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# PERIODICITY OF MILD SOLUTIONS TO HIGHER ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN BANACH SPACES 

THANH LAN NGUYEN


#### Abstract

We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the periodicity of mild solutions to the the higher order differential equation $u^{(n)}(t)=A u(t)+$ $f(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$, in a Banach space $E$. Applications are made to the cases, when $A$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup or a cosine family, and when $E$ is a Hilbert space.


## 1. Introduction

This paper concerns the periodicity of solutions to the higher order Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(n)}(t)=A u(t)+f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \\
u^{(i)}(0)=x_{i}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A$ is a linear and closed operator on a Banach space $E$, and $f$ is a function from $[0, T]$ to $E$. The asymptotic behavior and, in particular, the periodicity of solutions of 1.1 has been subject to intensive study in recent decades. It is wellknown [6] that, if $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then the first order Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
u(0)=x \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

in $E=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ admits a unique $T$-periodic solution for each continuous $T$-periodic forcing term $f$ if and only if $\lambda_{k}=2 k \pi t / T, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, are not eigenvalues of $A$. This result was extended by Krein and Dalecki [2, 9] to the Cauchy problem in an abstract Banach space. In [2, Theorem II 4.3] it was claimed that, if $A$ is a linear bounded operator on $E$, then 1.2 admits a unique $T$-periodic solution for each $f \in C[0, T]$ if and only if $2 k \pi i / T \in \varrho(A), k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $\varrho(A)$ denotes the resolvent set of $A$. Unfortunately, the above result does not hold any more when $A$ is an unbounded operator (see [5]). For the case, when $A$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup, periodicity of solutions of (1.2) was studied in [8, 15]. Corresponding results on the periodic solutions of the second order Cauchy problem were obtained in [12, 16], when $A$ is generator of a cosine family. Related results can also be found in [3, 7, 10, 11, 13, 17] and the references therein.

[^0]In this paper we investigate the periodicity of mild solutions of the higher order Cauchy problem (1.1) when $A$ is a linear, unbounded operator. The main tool we use here is the Fourier series method. For an integrable function $f(t)$ from $[0, T]$ to $E$, the Fourier coefficient of $f(t)$ is defined as

$$
f_{k}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} f(s) e^{-2 k \pi i s / T} d s, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Then $f(t)$ can be represented by Fourier series

$$
f(t) \approx \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2 k \pi i t / T} f_{k}
$$

First, we establish the relationship between the Fourier coefficients of the periodic solutions of (1.1) and those of the inhomogeneity $f$. We then give different equivalent conditions so that (1.1) admits a unique periodic solution for each inhomogeneity $f$ in a certain function space. As applications, in Section 3 we show a short proof of the Gearhart's Theorem: If $A$ is generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $T(t)$, then $1 \in \varrho(T(1))$ if and only if $2 k \pi i \in \varrho(A)$ and $\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|R(2 k \pi i, A)\|<\infty$. Corresponding result for the spectrum of a cosine family is also presented.

Let us fix some notation. A continuous function on $[0, T]$ is said to be $T$-periodic if $u(0)=u(T)$. For the sake of simplicity (and without loss of generality) we assume $T=1$ and put $J:=[0,1]$. For $p \geq 1, L_{p}(J)$ denotes the space of $E$-valued functions on $J$ with $\int_{0}^{1}\|f(t)\|^{p} d t<\infty$ and $C(J)$ the space of functions on $J$ with and $\|f\|=\sup _{J}\|f(t)\|<\infty$. Moreover, for $m>0$ we define the following function spaces
(1) $W_{p}^{m}(J):=\left\{f \in L_{p}(J): f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, f^{(m)} \in L_{p}(J)\right\} . W_{p}^{m}(J)$ is then a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{W_{p}^{m}}:=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left\|f^{(k)}\right\|_{L_{p}(J)}
$$

(2) $P^{m}(J):=\left\{f \in C(J): f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(m)}\right.$ are in $\left.P(J)\right\}$. That means $P^{m}(J)$ is the space of all functions on $J$, which can be extended to 1-periodic, $m$-times continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$. $P^{m}(J)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{P^{m}(J)}:=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left\|f^{(k)}\right\|_{C(J)}
$$

(3) $W P_{p}^{m}(J):=P^{m-1}(J) \cap W_{p}^{m}(J)$. It is easy to see that $W P_{p}^{m}(J)$ is a Banach space with $W_{p}^{m}(J)$-norm.

We will use the following simple lemma.
Lemma 1.1. If $F$ is a continuous function on $J$ such that $f=F^{\prime} \in L_{p}(J)$, then for $k \neq 0$ we have

$$
F_{k}=\frac{1}{2 k \pi i} f_{k}+\frac{F(0)-F(1)}{2 k \pi i}
$$

where $f_{k}$ and $F_{k}$ are the Fourier series of $f$ and $F$, respectively.

## 2. Periodic Mild Solutions of Higher Order Differential Equations

Let $J$ be the interval $[0,1]$ and $p \geq 1$. For each function $f \in L_{p}(J)$ we define the function $\operatorname{If}$ by $I f(t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$ and, for $n \geq 2$, the function $I^{n} f$ by $I^{n} f(t):=$ $I\left(I^{n-1} f\right)(t)$.

Definition 2.1. (1) A continuous function $u$ is called a mild solution of 1.1 on $J$, if $I^{n} u(t) \in D(A)$ and, for all $t \in J$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{i}}{i!} x_{i}+A I^{n} u(t)+I^{n} f(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) A function $u$ is a classical solution of (1.1) on $J$, if $u(t) \in D(A), u$ is $n$-times continuously differentiable, and 1.1 holds for $t \in J$.

## Remarks.

(i) If $n=1$ and $A$ is the generator of a $C_{0}$ semigroup $T(t)$, then a continuous function $u: J \rightarrow E$ is a mild solution of (1.1) if and only if it has the form

$$
u(t)=T(t) x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} T(t-r) f(r) d r, \quad t \in J
$$

(See [1]).
(ii) Similarly, if $n=2$ and $A$ generates a cosine family $(C(t))$ on $E$, then any continuously differentiable function $u$ on $E$ of the form

$$
u(t)=C(t) x_{0}+S(t) x_{1}+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-\tau) f(\tau) d \tau, \quad t \in J
$$

where $(S(t))$ is the associated sine family, is a mild solution of 1.1) (see Section 3 for more details).
The mild solution to (1.1) defined by 2.1 is really an extension of a classical solution in the sense that every classical solution is a mild solution and conversely, if a mild solution is $n$-times continuously differentiable, then it is a classical solution. That statement is actually contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $u$ is a mild solution of (1.1), which is $m$-times continuously differentiable. Then we have $\left(I^{n-m} u\right)(t) \in D(A)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(m)}(t)=\sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \frac{t^{j-m}}{(j-m)!} x_{j}+A I^{n-m} u(t)+I^{n-m} f(t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $m=0$, then $\sqrt{2.2}$ coincides with $(2.1)$. We prove for $m=1$ : Let $v(t):=$ $A I^{n} u(t)$. Then, by 2.1$), v$ is continuously differentiable and

$$
v^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{t^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} x_{j}-I^{n-1} f(t)
$$

Let $h>0$ and put

$$
v_{h}:=\frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} I^{n-1} u(s) d s
$$

Then $v_{h} \rightarrow\left(I^{n-1} u\right)(t)$ for $h \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} A v_{h}=\quad \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h}\left(A \int_{0}^{t+h} I^{n-1} u(s) d s-A \int_{0}^{t} I^{n-1} u(s) d s\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{h}(v(t+h)-v(t)) \\
& \\
& \quad=v^{\prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A$ is a closed operator, we obtain that $I^{n-1} u(t) \in D(A)$ and

$$
A I^{n-1} u(t)=u^{\prime}(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{t^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} x_{j}-I^{n-1} f(t)
$$

from which 2.2 with $m=1$ follows. If $m>1$, we obtain 2.2 by repeating the above process $(m-1)$ times.

In particular, if the mild solution $u$ is $n$-times continuously differentiable, then 2.2) becomes $u^{(n)}(t)=A u(t)+f(t)$, i.e. $u$ is a classical solution of 1.1.

We now consider the mild solutions of 1.1 , which are $(n-1)$ times continuously differentiable. The following proposition describes the connection between the Fourier coefficients of such solutions and those of $f(t)$.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose $f \in L_{p}(J)$ and $u$ is a mild solution of 1.1, which is $(n-1)$ times continuously differentiable. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right) u_{k}-f_{k}}{(2 k \pi i)^{n}}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{u^{(j)}(0)-u^{(j)}(1)}{(2 k \pi i)^{j+1}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \neq 0$.
Proof. Let $u_{k}^{(j)}$ be the $k^{\text {th }}$ Fourier coefficient of $u^{(j)}$. Using the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}^{(j)}=\frac{u^{(j)}(0)-u^{(j)}(1)}{2 k \pi i}+\frac{1}{2 k \pi i} u_{k}^{(j+1)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=0,1,2, \ldots, n-2$ (by Lemma 1.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{u^{(j)}(0)-u^{(j)}(1)}{(2 k \pi i)^{j+1}}+\frac{1}{(2 k \pi i)^{n-1}} u_{k}^{(n-1)} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ is $(n-1)$ times continuously differentiable, by Lemma 2.2 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(n-1)}(t)=u^{(n-1)}(0)+A I u(t)+I f(t) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the $k^{t h}$ Fourier coefficient on both sides of 2.6) and using 2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{k}^{(n-1)} & =A(I u)_{k}+(I f)_{k} \\
& =A\left(\frac{I u(0)-I u(1)}{2 k \pi i}+\frac{1}{2 k \pi i}(I u)_{k}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\frac{I f(0)-I f(1)}{2 k \pi i}+\frac{1}{2 k \pi i}(I f)_{k}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\frac{-(A I u(1)+I f(1))}{2 k \pi i}+\frac{A u_{k}+f_{k}}{2 k \pi i} \\
& =\frac{u^{(n-1)}(0)-u^{(n-1)}(1)}{2 k \pi i}+\frac{A u_{k}+f_{k}}{2 k \pi i} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have also used $I u(0)=I f(0)=0,(I u)_{k}^{\prime}=u_{k}$ and $(I f)_{k}^{\prime}=f_{k}$. Combining (2.5) and 2.7), we obtain

$$
u_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{u^{(j)}(0)-u^{(j)}(1)}{(2 k \pi i)^{j+1}}+\frac{A u_{k}+f_{k}}{(2 k \pi i)^{n}}
$$

from which 2.3 follows.

The interesting point of Proposition 2.3 is that the Fourier coefficients of the mild solution $u$ depend not only on $u$ but also on its derivatives. If $u$ is a mild solution in $P^{(n-1)}(J)$, then we have a nice relationship between Fourier coefficients of $u$ and those of $f$, as the following proposition shows.
Proposition 2.4. Suppose $f \in L_{p}(J)$ and $u$ is a mild solution of 1.1), which is $(n-1)$ times continuously differentiable. Then $u \in P^{(n-1)}(J)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right) u_{k}=f_{k} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Suppose $u$ is a mild 1-periodic solution of 1.1 in $P^{n-1}(J)$. If $k \neq 0$, then (2.8) follows directly from (2.3). If $k=0$, using 2.2 with $m=n-1$ and $t=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{(n-1)}(1) & =u^{(n-1)}(0)+A \int_{0}^{1} u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s \\
& =u^{(n-1)}(0)+A u_{0}+f_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the 1-periodicity of $u^{(n-1)}$ we obtain $A u_{0}+f_{0}=0$, from which 2.8 holds for $k=0$. Conversely, suppose 2.8 holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, by 2.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{u^{(j)}(0)-u^{(j)}(1)}{(2 k \pi i)^{j}}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

all $k \neq 0$. That means that for any positive integer $K$, the vector

$$
X=\left(u(0)-u(1), u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(1), \ldots, u^{(n-1)}(0)-u^{(n-1)}(1)\right)^{T}
$$

is a solution of the system of linear equations

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{n-1}} \\
1 & \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2 \pi i} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(2 \cdot 2 \pi i)^{n-1}} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \frac{1}{2 K \pi i} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(2 K \pi i)^{n-1}}
\end{array}\right)_{n \times K} \quad\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

This can only happen if $X=0$, i.e. $u^{(j)}(0)-u^{(j)}(1)=0$ for $j=0,1,2, \ldots,(n-1)$. Hence, $u \in P^{(n-1)}(J)$, and the proposition is proved.

From Proposition 2.4 we obtain
Corollary 2.5. Suppose $f \in L_{p}(J)$. Then
(i) If $\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)$ is injective for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then Equation (1.1) has at most one 1-periodic mild solution, which belongs tp $P^{n-1}(J)$.
(ii) If there exists a number $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_{k} \notin \operatorname{Range}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)$, then Equation 1.1 has no periodic mild solution which belongs to $P^{n-1}(J)$.
(iii) Let $u$ be a mild solution of $u^{(n)}=A u$, which is $(n-1)$ times continuously differentiable. Then $u$ belongs to $P^{n-1}$ if and only if

$$
(2 k \pi i)^{n} u_{k}=A u_{k}
$$

i.e., $u_{k}$ is an eigen-vector of $A$ corresponding to $(2 k \pi i)^{n}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We are now in a position to state the main results.

Theorem 2.6. Let $A$ be a closed operator on $E$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$. The following statements are equivalent.
(i) For each function $f \in W P_{p}^{m}(J)$, Equation (1.1) admits a unique mild solution in $W P_{p}^{n}(J)$
(ii) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 k \pi i \in \varrho(A)$ and there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\| \sum_{k}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} e^{2 k \pi i} \cdot x_{k}\right)\left\|_{W_{p}^{n}(J)} \leq C \cdot\right\| \sum_{k} e^{2 k \pi i \cdot} x_{k} \|_{W_{p}^{m}(J)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any finite sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \subset E$
If $E$ is a Hilbert space, and $p=2$, then (i) and (ii) are equivalent to
(iii) For every $k \in \mathbb{Z},(2 k \pi i)^{n} \in \varrho(A)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|k^{n-m}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.7. Let $F_{1}:=W P_{p}^{m}(J)$ and $F_{2}:=W P_{p}^{n}(J)$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) For each function $f \in F_{1}$, (1.1) admits a unique mild solution $u$ in $F_{2}$.
(2) There exists a dense subset $D$ in $F_{1}$ such that:
(i) For each function $f \in D$, 1.1) admits a unique mild solution $u$ in $F_{2}$;
(ii) There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $f \in D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{F_{2}} \leq C\|f\|_{F_{1}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): We will prove (2) with $D=F_{1}$. It is easy to see that (i) is automatically satisfied. To show (ii), we define the operator $G: F_{1} \mapsto F_{2}$ by $G f:=u$, where $u$ is the unique mild solution of 1.1 in $F_{2}$. Then $G$ is a linear, everywhere defined operator. We will prove the boundedness of $G$ by showing that $G$ is a closed operator. To this end, let $\left\{f_{j}\right\} \subset F_{1}$ a sequence such that $f_{j} \rightarrow f$ in $F_{1}$ and $G f_{j} \rightarrow u$ in $F_{2}$ for $j \rightarrow \infty$. For each $t \in J$, let $v_{j}:=I^{n}\left(G f_{j}\right)(t)$, then

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} v_{j}=I^{n} u(t)
$$

Moreover, from the identity

$$
\left(G f_{j}\right)(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{j}}{j!}\left(G f_{j}\right)(0)+A I^{n}\left(G f_{j}\right)(t)+I^{n} f_{j}(t)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A v_{j} & =A I^{n}\left(G f_{j}\right)(t) \\
& =\left(G f_{j}\right)(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{i}}{i!}\left(G f_{j}\right)(0)-I^{n} f_{j}(t) \rightarrow u(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{i}}{i!} u(0)-I^{n} f(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $A$ is a closed operator, $I^{n} u(t) \in D(A)$ and

$$
A I^{n} u(t)=u(t)-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{i}}{i!} u(0)-I^{n} f(t)
$$

i.e., $u$ is a mild solution of 1.1 and consequently, $G f=u$. So, $G$ is a bounded operator from $F_{1}$ to $F_{2}$, from which 2.12 follows with $C=\|G\|$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. For any $f \in F_{1}$ there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{j}\right\} \subset D$ such that $f_{j} \rightarrow f$ for $j \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u_{j}$ be the mild solution in $F_{2}$ corresponding to $f_{j}$, then, by 2.12, $u_{j} \rightarrow u$ for some $u \in F_{2}$. With the same manner as in the previous part, we can prove that $u$ is a mild solution of $\sqrt{1.1}$ corresponding to $f$. The uniqueness of this solution comes directly from (2.12).

Proof of Theorem 2.6. (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii): We first show that $(2 k \pi i)^{n} \in \varrho(A)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. To this end, let $f(t)=e^{2 k \pi i t} x, x \in E$ and $u(t)$ be the unique mild solution to (1.2) corresponding to $f$. By Lemma 2.4 we have $\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right) u_{k}=x$. Hence $\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)$ is surjective. On the other side, if $\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)$ is not injective, i.e. there is a non-zero vector $x_{0} \in E$ such that $\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right) x_{0}=0$, then it is not hard to check that $u_{1}: \equiv 0$ and $u_{2}(t):=e^{2 k \pi i t} x_{0}$ are two distinct 1-periodic mild (classical) solution $\mathrm{f} u^{(n)}(t)=A u(t)$. It is contradicting to the uniqueness of $u$. So $\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)$ is injective and hence bijective, i.e. $(2 k \pi i)^{n} \in \varrho(A)$. Let now $f(t):=\sum_{k} e^{2 k \pi i t} x_{k}$, where $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is any finite sequence in $E$. Then, by Lemma 2.4 , $u(t)=\sum_{k}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} e^{2 k \pi i t} x_{k}$ is the unique 1-periodic mild solution to (1.1) corresponding to $f$. Thus, 2.10 is obtained by inequality (2.12).
(ii) $\rightarrow$ (i): Put

$$
\mathcal{M}:=\left\{f(t)=\sum_{k} e^{2 k \pi i t} x_{k}:\left\{x_{k}\right\} \text { is a finite sequence in } E\right\} .
$$

Observe that $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $W P_{p}^{m}(J)$. Moreover, if $f$ is a function in $\mathcal{M}$, i.e., if $f(t)=\sum_{k} e^{2 k \pi t} x_{k}$, then it is easy to check that $u(t)=\sum_{k}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} e^{2 k \pi i t} x_{k}$ is a unique 1-periodic mild solution of (1.1) corresponding to $f$ and, by Corollary 2.5 ( $i$, it is the unique one. From 2.12 it follows that $\|u\|_{W_{p}^{n}(J)} \leq C\|f\|_{W_{p}^{m}(J)}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{M}$. By Lemma 2.7, that implies (i).

Finally, if $E$ is a Hilbert space, then $W P_{2}^{m}(J)$ is a Hilbert space for any $0 \leq$ $m \leq n$. Moreover, for $f(t)=\sum_{k} e^{2 k \pi i t} x_{k}$ and $u(t)=\sum_{k}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} e^{2 k \pi i t} x_{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{W_{2}^{m}(J)}=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\left(\sum_{k}(2 k \pi)^{2 j}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{n}(J, E)}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{k}(2 k \pi)^{2 j}\left\|\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} x_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose (ii) holds, i.e., $\|u\|_{W_{2}^{n}(J)} \leq C\|f\|_{W_{2}^{m}(J)}$ for $f \in \mathcal{M}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, take $f(t):=e^{2 k \pi i t} x$. From 2.13 and 2.14, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{W_{2}^{m}(J)}=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\left\|(2 k \pi)^{j} x\right\| \leq(2 \pi)^{m}(m+1)\left\|k^{m} x\right\| \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{n}(J)}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left\|(2 k \pi)^{j}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} x\right\| \geq(2 \pi)^{n}\left\|k^{n}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} x\right\| \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining 2.10, 2.15 and 2.16 we obtain

$$
(2 \pi)^{n}\left\|k^{n}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} x\right\| \leq C \cdot(2 \pi)^{m}(m+1)\left\|k^{m} x\right\|,
$$

from which 2.11) follows.

Conversely, suppose (iii) holds, i.e., there is a positive constant $C$ such that $\left.\|(2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} \| \leq C|k|^{m-n}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using that inequality for the right hand side of $(2.14)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{k}\left((2 k \pi i)^{n}-A\right)^{-1} e^{2 k \pi i} x_{k}\right\|_{W_{2}^{n}(J)} & \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{k}(2 k \pi)^{2 j} k^{2 m-2 n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{k}(2 k \pi)^{2 j+2 m-2 n}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C_{1}(n+1)\left(\sum_{k}(2 k \pi)^{2 m}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C_{1}(n+1) \sum_{j=0}^{m}\left(\sum_{k}(2 k \pi)^{2 j}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =C_{1}(n+1)\left\|\sum_{k} e^{2 k \pi i} x_{k}\right\|_{W_{2}^{m}(J)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}=C(2 \pi)^{n-m}$. Thus, 2.10 holds and the theorem is proved.

The next theorem shows the relationship between the regularity of the inhomogeneity and that of the corresponding mild solution.

Theorem 2.8. If $A$ is a closed operator on $E$, then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) For each $f \in L_{p}(J)$ Eq. 1.1 admits a unique mild solution in $P^{n-1}(J)$.
(ii) $0 \in \varrho(A)$ and for each $f \in L_{p}(J)$ with $\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s=0$, Equation 1.1 admits a unique mild solution in $P^{n-1}(J)$.
(iii) For each $f \in W P_{p}^{1}(J)$, Equation 1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic classical solution.

Proof. If (i) or (iii) holds, then, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.6, we can prove that $2 k \pi i \in \varrho(A)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(i) $\rightarrow$ (iii): Let $F$ be any function in $W P_{p}^{1}(J)$. Then $F$ can be written as by $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s+x_{0}$, where $f \in L_{p}(J)$ and $x_{0}$ is a vector in $E$. Since $F$ is 1 periodic we have $\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s=0$. Let $u$ be the mild solution to (1.1) corresponding to $f$, which is in $P^{n-1}(J)$, and put

$$
U(t)=\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s+A^{-1} u^{n-1}(0)-A^{-1} x_{0}
$$

From identity 2.2 with $m=n-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(n-1)}(1)=u^{n-1}(0)+A \int_{0}^{1} u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $u^{(n-1)}(1)=u^{(n-1)}(0)$ and $\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s=0$. Thus, from 2.17 we obtain $A \int_{0}^{1} u(s) d s=0$, which implies, due to $0 \in \varrho(A), \int_{0}^{1} u(s) d s=0$. Hence, $U$ is a

1-periodic function. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{(n)}(t) & =u^{(n-1)}(t) \\
& =u^{n-1}(0)+A \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s \\
& =u^{n-1}(0)+A\left[U(t)-A^{-1} u_{n-1}+A^{-1} x_{0}\right]+\left(F(t)-x_{0}\right) \\
& =A U(t)+F(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $U$ is an 1-periodic classical solution. The uniqueness of this solution follows from the fact that $u \equiv 0$ is the unique 1-periodic mild solution to the homogeneous equation $u^{(n)}(t)=A u(t)$, which, in turn, follows from (i).
(iii) $\rightarrow$ (ii): Let $f$ be a function in $L_{p}(J)$ with $\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s=0$. Define $F(t):=$ $\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$, then it is easy to see that $F \in W P_{p}^{1}(J)$. Let $U$ be the unique 1-periodic classical solution of 1.2 corresponding to $F$ and put $u:=U^{\prime}$. Then $u \in P^{n-1}(J)$ and $U(t)=\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s+U(0)$. By the definition of $U$ and $F$, the equation $U^{(n)}(t)=$ $A U(t)+F(t)$ means

$$
u^{(n-1)}(t)=A U(0)+A \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s
$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.2, $u$ is a mild solution to 1.1 corresponding to $f$. The uniqueness of $u$ follows from Corollary 2.5
(ii) $\rightarrow$ (i): Let $f$ be a function in $L_{p}(J)$. Define $\tilde{f}(t):=f(t)-f_{0}$, where $f_{0}=$ $\int_{0}^{1} f(s) d s$, then $\int_{0}^{1} \tilde{f}(s) d s=0$. Let $\tilde{u}$ be the 1-periodic mild solution to 1.1 corresponding to $\tilde{f}$ and put $u(t):=\tilde{u}(t)-A^{-1} f_{0}$. Then $u$, as $\tilde{u}$, is in $P^{n-1}(J)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t)= & \tilde{u}(t)-A^{-1} f_{0} \\
= & \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{k}}{k!} \tilde{u}^{(k)}(0)+A I^{n} \tilde{u}(t)+I^{n} \tilde{f}(t)\right)-A^{-1} f_{0} \\
= & \left(u(0)+A^{-1} f_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{t^{k}}{k!} u^{(k)}(0)\right)+A I^{n}\left(u(t)+A^{-1} f_{0}\right) \\
& +I^{n}\left(f(t)-f_{0}\right)-A^{-1} f_{0} \\
= & \left.\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{k}}{k!} u^{(k)}(0)\right)+A I^{n} u(t)+I^{n} f(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $u$ is a mild solution to (1.1) corresponding to $f$. The uniqueness of $u$ follows from Corollary 2.5 .

## 3. Applications

A semigroup case. Here, we consider the first order Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \\
u(0)=x \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Recall that in this case the mild solution is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=T(t) x+\int_{0}^{t} T(t-s) f(s) d s \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following result, in which the equivalence between (i) and (v) is the Gearhart's Theorem [4].
Theorem 3.1. Let A generate a $C_{0}$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $1 \in \varrho(T(1))$;
(ii) For every function $f \in L_{p}(J)$, Equation 3.1) admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution;
(iii) For every function $f \in W P_{p}^{1}(J)$, Equation 3.1 admits a unique mild solution in $W P_{p}^{1}(J)$;
(iv) For every function $f \in W P_{p}^{1}(J)$, Equation 3.1 admits a unique 1-periodic classical solution
If $E$ is a Hilbert space, all the above statements are equivalent to
(v) $\{2 k \pi i: k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \varrho(A)$ and

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|(2 k \pi i-A)^{-1}\right\|<\infty .
$$

Proof. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) was proved in [15]. The equivalence (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) follows from Theorem 2.8 and, if $E$ is a Hilbert space, (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) follows from Theorem 2.6. The inclusion (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious. So, it remains to show (iii) $\rightarrow$ (iv).

To this end, let $u$ be the unique mild solution of 3.1), which belong to $W P_{p}^{1}(J)$. Since $\int_{0}^{t} T(t-s) f(s) d s \in D(A)$ and $t \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t} T(t-s) f(s) d s$ is continuously differentiable for any $f \in W_{p}^{1}(J)$ (see e.g. [14]), we obtain that $T(\cdot) u(0) \in W_{p}^{1}(J)$. It follows that $T(t) u(0) \in D(A)$ for $t>0$ (since $t \mapsto T(t) x$ is differentiable at $t_{0}$ if and only if $\left.T\left(t_{0}\right) x \in D(A)\right)$. Hence, $u(1)$, and thus, $x=u(1)$ belongs to $D(A)$. So $u$ is a classical solution. The uniqueness of the 1-periodic classical solution is obvious.

A cosine family case. We now consider the second order Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \\
u(0)=x, u^{\prime}(0)=y \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A$ is generator of a cosine family $(C(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $E$. Recall (see u.g. [1]) that in this case there exists a Banach space $F$ such that $D(A) \hookrightarrow F \hookrightarrow E$ and such that the operator

$$
\mathcal{A}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & I \\
A & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $D(\mathcal{A})=D(A) \times F$ generates the $C_{0}$-semigroup

$$
\mathcal{T}(t):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C(t) & S(t) \\
C^{\prime}(t) & C(t)
\end{array}\right)
$$

on $F \times E$, where $S(t)$ is the associated sine family. Moreover, it is not difficult to check that $u$ is a mild solution of 3.3 , which is continuously differentiable (a mild solution, which is in $W P_{p}^{2}(J)$, or a classical solution of 3.3 , respectively), if and
only if $\mathcal{U}=\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)^{T}$ is a mild solution (a mild solution, which is in $W P_{p}^{1}(J)$, or a classical solution, respectively) of the first order differential equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{U}^{\prime}(t)=\mathcal{A} \mathcal{U}(t)+(0, f(t))^{T}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T  \tag{3.4}\\
\mathcal{U}(0)=(x, y)^{T}
\end{gather*}
$$

in the space $F \times E$. Using (3.2), we have the explicit form of $u$ by

$$
u(t)=C(t) x+S(t) y+\int_{0}^{t} S(s-\tau) f(\tau) d \tau
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let A generate a cosine family $(C(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ in $E$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $1 \in \varrho(C(1))$;
(ii) For each function $f \in L_{p}(J)$, Equation 3.3 has a unique 1-periodic mild solution, which is continuously differentiable;
(iii) For each function $f \in W P_{p}^{1}(J)$, Equation 3.3 admits a unique mild solution in $W P_{p}^{2}(J)$;
(iv) For each function $f \in W P_{p}^{1}(J)$, Equation 3.3) admits a unique 1-periodic classical solution;
If $E$ is a Hilbert space, all the above statements are equivalent to

$$
\text { (v) }\left\{-4 k^{2} \pi^{2}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \subset \varrho(A) \text { and } \sup _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|k\left(4 k^{2} \pi^{2}+A\right)^{-1}\right\|<\infty
$$

Proof. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) is virtually proved in [16]. The equivalence (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) from Theorem 2.8 and, if $E$ is a Hilbert space, (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) follows from Theorem 2.6. The inclusion (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious. So, it remains to show (iii) $\rightarrow$ (iv). To this end, let $u$ be the 1-periodic mild solution of (3.3), which is in $W P_{p}^{2}(J)$, then $\mathcal{U}=\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)^{T}$ is the 1-periodic mild solution of 3.4), which is in $W P_{p}^{1}(J, F \times E)$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is the generator of a $C_{0}$-semigroup, we can show (with the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.1) that $\mathcal{U}$ is a 1-periodic classical solution of (3.4). It follows that $u$ is a 1 -periodic classical solution of 3.3.
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