Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2004(2004), No. 29, pp. 1–16. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu (login: ftp)

PERIODIC SOLUTION AND GLOBAL EXPONENTIAL STABILITY FOR SHUNTING INHIBITORY DELAYED CELLULAR NEURAL NETWORKS

ANPING CHEN, JINDE CAO, & LIHONG HUANG

ABSTRACT. For a class of neural system with time-varying perturbations in the time-delayed state, this article studies the periodic solution and global robust exponential stability. New criteria concerning the existence of the periodic solution and global robust exponential stability are obtained by employing Young's inequality, Lyapunov functional, and some analysis techniques. At the same time, the global exponential stability of the equilibrium point of the system is obtained. Previous results are improved and generalized. Our results are shown to be more effective than the existing results. In addition, these results can be used for designing globally stable and periodic oscillatory neural networks. Our results are easy to be checked and applied in practice.

1. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of cellular neural networks(CNNs) and delayed cellular neural networks(DCNNs) have been investigated in recent years, due to their great potential in information processing systems. CNNs and DCNNs have been applied in solving problems such as image and signal processing, vision, pattern recognition and optimization. Many important results can be found in the references for this article.

It is known that the neural networks possess possibly three dynamic properties: convergence, oscillation and chaotic behavior. The first dynamic behavior has been widely studied, [1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 22, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40]. However, for the oscillator dynamic behavior, the study has stayed in a lower level. Only a few results have obtained in [7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. As for the chaotic dynamic property, the research advances continue to be slow.

In this paper, we study a class of shunting inhibitory type DCNNs, which was first proposed by Bouzerdoum and Pinter [3]. It has been applied to psychophysics, speech, perception, robotics, adaptive pattern recognition, vision and image processing [3, 4, 5, 6, 24, 25, 29, 30, 34]. So its dynamic behavior research has an important significance for theory and applications.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 34K13, 34K20, 92B20.

Key words and phrases. Periodic solutions, global exponential stability, Poincaré mapping, shunting inhibitory delay cellular neural networks, Lyapunov functionals.

^{©2004} Texas State University-San Marcos.

Submitted December 24, 2003. Published February 26, 2004.

We consider a two-dimensional grid of processing cells. Let C_{ij} denote the cell at the (i, j) position of the lattice, and let *r*-neighborhood $N_r(i, j)$ of C_{ij} be

$$N_r(i,j) = \{C_{kl} | \max |k-i|, |l-j| \le r, 1 \le k \le m; 1 \le l \le n\}.$$

In SICNNs, neighboring cells exert mutual inhibitory interaction of the shunting type. The dynamics of a cell C_{ij} are described by the following nonlinear ordinary differential equation [6],

$$\frac{dx_{ij}}{dt} = -a_{ij}x_{ij}(t) - \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} f(x_{kl}(t))x_{ij} + L_{ij}(t).$$

In the system above, x_{ij} is the activity of the cell C_{ij} , L_{ij} is the external input to C_{ij} , the constant $a_{ij} > 0$ represents a passive delay rate of the cell activity, $c_{ij}^{kl} \ge 0$ is the connection or coupling strength of postsynaptic activity of the cell transmitted to the cell C_{ij} , and the activation function $f(x_{kl})$ is a positive continuous function, representing the output or firing rate of the cell C_{kl} . When L_{ij} is a constant, the power and stability of the system have been researched in [3, 4, 5, 6, 24, 25, 29, 30, 34]. In [13, 40], we have studied the existence and global stability of almost periodic solution for the system above with delays. However, to best our knowledge, the periodic solution and global exponential stability are seldom discussed for the model. In this paper, we introduce the delays into the system, and consider the periodic solution and exponential stability of the SIDCNNs

$$\frac{dx_{ij}}{dt} = -a_{ij}x_{ij}(t) - \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl}))x_{ij} + L_{ij}(t), \qquad (1.1)$$

where $a_{ij} > 0$, $c_{ij}^{kl} \ge 0$. Here $L_{ij}(t)$ is a continuous periodic functions with period ω ; i.e., $L_{ij}(t+\omega) = L_{ij}(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We assume that the nonlinear system (1.1) satisfies the initial conditions

$$x_{ij}(s) = \varphi_{ij}(s), \quad s \in [-\tau, 0], \tag{1.2}$$

where $\tau = \max_{(i,j)} \{\tau_{ij}\}, \varphi = (\varphi_{11}, \dots, \varphi_{ij}, \dots, \varphi_{mn})^T \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$. The solution of system (1.1) through $(0, \varphi)$ is denoted by

$$x(t,\varphi) = (x_{11}(t,\varphi),\ldots,x_{ij}(t,\varphi),\ldots,x_{mn}(t,\varphi))^T.$$

Define $x_t(\varphi) = x(t+\theta, \varphi), \theta \in [-\tau, 0], t \ge 0$. Then $x_t(\varphi)$ is in $C = C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ the Banach space of continuous functions which map $[-\tau, 0]$ into $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with topology of uniformly converge. The norm is defined as

$$||x_t||_p = \sup_{-\tau \le \theta \le 0} \left(\sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t+\theta)|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

in which $p \ge 1$. When $p = +\infty$, the ∞ -norm is

$$||x_t||_{\infty} = \sup_{-\tau \le \theta \le 0} \max_{(i,j)} [|x_{t_{(i,j)}}(t+\theta)|].$$

We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(H1) The functions $f_{ij}(x)$ (i = 1, 2, ..., m; j = 1, 2, ..., n) are positive on \mathbb{R} .

(H2) There is a constant $\mu_{ij} > 0$ such that

$$|f_{ij}(x) - f_{ij}(y)| \le \mu_{ij}|x - y|, \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

EJDE-2004/29

For convenience, we set

$$M_f = \max_{(i,j)} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{f_{ij}(x)\}, \quad p_{ij} = M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl},$$
$$L_{ij} = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |L_{ij}(t)|, \quad q_{ij} = \begin{cases} |\varphi_{ij}(0)|, & \text{if } |\varphi_{ij}(0)| \ge \frac{L_{ij}}{a_{ij}}, \\ \frac{L_{ij}}{a_{ij}}, & \text{if } |\varphi_{ij}(0)| < \frac{L_{ij}}{a_{ij}}. \end{cases}$$

When $a_{ij} \ge p_{ij}$, we define

$$N_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{ij}q_{ij}}{a_{ij} - p_{ij}}, & \text{if } a_{ij} > p_{ij}, \\ q_{ij}, & \text{if } a_{ij} = p_{ij}. \end{cases}$$

The main results of this article are stated in the next theorems. To this end introduce the following assumptions

(H3) For
$$i = 1, 2, ..., m; j = 1, 2, ..., n,$$

$$- pa_{ij} + pM_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + (p-1) \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij}$$

$$+ \sum_{(i,j)} (\sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij}) < 0.$$

Theorem 1.1. Assume that the hypotheses (H1-(H3) are satisfied. Then system (1.1) has a unique ω -periodic solution and all other solution converge globally exponentially to this solution in the p-norm as $t \to +\infty$, where $p \ge 1$.

(H4) For
$$i = 1, 2, ..., m; j = 1, 2, ..., n$$
,
 $-a_{ij} + M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} < 0$.

Corollary 1.2. Assume that the hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H4) are satisfied. Then system (1.1) has a unique ω -periodic solution and all other solutions converge globally exponentially to this solution in the 1-norm as $t \to +\infty$.

Corollary 1.3. Assume that (H1)-(H3) are satisfied, and $L_{ij}(t) = L_{ij}$ is constant. Then system (1.1) has a unique equilibrium x^* and all other solution converge globally exponentially to the equilibrium in the p-norm as $t \to +\infty$.

(H5) For
$$i = 1, 2, ..., m; j = 1, 2, ..., n$$
,
 $-a_{ij} + M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} < 0$,

Theorem 1.4. Assume (H1), (H2), (H5) are satisfied. Then system (1.1) has a unique ω -periodic solution and all other solution converge globally exponentially to this solution on the ∞ -norm as $t \to +\infty$.

Corollary 1.5. Assume that (H1), (H2), (H5) are satisfied, and $L_{ij}(t) = L_{ij}$ is constant. Then system (1.1) has a unique equilibrium x^* and all other solution converge globally exponentially to the equilibrium in the ∞ -norm as $t \to +\infty$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we give some definitions and lemmas. In section 3, we state the proofs of the Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4. In section 4, we shall show an example to illustrate our main results. In section 5, we give some conclusion of the main results.

2. Some definitions and Lemmas

In this section, we give some definitions and lemmas. Let $C = C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ be the Banach space of continuous functions which map $[-\tau, 0]$ into $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with the topology of uniform converge. Let

$$x^{*}(t) = (x_{11}^{*}(t), \dots, x_{ij}^{*}(t), \dots, x_{mn}^{*}(t))^{T}$$

is the periodic solution of system (1.1) with the initial conditions ψ^* and $x(t) = (x_{11}(t), \ldots, x_{ij}(t), \ldots, x_{mn}(t))^T$ be the solution of system (1.1) with the initial conditions φ . We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi - \psi^*\|_p^p &= \sup_{-\tau \le \theta \le 0} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi_{ij}(\theta) - \psi_{ij}^*|^p \Big], \quad p \ge 1. \\ \|\varphi - \psi^*\|_\infty &= \sup_{-\tau \le \theta \le 0} \max_{(i,j)} \Big[|\varphi_{(i,j)}(\theta) - \psi_{(i,j)}^*(\theta)| \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. The periodic solution $x^*(t)$ of system (1.1) is said to be globally exponentially stable in the *p*-norm, if there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \ge 1$ such that for all t > 0,

$$\sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t) - x_{ij}^*(t)|^p \le k \|\varphi - \psi^*\|_p^p e^{-\varepsilon t}.$$

Definition. The periodic solution $x^*(t)$ of system (1.1) is said to be globally exponentially stable in ∞ -norm, if there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \ge 1$ such that for all t > 0,

$$\max_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t) - x^*_{ij}(t)| \le k \|\varphi - \psi^*\|_{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon t},$$

Definition. Let $F(t) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, then the upper right Dini derivate is defined as

$$D^{+}F(t) = \limsup_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{1}{h} (F(t+h) - F(t)).$$

Lemma 2.1 ([13]). Suppose that f_{ij} is a positive continuous function on \mathbb{R} , $L_{ij}(t)$ is a bounded continuous function and $a_{ij} \ge p_{ij}$. Then the solution $x_{ij}(t)$ of system (1.1) is bounded on \mathbb{R}^+ , and $|x_{ij}(t)| \le N_{ij}$, where

$$p_{ij} = M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl},$$

$$N_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{ij}q_{ij}}{a_{ij} - p_{ij}}, & \text{if } a_{ij} > p_{ij}, \\ q_{ij}, & \text{if } a_{ij} = p_{ij}. \end{cases}$$

$$q_{ij} = \begin{cases} |\varphi_{ij}(0)|, & \text{if } |\varphi_{ij}(0)| \ge \frac{L_{ij}}{a_{ij}}, \\ \frac{L_{ij}}{a_{ij}}, & \text{if } |\varphi_{ij}(0)| < \frac{L_{ij}}{a_{ij}}. \end{cases}$$

$$M_f = \max_{(i,j)} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{f_{ij}(x)\}, \quad L_{ij} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{|L_{ij}(t)|\}.$$

The proof of this lemma follows from a minor modification of the proof in [13, Lemma 2].

3. Proofs of the main results

The proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) Case p > 1: For any $\varphi, \psi \in C$, let $x(t, \varphi)$ and $x(t, \psi)$ represent the solution of system (1.1) through $(0, \varphi)$ and $(0, \psi)$ respectively. It follows from system (1.1) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi) \right) = -a_{ij}(x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi))
- \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \left[f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\varphi)) x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi)) x_{ij}(t,\psi) \right],$$
(3.1)

for all $t \ge 0, i = 1, 2, ..., m; j = 1, 2, ..., n$. From (H3), there exists a small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon - p a_{ij} + p M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + (p-1) \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \\ + e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} < 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Now, we consider the Lyapunov functional

$$V(t) = V_{1}(t) + V_{2}(t)$$

$$= \sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} e^{\varepsilon t}$$

$$+ \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \int_{t-\tau_{kl}}^{t} |x_{kl}(s,\varphi) - x_{kl}(s,\psi)|^{p} e^{\varepsilon (s+\tau_{kl})} ds,$$
(3.2)

in which

$$V_{1}(t) = \sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} e^{\varepsilon t},$$
$$V_{2}(t) = \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \int_{t-\tau_{kl}}^{t} |x_{kl}(s,\varphi) - x_{kl}(s,\psi)|^{p} e^{\varepsilon(s+\tau_{kl})} ds.$$

Calculating the upper right derivative D^+V_1 of V_1 along the solution of system (3.1), we have

$$D^{+}V_{1}|_{(3.1)} \leq \sum_{(i,j)} \left\{ \varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} + p e^{\varepsilon t} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p-1} \\ \times D^{+} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)| \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{(i,j)} \left\{ \varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} + p e^{\varepsilon t} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p-1} \\ \times \operatorname{sign}(x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)) \left[-a_{ij}(x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi) - \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl}(f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\varphi))x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi))x_{ij}(t,\psi)) \right] \right\};$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{split} & D^{+}V_{1}\Big|_{(3.1)} \\ &\leq e^{\varepsilon t}\sum_{(i,j)} \left\{ (\varepsilon - pa_{ij}) |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} + p|x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p-1} \right. \\ & \times \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \left| \left[f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\varphi)) - f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\psi)) \right] x_{ij}(t,\varphi) \right. \\ & + f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\psi)) \left(x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi) \right) \Big| \right\} \\ &\leq e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} (\varepsilon - pa_{ij}) |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} + e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \left\{ p|x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p-1} \right. \\ & \times \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \left[\mu_{kl} |x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\psi)| |x_{ij}(t,\varphi)| \right. \\ & + M_{f} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)| \Big] \Big\} \\ &= e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \left\{ p \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{kl}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} |x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\psi)| \right. \\ & \left. + \left. e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \left\{ p \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{kj}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} |x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\psi)| \right. \\ & \left. + \left. x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi) \right|^{p-1} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Using the inequality $ab \leq \frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}b^q$, $(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, p > 1, a, b \geq 0)$ [37]. We obtain

$$\begin{split} D^{+}V_{1}(t)\big|_{(3,1)} &\leq e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \left(\varepsilon - pa_{ij} + pM_{f} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl}\right) |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} \\ &+ e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \left\{p \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \left[\frac{1}{p} |x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\psi)|^{p} \right. \\ &+ \frac{p-1}{p} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} \Big] \right\} \\ &= e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \left(\varepsilon - pa_{ij} + pM_{f} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl}\right) |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} \\ &+ e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} |x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\psi)|^{p} \\ &+ e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} (p-1) \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} \\ &= e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \left(\varepsilon - pa_{ij} + pM_{f} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + (p-1) \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \right) \\ &\times |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} \\ &+ e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} |x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t - \tau_{kl},\psi)|^{p} . \end{split}$$

EJDE-2004/29

Calculating the upper right Dini derivative D^+V_2 of V_2 along the solution of system (3.1), we have

$$D^{+}V_{2}|_{(3.1)} = \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} [|x_{kl}(t,\varphi) - x_{kl}(t,\psi)|^{p} e^{\varepsilon(t+\tau_{kl})} - |x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi)|^{p} e^{\varepsilon t}] \leq e^{\varepsilon t} e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} |x_{kl}(t,\varphi) - x_{kl}(t,\psi)|^{p} - e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} |x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi)|^{p}.$$
(3.4)

From (3.3) and (3.4), we can obtain

$$\begin{split} D^{+}V|_{(3,1)} &\leq D^{+}V_{1}|_{(3,1)} + D^{+}V_{2}|_{(3,1)} \\ &\leq e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \left(\varepsilon - pa_{ij} + pM_{f} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + (p-1) \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij}\right) \\ &\times |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} + e^{\varepsilon t} e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} |x_{kl}(t,\varphi) - x_{kl}(t,\psi)|^{p} \\ &\leq e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \left(\varepsilon - pa_{ij} + pM_{f} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + (p-1) \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij}\right) \\ &\times |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} \\ &+ e^{\varepsilon t} \left(e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij}\right) \sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} \\ &= \sum_{(i,j)} \left(\varepsilon - pa_{ij} + pM_{f} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + (p-1) \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \\ &+ e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij}\right) |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^{p} \\ &< 0, \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0. \end{split}$$

i.e. $D^+V(t) \leq 0$, Thus, we have

$$V(t) \le V(0), \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0. \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$V(t) = \sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^p e^{\varepsilon t} + \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \int_{t-\tau_{kl}}^t |x_{kl}(s,\varphi) - x_{kl}(s,\psi)|^p e^{\varepsilon (s+\tau_{kl})} ds.$$

Note that

$$V(t) \ge e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^p, \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0.$$
(3.6)

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} V(0) &= \sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(0,\varphi) - x_{ij}(0,\psi)|^p \\ &+ \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \int_{-\tau_{kl}}^{0} |x_{kl}(s,\varphi) - x_{kl}(s,\psi)|^p e^{\varepsilon(s+\tau_{kl})} ds \\ &\leq \|\varphi - \psi\|_p^p + \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \int_{-\tau}^{0} |x_{kl}(s,\varphi) - x_{kl}(s,\psi)|^p e^{\varepsilon(s+\tau)} ds \\ &\leq \|\varphi - \psi\|_p^p + \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \int_{-\tau}^{0} \sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(s,\varphi) - x_{ij}(s,\psi)|^p e^{\varepsilon(s+\tau)} ds \\ &\leq \|\varphi - \psi\|_p^p + \tau e^{\varepsilon\tau} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \|\varphi - \psi\|_p^p \\ &= \left(1 + \tau e^{\varepsilon\tau} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij}\right) \|\varphi - \psi\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.7)$$

 Set

$$k = 1 + \tau e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij},$$

then k > 1. Therefore, from (3.5)–(3.7), we have

$$\sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)|^p \le k e^{-\varepsilon t} \|\varphi - \psi\|_p^p.$$

$$(3.8)$$

In addition, from this inequality, we can easily obtain

$$\|x_t(\varphi) - x_t(\psi)\|_p \le k^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}(t-\tau)} \|\varphi - \psi\|_p.$$
(3.9)

Now, we can choose a positive integer m such that

$$k^{\frac{1}{p}}e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}(m\omega-\tau)} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$
(3.10)

Define a Poincaré map

$$P: C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}) \to C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$$

by $P\varphi = x_{\omega}(\varphi)$, then we can derive from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\|P^m\varphi - P^m\psi\|_p \le \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi - \psi\|_p.$$

Therefore, P^m is a contraction map. Then there exists a unique fixed point $x^* \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ such that $P^m x^* = x^*$. Note that

$$P^m(Px^*) = P(P^mx^*) = Px^*$$

This implies $Px^* \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ is also a fixed point of P^m . So,

$$Px^* = x^*$$
, i.e. $x_{\omega}(x^*) = x^*$.

8

Let $x(t, x^*)$ be the solution of system (1.1) through $(0, x^*)$, obviously, $x(t + \omega, x^*)$ is also a solution of system (1.1) and note that

$$x_{t+\omega}(x^*) = x_t(x_{\omega}(x^*)) = x_t(x^*), \text{ for all } t \ge 0.$$

So, $x(t + \omega, x^*) = x(t, x^*)$, for all $t \ge 0$. This shows that $x(t, x^*)$ is exactly one ω -periodic solution of system (1.1) and it is easy to see from (3.8) that all solutions of system (1.1) converge globally exponentially to it on *p*-norm as $t \to +\infty$. The proof of the case p > 1 is completed.

(2) Case p = 1: From (H4), there exists a small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon - a_{ij} + M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} < 0.$$

we consider the Lyapunov functional

$$V(t) = \sum_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)| e^{\varepsilon t}$$

+
$$\sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \int_{t-\tau_{kl}}^t |x_{kl}(s,\varphi) - x_{kl}(s,\psi)| e^{\varepsilon (s+\tau_{kl})} ds,$$

by making a minor modification for the proof of the case p > 1 above, we can obtain the proof.

Proof of the Theorem 1.4. For any $\varphi, \psi \in C$, let $x(t, \varphi)$ and $x(t, \psi)$ represent the solutions of system (1.1) through $(0, \varphi)$ and $(0, \psi)$ respectively. Let $i_0 j_0 = i_0 j_0(t)$ is the down index such that

$$\max_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi))| = |x_{i_0j_0}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_0j_0}(t,\psi)|,$$

From (H5), there exists a small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon - a_{i_0 j_0} + M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{i_0 j_0}^{kl} + e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i_0,j_0)} c_{i_0 j_0}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_0 j_0} < 0.$$

Now, we construct the Lyapunov functional

$$W(t) = W_{1}(t) + W_{2}(t)$$

$$= |x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)|e^{\varepsilon t}$$

$$+ \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i_{0},j_{0})} c_{i_{0}j_{0}}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_{0}j_{0}} \int_{t-\tau_{kl}}^{t} |x_{kl}(s,\varphi) - x_{kl}(s,\psi)|e^{\varepsilon(s+\tau_{kl})} ds,$$
(3.11)

in which $W_1(t) = |x_{i_0j_0}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_0j_0}(t,\psi)|e^{\varepsilon t}$ and

$$W_2(t) = \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i_0, j_0)} c_{i_0 j_0}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_0 j_0} \int_{t-\tau_{kl}}^t |x_{kl}(s, \varphi) - x_{kl}(s, \psi)| e^{\varepsilon(s+\tau_{kl})} ds.$$

Calculating the upper right derivative D^+W_1 of W_1 along the solution of system (3.1), we have

$$\begin{split} D^{+}W_{1}\big|_{(3.1)} &= e^{\varepsilon t} \operatorname{sign}(x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)) \frac{d}{dt} (x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)) \\ &+ \varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t} |x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)| \\ &= e^{\varepsilon t} \operatorname{sign}(x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)) \Big\{ - a_{i_{0}j_{0}}(x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)) \\ &- \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i_{0},j_{0})} c_{i_{0}j_{0}}^{kl} \Big[f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\varphi)) \varphi_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) \\ &- f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi)) \psi_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi) \Big] \Big\} + \varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t} |x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)| \,; \end{split}$$

i. e.,

$$D^{+}W_{1}|_{(3.1)} \leq e^{\varepsilon t} \{(\varepsilon - a_{i_{0}j_{0}})|x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)| \\ + \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_{r}(i_{0},j_{0})} c_{i_{0}j_{0}}^{kl} [|f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\varphi)) - f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi))||x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi)| \\ + |f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi))||x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)|] \} \\ \leq e^{\varepsilon t} \{(\varepsilon - a_{i_{0}j_{0}})|x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)| \\ + M_{f} \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_{r}(i_{0},j_{0})} c_{i_{0}j_{0}}^{kl}|x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)| \\ + \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_{r}(i_{0},j_{0})} c_{i_{0}j_{0}}^{kl}|\mu_{kl}N_{i_{0}j_{0}}|x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi)| \} \\ = e^{\varepsilon t} (\varepsilon - a_{i_{0}j_{0}} + M_{f} \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_{r}(i_{0},j_{0})} c_{i_{0}j_{0}}^{kl})|x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_{0}j_{0}}(t,\psi)| \\ + e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_{r}(i_{0},j_{0})} c_{i_{0}j_{0}}^{kl}|\mu_{kl}N_{i_{0}j_{0}}|x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi)| .$$

$$(3.12)$$

Calculating the upper right derivative D^+W_2 of W_2 along the solution of system (3.1), we have

$$D^{+}W_{2}|_{(3.1)} = \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i_{0},j_{0})} c_{i_{0}j_{0}}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_{0}j_{0}} e^{\varepsilon(t+\tau_{kl})} |x_{kl}(t,\varphi) - x_{kl}(t,\psi)|$$

$$- e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_{r}(i_{0},j_{0})} c_{i_{0}j_{0}}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_{0}j_{0}} |x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\varphi) - x_{kl}(t-\tau_{kl},\psi)|.$$
(3.13)

From (3.12) and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{split} D^+W|_{(3,1)} &\leq D^+W_1|_{(3,1)} + D^+W_2|_{(3,1)} \\ &\leq e^{\varepsilon t} \Big(\varepsilon - a_{i_0j_0} + M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i_0,j_0)} c_{i_0j_0}^{kl} \Big) |x_{i_0j_0}(t,\varphi) - x_{i_0j_0}(t,\psi)| \\ &+ e^{\varepsilon t} e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i_0,j_0)} c_{i_0j_0}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_0j_0} |x_{kl}(t,\varphi) - x_{kl}(t,\psi)| \\ &\leq e^{\varepsilon t} \sum_{(i,j)} \Big(\varepsilon - a_{i_0j_0} + M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{i_0j_0}^{kl} \Big) \max_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)| \\ &+ e^{\varepsilon t} e^{\varepsilon \tau} \Big(\sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i_0,j_0)} c_{i_0j_0}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_0j_0} \Big) \max_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)| \\ &= e^{\varepsilon t} \Big(\varepsilon - a_{i_0j_0} + M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i_0,j_0)} c_{i_0j_0}^{kl} + e^{\varepsilon \tau} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i_0,j_0)} c_{i_0j_0}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_0j_0} \Big) \\ &\times \max_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)| \\ &< 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $D^+W(t) \leq 0$. Thus $W(t) \leq W(0)$. Note that

$$W(t) \ge e^{\varepsilon t} |x_{i_0 j_0}(t, \varphi) - x_{i_0 j_0}(t, \psi)| = e^{\varepsilon t} \max_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t, \varphi) - x_{ij}(t, \psi)|, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Again,

$$W(0) = |x_{i_0j_0}(0,\varphi) - x_{i_0j_0}(0,\psi)| + \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i_0,j_0)} c_{i_0j_0}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_0j_0} \int_{-\tau_{kl}}^{0} |x_{kl}(s,\varphi) - x_{kl}(s,\psi)| e^{\varepsilon(s+\tau_{kl})} ds \leq ||\varphi - \psi||_{\infty} + \tau e^{\varepsilon\tau} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i_0,j_0)} c_{i_0j_0}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{i_0j_0} ||\varphi - \psi||_{\infty} = (1 + \tau e^{\varepsilon\tau} \max_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij}) ||\varphi - \psi||_{\infty}.$$

 Set

$$k = 1 + \tau e^{\varepsilon \tau} \max_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij},$$

then k > 1. Thus, we have

$$\max_{(i,j)} |x_{ij}(t,\varphi) - x_{ij}(t,\psi)| \le ke^{-\varepsilon t} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{\infty}.$$
(3.14)

This implies

$$\|x_t(\varphi) - x_t(\psi)\|_{\infty} \le k e^{-\varepsilon(t-\tau)} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{\infty}.$$
(3.15)

We can choose a positive integer m such that $ke^{-\varepsilon(m\omega-\tau)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Now, define a Poincaré map

$$P: C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}) \to C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$$

by $P\varphi = x_{\omega}(\varphi)$, then we can derive from (3.15) that

$$\|P^m\varphi - P^m\psi\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi - \psi\|_{\infty}.$$

So, P^m is a contraction map. Hence, there exists a unique fixed point $x^* \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ such that $P^m x^* = x^*$. Note that

$$P^m(px^*) = P(P^mx^*) = Px^*.$$

This implies that $Px^* \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ is also a fixed point of P^m . So,

$$Px^* = x^*$$
, i. e. $x_{\omega}(x^*) = x^*$.

Let $x(t, x^*)$ be the solution of system (1.1) through $(0, x^*)$, obviously, $x(t + \omega, x^*)$ is also a solution of system (1.1) and note that

$$x_{t+\omega}(x^*) = x_t(x_{\omega}(x^*)) = x_t(x^*), \text{ for all } t \ge 0.$$

Therefore, $x(t + \omega, x^*) = x(t, x^*)$, for all $t \ge 0$. This shows that $x(t, x^*)$ is exactly one ω -periodic solution of system (1.1) and from (3.14) it is easy to see that all solutions of system (1.1) converge exponentially to it on ∞ -norm as $t \to +\infty$. The proof is complete.

4. An example

For i, j = 1, 2, 3, consider the system of SICNNs

$$\frac{dx_{ij}}{dt} = -x_{ij}(t) - \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} f_{kl}(x_{kl}(t-0.1)) x_{ij} + \cos t$$
(4.1)

with the initial condition $\varphi_{ij}(s) = \sin s$, $s \in [0.1, 0]$. Let $f_{kl}(x) = f(x) = 0.1(|x + 1| + |x - 1|)$, then f_{kj} satisfies assumptions (H1) and (H2), and $\mu_{kl} = 0.2$ (k, l = 1, 2, 3), $M_f = 0.2$, $a_{ij} = 1$, $L_{ij} = 1$, $\varphi_{ij}(0) = 0$, $q_{ij} = 1$ (i, j = 1, 2, 3). Again we take

$$C = (c_{ij})_{3\times3} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.05 & 0.1 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 & 0.05 \\ 0.1 & 0.05 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set r = 1, then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(1,1)} c_{11}^{kl} & \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(1,2)} c_{12}^{kl} & \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(1,3)} c_{13}^{kl} \\ \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(2,1)} c_{21}^{kl} & \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(2,2)} c_{22}^{kl} & \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(2,3)} c_{23}^{kl} \\ \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(3,1)} c_{31}^{kl} & \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(3,2)} c_{32}^{kl} & \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(3,3)} c_{33}^{kl} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.45 & 0.3 \\ 0.45 & 0.7 & 0.45 \\ 0.3 & 0.45 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and

$$(p_{ij})_{3\times3} = (M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl})_{3\times3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.06\\ 0.09 & 0.14 & 0.09\\ 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.06 \end{pmatrix}.$$

EJDE-2004/29

Clearly $p_{ij} < a_{ij}$, for i, j = 1, 2, 3.

$$\begin{split} (N_{ij})_{3\times3} &= \big(\frac{a_{ij}q_{ij}}{a_{ij} - p_{ij}}\big)_{3\times3} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{100}{94} & \frac{100}{91} & \frac{100}{94} \\ \frac{100}{91} & \frac{100}{86} & \frac{100}{91} \\ \frac{100}{94} & \frac{100}{91} & \frac{100}{94} \end{pmatrix}, \\ (\sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij})_{3\times3} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{6}{94} & \frac{9}{91} & \frac{6}{94} \\ \frac{3}{91} & \frac{14}{86} & \frac{3}{91} \\ \frac{6}{94} & \frac{9}{91} & \frac{6}{94} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl}\in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} = 0.8137142. \end{split}$$

Taking p = 2, we easily check that

$$\left(-pa_{ij} + pM_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + (p-1) \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{(i,j)} \left(\sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \right) \right)_{3\times3}$$

$$= \left(0.8137142 + 0.4 \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + 0.2 \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} N_{ij} - 2 \right)_{3\times3}$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{c} -1.002456 & -0.9073847 & -1.002456 \\ -0.9073847 & -0.7434951 & -0.9073847 \\ -1.002456 & -0.9073847 & -1.002456 \end{array} \right) < 0.$$

Therefore, (H3) holds. Hence, then system (4.1) has a unique 2π -periodic solution and all other solution converge globally exponentially to it on the 2-norm as $t \to +\infty$. Again take p = 1, we can easily check that

$$\left(-a_{ij} + M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + \sum_{(i,j)} \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \right)_{3 \times 3}$$

$$= \left(-0.1862858 + 0.2 \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \right)_{3 \times 3}$$

$$= \left(-0.1262858 - 0.0962858 - 0.1262858 - 0.0962858 - 0.0962858 - 0.0962858 - 0.1262858 - 0.0962858 - 0.1262858 - 0.0962858 - 0.1262858 - 0.09$$

Therefore, (H4) holds. By Corollary 1.2, system (4.1) has a unique 2π -periodic solution and all other solution converge globally exponentially to it on the 1-norm as $t \to +\infty$. We can easily check that

$$\left(-a_{ij} + M_f \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} + \sum_{C_{kl} \in N_r(i,j)} c_{ij}^{kl} \mu_{kl} N_{ij} \right)_{3 \times 3}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -0.8761702 & -0.8110989 & -0.8761702 \\ -0.8110989 & -0.6972093 & -0.8110989 \\ -0.8761702 & -0.8110989 & -0.8761702 \end{pmatrix} < 0.$$

Thus, (H5) is satisfied. By Theorem 1.4, system (4.1) has a unique 2π - solution and all other solution converge globally exponentially to it on the ∞ -norm as t approaches $+\infty$.

Conclusion. In this paper, we have derived some simple sufficient conditions in term of systems parameters for periodic solutions and global exponential stability of SIDCNNs. The results possess important significance in some applied fields, and the conditions are easily checked in practice. These play an important role in design and application of SIDCNNS. In addition, the method of this paper may be applied to some other systems such as the systems given in [14, 19, 20, 22, 23].

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 60373067 and 10371034, the Foundation for University Excellent Teacher by Chinese Ministry of Education, the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (No. [2002]78), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, China under Grant BK2003053 and BK2003001, Qing-Lan Engineering Project of Jiangsu Province, the Foundation of Southeast University, Nanjing, China under grant XJ030714, the scientific research Foundation of Hunan provincial education department(03C009).

References

- S. Arik, V. Tavsanoglu, *Equilibrium analysis of delayed CNNs*. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 168-171, 1998.
- S. Arik, An improved global stability results for delayed cellular neural networks, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 1211-1214, 2002.
- [3] A. Bouzerdoum, R. B. Pinter, A shunting inhibitory motion detector that can account for the functional characteristics of fly motionsensitive interneurons, in: Proceedings of International Joint Conference Neural Networks, 1990.
- [4] A. Bouzerdoum, R. B. Pinter, Analysis and analog implementation of directionally sensitive shunting inhibitory cellular neural networks, in: Visual Information Processing: From Neurons to Chips, vol. SPIE-1473, pp. 29-38, 1991.
- [5] A. Bouzerdoum, R. B. Pinter, Nonlinear lateral inhibition applied to motion detection in the fly visual system, in R. B. Pinter, B. Nabet(Eds.), Nonlinear Vision, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 423-450, 1992.
- [6] A. Bouzerdoum, R. B. Pinter, Shunting inhibitory cellular networks: derivation and stability analysis, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I vol. 40, pp. 215-218, 1993.
- [7] J. Cao, Periodic solutions and exponential stability in delayed cellular neural networks, Physical Review E, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 3244-3248, 1999.
- [8] J. Cao and D. Zhou, Stability analysis of delayed cellular neural networks. Neural Networks, vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 1601-1605, 1998.
- [9] J. Cao, L. Wang. Periodic oscillatory solution of bidirectional associative memory networks with delays, Physical Review E, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 1825-1828, 2000.
- [10] J. Cao, Global exponential stability and periodic solutions of delayed cellular neural networks, Journal of Computer and System Sciences, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 38-46, 2000.
- [11] J. Cao, Global exponential stability and periodic solutions of CNNs with delays, Physics Letters A, vol. 267, pp. 312-318, 2000.
- [12] J. Cao, Exponential stability and periodic solutions of delayed cellular neural networks, Science in China (Series E), vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 328-336, 2000.
- [13] A. Chen and J. Cao, Almost periodic solution of shunting inhibitory CNNs with delays, Physics Letters A, vol. 298, pp. 161-170, 2002.
- [14] A. Chen, L. Huang, J. Cao, Existence and stability of almost periodic solution for BAM neural networks with delays, Appl. Math. Comput., vol. 137, pp. 177-193, 2003.
- [15] A. Chen, J. Cao, Existence and attractivity of almost periodic solution for cellular neural networks with distributed delays and variable coefficients, Appl. Math. Comput., vol. 135, pp. 125-140, 2003.
- [16] A. Chen, J. Cao, and L. Huang, An Estimation of Upperbound of Delays for Global Asymptotic Stability of Delayed Hopfield Neural Networks, IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Syst.-I, vol. 49, No. 7, pp. 1028-1032, 2002.

- [17] L. O. Chua and L. Yang, Cellular neural networks: Theory. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst., vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 1257-1272, 1988.
- [18] L. O. Chua and L. Yang, Cellular neural networks: Applications. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst., vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 1273-1290, 1988.
- [19] P. V. D. Driessche and X. Zou, Global attractivity in delayed Hopfield neural networks models, SIAM, J. Appl. Math., vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 1878-1890, 1998.
- [20] P. P. Civalleri and M. Gilli, On stability of cellular neural networks with delay. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 157-165, 1993.
- [21] M. Forti, Some extension of a new method to analyze complete stability of neural networks, IEEE Trans. Neural Networks, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 1230-1238, 2002.
- [22] M. Gilli, Stability of cellular neural networks and delayed cellular neural networks with nonposive templates and nonmonotonic output functions. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I, vol. 41, pp. 518-528, 1994.
- [23] K. Gopalsamy and X. Z. He, Delay-independent stability in bi-directional associative memory networks, IEEE Trans. Neural Networks, vol. 5, no.6, 998-1002, 1994.
- [24] M. E. Jernigen, G. F. Mclean, Lateral inhibition and image processing, in: R.B. Pinter, B. Nabet(Eds.), Nonlinear Vision, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [25] M. E. Jenigen, R. J. Belshaw, G. F. Mclean, Nonlinear lateral inhibition and image processing, in: B. Nabet, R.B. Pinter(Eds.), Sensory Neural Networks: Lateral Inhibition, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 27–45, 1991.
- [26] X. X. Liao, Stability of the Hopfield neural networks, Science in China, vol. 23, no.5, pp. 523-532, 1993.
- [27] X. B. Liang, Global exponential stability and application of the Hopfield neural networks, Science in China, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 523-532, 1995.
- [28] K. Mastsuoka, Stability conditions for nonlinear continuous neural networks with asymmetric connection weight, Neural Networks, vol. 5, pp. 495-500, 1992
- [29] R. B. Pinter, R. M. Olberg, E. Warrant, Luminance adaption of preferred object size in identified dragonfly movement detectors, in: Proceedings of IEEE International Conference SMC, 1989, pp. 682–686.
- [30] T. Roska and T. Boros, P. Thiran, L. O. Chua, *Detecting si,ple motion using cellular neural networks*, in: proceedings of IEEE International Workshop on Cellular Neural Networks and Their Applications, 1990, pp. 127-138.
- [31] T. Roska and L. O. Chua, Cellular neural networks with nonlinear and delay-type template. Int. J. Circuit Theory Appl., vol. 20, pp. 469-481, 1992.
- [32] T. Roska, C.W. Wu and L. O. Chua, Stability of cellular neural networks with dominant nonlinear and delay-type template. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 270-272, 1993.
- [33] T. Roska, C.W. Wu, M.Balsi and L. O. Chua, Stability and dynamics of delay-type general and cellular neural networks. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 487-490, 1992.
- [34] F. Zou, S. Schwartz, J. Nossek, Cellular neural networks design using a learning algorithm, in: Proceedings of IEEE International Workshop on Cellular Neural Networks and Their Applications, pp. 73-81, 1990.
- [35] J. Y. Zhang, X. S. Jin, Global stability analysis in delayed Hopfield neural network models, IEEE Trans. Neural Networks, vol. 13, pp. 745-753, 2000.
- [36] H. Huang, J. Cao, J. Wang, Global exponential stability and periodic solutions of recurrent neural networks with delays, Physics Letters A, vol. 298, nos.5-6, pp. 393-404, 2002.
- [37] J. Cao, New results concerning exponential stability and periodic solutions of delayed cellular neural networks, Physics Letters A, vol. 307, nos. 2-3, pp. 144-155, 2003.
- [38] J. Cao, J. Wang, Global asymptotic stability of a general class of recurrent neural networks with time-varying delays, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 34-44, 2003.
- [39] J. Cao, J. Wang, X. Liao, Novel stability criteria of delayed cellular neural networks, Inter. J. Neural Syst., vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 367-375, 2003.
- [40] X. Huang, J. Cao, Almost periodic solution of shunting inhibitory cellular neural networks with time-varying delays, Physics Letters A, vol. 314, no. 3, pp. 222-231, 2003.

ANPING CHEN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XIANGNAN UNIVERSITY, CHENZHOU, HUNAN 423000, CHINA *E-mail address*: chenap@263.net JINDE CAO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210096, CHINA E-mail address: jdcao@seu.edu.cn; jdcao@cityu.edu.hk

Lihong Huang

College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Hunan 410082, China $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ lhhuang@hnu.edu.cn$