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# EXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF SECOND-ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

XIAO-BAO SHU, YUAN-TONG XU

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbSTRACT. By means of variational structure and } Z_{2} \text { group index theory, we } \\
& \text { obtain multiple solutions for the second-order differential equation } \\
& \qquad \frac{d}{d t}\left(p(t) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+q(t) u+f(t, u)=0, \quad 0<t<1 \\
& \text { subject to one of the following two sets of boundary conditions: } \\
& \qquad u^{\prime}(0)=u(1)+u^{\prime}(1)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction

Erbe and Mathsen [6] study the boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(r u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q u=\lambda f(t, u), \quad 0<t<1, \\
& \alpha u(0)-\beta u^{\prime}(0)=0=\gamma u(1)+\delta u^{\prime}(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a parameter, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ and $\alpha \delta+\alpha \gamma+\beta \gamma>0, f \in C((0,1) \times$ $R, R), r \in C([0,1],(0, \infty))$ and $q \in C([0,1],[0, \infty))$.

In this paper we are interested in the study of second-order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(p(t) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+q(t) u+f(t, u)=0, \quad 0<t<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to one of the following two sets of boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(0)=0=\gamma u(1)+u^{\prime}(1) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u(1)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of variational structure and $Z_{2}$ group index theory, we obtain multiple solutions of boundary-value problems for (1.1) and lower bound estimate of number for the solutions.

[^0]A critical point of $f$ is a point $x_{0}$ where $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\theta$ and a critical value is a number $c$ such that $f\left(x_{0}\right)=c$ for some critical point $x_{0}$. Next, we recall the definition of the Palais-Smale condition.
Definition Let $E$ be real Banach space and $f \in C^{1}(E, R)$. We say that $f$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition if every sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset E$ such that $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ has a converging subsequence.

Let $K=\left\{x \in E: f^{\prime}(x)=\theta\right\}, K_{c}=\left\{x \in E: f^{\prime}(x)=\theta, f(x)=c\right\}$ and $f_{c}=\{x \in E: f(x) \leq c\}$. The class of subsets of $X \backslash\{\theta\} \subset E$ closed and symmetric with respect to the origin will be denoted by $\sum$. Next, we recall the concept of genus.
Definition Let $E$ be a real Banach space, and $\Sigma=\{A: A \subset E \backslash\{\theta\}$ is a closed, symmetric set $\}$. Define $\gamma: \Sigma \rightarrow Z^{+} \cup\{+\infty\}$ as follows
$\gamma(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\min \left\{n \in Z: \text { there exists an odd continuous map } \varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{\theta\}\right\} ; \\ 0 \text { If } A=\emptyset ; \\ +\infty \text { If there is no odd continuous map } \varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{\theta\} \text { for } n \in Z .\end{array}\right.$
Then we say $\gamma$ is the genus of $\sum$. Denote $i_{1}(f)=\lim _{a \rightarrow-0} \gamma\left(f_{a}\right)$ and $i_{2}(f)=$ $\lim _{a \rightarrow-\infty} \gamma\left(f_{a}\right)$.

We know that if $A \in \sum$ and if there exists an odd homeomorphism of $n$-sphere onto $A$ then $\gamma(A)=n+1$; If $X$ is a Hilbert space, and $E$ is an $n$-dimensional subspace of $X$, and $A \in \sum$ is such that $A \cap E^{\perp}=\emptyset$ then $\gamma(A) \leq n$.

The following Lemmas play an important role in proving our main results.
Lemma 1.1 ( $5 \mathbf{5})$. Let $f \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ be an even functional which satisfies the Palais-Smale condition and $f(\theta)=0$. Then
(P1) If there exists an m-dimensional subspace $X$ of $E$ and $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in X \cap S_{\rho}} f(x)<0,
$$

then we have $i_{1}(f) \geq m$
(P2) If there exists a $j$-dimensional subspace $\tilde{X}$ of $E$ such that

$$
\inf _{x \in \widetilde{X}^{+}} f(x)>-\infty,
$$

we have $i_{2}(f) \leq j$
If $m \geq j$, (P1) and (P2) hold, then $f$ has at least $2(m-j)$ distinct critical points.
Lemma 1.2 (9). Let $f \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be an even functional which satisfies the Palais-Smale condition and $f(\theta)=0$. If
(F1) There exists $\rho>0, \alpha>0$ and a finite dimensional subspace $E$ of $X$, such that $\left.f\right|_{E^{\perp} \cap S_{\rho}} \geq \alpha$
(F2) For all finite dimensional subspace $\widetilde{E}$ of $X$, there is a $r=r(\widetilde{E})>0$, such that $f(x) \leq 0$ for $x \in \widetilde{E} \backslash B_{r}$
Then $f$ possesses an unbounded sequence of critical values.

## 2. Main Results

In this paper, we use Lemma 1.1 and 1.2 to study the boundary-value problems (1.1)- 1.2 and (1.1)- 1.3

Theorem 2.1. Let $f, p(t)$ and $q(t)$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $p(t) \in C[0,1]$ and $0<m \leq p(t) \leq M$ for $t \in[0,1]$
(ii) $f \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$
(iii) $\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}=\xi(t)>0$ uniformly for $t \in[0,1], \lambda=\min _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \xi(t)$
(iv) There exists $\alpha>0$ such that $f(t, \alpha) \leq 0$
(v) $f(t, u)$ is odd in $u$
(vi) $-\frac{\lambda}{2}<q(t)+p(t) \leq 0$, for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Then (1.1)-1.2, has at least $2 n$ nontrivial solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$ whenever

$$
2 n^{2}(M+p(1)|\gamma|)\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)<\lambda \leq 2(n+1)^{2}(M+p(1)|\gamma|)\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)
$$

and $\gamma>-\frac{m}{2 p(1)}$
Proof. Set $h:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
h(t, u)= \begin{cases}f(t, \alpha) & \text { if } u>\alpha \\ f(t, u) & \text { if }|u| \leq \alpha \\ f(t,-\alpha) & \text { if } u<-\alpha\end{cases}
$$

Let us consider the functional defined on $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2} p(t)\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} q(t)|u(t)|^{2}-G(t, u(t))\right] d t+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u^{2}(1) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $G(t, u)=\int_{0}^{u} h(t, v) d v$. The norm $\|\cdot\|$ and inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ can be defined respectively by

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2} ; \quad(u, v)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)+u(t) v(t)\right) d t
$$

Thus $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)=W_{0}^{1,2}(0,1)$ will be a Hilbert space.
Let $E=H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, since $h(t, u)$ is an odd continuous map in $u$, we know that $I \in C^{1}(E, R)$ is even in $u$ and $I(\theta)=0$.

First, we will show that the critical points of the $I(u)$ are the solutions of 1.1 $(1.2)$ in $C^{2}[0,1]$. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u+s v)= & I(u)+s\left\{\int_{0}^{1}\left[p(t) u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)-q(t) u(t) v(t)-h(t, u+\theta(t) s v) v(t)\right] d t\right. \\
& +p(1) \gamma u(1) v(1)\}+\frac{s^{2}}{2}\left\{\int _ { 0 } ^ { 1 } \left(p(t)\left|v^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-q(t)|v(t)|^{2}\right) d t+p(1) \gamma v^{2}(1)\right\} \quad \forall u, v \in E, 0<\theta(t)<1 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We have, for all $u, v \in E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I^{\prime}(u), v\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[p(t) u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)-q(t) u(t) v(t)-h(t, u(t)) v\right] d t+p(1) \gamma u(1) v(1) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $I^{\prime}(u)=0$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left[p(t) u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)-q(t) u(t) v(t)-h(t, u(t)) v\right] d t+p(1) \gamma u(1) v(1)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in E$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} p(t) u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d t}\left(p(t) \frac{d u}{d t}\right) v d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} p(t) u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1} p(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t) v(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1} p^{\prime}(t) u^{\prime}(t) v(t) d t  \tag{2.5}\\
& =p(1) v(1) u^{\prime}(1)-p(0) u^{\prime}(0) v(0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

So, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} v\left[\frac{d}{d t}\left(p(t) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+q(t) u(t)+h(t, u(t))\right] d t \\
& =p(1) v(1)\left(u^{\prime}(1)+\gamma u(1)\right)-p(0) u^{\prime}(0) v(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(p(t) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+q(t) u(t)+h(t, u(t))=0
$$

Thus the critical points of $I(u)$ are the solutions of $1.1-(1.2)$ in $C^{2}[0,1]$.
For convenience, we transform (2.1) into

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u)= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2} p(t)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))|u(t)|^{2}-G(t, u(t))\right] d t+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u^{2}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

By condition (iv) of Theorem 2.1, we have $u h(u) \leq 0$ when $|u(t)| \geq \alpha$. So

$$
\int_{0}^{1} G(t, u)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{u} h(t, v) d v d t \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha}|h(t, v)| d v d t
$$

Denote by $c$ the value of $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha}|h(t, v)| d v d t$. On the other hand, $q(t)+p(t) \leq 0$, then $-\int_{0}^{1}(q(t)+p(t))|u(t)|^{2} d t \geq 0$. So, we have

$$
I(u) \geq \frac{m}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u^{2}(1) \quad \forall u \in E .
$$

Next, we show that $I(u)$ has lower bound. We divide our proof into two parts
(I) When $\gamma \geq 0$, it is easy to see

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \frac{m}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c \quad \forall u \in E \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) When $-\frac{m}{2 p(1)}<\gamma<0$, we divide again our proof into two parts in order to show $I(u)$ has lower bound: (a) If there exist $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $u\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, then

$$
|u(1)|=\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{1} u^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq \sqrt{2}\|u\|
$$

So, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}(m+2 \gamma p(1))\|u\|^{2}-c \quad \forall u \in E \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If does not exist $t_{1} \in[0,1]$ such that $u\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, then $u(t)>0$ or $u(t)<0$, for all $t \in[0,1]$. We might as well let $u(t)>0$ for all $t \in[0,1]$.

When $\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} u(t) \leq 1$, we have $u(1) \leq 1$ and

$$
I(u) \geq \frac{m}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c+\frac{1}{2} \gamma p(1)
$$

i.e., $I(u)$ has lower bound.

When $\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|u(t)|>1$, since $u \in C^{2}[0,1]$, there exist $t_{2} \in[0,1]$ such that $u\left(t_{2}\right)=\min _{0 \leq t \leq 1} u(t)$. So

$$
u(1)-u\left(t_{2}\right)=\left|\int_{t_{2}}^{1} u^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(1) & \leq u\left(t_{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} u^{2}(t) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} u^{2}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\sqrt{2}\|u\|
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the proof of (I), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}(m+2 \gamma p(1))\|u\|^{2}-c . \quad \forall u \in E . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (a) and (b), it is easy to see $I(u)$ has lower bound when $-\frac{m}{2 p(1)}<\gamma<0$. From (I) and (II), we get that $I(u)$ has lower bound for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, i.e., $i_{2}(I)=0$.

Next, we verify that $I(u)$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Suppose that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ with and

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1} \leq I\left(u_{n}\right) \leq c_{2}  \tag{2.9}\\
I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup \left\{\int_{0}^{1}\left[p(t) u_{n}^{\prime} v^{\prime}-q(t) u_{n} v-h\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) v\right] d t+\gamma p(1) u_{n}(1) v(1)\right\} \rightarrow 0  \tag{2.11}\\
\text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall u, v \in E,\|v\|=1
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\left\|z_{n}\right\|=\left\|I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|$. Let us denote $\varepsilon_{n}=\left\|z_{n}\right\|$, then $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Replace $v$ by $u_{n}$ in above equality. By (2.3) and 2.11), we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left[p(t)\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}-q(t)\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}\right] d t=\int_{0}^{1} h\left(t, u_{n}\right) u_{n}(t) d t+\left\langle z_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle
$$

The above equality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} p(t)\left[\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}\right] d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}+h\left(t, u_{n}\right) u_{n}(t)\right] d t+\left\langle z_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

So, there exist $\xi \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\xi)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\int_{0}^{1}\left[(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}+h\left(t, u_{n}\right) u_{n}(t)\right] d t+\left\langle z_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ satisfying condition 2.9 and 2.10 is bounded. We divide again our proof into two parts.
(c) When $\gamma \geq 0$, by (2.6), one gets

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{m}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)+c\right) \leq \frac{2}{m}\left(c_{2}+c\right)
$$

i.e., $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}\left(c_{2}+c\right)}$.
(d) When $-\frac{m}{2 p(1)}<\gamma<0$, by the above proof and 2.7) and 2.8, we have

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{m+2 \gamma p(1)}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)+c\right) \leq \frac{2}{1+2 \gamma p(1)}\left(c_{2}+c\right)
$$

or

$$
\|u\|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{m}\left[c_{2}+c-\frac{1}{2} \gamma p(1)\right]
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{m+2 \gamma p(1)}\left(c_{2}+c\right)} \quad \text { or } \quad\|u\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}\left(c_{2}+c-\frac{1}{2} \gamma p(1)\right)}
$$

By (c) and (d), it is easy to see $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in the space $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Reflexivity of $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ implies that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ which is weak convergent in $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. We still denote it by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and suppose that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the one hand, by boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and (2.12), we have

$$
p(\xi)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{1}\left[(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}+h\left(t, u_{n}\right) u_{n}(t)\right] d t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Note that the weak convergent of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ implies the uniform convergence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $C([0,1], R)$ [8, Proposition 1.2$]$. Hence

$$
p(\xi)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1}\left[(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{2}+h\left(t, u_{0}\right) u_{0}(t)\right] d t \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

This means that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges in $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. So the P.S. condition holds.
Thirdly, we show that Theorem 2.1 holds by Lemma 1.1. Denote $\beta_{k}(t)=$ $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{k \pi} \cos k \pi t, k=1,2,3, \ldots, n, \ldots$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\beta_{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t=\frac{1}{k^{2} \pi^{2}}, \quad \int_{0}^{1}\left|\beta_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t=1
$$

Definite $n$-dimensional linear space

$$
E_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\beta_{1}(t), \beta_{2}(t), \ldots \beta_{n}(t)\right\}
$$

It is obvious that $E_{n}$ is a symmetric set. Suppose $\rho>0$, then

$$
E_{n} \cap S_{\rho}=\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} \beta_{k}: \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{k^{2} \pi^{2}}\right)=\rho^{2}\right\}
$$

Let $g(t, u)=\frac{1}{\xi(t)} h(t, u)-u$, by condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1. $\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(u)}{u}=0$, uniformly for $t \in[0,1]$. We choose $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{n^{2}}-\frac{2(M+p(1)|\gamma|)\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)}{\lambda}
$$

By condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1, there exist $\delta>0$ such that $|g(t, u)| \leq \varepsilon|u|$ whenever $|u| \leq \delta$. We can choose $\rho$ such that $0<\rho<\min \{\alpha, \delta\}$, and have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} u(t) & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k \pi}\left|b_{k}\right| \leq\|u\|=\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} \beta_{k}\right\| \\
& =\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{k^{2} \pi^{2}}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}=\rho<\min \{\alpha, \delta\}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $u \in E_{n} \cap S_{\rho}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(t, u) & \left.=\xi(t) \int_{0}^{u}[v+g(t, v))\right] d v \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \xi(t)|u(t)|^{2}+\xi(t) \int_{0}^{u} g(t, v) d v \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \xi(t)|u(t)|^{2}-\xi(t) \int_{0}^{u} \varepsilon v d v \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \xi(t)(1-\varepsilon)|u(t)|^{2} \\
& \geq \lambda(1-\varepsilon)|u(t)|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $q(t)+p(t) \geq-\frac{\lambda}{2}$, we get that

$$
-\int_{0}^{1}(q(t)+p(t))|u(t)|^{2} d t \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{b_{k}^{2}}{k^{2} \pi^{2}}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u)= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2} p(t)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))|u(t)|^{2}\right] d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{1} G(t, u) d t+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u^{2}(1) \\
\leq & M \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(1-\varepsilon)|u(t)|^{2}\right] d t \\
& +\frac{\lambda}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{b_{k}^{2}}{k^{2} \pi^{2}}+\frac{p(1)}{2}|\gamma|| | u \|_{C}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{M}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{k^{2} \pi^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \lambda(1-2 \varepsilon)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{b_{k}^{2}}{k^{2} \pi^{2}}\right)+\frac{p(1)}{2}|\gamma|\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{2}\left|b_{k}\right|}{k \pi}\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{M+p(1)|\gamma|}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{k^{2} \pi^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \lambda(1-2 \varepsilon) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{b_{k}^{2}}{k^{2} \pi^{2}} \\
< & \frac{M+p(1)|\gamma|}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \lambda(1-\varepsilon) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{b_{k}^{2}}{n^{2} \pi^{2}} \\
\leq & \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\frac{M+p(1)|\gamma|}{\lambda} \frac{\pi^{2}+1}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 n^{2} \pi^{2}}+\varepsilon\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{\lambda}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{(M+p(1)|\gamma|)\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}+\varepsilon\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{\lambda}{4 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{2(M+p(1)|\gamma|)\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{n^{2}}+\varepsilon\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}^{2}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 1.1 and the above result, we have $i_{1}(I) \geq n$ and $I$ has $2 n$ distinct critical points, i.e., boundary-value problem $\sqrt{1.1}-(\sqrt{1.2}$ has at least $2 n$ nontrivial solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$.

Next we consider the boundary-value problem (1.1)-1.3. Similar to Theorem 2.1, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f, p(t)$ and $q(t)$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $p(t) \in C[0,1]$ and $0<m \leq p(t) \leq M$ for $t \in[0,1]$
(ii) $f \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$
(iii) $\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}=\xi(t)>0$ uniformly for $t \in[0,1], \lambda=\min _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \xi(t)$
(iv) There exists $\alpha>0$, such that $f(t, \alpha) \leq 0$
(v) $f(t, u)$ is odd in $u$
(vi) $-\frac{\lambda}{2}<q(t)+p(t) \leq 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Then (1.1)-1.3 has at least $2 n$ nontrivial solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$ whenever

$$
2 n^{2} M\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)<\lambda \leq 2(n+1)^{2} M\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)
$$

Next, we consider the boundary-value problem (1.1)- 1.2 .
Theorem 2.3. Let $f, p(t)$ and $q(t)$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $p(t) \in C[0,1]$ and $0<m \leq p(t) \leq M$ for $t \in[0,1]$
(ii) $f \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$
(iii) There exists $T$ such that $\lim \sup _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} \leq T$
(iv) There exists $\theta>\frac{2 M}{m} \geq 2$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
0<G(t, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(t, v) d v \leq \frac{1}{\theta} u f(t, u), \quad \forall|u| \geq \alpha
$$

(v) $f(t, u)$ is odd in $u$
(vi) $q(t) \in C[0,1], q(t)+p(t) \leq 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

If $\gamma>-\frac{m \theta-2 M}{2(\theta-2) p(1)}$, then 1.1$)-1.2$ has infinite nontrivial solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$.
Proof. It is easy to see that for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$, the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2} p(t)\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} q(t)|u(t)|^{2} G(t, u(t))\right] d t+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u^{2}(1) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined. The solutions of boundary-value problems $\sqrt{1.1})-(1.2)$ are the critical points of the functional $I(u)$. Note that $I(u)$ is equivalent to
$I(u)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2} p(t)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))|u(t)|^{2}-\lambda G(t, u)\right] d t+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u^{2}(1)$
We show that Theorem 2.3 holds by using Lemma 1.2 . Since $f(t, u)$ is an odd continuous map in $u$, we know that $I \in C^{1}(E, R)$ is even in $u$ and $I(\theta)=0$. Moreover, As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, one gets

$$
\left.\left(I^{\prime}(u), v\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[p(t) u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)-q(t) u(t) v(t)\right)-f(t, u) v(t)\right] d t+\gamma p(1) u(1) v(1)
$$

for all $u, v \in E$. The above equality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} f(t, u) v(t) d t= & \int_{0}^{1} p(t)\left(u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)+u(t) v(t)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}(p(t)+q(t)) u(t) v(t) d t-\left(I^{\prime}(u), v\right)+p(1) \gamma u(1) v(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we verify that $I(u)$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Suppose that $u_{n} \subset E$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1} \leq I\left(u_{n}\right) \leq c_{2}  \tag{2.14}\\
I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, we show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of satisfying 2.14 and 2.15 is bounded. Denote $E_{1}=$ $\left\{t \in[0,1]\left|\left|u_{n}(t)\right| \geq \alpha\right\}, E_{2}=[0,1] \backslash E_{1}\right.$. We divide our proof into two parts .
(A) When $-\frac{m \theta-2 M}{2(\theta-2) p(1)}<\gamma<0$, by (iv), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(u_{n}\right)= & \int_{0}^{1} \frac{p(t)}{2}\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{1} G\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1) \\
\geq & \frac{m}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{E_{1}} G\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t-\int_{E_{2}} G\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\geq & \frac{m}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{E_{1}} \frac{1}{\theta} u_{n}(t) f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t-c_{3} \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\geq & \frac{m}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\theta} u_{n}(t) f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t-c_{4} \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
= & \frac{m}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(t)\left(\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t-\left(I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+p(1) \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)\right)-c_{4}+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \int_{0}^{1}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\geq & \left(\frac{m}{2}-\frac{M}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\theta}\left(I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)-c_{4}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) p(1) \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1) \\
\geq & \left(\frac{m}{2}-\frac{M}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\|-c_{4}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) p(1) \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remarks: (1) for the rest of this article, $c_{i}>0$. (2) The above equality makes use of $-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \int_{0}^{1}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \geq 0$

To show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, we divide again our proof into two parts.
(I') When $\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|u(t)| \leq 1$, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have $u^{2}(1) \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I\left(u_{n}\right) \geq\left(\frac{m}{2}-\frac{M}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\|-c_{4}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \gamma \\
& \left(\frac{m}{2}-\frac{M}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+c_{4}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\theta>\frac{2 M}{m}, 2.14$ and 2.15, it is not difficulty to see that $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded.
(II') When $\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|u(t)|>1$, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have $u^{2}(1) \leq$ $2\|u\|^{2}$ and
$\left[\left(\frac{m}{2}-\frac{M}{\theta}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) 2 p(1) \gamma\right]\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+c_{4} \leq c_{5}\left\|u_{n}\right\|+c_{5}$.
Since $\theta>\frac{2 M}{m} \geq 2$ and $\gamma>-\frac{m \theta-2 M}{2(\theta-2) p(1)}$, it follows that $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded. From
(I') and (II'), we get that $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded when $-\frac{m \theta-2 M}{2(\theta-2) p(1)}<\gamma<0$.
(B) when $\gamma>0$, as in the proof of (A), it is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(u_{n}\right)= & \int_{0}^{1} \frac{p(t)}{2}\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{1} G\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t\right. \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\geq & \frac{m}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\theta} u_{n}(t) f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\geq & \left(\frac{m}{2}-\frac{M}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\|-c_{4}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) p(1) \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1) \\
\geq & \left(\frac{m}{2}-\frac{M}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\|-c_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

(We remark that the above equality use $\left.\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) p(1) \gamma u^{2}(1) \geq 0\right)$ and

$$
\left(\frac{m}{2}-\frac{M}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+c_{4} \leq c_{5}\left\|u_{n}\right\|+c_{5} .
$$

So, we get that $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded when $\gamma>0$.
From (A) and (B), we obtain that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ satisfying $(2.14)$ and 2.15 is bounded. So the P.S. condition holds.

Thirdly, we show that Theorem 2.3 holds by using Lemma 1.2 First, we verify condition (F1) of Lemma 1.2 Let $\beta_{j}(t)=\cos j t, j=1,2, \ldots$ Consider the $n$ dimensional subspace

$$
E_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\beta_{1}(t), \beta_{2}(t), \ldots, \beta_{n}(t)\right\}
$$

and let $X=V^{\perp}$. By (ii), we have $\delta>0$ such that $|f(t, u(t))| \leq T|u|$, whenever $|u| \leq \delta$.

Let $\rho$ with $\rho=\delta$. For any $u \in S_{\rho} \cap X$, we have $\|u\|_{C} \leq\|u\|=\rho=\delta$. From

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|u(t)|^{2} d t \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t
$$

it is easy to see

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|u(t)|^{2} d t \leq \frac{\rho^{2}}{n^{2}+1}
$$

So, when $\gamma>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(u_{n}\right)= & \int_{0}^{1} \frac{p(t)}{2}\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{1} G\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \frac{m}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{1} G(t, u(t)) d t \\
& \geq \frac{m}{2} \rho^{2}-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{|u(t)|} T v d v\right) d t \\
& \geq \frac{m}{2} \rho^{2}-\frac{T}{2\left(n^{2}+1\right)} \rho^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m-\frac{T}{n^{2}+1}\right) \rho^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in the above equality, we use $n^{2}>\max \left\{\frac{T}{m}, \frac{T}{m+p(1) \gamma}\right\}$ and

$$
-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t>0
$$

When $-\frac{m \theta-2 M}{2(\theta-2) p(1)}<\gamma<0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(u_{n}\right)= & \int_{0}^{1} \frac{p(t)}{2}\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{1} G\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\geq & \frac{m}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{1} G(t, u(t)) d t+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u_{n}^{2}(1) \\
\geq & \frac{m+p(1) \gamma}{2} \rho^{2}-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{|u(t)|} T v d v\right) d t \\
\geq & \frac{m+p(1) \gamma}{2} \rho^{2}-\frac{T}{2\left(n^{2}+1\right)} \rho^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(m+p(1) \gamma-\frac{T}{n^{2}+1}\right) \rho^{2}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the above equality uses $n^{2}>\max \left\{\frac{T}{m}, \frac{T}{m+p(1) \gamma}\right\}$.
We sum up the conclusions above to obtain that $I(u)>0$ for all $u \in S_{\rho} \cap X$, i.e., condition (F1) of Lemma 1.2 holds.

Finally, we verify condition (F2) of Lemma 1.2 . By (iv), one gets

$$
G(t, u(t)) \geq c_{7}|u|^{\theta}-c_{8} .
$$

For all finite dimensional subspace $E_{1}$ of $E$, there exist $c_{9}$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{1}|u(t)|^{\theta} d t\right)^{1 / \theta} \geq c_{9}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in E_{1}
$$

On the other hand, since $p(t) \in C^{1}[0,1], q(t) \in C[0,1]$ and $p(t)+q(t) \leq 0$, there exist a positive number $Q$ such that $-Q=\min _{0 \leq t \leq 1} p(t)+q(t)$, so

$$
-\int_{0}^{1}(p(t)+q(t))|u(t)|^{2} d t \leq Q \int_{0}^{1}|u(t)|^{2} d t<Q\|u\|^{2}
$$

When $u \in E_{1}$ and $-\frac{m \theta-2 M}{2(\theta-2) p(1)}<\gamma<0$, from the above result, it is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u)= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{p(t)}{2}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right)-G(t, u(t))\right] d t \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))|u(t)|^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{M+Q}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{1} G(t, u(t)) d t \\
& \leq \frac{M+Q}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{7} \int_{0}^{1}|u(t)|^{\theta} d t+c_{8} \\
& \leq \frac{M+Q}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{7} c_{9}^{\theta}\|u\|^{\theta}+c_{8} \\
& =\left(\frac{M+Q}{2}-c_{7} c_{9}^{\theta}\|u\|^{\theta-2}\right)\|u\|^{2}+c_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $u \in E_{1}$ and $\gamma \geq 0$, as in the proof of (I') and (II'), we have the following two results.
(1) when $\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|u(t)| \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u)= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{p(t)}{2}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right)-G(t, u(t))\right] d t \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))|u(t)|^{2} d t \\
\leq & \frac{M+Q}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{7} \int_{0}^{1}|u(t)|^{\theta} d t+c_{8}+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma \\
\leq & \frac{M+Q}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{7} c_{9}^{\theta}\|u\|^{\theta}+c_{8}+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma \\
= & \left(\frac{M+Q}{2}-c_{7} c_{9}^{\theta}\|u\|^{\theta-2}\right)\|u\|^{2}+c_{8}+\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) when $\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|u(t)|>1$, we have $u^{2}(1) \leq 2\|u\|^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u)= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{p(t)}{2}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right)-G(t, u(t))\right] d t \\
& +\frac{p(1)}{2} \gamma u^{2}(1)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(q(t)+p(t))|u(t)|^{2} d t \\
\leq & \frac{M+Q+2 \gamma}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{7} \int_{0}^{1}|u(t)|^{\theta} d t+c_{8} \\
\leq & \frac{M+Q+2 \gamma}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{7} c_{9}^{\theta}\|u\|^{\theta}+c_{8} \\
= & \left(\frac{M+Q+2 \gamma}{2}-c_{7} c_{9}^{\theta}\|u\|^{\theta-2}\right)\|u\|^{2}+c_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

We sum up the conclusions above to obtain that $I(u) \leq 0$, for all $u \in E_{1} \backslash B_{R}$ when $R=R\left(E_{1}\right)$ is adequately big, i.e., condition (F2) of Lemma 1.2 holds. So $I$ possesses infinite critical point, i.e. the boundary-value problem (1.1)- (1.2) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$.

Using a technique similar to the one above, we can show that the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f, p(t)$ and $q(t)$ be the function satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $p(t) \in C[0,1]$ and $0<m \leq p(t) \leq M$ for $t \in[0,1]$
(ii) $f \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$
(iii) There exists $T$ such that $\lim _{\sup }^{u \rightarrow 0}, \frac{f(t, u)}{u} \leq T$
(iv) There exists $\theta>\frac{2 M}{m} \geq 2$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
0<G(t, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(t, v) d v \leq \frac{1}{\theta} u f(t, u), \quad \forall|u| \geq \alpha
$$

(v) $f(t, u)$ is odd in $u$
(vi) $q(t) \in C[0,1], q(t)+p(t) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Then boundary-value problem (1.1)-1.3 has infinitely solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$.

## 3. Examples

Example 3.1. For $0<t<1$, consider the boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left((6+\sin t) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+(-100+\cos t) u+\left(1000\left(1+t^{2}\right) \sin u-10 u^{3}\right)=0  \tag{3.1}\\
u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1)+u^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that

$$
f(t, u)=1000\left(1+t^{2}\right) \sin u-10 u^{3}, \quad p(t)=6+\sin t, \quad q(t)=-100+\cos t
$$

So $f(t, u)$ satisfy conditions (ii) and (v) of Theorem 2.1. In addition,

$$
0<5 \leq p(t)=6+\sin t \leq 7 \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
$$

then (i) and (vi) of Theorem 2.1 hold. When $|u(t)|=4$, we have

$$
f(t, 4)=1000\left(1+t^{2}\right) \sin 4-10 \times 4^{3}<0
$$

i.e., (iv) of Theorem 2.1 holds. On the other that $\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}=1+t^{2}$ uniformly for $t \in[0,1], \lambda=\min _{0 \leq t \leq 1} 1+t^{2}=1000$, i.e., (iii) holds, and

$$
2 \times 1^{2} \times(13+\sin 1)\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)<\lambda<2 \times 2^{2} \times(13+\sin 1)\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)
$$

By Theorem 2.1 we have (3.1 has at least 2 nontrivial solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$.
Example 3.2. For $0<t<1$, consider boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left((6+\sin t) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+(-100+\cos t) u+\left(1000\left(1+t^{2}\right) \sin u-10 u^{3}\right)=0  \tag{3.2}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

As in Example 3.1, it is easy to verify all conditions of Theorem 2.2 hold and

$$
2 \times 2^{2} \times 7\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)<\lambda<2 \times 3^{2} \times 7\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)
$$

By Theorem 2.2, we have (3.2) has at least 4 nontrivial solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$.
Example 3.3. Consider the boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left((6+\sin t) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+\left(-9+t^{2}\right) u(t)+t\left(u^{3}(t)+u(t)\right)=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{3.3}\\
u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1)+u^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
f(t, u)=t\left(u^{3}(t)+u(t)\right), \quad p(t)=6+\sin t \quad q(t)=-9+t^{2}
$$

So, $f(t, u)$ satisfies conditions (ii) and (v) of Theorem 2.3. In addition

$$
\limsup _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, u)}{u}=\limsup _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{t\left(u^{3}+u\right)}{u}=1
$$

i.e., conditions (iii) of Theorem 2.3 hold. Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{u} f(t, v) d v=\int_{0}^{u} t\left(v^{3}+v\right) d v=t\left(\frac{1}{4} u^{4}+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3} u t\left(u^{3}+u\right) \quad \forall|u(t)|>\sqrt{2} \\
0<5 \leq p(t) \leq 7, \quad \theta=3>\frac{2 M}{m}=\frac{14}{5}
\end{gathered}
$$

So conditions (iv) holds. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$
p(t)+q(t)=6+\sin t+\left(-9+t^{2}\right)=-3+t^{2}+\sin t \leq 0 \quad \forall t \in[0,1]
$$

So conditions (i) and (vi) of Theorem 2.3 hold. By Theorem 2.3, we obtain that (3.3) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$.

Example 3.4. Consider boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left((6+\sin t) \frac{d u}{d t}\right)+\left(-9+t^{2}\right) u(t)+t\left(u^{3}(t)+u(t)\right)=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{3.4}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

As in Example 3.3, it is easy to verify that all conditions of Theorem 2.4 hold. By Theorem 2.4 we obtain that (3.4) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions in $C^{2}[0,1]$.
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