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# MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR INHOMOGENEOUS NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS ARISING IN ASTROPHYISCS 

MARCO CALAHORRANO \& HERMANN MENA


#### Abstract

Using variational methods we prove the existence and multiplicity of solutions for some nonlinear inhomogeneous elliptic problems on a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $n \geq 2$ and a smooth boundary, and when the domain is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+c(x) u=\lambda f(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=h(x) \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

when $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $n \geq 2$ and smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, and when the domain is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}:=\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $\mathbb{R}_{+}=\{y \in \mathbb{R}: y>0\}$. The function $f:]-\infty,+\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to satisfy the following conditions:
(f1) There exists $s_{0}>0$ such that $f(s)>0$ for all $\left.s \in\right] 0, s_{0}[$.
(f2) $f(s)=0$ for $s \leq 0$ or $s \geq s_{0}$.
(f3) $f(s) \leq a s^{\sigma}, a$ is a positive constant and $1<\sigma<\frac{n+2}{n-2}$ if $n>2$ or $\sigma>1$ if $n=2$.
(f4) There exists $l>0$ such that $\left|f\left(s_{1}\right)-f\left(s_{2}\right)\right| \leq l\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|$, for all $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $h$ is a non-negative bounded, smooth, $h \neq 0, \min h<s_{0}$ and $c \geq 0$, and $c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \bigcap C(\bar{\Omega})$.

Note that problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta \omega+c(x) \omega=\lambda f(\omega+\tau) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\omega=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\omega=u-\tau$ and $\tau$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta \tau+c(x) \tau=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\tau=h(x) \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

We will study (1.2) instead of 1.1 . In section 2 using variational techniques we will find an interval $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exist at least three

[^0]positive solutions of $\sqrt{1.2}$, for $\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}(\Omega)}$ small enough. This result is better than the one obtained by Calahorrano and Dobarro in 4 .

In section 3 , we will study the problem 1.2 for $\inf c(x)>0$ and $\Omega$ big enough, by this we mean that there exists $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that the Euclidean ball with center $x_{0}$ and radius R is contained in $\Omega$, with R large enough. In this case, we will eliminate the restrictions on $\tau$, obtaining similar results.

Problem (1.1) is a generalization of an astrophysical gravity-free model of solar flares in the half plane $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$, given in [7], [8 and (9], namely:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =\lambda f(u) \quad \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \\
u(x, 0) & =h(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

besides the above mentioned conditions for $f$ and $h$, the authors are interested in finding a positive range of $\lambda^{\prime} s$ in which there is multiplicity of solutions for (1.4), see [7, 8, 9, for a detail description.

In section 4, a related problem is reviewed

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta \omega+c(x) \omega=\lambda f(\omega+\tau) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \\
\omega(x, 0)=0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

and we prove the existence of solutions of 1.5 as limit of a special family of solutions of

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta \omega+c(x) \omega=\lambda f(\omega+\tau) \quad \text { in } D_{R} \\
\omega=0 \quad \text { on } \partial D_{R} \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
D_{R}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}<R^{2}\right\}
$$

and $R$ is large enough. Besides these solutions are absolute minima of the natural associated functional for small $\lambda^{\prime} s$ and local but not global minima for large $\lambda^{\prime} s$.

## 2. Variational Method

Similarly to section 1 , let $\tau$ be the solution of

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta \tau+c(x) \tau=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\tau=h(x) \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Problem 1.1 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta \omega+c(x) \omega=\lambda f(\omega+\tau) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\omega=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\omega=u-\tau$. Therefore, we are studying (2.2) instead of 1.1 .
Since $f \geq 0$, then any solution of $(2.2)$ is positive by the maximum principle, furthermore $\omega=0$ is solution of 2.2 if and only if $\lambda=0$. On the other hand $\tau$ achieves its maximum and minimum on the boundary, i.e. $\inf _{\partial \Omega} \tau \leq \tau(x) \leq$ $\sup _{\partial \Omega} \tau$.

Let $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be the usual Sobolev space, with $\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x$. We define for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and for all non-negative function $\tau$ such that $\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}(\Omega)} \equiv \Gamma<\infty$ the $C^{1}$ functional, [2], $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow R$,

$$
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[c(x) u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u+\tau) d x
$$

where, $F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$.
If $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}^{\prime}(u)=0\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right.$ is the gradient of $\left.\Phi\right)$ then $u$ is a weak and, by regularity strong solution of 2.2 .

Since $f$ is bounded, it is easy to prove that $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}$ is coercive and verifies the Palais-Smale condition for all $\lambda$ non negative (using methods like in the case $\mathrm{c}=0$, [11]). Then $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}$ attains its global infimum on a function $u_{\lambda, \tau} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for all $\lambda$ non negative.
Theorem 2.1. Let us assume (f1)-(f4). For all $\Gamma>0$ small enough there exists an interval $] \underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}(\Gamma)[$ with $\underline{\lambda}>0$ such that for all $\lambda \in] \underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}(\Gamma)[$ the problem 2.2 has at least three positive solutions. Moreover $\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$.

To prove Theorem 2.1, we will use arguments as those in [4], for which the following lemmas are necessary.
Lemma 2.2. There exists $\omega_{0} \geq 0, \omega_{0} \neq 0$ and $\underline{\lambda}>0$ such that for all $\lambda>\underline{\lambda}$ and for all $\tau \geq 0, \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}\left(\omega_{0}\right)<0$
Proof. . Let $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ denote an euclidean ball with center at $x_{0}$ and radius $r$. Let $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and $R>0$ such that $B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset \Omega$. Then for all $0<\delta<R, B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$, where $\rho=R-\delta$. Now, we define

$$
\omega_{\delta, R}(x)= \begin{cases}s_{0} & \text { if }\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \rho \\ \frac{s_{0}}{\delta}\left(R-\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) & \text { if } \rho \leq\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq R \\ 0 & \text { if }\left|x-x_{0}\right| \geq R\end{cases}
$$

So, using the Hölder and Poincaré inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}\left(\omega_{\delta, R}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|\omega_{\delta, R}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} c(x)\left(\omega_{\delta, R}\right)^{2} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F\left(\omega_{\delta, R}+\tau\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\omega_{\delta, R}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\|c\|_{L^{\infty}}}{2} \int_{B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\omega_{\delta, R}\right)^{2} d x-\lambda \int_{B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)} F\left(s_{0}+\tau\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\omega_{\delta, R}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\|c\|_{L^{\infty}}}{2}\left(\frac{\left|B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{\omega_{n}}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}\left\|\omega_{\delta, R}\right\|^{2}-\lambda F\left(s_{0}\right) \int_{B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)} d x \\
& =\frac{s_{0}^{2}\left(1+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} R^{2}\right)}{2 \delta^{2}} \int_{B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)-B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)} d x-\lambda F\left(s_{0}\right) \int_{B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)} d x \\
& =\frac{s_{0}^{2}\left(1+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} R^{2}\right)\left(R^{n}-(R-\delta)^{n}\right) \omega_{n}}{2 \delta^{2}}-\lambda F\left(s_{0}\right)(R-\delta)^{n} \omega_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega_{n}$ denotes the volume of the unit ball in $R^{n}$. Let

$$
\underline{\lambda}(\delta) \equiv \frac{s_{0}^{2}\left(1+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} R^{2}\right)\left(R^{n}-(R-\delta)^{n}\right)}{2 F\left(s_{0}\right) \delta^{2}(R-\delta)^{n}}
$$

If $\delta=t R, 0<t<1$, results in

$$
\underline{\lambda}(\delta)=\frac{s_{0}^{2}\left(1+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} R^{2}\right)}{2 F\left(s_{0}\right) R^{2}}\left(\frac{1-(1-t)^{n}}{t^{2}(1-t)^{n}}\right)
$$

then $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}\left(\omega_{\delta, R}\right)<0$ for all $\lambda>\underline{\lambda}(\delta)>0$, and for all $\tau \geq 0$. Let

$$
\psi(t) \equiv \frac{1-(1-t)^{n}}{t^{2}(1-t)^{n}}
$$

and let $\left.t_{1} \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$ such that $\psi\left(t_{1}\right)=\min _{] 0,1[ } \psi(t)$. If $\delta_{1}=t_{1} R, \omega_{o}=\omega_{\delta_{1}, R}$ and $\underline{\lambda}=\underline{\lambda}\left(\delta_{1}\right)$, then there results

$$
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}\left(\omega_{0}\right)<0 \quad \forall \lambda>\underline{\lambda}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \forall \tau \geq 0
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left\|\omega_{0}\right\|=s_{0}\left(\omega_{n}\right)^{1 / 2} R^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left(\frac{1-\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{n}}{t_{1}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Lemma 2.3. There exists a constant $K=K(a, \sigma, \Omega)$ such that for all $\lambda<\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ and $\|u\|=\Gamma, \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)>0$ where $\bar{\lambda} \equiv K \Gamma^{1-\sigma}$.

Proof. From (f3),

$$
\int_{\Omega} F(u+\tau) d x=\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{u+\tau} f(t) d t d x \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(u+\tau)^{\sigma+1}}{\sigma+1} d x
$$

then, using the Sobolev immersion and Poincaré inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} c(x) u^{2} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u+\tau) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(u+\tau)^{\sigma+1}}{\sigma+1} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{a}{\sigma+1}\right)\left(\|u\|_{L^{\sigma+1}(\Omega)}+\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}(\Omega)}\right)^{\sigma+1} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{a}{\sigma+1}\right)(C(\Omega)\|u\|+\Gamma)^{\sigma+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C(\Omega)$ is a constant depending on $\Omega$. Setting

$$
K=\frac{\sigma+1}{2 a(C(\Omega)+1)^{\sigma+1}}
$$

it follows that for all $\lambda<\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma) \equiv K \Gamma^{1-\sigma}, \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)>0$.
Remark 2.4. (i) Since $\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma)=K \Gamma^{1-\sigma}$ it follows $\bar{\lambda} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$. (ii) $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(0)$ and $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}^{\prime}(0)(v)$ are negative for all $\lambda>0$ and $v \geq 0, v \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.5. For all $0<\lambda<\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ there exists $\bar{u} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $\|\bar{u}\|<\Gamma$ such that $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(\bar{u})<0$ and $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}^{\prime}(\bar{u})=0$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.3 we prove that $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)>0$, for $0<\lambda<\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ and $u$ such that $\|u\|=\Gamma$. Moreover $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(0)<0$ y $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}^{\prime}(0)(v) \neq 0$. Keeping in mind that the solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d \alpha}{d t}=W(\alpha(t)) \\
\alpha(0)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $W=-V, V$ pseudo-gradient vector field for $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}$ in the set of regular points of $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}$, with $0<\lambda<\bar{\lambda}$.

Since $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}$ verifies the Palais-Smale condition and is bounded from below, using [10, Theorem 5.4] we have that
(1) $\alpha:\left[0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right.\right.$ is continuous.
(2) $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(\alpha(t))$ is strictly decreasing.
(3) $\alpha(t) \rightarrow \bar{u}$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty, \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}^{\prime}(\bar{u})=0$.
then, $\bar{u}$ satisfies the required conditions.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\omega_{0}$ and $\underline{\lambda}$ be defined in Lemma 2.2. Using Lemma 2.3 for $\Gamma<\left\|\omega_{0}\right\|$, there exists $\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma)>0$ such that $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)>0$ for all $\lambda<\bar{\lambda}$ and $\|u\|=\Gamma$. But since $\underline{\lambda}$ is independent of $\Gamma$, using Remark $2.4 \underline{\lambda}<\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ for $\Gamma$ small enough.

Now we claim that for $\Gamma$ small enough there exists $\widehat{u} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\|\widehat{u}\|>\Gamma$ such that for all $\underline{\lambda}<\lambda<\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma) \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(\widehat{u})<0$ and $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}^{\prime}(\widehat{u})=0$. Indeed, we remember that for all $\underline{\lambda}<\lambda<\bar{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ lemmas 3 and 2 are verified. Keeping in mind that the solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d \beta}{d t}=W(\beta(t)) \\
\beta(0)=\omega_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using similar arguments as those in Lemma 2.5 we find the critical point $\widehat{u}$ with $\|\widehat{u}\|>\Gamma$. Let

$$
c \equiv \inf _{\delta \in \Theta} \sup _{u \in \delta} \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)
$$

where $\Theta$ is the set paths

$$
\Theta=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right): \gamma(0)=\bar{u}, \gamma(1)=\omega_{0}\right\}
$$

we are able to apply the Mountain Pass Theorem of Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz 3]. Then $c$ is achieved in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ at a function $\widetilde{u}$. Finally using Lemma 2.5 we prove Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.6. (i) If we define $\mu \in R_{-}$,

$$
\mu \equiv \min _{0 \leq t \leq \Gamma} \frac{1}{2} t^{2}-\lambda \frac{a}{\sigma+1}(C(\Omega) t+\Gamma)^{\sigma+1}
$$

it is easy to prove

$$
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(\widehat{u})<\mu \leq \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(\bar{u})<0<\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(\widetilde{u})
$$

(ii) Unlike [7], 8], 9] and [4], where the size of $\|\tau\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$ is relevant, in our approach the condition $\Gamma \equiv\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}(\Omega)}$ small is of primary importance. Note, that $\Gamma$ small does not say anything about $\|\tau\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$.

## 3. $\Omega$ BIG ENOUGH

Now we study problem (2.2) for $\inf c(x)>0$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 3)$ big enough. By big enough we mean that there exists $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that the euclidean ball with center $x_{0}$ and radius R is contained in $\Omega$, with R large enough.

Let $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be the usual Sobolev space, with $\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left[u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x$ and $\Gamma \equiv\|\tau\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$. If $\inf c(x)>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{m} \int_{\Omega}\left[c(x) u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \equiv \min \{\inf c(x), 1\}$.
As was seen in section 2 we find an interval $\Lambda^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ there exists at least three positive solutions of $(2.2)$ and we eliminate the restrictions on $\tau$. Consequently we obtain:

Theorem 3.1. Let us assume (f1)-(f4). For all $\Gamma>0$ and $R$ large enough there exists an interval $] \underline{\lambda}(R), \bar{\lambda}[$ with $\underline{\lambda}(R)>0$ such that for all $\lambda \in] \underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}[$ the problem (2.2) has at least three positive solutions.

To prove this theorem, we need to redefine $\underline{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}$. Therefore, let

$$
\omega_{\delta, R}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{s_{0}}{\delta_{s_{0}}^{1 / 4}} & \text { if }\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \rho \\ \frac{\delta^{5 / 4}}{}\left(R-\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) & \text { if } \rho \leq\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq R \\ 0 & \text { if }\left|x-x_{0}\right| \geq R\end{cases}
$$

If we define $\omega_{o}=\omega_{\delta_{1}, R}$ where $\delta_{1}=t_{1} R$ and $\left.t_{1} \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$ such that $\psi\left(t_{1}\right)=\min _{] 0,1[ } \psi(t)$, $\psi(t) \equiv \frac{1-(1-t)^{n}}{t^{\frac{5}{2}}(1-t)^{n}}$; then with a similar development to Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}\left(\omega_{0}\right)<0 \quad \forall \lambda>\underline{\lambda}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \forall \tau \geq 0
$$

where

$$
\underline{\lambda}(R)=\frac{s_{0}^{2}\left(1+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} R^{2}\right)}{2 F\left(\tau\left(x_{0}\right)\right) R^{\frac{5}{2}}}\left(\frac{1-\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{n}}{t_{1}^{\frac{5}{2}}\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{n}}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, using the modification, to $n \geq 3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla \omega_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=s_{0}\left(\omega_{n}\right)^{1 / 2} R^{\frac{2 n-5}{4}}\left(\frac{1-\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{n}}{t_{1}^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$
0 \leq \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{2 F(s)}{s^{2}} \leq \lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(s)}{s}=0
$$

for (f3) and since $F$ is bounded, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b}{2} \equiv \sup _{s>0} \frac{F(s)}{s^{2}}<+\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. For all $\lambda<\bar{\lambda}$ and $\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)}=\Gamma, \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)>0$.
Proof. Using (3.1) and (3.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[c(x) u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u+\tau) d x \\
& \geq \frac{m}{2}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)}^{2}-\frac{\lambda b}{2} \int_{\Omega}(u+\tau)^{2} d x \\
& \geq \frac{m}{2}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)}^{2}-\frac{\lambda b}{2}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|\tau\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)^{2} \\
& >\frac{m}{2}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)}^{2}-\frac{\lambda b}{2}\left(\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)}+\|\tau\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, when we define $\bar{\lambda} \equiv m / 4 b$, then for all $\lambda<\bar{\lambda}, \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)>0$.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\omega_{0}$ and $\underline{\lambda}(R)$ be as above, using Lemma 3.2 there exists $\bar{\lambda}>0$ such that $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)>0$ for all $\lambda<\bar{\lambda}$ and $\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)}=\Gamma$. From the $\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}$ definition and 3.2 to R large enough $\underline{\lambda}<\bar{\lambda}$ and $\left\|\omega_{0}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)}>\Gamma$. Finally using a similar development to Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.1 is proven.

Remark 3.3. For $n=2$ Theorem 3.1 is false.

## 4. The problem in $R_{+}^{n}$

Let $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ and $V_{c, 0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ be the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ in $\left(\|\cdot\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla(.)\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\left(\|c .\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla(.)\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ respectively, where $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ is the usual $L^{2}$ norm for the respective domain. If $\inf c(x)>0$, then by 3.1),

$$
W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \sim V_{c, 0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)
$$

We define for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and for all non-negative function $\tau$ such that $\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}<$ $\infty$, the functional $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}: W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left[c(x) u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} F(u+\tau) d x
$$

where $F(s)=\int_{0}^{t} f(t) d t$.
The function $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}$ is well-defined; even more if $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, using ( $f 3$ ) and Sobolev immersion we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} F(u+\tau) & \leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}(u+\tau)^{\sigma+1} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1}\left(\|u\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}+\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right)^{\sigma+1} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1}\left(C_{s}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}+\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right)^{\sigma+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{s}$ is the usual Sobolev immersion constant. Then using (3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}(u) \geq \frac{m}{2}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}-\lambda \frac{a}{\sigma+1}\left(C_{s}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}+\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right)^{\sigma+1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}$ is a $C^{1}$ functional, so if $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ is a critical point of $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}$ then $u$ is a weak solution and by regularity, so classical solution of (1.5).

Proposition 4.1. (i) Let $m$ be as above then for all $\lambda<\frac{m}{b}, \Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}$ is coercive and bounded from below.
(ii) For all $\lambda<\frac{\inf c(x)}{l}$, 1.5 has at most one solution in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. (i) Using (3.1) and 3.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}(u) & \geq \frac{m}{2}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}-\frac{\lambda b}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}(u+\tau)^{2} \\
& >\frac{m}{2}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}-\frac{\lambda b}{2}\left(\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}+\|\tau\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{m-\lambda b}{2}\right)\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}-\lambda b\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\|\tau\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}-\frac{\lambda b}{2}\|\tau\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so, (i) is proven.
(ii) The uniqueness is proved as in [1]. Indeed: if $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are two solutions of (1.5) then,
$\inf c(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left[c(x)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)^{2}+\left|\nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right] d x \leq \lambda l \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)^{2} d x$

Now we consider problem 1.6 and we define $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}: W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the same way that $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}$. It can be verified that, if $R^{\prime} \geq R$, then

$$
W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right) \subset W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right) \subset W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)
$$

in addition for all $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right), \Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}(u) \leq \Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R^{\prime}}(u) \leq \Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u)$, more precisely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R^{\prime}}(u)=\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u)-\lambda \int_{D_{R^{\prime}}-D_{R}} F(\tau) d x \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.2. There exists a positive constant $C=C\left(a, \sigma, C_{s}, m\right)$ such that for all $\lambda<\overline{\bar{\lambda}}\left(\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right)$ and for all $u:\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}=\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}, \Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}(u)>0$, where $\overline{\bar{\lambda}}\left(\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right) \equiv C\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{1-\sigma}$. In fact, applying 4.1) and taking

$$
C \equiv \frac{(\sigma+1) m}{2 a}\left[C_{s}+1\right]^{-\sigma-1}
$$

the result is obvious. Furthermore for 4.2 )

$$
\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u)>0 \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right) \quad\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)}=\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}
$$

then as in Lemma 2.5, for $\lambda<\overline{\bar{\lambda}}$ there exists $\bar{u}_{R} \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$ with $\left\|\bar{u}_{R}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)}<$ $\|\tau\|_{L^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}$ such that $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(\bar{u}_{R}\right)<0$ and $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}^{\prime}\left(\bar{u}_{R}\right)=0$.

Now we will prove a sufficient condition to approximate solutions of (1.5 with solutions of 1.6 with $R$ large enough.

Lemma 4.3. Let $f$ and $\tau$ be as above and $\lambda \in R_{+}$. Suppose $\left(R_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a sequence $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $R_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a sequence of positive solutions of (1.6) with $R_{n}$ instead of $R$, such that for all $n, u_{n} \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R_{n}}\right)$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, i.e. there exists $\Gamma^{\prime}>0$ such that for all $n$, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{R_{n}}\right)}+$ $\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{R_{n}}\right)}<\Gamma^{\prime}$. Then, there exists a subsequence (called again $\left.\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}\right)$ ) and a function $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ and $u$ is a classical solution of 1.5 .

Proof. Using the Calderón-Zygmund inequality for all $n$ [6, theorems 9.9 and 9.11], $u_{n} \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R_{n}}\right) \cap H^{2, p}\left(D_{R_{n}}\right) .\left(H^{2, p}\left(D_{R_{n}}\right)\right.$ denotes the usual Sobolev space $W^{2, p}\left(D_{R_{n}}\right)$ ). Fixed $R^{\prime}>0$, for any $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset D_{R^{\prime}}$,

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{2, p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right)}+\left\|\lambda f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right)}\right)
$$

for all $n$ such that $R_{n}>R^{\prime}$. The constant $C$ depends on $D_{R^{\prime}}, n, p$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, using Sobolev immersion and Poincaré inequality

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{2, p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leq C\left(C_{1} \Gamma^{\prime}+\lambda \sup f\left|D_{R^{\prime}}\right|^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)
$$

for $p$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
1<p<\frac{2 n}{n-2} \quad \text { if } n \geq 3 \\
1<p \quad \text { if } n=2
\end{gathered}
$$

and for all n such that $R_{n}>R^{\prime}$. From this and the Sobolev embedding theorem for $\Omega^{\prime}$, there exists a subsequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ such that if $\mathrm{n}=2,3 u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega^{\prime}}\right)$ and if $n \geq 4$ and $1<p<\min \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{2 n}{n-2}\right)$ is fixed, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right), 1 \leq q<\frac{n p}{n-2 p}$. Since $\Omega^{\prime}$ is an arbitrary and relatively compact such that $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset D_{R_{n}}$ and $R_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$,
we obtain that the above convergence are in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ and $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, respectively. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, and reflexivity

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { weakly in } W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then using Sobolev immersion

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { weakly in } \quad L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \leq p<\frac{2 n}{n-2} \quad \text { if } n \geq 3 \\
2 \leq p \quad \text { if } n=2
\end{gathered}
$$

By (4.4), if we prove that for all $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) v d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f(u+\tau) v d x
$$

our lemma will follow. Based on this and for fixed $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, we consider the function

$$
w=\frac{f(u+\tau)}{u+\tau} v
$$

It is easy to see that $w \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, where $p^{\prime}$ is such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Now

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) v d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left[f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right)-\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) \frac{f(u+\tau)}{u+\tau}\right] v d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) w d x \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By 4.5), the last term of the right hand side of 4.6) tends to $\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f(u+\tau) v$. On the other hand, by (f4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left[f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right)-\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) \frac{f(u+\tau)}{u+\tau}\right] v d x\right| \leq 2 l \int_{\operatorname{supp}(v)}\left|u-u_{n} \| v\right| d x \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so by (4.3), the first term of the second member in (4.6) tends to 0.
Theorem 4.4. Let $\Gamma, f, \tau$ and $\overline{\bar{\lambda}}$ be as above. Then, for all $\lambda, 0<\lambda<\overline{\bar{\lambda}}$ the local minima $\bar{u}_{R}$ of $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}$ obtained in Remark 4.2, approximate the local minima of $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}$ on the ball $B_{\Gamma}$ of center 0 and radius $\Gamma$ in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$. As consequence $\nu_{\infty} \equiv \inf _{B_{\Gamma}} \Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}$, is a minimum and by Proposition 4.1 it is the unique, if $\lambda$ is small enough (i.e. $0<\lambda<\frac{\inf c(x)}{l}$ ).
Proof. Using the Lemma 4.3. we only need to prove that $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(\bar{u}_{R}\right) \rightarrow \nu_{\infty}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Because of this we consider $\left(u_{R}\right)_{R}$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ such that $u_{R} \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$ and $\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}\left(u_{R}\right) \rightarrow \nu_{\infty}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\nu_{\infty} \leq \Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(\bar{u}_{R}\right) \leq \Phi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(u_{R}\right)=\Phi_{\lambda, \tau, \infty}\left(u_{R}\right)-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}-D_{R}} F(\tau) d x
$$

by 4.2, $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}-D_{R}} F(\tau) d x \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.
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