ln l_{-}, \ln l_{+}\right),\right. \\
\left.\max _{k \in I_{\omega}} y_{1}(k) \in\left(\ln u_{+}, H_{12}\right), \max _{k \in I_{\omega}}\left|y_{2}(k)\right|<H_{2}+C\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then both $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are bounded open subsets of $X$. It follows from (3.1) and (3.19) that $\widetilde{y} \in \Omega_{1}$ and $\widehat{y} \in \Omega_{2}$. With the help of (3.1), (3.11)-(3.13) and (3.18)(3.19), it is easy to that $\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2}=\phi$ and $\Omega_{i}$ satisfies the requirement (a) Lemma 3.1 for $i=1,2$. Moreover, $Q N y \neq 0$ for $y \in \partial \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$. A direct computation gives

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left\{J Q N, \Omega_{i} \cap \operatorname{ker} L, 0\right\}=(-1)^{i+1} \neq 0
$$

Here, $J$ is taken as the identity mapping since $\operatorname{Im} Q=\operatorname{ker} L$. So far we have proved that $\Omega_{i}$ satisfies all the assumptions in Lemma 3.1. Hence, (3.3) has at least two $\omega$-periodic solutions $\left\{y^{*}(k)\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{\dagger}(k)\right\}$ with $y^{*}(k) \in \operatorname{Dom} L \cap \bar{\Omega}_{1}$ and $y^{\dagger}(k) \in \operatorname{Dom} L \cap \bar{\Omega}_{2}$. Obviously, $y^{*}$ and $y^{\dagger}$ are different. Let $x_{i}^{*}(k)=\exp \left(y_{i}^{*}(k)\right)$ and $x_{i}^{\dagger}(k)=\exp \left(y_{i}^{\dagger}(k)\right), i=1,2$. Then by $(3.2), x^{*}(k)=\left(x_{1}^{*}(k), x_{2}^{*}(k)\right)^{T}$ and $x^{\dagger}(k)=\left(x_{1}^{\dagger}(k), x_{2}^{\dagger}(k)\right)^{T}$ are two different positive $\omega$-periodic solutions of (2.3). This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (10371034), the Foundation for University Excellent Teacher by Chinese Ministry of Education, the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (No.[2002]78), was also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 60373067, the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, China under Grant BK2003053 and BK2003001, Qing-Lan Engineering Project of Jiangsu Province, the Foundation of Southeast University, Nanjing, China under grant XJ030714, was also supported by scientific research Foundation of Hunan provincial education department(01C009).

## References

[1] R. Arditi and L.R. Ginzburg, Coupling in predatir-prey dynamics: Ratio-dependence, J. Theoretical Biology, vol. 139, pp. 311-326, 1989.
[2] R. Arditi, L. R. Ginzburg and H. R. Akcakaya, Variation in plankton densities among lakes: A case for ratio-dependent models, American Naturalist, vol. 138, pp. 1287-1296, 1991.
[3] R. Arditi and H. Saiah, Empirical evidence of the role of heterogeneity in ratio- dependent consumption, Ecology, vol. 73, pp. 1544-1551, 1992.
[4] R. P. Agarwal, Difference Equations and Inequalities: Theory, Methods and Applications, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 228, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
[5] H. L. Akcakaya, Population cycles of mammals: Evidence for a ratio-dependent predation hypothesis, Eco. Monogr., vol. pp. 119-142, 1992.
[6] E. Beretta \& Y. Kuang, Global analysis in some delayed ratio-dependent predator-prey systems, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 381-408, 1998.
[7] A. A. Berryman, The origins and evolution of predator-prey theory, Ecology, vol. 73, pp. 1530-1535, 1992.
[8] Y. chen, Multiple periodic solutions of delayed predatorCprey systems with type IV functional responses, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, In Press.
[9] M. Fan \& K. Wang, Periodic solutions of a discrete time non-autonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey system, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, vol. 35, pp. 951-961, 2002.
[10] M. Fan \& K. Wang, Periodicity in a delayed ratio-dependent predator-prey system, J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 262, no. 1, pp. 179-190, 2001.
[11] H. I. Freedman and R. M. Mathsen, Persistence in ratio-dependent systems with ratiodependent predator influence, Bull. Math. Biol., vol. 55, pp. 817-827, 1993.
[12] H. I. Freedman and J. Wu, Periodic solutions of single species models with periodic delay, SIAM J. Math. Anal., vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 689-701, 1992.
[13] H. I. Freedman, Deterministic Mathematical Models in Population Ecology, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1980.
[14] R. E. Gaines and J. L. Mawhin, Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
[15] L. R. Ginzburg and H. R. Akcakaya, Consequences of ratio-dependent predation for steady state properties of ecosystems, Ecology, vol. 73, pp. 1536-1543, 1992.
[16] A. P. Gutierrez, The physiological basis of ratio-dependent predator-prey theory: A metabolic pool model of Nicholson's blowflies as an example, Ecology, vol. 73, pp. 1552-1563, 1992.
[17] B. S. Goh, Management and Analysis of Biological Populations, Elsevier Scientific, The Netherlands, 1980.
[18] C. Jost, C. Arino \& R. Arditi, About deterministic extinction in ratio-dependent predatorprey models, Bull. Math. Biol., vol. 61, pp. 19-32, 1999.
[19] M. Kot, Elements of mathematical ecology, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001.
[20] Y. Kuang, Rich dynamics of Gause-type ratio-dependent predator-prey systems, Fields Institute Communications, vol. 21, pp. 325-337, 1999.
[21] Y. Kuang \& E. Beretta, Global qualitative analysis of a ratio-dependent predator-prey systems, J. Math. Biol., vol. 36, pp. 389-406, 1998.
[22] Y. Kuang, Delay differential equations with applications in population dynamics, Academic Press, New York, 1993.
[23] Y. Li, Periodic solutions of a periodic delay predator-prey system, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 127, pp. 1331, 1999.
[24] Y. Li \& y. Kuang, Periodic solutions of periodic delay Lotka-Volterra equations and systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 255, no. 1, pp. 260-280, 2001.
[25] P. Lundberg and J. M. Fryxell, Expected population density versus productivity in ratiodependent and predator-prey models,American Naturalist, vol. 147, pp. 153-161, 1995.
[26] J. D. Murry, Mathematical Biology, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
[27] J. Wiener, Differential equations with piecewise constant delays, Trends in theory and practice of nonlinear differential equations, In Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 90, Dekker, New York, 1984.
[28] R. Y. Zhang, Z. C. Wang, Y. Chen and J. Wu, Periodic solutions of a single species discrete population model with periodic harvest/stock, Computers and Mathematicals with Applications, vol. 39, pp. 77-90, 2000.

Zhigang Liu
Department of Mathematics, Xiangnan University, Chenzhou, Hunan 423000, China E-mail address: lzglzglzg4359@sina.com

Anping Chen
Department of Mathematics, Xiangnan University, Chenzhou, Hunan 423000, China
E-mail address: anping_chen@hotmail.com
Jinde Cao
Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China
E-mail address: jdcao@seu.edu.cn
Fengde Chen
Department of Mathematics, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China E-mail address: fdchen@fzu.edu.cn


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 39A11, 92B05.
    Key words and phrases. Periodic solution, delayed predator-prey, coincidence degree, type IV functional response, non-autonomous difference equation.
    © 2004 Texas State University-San Marcos.
    Submitted September 2, 2003. Published January 2, 2004.

