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## NONLINEAR KIRCHHOFF-CARRIER WAVE EQUATION IN A UNIT MEMBRANE WITH MIXED HOMOGENEOUS BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

NGUYEN THANH LONG

Abstract. In this paper we consider the nonlinear wave equation problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t t}-B\left(\|u\|_{0}^{2},\left\|u_{r}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)\left(u_{r r}+\frac{1}{r} u_{r}\right)=f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}\right), \quad 0<r<1,0<t<T \\
\left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0+} \sqrt{r} u_{r}(r, t)\right|<\infty \\
u_{r}(1, t)+h u(1, t)=0 \\
u(r, 0)=\widetilde{u}_{0}(r), u_{t}(r, 0)=\widetilde{u}_{1}(r)
\end{gathered}
$$

To this problem, we associate a linear recursive scheme for which the existence of a local and unique weak solution is proved, in weighted Sobolev using standard compactness arguments. In the latter part, we give sufficient conditions for quadratic convergence to the solution of the original problem, for an autonomous right-hand side independent on $u_{r}$ and a coefficient function $B$ of the form $B=B\left(\|u\|_{0}^{2}\right)=b_{0}+\|u\|_{0}^{2}$ with $b_{0}>0$.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the initial and boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-B\left(\|u\|_{0}^{2},\left\|u_{r}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)\left(u_{r r}+\frac{1}{r} u_{r}\right)=f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}\right), \quad 0<x<1,0<t<T \\
\left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sqrt{r} u_{r}(r, t)\right|<\infty  \tag{1.1}\\
u_{r}(1, t)+h u(1, t)=0 \\
u(r, 0)=u_{0}(r), \quad u_{t}(r, 0)=u_{1}(r)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B, f, \widetilde{u}_{0}, \widetilde{u}_{1}$ are given functions, $\|u\|_{0}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1} r|u(r, t)|^{2} d r,\left\|u_{r}\right\|_{0}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1} r\left|u_{r}(r, t)\right|^{2} d r$ and $h$ is a given positive constant.

[^0]Many authors [6, 7, 15, 17, 18] have studied the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{t t}-B_{1}\left(\|v\|^{2},\|\nabla v\|^{2}\right) \Delta v=f_{1}\left(x, t, v, v_{t}, \nabla v\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega_{1} \times(0, T), \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}+h v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega_{1} \times(0, T)  \tag{1.2}\\
\text { or } v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega_{1} \times(0, T), \\
v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x), \quad v_{t}(x, 0)=v_{1}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega_{1}$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with a sufficiently regular boundary $\partial \Omega_{1}$,

$$
\|v\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega_{1}} v^{2}(x, t) d x,\|\nabla v\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla v(x, t)|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}(x, t)\right|^{2} d x
$$

and $\nu$ is the outward unit normal vector on boundary $\partial \Omega_{1}$. With $N=1$ and $\Omega_{1}=(0, L)$ the first equation in 1.2 has its origin in the nonlinear vibration of an elastic string (c.f. Kirchhoff [7), for which the associated equation is

$$
\rho h v_{t t}-\left(P_{0}+\frac{E h}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(y, t)\right|^{2} d y\right) v_{x x}=0
$$

where $v$ is the lateral deflection, $x$ is the space coordinate, $t$ is the time, $\rho$ is the mass density, $h$ is the cross-section area, $L$ is the length, $E$ is Young's modulus, and $P_{0}$ is the initial axial tension.

Carrier [3] also established the model

$$
v_{t t}=\left(P_{0}+P_{1} \int_{0}^{L} v^{2}(y, t) d y\right) v_{x x}
$$

where $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ are constants.
In the case $\Omega_{1}$ is an open unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and the functions $v, f_{1}, \widetilde{v}_{0}, \widetilde{v}_{1}$ depend on $x$ through $r$ with $r^{2}=|x|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{2}$, we put

$$
\begin{gathered}
v(x, t)=u(|x|, t), \quad f_{1}\left(x, t, v, v_{t}, \nabla v\right)=\widetilde{f}_{1}(|x|, t), \\
\widetilde{v}_{0}(x)=\widetilde{u}_{0}(|x|), \quad \widetilde{v}_{1}(x)=\widetilde{u}_{1}(|x|), \quad \gamma=N-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
-B_{1}\left(\|v\|^{2},\|\nabla v\|^{2}\right) \triangle v=-B\left(\int_{0}^{1} u^{2}(r, t) r^{\gamma} d r, \int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{r}(r, t)\right|^{2} r^{\gamma} d r\right)\left(u_{r r}+\frac{1}{r} u_{r}\right)
$$

where $B(\xi, \eta)=B_{1}\left(\omega_{N} \xi, \omega_{N} \eta\right)$ and $\omega_{N}$ is the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Hence, we can rewrite problem (1.2) as

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-B\left(\int_{0}^{1} u^{2}(r, t) r^{\gamma} d r, \int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{r}(r, t)\right|^{2} r^{\gamma} d r\right)\left(u_{r r}+\frac{1}{r} u_{r}\right)=\widetilde{f}_{1}(r, t) \\
\text { in }(0,1) \times(0, T) \\
u_{r}(1, t)+h u(1, t)=0 \quad \text { on }(0, T),  \tag{1.3}\\
\text { or } \quad u(1, t)=0 \quad \text { on }(0, T), \\
u(r, 0)=\widetilde{u}_{0}(r), u_{t}(r, 0)=\widetilde{u}_{1}(r) \quad \text { in }(0,1) .
\end{gather*}
$$

With $N=2$, the first equation of 1.3 is the bi-dimensional nonlinear wave equation describing nonlinear vibrations of the unit membrane $\Omega_{1}=\left\{(x, y): x^{2}+y^{2}<\right.$ $1\}$. In the vibration process, the area of the unit membrane and the tension at various points change in time. The condition on the boundary $\partial \Omega_{1}$ describes elastic
constraints, where the constant $h$ has a mechanical signification. Boundary condition (1.1)2 is satisfied automatically if $u$ is a classical solution of problem (1.1), (for example, with $\left.u \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \times(0, T)) \cap C^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))\right)$. This condition is also used in connection with Sobolev spaces with weight $r$ (see. [2, 16]).

In the case of equation $\boxed{1.3}_{1}$ not involving the term $\frac{1}{r} u_{r}(\gamma=0)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-B\left(\int_{0}^{1} u^{2}(r, t) d r, \int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{r}(r, t)\right|^{2} d r\right) u_{r r}=f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}, u_{t}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $f=0$, and $B=B\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{r}(r, t)\right|^{2} d r\right)$ is a function depending only on $\int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{r}(r, t)\right|^{2} d r$, the Cauchy or mixed problem for (1.3)) has been studied by many authors; see Ebihara, Medeiros and Miranda [5], Pohozaev [22] and the references therein. A survey of the results about the mathematical aspects of Kirchhoff model can be found in Medeiros, Limaco and Menezes [20, [21]. Medeiros [19] studied problem (1.1) on a bounded open set $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $f=f(u)=-b u^{2}$ where $b>0$ is a given constant. Hosoya and Yamada $[6 \text { considered problem } \sqrt{1.3})_{3,4}-\sqrt{1.3}$ with $f=f(u)=-\delta|u|^{\alpha} u$ where $\delta>0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ are given constants. In [9] the authors studied the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the equation

$$
u_{t t}+\lambda \triangle^{2} u-B\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right) \triangle u+\varepsilon\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha-1} u_{t}=F(x, t),
$$

where $\lambda>0, \varepsilon>0$ and $0<\alpha<1$ are given constants.
In the case of the term $\frac{1}{r} u_{r}$ appearing in equation $1.11_{1}$ we have to eliminate the coefficient $\frac{1}{r}$ by using Sobolev spaces with appropriate weight (see [11]). On the other hand, problem 1.1 with general nonlinear right-hand side $f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}, u_{t}\right)$ given as a continuous function of five variables has not been studied completely yet.

In the present paper, we study problem (1.1) with some forms of the right-hand side $f$. In the first part, we study problem (1.1) with the right-hand side $f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}\right)$ where $f \in C^{0}\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_{r}} \quad \text { in } \quad C^{0}\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

It is not necessary that $f \in C^{1}\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. First, we shall associate with equation $1.11_{1}$ a linear recurrent sequence which is bounded in a suitable function space. The existence of a local solution is proved by a standard compactness argument. Note that the linearization method in this paper and in papers ( $[2,4,14,17,18,23]$ cannot be used in papers [5, 9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19]. In the second part, we consider problem (1.1) corresponding to $f=f(r, u)$ and $B(\eta)=b_{0}+\eta$ with given constant $b_{0}>0$. We associate with equation 1.1 $1_{1}$ a recurrent sequence $u_{m}$ (nonlinear) defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} u_{m}}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(b_{0}+\int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial r}(r, t)\right|^{2} r d r\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{m}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial r}\right) \\
& =f\left(r, u_{m-1}\right)+\left(u_{m}-u_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\left(r, u_{m-1}\right) \quad \text { in }(0,1) \times(0, T)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $u_{m}$ satisfying $(1.1)_{2-3}$. The first term $u_{0}$ is chosen as $u_{0}=\widetilde{u}_{0}$. If $f \in$ $C^{2}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R})$, we prove that the sequence $u_{m}$ converges quadratically. The results obtained here relatively are in part generalizations of those in [2, 4, ,14, 17, 18, ,23].

## 2. Preliminary results, notation, function spaces

Put $\Omega=(0,1)$. We omit the definitions of the usual function spaces $L^{p}(\bar{\Omega})$, $H^{m}(\Omega), W^{m, p}(\Omega)$. For any function $v \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ we define $\|v\|_{0}$ as

$$
\|v\|_{0}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} r v^{2}(r) d r\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and define the space $V_{0}$ as the completion of the space $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{0}$. Similarly, for any function $v \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ we define $\|v\|_{1}$ as

$$
\|v\|_{1}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} r\left[v^{2}(r)+\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2}\right] d r\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and define the space $V_{1}$ as completion of the space $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. Note that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ can be defined, respectively, from the inner products

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} r u(r) v(r) d r \\
\langle u, v\rangle+\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} r\left[u(r) v(r)+u^{\prime}(r) v^{\prime}(r)\right] d r
\end{gathered}
$$

Identifying $V_{0}$ with its dual $V_{0}^{\prime}$ we obtain the dense and continuous embedding $V_{1} \hookrightarrow V_{0} \equiv V_{0}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow V_{1}^{\prime}$. The inner product notation will be re-utilized to denote the duality pairing between $V_{1}$ and $V_{1}^{\prime}$. We then have the following lemmas, the proofs of which can be found in [2]:

Lemma 2.1. There exist constants $K_{1}>0$ and $K_{2}>0$ such that, for all $v \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $r \in \bar{\Omega}$,
(i) $\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}+v^{2}(1) \geq\|v\|_{0}^{2}$,
(ii) $|v(1)| \leq K_{1}\|v\|_{1}$,
(iii) $\sqrt{r}|v(r)| \leq K_{2}\|v\|_{1}$.

Lemma 2.2. The embedding $V_{1} \hookrightarrow V_{0}$ is compact.
Remark 2.3. In Lemma 2.1, the constants $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ can be given explicitly as $K_{1}=\sqrt{1+\sqrt{2}}$ and $K_{2}=\sqrt{1+\sqrt{5}}$. We also note that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0_{+}} \sqrt{r} v(r)=0$ for all $v \in V_{1}$ (see [1, Lemma 5.40]). On the other hand, from $H^{1}(\varepsilon, 1) \hookrightarrow C^{0}([\varepsilon, 1]), 0<$ $\varepsilon<1$ and $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|v\|_{H^{1}(\varepsilon, 1)} \leq\|v\|_{1}$ for all $v \in V_{1}$, it follows that $\left.v\right|_{[\varepsilon, 1]} \in C^{0}([\varepsilon, 1])$. From both relations we deduce that $\sqrt{r} v \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ for all $v \in V_{1}$.

Now, we define the bilinear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v)=h u(1) v(1)+\int_{0}^{1} r u^{\prime}(r) v^{\prime}(r) d r, \text { for } u, v \in V_{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is a positive constant. Then for some uniquely defined bounded linear operator $A: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{1}^{\prime}$ we have $a(u, v)=\langle A u, v\rangle$ for all $u, v \in V_{1}$. We then have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. The symmetric bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined by (2.1) is continuous on $V_{1} \times V_{1}$ and coercive on $V_{1}$, i.e.,
(i) $|a(u, v)| \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{1}\|v\|_{1}$
(ii) $a(v, v) \geq C_{0}\|v\|_{1}^{2}$
for all $u, v \in V_{1}$, where $C_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \min \{1, h\}$ and $C_{1}=1+h K_{1}^{2}$.
The proof of Lemma 2.4 is straightforward and we omit it.
Lemma 2.5. There exists an orthonormal Hilbert basis $\left\{\widetilde{w}_{j}\right\}$ of the space $V_{0}$ consisting of eigenfunctions $\widetilde{w}_{j}$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ such that
(i) $0<\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{j} \uparrow+\infty$ as $j \rightarrow+\infty$,
(ii) $a\left(\widetilde{w}_{j}, v\right)=\lambda_{j}\left\langle\widetilde{w}_{j}, v\right\rangle$ for all $v \in V_{1}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that from (ii) it follows that $\left\{\widetilde{w}_{j} / \sqrt{\lambda_{j}}\right\}$ is automatically an orthonormal set in $V_{1}$ with respect to $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ as inner product. The eigensolutions $\widetilde{w}_{j}$ are indeed eigensolutions for the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \widetilde{w}_{j} \equiv \frac{-1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r \frac{d \widetilde{w}_{j}}{d r}\right)=\lambda_{j} \widetilde{w}_{j}, \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
\left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0_{+}} \sqrt{r} \frac{d \widetilde{w}_{j}}{d r}(r)\right|<+\infty, \\
\frac{d \widetilde{w}_{j}}{d r}(1)+h \widetilde{w}_{j}(1)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof of the above Lemma can be found in [24, Theorem 6.2.1] with $V=$ $V_{1}, H=V_{0}$ and $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ as defined by (2.1).

For functions $v$ in $C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$, we define

$$
\|v\|_{2}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} r\left[v^{2}(r)+\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2}+|A v(r)|^{2}\right] d r\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and define the space $V_{2}$ as the completion of $C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2}$. Note that $V_{2}$ is also a Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle+\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle+\langle A u, A v\rangle
$$

and that $V_{2}$ can be defined also as $V_{2}=\left\{v \in V_{1}: A v \in V_{0}\right\}$.
We then have the following two lemmas whose proof of which can be found in [2].

Lemma 2.6. The embedding $V_{2} \hookrightarrow V_{1}$ is compact.
Lemma 2.7. For all $v \in V_{2}$ we have
(i) $\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|A v\|_{0}$,
(ii) $\left\|v^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{0} \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\|A v\|_{0}$,
(iii) $\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(2\|v\|_{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|A v\|_{0}\right)\|v\|_{0}$.

Also the following lemma will be useful in Section 4.
Lemma 2.8. For all $u \in V_{1}$ and $v \in V_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u^{2},\right| v\left\rangle=\sqrt{2}\left(1+K_{1}^{2}\right)\|u\|_{1}^{2}\|v\|_{0},\right. \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $K_{1}$ is given by Lemma 2.1.
Proof. It suffices to prove that inequality 2.2 holds for $u \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $v \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$. We have

$$
u(r)=u(1)-\int_{r}^{1} u^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

Hence, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
u^{2}(r) \leq 2 u^{2}(1)+2\left(\int_{r}^{1} u^{\prime}(s) d s\right)^{2} \leq 2 K_{1}^{2}\|u\|_{1}^{2}+2(1-r) \int_{r}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle u^{2},\right| v\rangle & =\int_{0}^{1} r u^{2}(r)|v(r)| d r  \tag{2.3}\\
& \leq 2 K_{1}^{2}\|u\|_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} r|v(r)| d r+2 \int_{0}^{1} r(1-r)|v(r)| d r \int_{r}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the first integral herein can be estimated as

$$
\int_{0}^{1} r|v(r)| d r \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} r d r\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} r|v(r)|^{2} d r\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|v\|_{0}
$$

Reversing the order of integration in the last integral of 2.3), we estimate that integral as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} r(1-r)|v(r)| d r \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s \int_{0}^{s} r(1-r)|v(r)| d r \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\left(\int_{0}^{s} r(1-r)^{2} d r\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{s} r|v(r)|^{2} d r\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}\|v\|_{0} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u\|_{1}^{2}\|v\|_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the two estimates above, we obtain 2.2 and the lemma is proved.
For a Banach space $X$, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ its norm, by $X^{\prime}$ its dual space and by $L^{p}(0, T ; X), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the Banach space of all real measurable functions $u:(0, T) \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|u\|_{L^{p}(0, T ; X)}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{X}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}<\infty \quad \text { for } 1 \leq p<\infty \\
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; X)}=\underset{0<t<T}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\|u(t)\|_{X} \quad \text { for } p=\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Let

$$
u(t), \quad u^{\prime}(t)=u_{t}(t)=\dot{u}(t), \quad u^{\prime \prime}(t)=u_{t t}(t)=\ddot{u}(t), \quad u_{r}(t)=\nabla u(t), \quad u_{r r}(t)
$$

denote

$$
u(r, t), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(r, t), \quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}(r, t), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r, t), \quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}(r, t)
$$

respectively.

## 3. The general case

In this section, we consider initial and boundary value problem (1.1) with general right-hand side $f=f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}\right)$. We make the following assumptions:
(H1) $\widetilde{u}_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $\widetilde{u}_{0} \in V_{2}$,
(H2) $B \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right)$ with $B(\xi, \eta) \geq b_{0}>0$ for all $\xi, \eta \geq 0$,
(H3) $f \in C^{0}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\partial f / \partial r, \partial f / \partial u, \partial f / \partial u_{r} \in C^{0}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

With $B$ and $f$ satisfying assumptions (H2) and (H3), respectively, we introduce the following constants, for any $M>0$ and $T>0$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{K}_{0}=\widetilde{K}_{0}(M, B)=\sup \left\{B(\xi, \eta): 0 \leq \xi, \eta \leq M^{2}\right\} \\
\widetilde{K}_{1}=\widetilde{K}_{1}(M, B)=\sup \left\{\left(\left|\frac{\partial B}{\partial \xi}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial B}{\partial \eta}\right|\right)(\xi, \eta): 0 \leq \xi, \eta \leq M^{2}\right\} \\
\bar{K}_{0}=\bar{K}_{0}(M, T, f)=\sup _{(r, t, u, v) \in A_{*}}|f(r, t, u, v)|  \tag{3.1}\\
\bar{K}_{1}=\bar{K}_{1}(M, T, f)=\sup _{(r, t, u, v) \in A_{*}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right|\right)(r, t, u, v)
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{*} & =A_{*}(M, T) \\
& =\{(r, t, u, v): 0 \leq r \leq 1,0 \leq t \leq T,|u| \leq M \sqrt{2+1 / \sqrt{2}},|v| \leq M / \sqrt{2}\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $M>0$ and $T>0$ we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(M, T)= & \left\{v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{2}\right): \dot{v} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right) \text { and } \ddot{v} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \text { with } \left.\|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{2}\right)}, \mid \dot{v}\left\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)},\right\| \ddot{v} \|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)} \leq M\right\} \\
& W_{1}(M, T)=\left\{v \in W(M, T): \ddot{v} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall choose as first initial term $u_{0}=\widetilde{u}_{0}$, suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m-1} \in W_{1}(M, T) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and associate with problem 1.1 the following variational problem: Find $u_{m}$ in $W_{1}(M, T)(m \geq 1)$ so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\ddot{u}_{m}(t), v\right\rangle+b_{m}(t) a\left(u_{m}(t), v\right)=\left\langle F_{m}(t), v\right\rangle \quad \forall v \in V_{1},  \tag{3.3}\\
u_{m}(0)=\widetilde{u}_{0}, \quad \dot{u}_{m}(0)=\widetilde{u}_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{m}(t)= & B\left(\left\|u_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2},\left\|\nabla u_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}\right) \\
= & B\left(\int_{0}^{1} u_{m-1}^{2}(r, t) r d r, \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial r}(r, t)\right|^{2} r d r\right),  \tag{3.4}\\
& F_{m}(r, t)=f\left(r, t, u_{m-1}(t), \nabla u_{m-1}(t)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we have the following result.
Theorem 3.1. Let assumptions (H1)-(H3) hold. Then there exist a constant $M>$ 0 depending on $\widetilde{u}_{0}, \widetilde{u}_{1}, B, h$ and a constant $T>0$ depending on $\widetilde{u}_{0}, \widetilde{u}_{1}, B, h, f$ such that, for $u_{0}=\widetilde{u}_{0}$, there exists a linear recurrent sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\} \subset W_{1}(M, T)$ defined by (3.3)-(3.4).

Proof. The proof consists of several steps.
Step 1: The Galerkin approximation (introduced by Lions [10]). Consider as in Lemma 2.5 the basis $w_{j}=\widetilde{w}_{j} / \sqrt{\lambda}_{j}$ for $V_{1}$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}^{(k)}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{m j}^{(k)}(t) w_{j} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{m j}^{(k)}$ satisfy the system of linear differential equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle+b_{m}(t) a\left(u_{m}^{(k)}(t), w_{j}\right)=\left\langle F_{m}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k  \tag{3.6}\\
u_{m}^{(k)}(0)=\widetilde{u}_{0 k}, \quad \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0)=\widetilde{u}_{1 k}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\widetilde{u}_{0 k} \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_{0} \quad & \text { strongly in } V_{2}, \\
\widetilde{u}_{1 k} \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_{1} & \text { strongly in } V_{1} . \tag{3.7}
\end{array}
$$

Suppose that $u_{m-1}$ satisfies 3.2 . Then it is clear that system (3.6) has a unique solution $u_{m}^{(k)}$ on an interval $0 \leq t \leq T_{m}^{(k)} \leq T$. The following estimates allows us to the take constant $T_{m}^{(k)}=T$ for all $m$ and $k$.
Step 2: A priori estimates. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t)=X_{m}^{(k)}(t)+Y_{m}^{(k)}(t)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{m}^{(k)}(t)=\left\|\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+b_{m}(t) a\left(u_{m}^{(k)}(t), u_{m}^{(k)}(t)\right) \\
Y_{m}^{(k)}(t)=a\left(\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t)\right)+b_{m}(t)\left\|A u_{m}^{(k)}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A$ is defined by (2.1). Then it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t)= & S_{m}^{(k)}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} b_{m}^{\prime}(s)\left[a\left(u_{m}^{(k)}(s), u_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right)+\left\|A u_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}\right] d s \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle F_{m}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s+2 \int_{0}^{t} a\left(F_{m}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} b_{m}(s)\left\langle A u_{m}^{(k)}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle F_{m}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s \\
= & S_{m}^{(k)}(0)+I_{1}+\cdots+I_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall estimate step by step all integrals $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{5}$.
Integral $I_{1}$ : Using assumption (H2), we obtain from 3.1$)_{2}$ and 3.4$)_{1}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|b_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq & 2\left|\frac{\partial B}{\partial \xi}\left(\left\|u_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2},\left\|\nabla u_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)\left\langle u_{m-1}(t), \dot{u}_{m-1}(t)\right\rangle\right| \\
& +2\left|\frac{\partial B}{\partial \eta}\left(\left\|u_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2},\left\|\nabla u_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)\left\langle\nabla u_{m-1}(t), \nabla \dot{u}_{m-1}(t)\right\rangle\right| \\
\leq & 4 M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (3.8)-(3.9) we obtain

$$
I_{1} \leq \frac{4 M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1}}{b_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s
$$

Integral $I_{2}$ : Since $u_{m-1} \in W_{1}(M, T)$, it follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{m-1}(r, t)\right| \leq M \sqrt{2+1 / \sqrt{2}},\left|\nabla u_{m-1}(r, t)\right| \leq M / \sqrt{2}, \quad \text { a.e. on } \Omega \times(0, T) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it follows from (3.1) 3 that

$$
I_{2} \leq 2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m}(s)\right\|_{0}\left\|\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{0} d s \leq 2 \bar{K}_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{X_{m}^{(k)}(s)} d s
$$

Integral $I_{3}$ : Using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
I_{3} \leq 2 C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}\left\|\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{1} d s
$$

On the other hand, from (3.1)3-4 and (3.10 we obtain

$$
\left\|F_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \bar{K}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{K}_{1}^{2}\left[1+(1+\sqrt{3} M]^{2} .\right.
$$

Then we deduce, from $(3.9)_{2}$ that

$$
I_{3} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} C_{1}}{\sqrt{C_{0}}}\left[\bar{K}_{0}^{2}+(1+(1+\sqrt{3}) M)^{2} \bar{K}_{1}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{Y_{m}^{(k)}(s)} d s
$$

Integral $I_{4}$ : Using the inequality $|a b| \leq \frac{3}{4} a^{2}+\frac{1}{3} b^{2} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we get from (3.1) ${ }_{1}$ and (3.8)-(3.9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leq \widetilde{K}_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|A u_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{0}\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{0} d s \\
& \leq \frac{3}{4} \widetilde{K}_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|A u_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s \\
& \leq \frac{3 \widetilde{K}_{0}^{2}}{4 b_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s+\frac{1}{3} S_{m}^{(k)}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integral $I_{5}$ : We use again inequality $|a b| \leq \frac{3}{4} a^{2}+\frac{1}{3} b^{2} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we get from (3.1) 3 and (3.8) that

$$
I_{5} \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m}(s)\right\|_{0}\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{0} d s \leq \frac{3}{4} T \bar{K}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{3} S_{m}^{(k)}(t)
$$

Combining the above estimates for $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{5}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t) \leq 3 S_{m}^{(k)}(0)+\bar{C}_{1}(M, T)+\bar{C}_{2}(M) \int_{0}^{t} S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{C}_{1}(M, T)= & \frac{45}{4} T \bar{K}_{0}^{2}+\frac{9}{2 C_{0}} T C_{1}^{2}\left[\bar{K}_{0}^{2}+(1+(1+\sqrt{3}) M)^{2} \bar{K}_{1}^{2}\right]  \tag{3.12}\\
& \bar{C}_{2}(M)=1+\frac{3}{4 b_{0}}\left(3 \widetilde{K}_{0}^{2}+16 M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we need an estimate on the term $S_{m}^{(k)}(0)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{m}^{(k)}(0) & =X_{m}^{(k)}(0)+Y_{m}^{(k)}(0) \\
& =\left\|\widetilde{u}_{1 k}\right\|_{0}^{2}+a\left(\widetilde{u}_{1 k}, \widetilde{u}_{1 k}\right)+B\left(\left\|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{0}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)\left(a\left(\widetilde{u}_{0 k}, \widetilde{u}_{0 k}\right)+\left\|A \widetilde{u}_{0 k}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of the convergence (3.7), we can deduce the existence of a constant $M>0$ independent of $k$ and $m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(0) \leq M^{2} / 6 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, from the assumption (H3), we have $\lim _{T \rightarrow 0_{+}} \sqrt{T \bar{K}_{i}}(M, T, f)=0, i=$ 0,1 . Then, from 3.12 we can always choose the constant $T>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(M^{2} / 2+\bar{C}_{1}(M, T)\right) \exp \left[T \bar{C}_{2}(M)\right] \leq M^{2} \\
\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{0} C_{0}}}\right) \sqrt{8 M^{2} T \widetilde{K}_{1}+\sqrt{2} T \bar{K}_{1}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} T \bar{K}_{1}+\left(4+\frac{2 C_{1}}{b_{0} C_{0}}\right) M^{2} T \widetilde{K}_{1}\right]<1 \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (3.11) and (3.13)-3.14 that

$$
S_{m}^{(k)}(t) \leq M^{2} \exp \left[-T \bar{C}_{2}(M)\right]+\bar{C}_{2}(M) \int_{0}^{t} S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_{m}^{(k)} \leq T$. By using Gronwall's lemma we deduce from here that

$$
S_{m}^{(k)}(t) \leq M^{2} \exp \left[-T \bar{C}_{2}(M)\right] \exp \left[\bar{C}_{2}(M) t\right] \leq M^{2}
$$

for all $t \in\left[0, T_{m}^{(k)}\right]$. So we can take constant $T_{m}^{(k)}=T$ for all $k$ and $m$. Therefore, we have $u_{m}^{(k)} \in W_{1}(M, T)$ for all $m$ and $k$. We can extract from $\left\{u_{m}^{(k)}\right\}$ a subsequence $\left\{u_{m}^{\left(k_{i}\right)}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{m}^{\left(k_{i}\right)} \rightarrow u_{m} \quad \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{2}\right) \text { weak }^{\star} \\
\dot{u}_{m}^{\left(k_{i}\right)} \rightarrow \dot{u}_{m} \quad \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right) \text { weak }^{\star} \\
\ddot{u}_{m}^{\left(k_{i}\right)} \rightarrow \ddot{u}_{m} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right) \text { weak }
\end{gathered}
$$

where $u_{m} \in W(M, T)$. Passing to the limit in (3.6), we have $u_{m}$ satisfying (3.3) in $L^{2}(0, T)$, weak. On the other hand, it follows from $\left.3.2-3.3\right)_{1}$ and $u_{m} \in W(M, T)$ that $\ddot{u}_{m}=-b_{m}(t) A u_{m}+F_{m} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)$, hence $u_{m} \in W_{1}(M, T)$ and the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

Theorem 3.2. Let assumptions (H1)-(H3) hold. Then:
(i) There exist constants $M>0$ and $T>0$ satisfying (3.13)-(3.14) such that problem (1.1) has a unique weak solution $u_{m} \in W_{1}(M, T)$.
(ii) On the other hand, the linear recurrent sequence $u_{m}$ defined by (3.2)-(3.4) converges to the solution $u$ of problem (1.1) strongly in the space

$$
W_{1}(T)=\left\{v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right): \dot{v} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

Furthermore, we have the estimate

$$
\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)}+\left\|\dot{u}_{m}-\dot{u}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)} \leq C k_{T}^{m} \quad \forall m \geq 1
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{T}= & \left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{0} C_{0}}}\right) \sqrt{8 M^{2} T \widetilde{K}_{1}+\sqrt{2} T \widetilde{K}_{1}} \\
& \times \exp \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} T \bar{K}_{1}+\left(4+\frac{2 C_{1}}{b_{0} C_{0}}\right) M^{2} T \widetilde{K}_{1}\right]<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and $C$ is a constant depending only on $T, u_{0}, u_{1}$ and $k_{T}$.
Proof. Existence of the solution. First, we note that $W_{1}(T)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm (see [10]):

$$
\|v\|_{W_{1}(T)}=\|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)}+\|\dot{v}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)}
$$

We shall prove that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W_{1}(T)$. For this, set $v_{m}=$ $u_{m+1}-u_{m}$. Then $v_{m}$ satisfies the variational problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\ddot{v}_{m}(t), w\right\rangle+b_{m+1}(t) a\left(v_{m}(t), w\right)+\left(b_{m+1}(t)-b_{m}(t)\right)\left\langle A u_{m}(t), w\right\rangle \\
=\left\langle F_{m+1}(t)-F_{m}(t), w\right\rangle \quad \forall \in w \in V_{1} \\
v_{m}(0)=\dot{v}_{m}(0)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking $w=\dot{v}_{m}$ herein, after integrating in $t$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{m}(t)= & \int_{0}^{t} b_{m+1}^{\prime}(s) a\left(v_{m}(s), v_{m}(s)\right) d s \\
& -2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(b_{m+1}(s)-b_{m}(s)\right)\left\langle A u_{m}(s), \dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\rangle d s \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle F_{m+1}(s)-F_{m}(s), \dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\rangle d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
X_{m}(t)=\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+b_{m+1}(t) a\left(v_{m}(t), v_{m}(t)\right)
$$

On the other hand, from $(3.1)_{2,4}$ and 3.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|b_{m+1}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq 4 M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1} \\
\left|b_{m+1}(t)-b_{m}(t)\right| \leq 2 \widetilde{K}_{1} M\left\|v_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{0}+2 \widetilde{K}_{1} M\left\|\nabla v_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{0} \\
\leq 4 \widetilde{K}_{1} M\left\|v_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{1} \\
\left\|F_{m+1}(t)-F_{m}(t)\right\|_{0} \leq \sqrt{2} \bar{K}_{1}\left\|v_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+b_{0} C_{0}\left\|v_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \leq 4 M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1} C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s+8 M \widetilde{K}_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m-1}(s)\right\|_{1}\left\|A v_{m}(s)\right\|_{0}\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s \\
&+2 \sqrt{2} \bar{K}_{1}\left\|v_{m-1}(s)\right\|_{1}\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s \\
& \leq 4 M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1} C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s \\
&+\left(16 M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1}+2 \sqrt{2} \bar{K}_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m-1}(s)\right\|_{1}\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s \\
& \leq\left(8 M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1}+\sqrt{2} \bar{K}_{1}\right)\left\|v_{m-1}\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}^{2} \\
&+2\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{K}_{1}+\left(4+\frac{2 C_{1}}{b_{0} C_{0}}\right) M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1}\right] \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}+b_{0} C_{0}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Gronwall's lemma we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\dot{v}_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+b_{0} C_{0}\left\|v_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \leq\left(8 M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1}+\sqrt{2} \bar{K}_{1}\right)\left\|v_{m-1}\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}^{2} \exp \left\{2 T\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{K}_{1}+\left(4+\frac{2 C_{1}}{b_{0} C_{0}}\right) M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Hence

$$
\left\|v_{m}\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \leq k_{T}\left\|v_{m-1}\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \quad \forall m \geq 1
$$

where
$k_{T}=\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{0} C_{0}}}\right) \sqrt{8 M^{2} T \widetilde{K}_{1}+\sqrt{2} T \bar{K}_{1}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} T \bar{K}_{1}+\left(4+\frac{2 C_{1}}{b_{0} C_{0}}\right) M^{2} T \widetilde{K}_{1}\right]<1$.
Hence

$$
\left\|u_{m+p}-u_{m}\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \leq\left\|u_{1}-u_{0}\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \frac{k_{T}^{m}}{1-k_{T}}
$$

for all $m$ and $p$. It follows that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W_{1}(T)$. Therefore, there exists $u \in W_{1}(T)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m} \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } W_{1}(T) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also note that $u \in W_{1}(M, T)$. Then from the sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ we can deduce a subsequence $\left\{u_{m_{j}}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{m_{j}} & \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{2}\right) \text { weak }^{\star} \\
\dot{u}_{m_{j}} & \rightarrow \dot{u} \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right) \text { weak}^{\star} \\
\ddot{u}_{m_{j}} & \rightarrow \ddot{u} \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right) \text { weak, }
\end{aligned}
$$

with $u \in W(M, T)$. Noticing $3.11_{1-2}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle b_{m}(t) A u_{m}(t)-B\left(\|u(t)\|_{0}^{2},\|\nabla u(t)\|_{0}^{2}\right) A u(t), w(t)\right\rangle d t\right| \\
& \leq C_{1} \widetilde{K}_{0}\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)}\|w\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \quad+4 C_{1} M \widetilde{K}_{1}\left\|u_{m-1}-u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)}\|w\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $w \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)$. It follows from (3.15)- 3.16 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m}(t) A u_{m} \rightarrow B\left(\|u(t)\|_{0}^{2},\|\nabla u(t)\|_{0}^{2}\right) A u \quad \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}^{\prime}\right) \text { weak }^{\star} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{m}-f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)} \leq \sqrt{2} \bar{K}_{1}\left\|u_{m-1}-u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (3.15) and 3.18, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m} \rightarrow f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}\right) \quad \text { strongly in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, taking limits in 3.3 with $m=m_{j} \rightarrow+\infty$, there exists $u \in W(M, T)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle\ddot{u}(t), w\rangle+B\left(\|u(t)\|_{0}^{2},\|\nabla u(t)\|_{0}^{2}\right) a(u(t), w)=\left\langle f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}\right), w\right\rangle \quad w \in V_{1},  \tag{3.20}\\
u(0)=\widetilde{u}_{0}, \quad \dot{u}(0)=\widetilde{u}_{1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, from 3.17) and 3.19-3.20 we have

$$
\ddot{u}=-B\left(\|u\|_{0}^{2},\|\nabla u\|_{0}^{2}\right) A u+f\left(r, t, u, u_{r}\right) \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right) .
$$

Hence, $u \in W_{1}(M, T)$ and the proof of existence complete.
Uniqueness of the solution. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$, be weak solutions of problem 1.1 $1_{1-3}$ such that $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are in $W_{1}(M, T)$. Then $w=u_{1}-u_{2}$ satisfies the variational problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\ddot{w}(t), v\rangle+\widetilde{b}_{1}(t) a(w(t), v)+\left(\widetilde{b}_{1}(t)-\widetilde{b}_{2}(t)\right)\left\langle A u_{2}(t), v\right\rangle=\left\langle\widetilde{f}_{1}(t)-\widetilde{f}_{2}(t), v\right\rangle \forall v \in V_{1} \\
w(0)=\dot{w}(0)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{b}_{i}(t)=B\left(\left\|u_{i}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2},\left\|\nabla u_{i}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}\right), \widetilde{f}_{i}(t)=f\left(r, t, u_{i}, \nabla u_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2
$$

Taking $v=\dot{w}$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\dot{w}(t)\|_{0}^{2}+\widetilde{b}_{1}(t) a(w(t), w(t))= & \int_{0}^{t} \widetilde{b}_{1}^{\prime}(s) a(w(s), w(s)) d s \\
& -2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(\widetilde{b}_{1}(s)-\widetilde{b}_{2}(s)\right)\left\langle A u_{2}(s), \dot{w}(s)\right\rangle d s \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\widetilde{f}_{1}(s)-\widetilde{f}_{2}(s), \dot{w}(s)\right\rangle d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
X(t)=\|\dot{w}(t)\|_{0}^{2}+b_{0} C_{0}\|w(t)\|_{1}^{2} .
$$

Then

$$
X(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{0} C_{0}}}\left[4\left(1+\frac{C_{1}}{\sqrt{b_{0} C_{0}}}\right) M^{2} \widetilde{K}_{1}+\sqrt{2} \bar{K}_{1}\right] \int_{0}^{t} X(s) d s
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$ follows. Using Gronwall's lemma we deduce $X(t)=0$, i.e., $u_{1}=u_{2}$ and the proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete.

Remark 3.3. In the case of $B \equiv 1$ and $f=f\left(t, u, u_{t}\right)$ with $f \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $f(t, 0,0)=0$ for all $t \geq 0$, and with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition instead of $(1.1)_{2}$, some results have been obtained in 4]. In the case of $f$ being in $C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $B \equiv 1$ we have previously obtained some results in [2]. We emphasize here that in the above, however, we do not need to assume that $f$ is in $C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

## 4. A special case

In this section, we consider initial boundary value problem (1.1) with an autonomous right-hand side independent of $u_{r}$ and an affine coefficient function $B$. Under these assumptions, we obtain stronger conclusion on the approach results in a quadratic convergence of the approximation (Theorem 4.2).

We make the following assumptions:
(H4) $B(\eta)=b_{0}+\eta$ with $b_{0}>0$ a given constant.
(H5) $f \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$.
With $f$ satisfying assumption (H5), for any $M>0$ we put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{K}_{0}=\bar{K}_{0}(M, f)=\sup _{(r, u) \in \bar{A}_{*}}|f(r, u)| \\
\bar{K}_{1}=\bar{K}_{1}(M, f)=\sup _{(r, u) \in \bar{A}_{*}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\right|\right)(r, u), \\
\bar{K}_{2}=\bar{K}_{2}(M, f)=\sup _{(r, u) \in \bar{A}_{*}}\left(\left|\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial r \partial u}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial u^{2}}\right|\right)(r, u),
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\bar{A}_{*}=\bar{A}_{*}(M)=\{(r, u): 0 \leq r \leq 1,|u| \leq M \sqrt{2+1 / \sqrt{2}}\}
$$

We shall choose as a (constant in time) starting point $u_{0}$ the initial data $\widetilde{u}_{0}$. Assume $u_{m-1} \in W_{1}(M, T)$ and consider the variational problem (3.3), where

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{m}(t)=b_{0}+\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \\
F_{m}(r, t)=f_{m}\left(r, t, u_{m}\right)=f\left(r, u_{m-1}\right)+\left(u_{m}-u_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\left(r, u_{m-1}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
f_{m}(r, t, u)=f\left(r, u_{m-1}\right)+\left(u-u_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\left(r, u_{m-1}\right)
$$

Then we have the following theorem.
Theorem 4.1. Let (H1), (H4), and (H5) hold. Then there exist constants $M>0$ and $T>0$ and the recurrent sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\} \in W_{1}(M, T)$ defined by (3.3) and (4.1).

Proof. The idea is the same as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. As there we define $u_{m}^{(k)}$ by (3.5)-(3.7), where the functions $b_{m}$ and $F_{m}$ appearing in (3.5) are replaced by

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{m}^{(k)}(t)=b_{0}+\left\|\nabla u_{m}^{(k)}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \\
F_{m}^{(k)}(r, t)=f_{m}\left(r, t, u_{m}^{(k)}\right)=f\left(r, u_{m-1}\right)+\left(u_{m}^{(k)}-u_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\left(r, u_{m-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively. With $S_{m}^{(k)}, X_{m}^{(k)}, Y_{m}^{(k)}$ defined by 3.8 - 3.9 , where the function $b_{m}$ appearing in $X_{m}^{(k)}$ and $Y_{m}^{(k)}$ are replaced by $b_{m}^{(k)}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t)= & S_{m}^{(k)}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} b_{m}^{(k)^{\prime}}(s)\left[a\left(u_{m}^{(k)}(s), u_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right)+\left\|A u_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}\right] d s \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle F_{m}^{(k)}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s+2 \int_{0}^{t} a\left(F_{m}^{(k)}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} b_{m}^{(k)}(s)\left\langle A u_{m}^{(k)}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle F_{m}^{(k)}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We can estimate $S_{m}^{(k)}$ in a manner similar to 3.11 as

$$
S_{m}^{(k)}(t) \leq 3 S_{m}^{(k)}(0)+\widetilde{D}_{0}(M, T)+D_{1}(M) \int_{0}^{t} S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s+D_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(S_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right)^{2} d s
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{D}_{0}= & \widetilde{D}_{0}(M, T)=\frac{21}{2}\left(\bar{K}_{0}+M \bar{K}_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& +6 C_{1} T\left(\bar{K}_{0}+\left(1+M+\sqrt{1+3 M^{2}}\right) \bar{K}_{1}+\bar{K}_{0}+M \bar{K}_{2} \sqrt{3+3 M^{2} / 2}\right)^{2}, \\
D_{1}= & D_{1}(M) \\
= & \frac{9}{4}+3\left(1+C_{1}\right) / C_{0}+21 \bar{K}_{1}^{2} / 2 b_{0} C_{0}+\frac{6 C_{1}}{b_{0} C_{0}}\left(4 \bar{K}_{1}^{2}+\left(3+3 M^{2} / 2\right) \bar{K}_{2}^{2}\right), \\
D_{2}= & \frac{3}{b_{0}^{2}}\left(\sqrt{b_{0}}+\frac{3}{4 C_{0}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From convergence (3.7) we can deduce the existence of a constant $M>0$ independent of $k$ and $m$ such that $S_{m}^{(k)}(0) \leq M^{2} / 6$. Next, we can always choose a constant $T>0$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{D}_{0}(M, T) \leq M^{2} / 2 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(1+\frac{D_{1}}{M^{2} D_{2}}\right) \exp \left(T D_{1}\right) \leq 1+\frac{4 D_{1}}{3 M^{2} D_{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t) \leq \frac{3}{4} M^{2}+D_{1}(M) \int_{0}^{t} S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s+D_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(S_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right)^{2} d s \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t)=\frac{D_{1} \exp \left(D_{1} t\right)}{\frac{4 D_{1}}{3 M^{2}}-D_{2}\left[\exp \left(D_{1} t\right)-1\right]}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the maximal solution of the Volterra integral equation with non-decreasing kernel [8]

$$
S(t)=\frac{3}{4} M^{2}+D_{1}(M) \int_{0}^{t} S(s) d s+D_{2} \int_{0}^{t} S^{2}(s) d s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
$$

From (4.2)-4.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t) \leq S(t) \leq M^{2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows for all $k$ and $m$. Hence $u_{m}^{(k)} \in W_{1}(M, T)$ for all $k$ and $m$. Then, in a manner similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. we can prove that the limit $u_{m} \in W_{1}(M, T)$ of the sequence $\left\{u_{m}^{(k)}\right\}$ when $k \rightarrow+\infty$ is the unique solution of variational problem (3.3) and (4.1). The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

The following result gives a quadratic convergence of the sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ to a weak solution of problem (1.1) corresponding to $f=f(r, u)$ and $B(\eta)=b_{0}+\eta$.

Theorem 4.2. Let assumptions (H1) and (H4)-(H5), hold. Then
(i) There exist constants $M>0$ and $T>0$ such that problem (1.1) corresponding to $f=f(r, u)$ and $B(\eta)=b_{0}+\eta$ has a unique weak solution $u \in W_{1}(M, T)$.
(ii) On the other hand, the recurrent sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ defined by (3.3) and (4.1) converges quadratically to the solution $u$ strongly in the space $W_{1}(T)$ in the sense

$$
\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \leq C\left\|u_{m-1}-u\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}^{2}
$$

where $C$ is a suitable constant. Furthermore, we have also the estimation

$$
\left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \leq \frac{\beta^{2^{m}}}{\mu_{T}(1-\beta)} \quad \text { for all } \quad m
$$

where

$$
\mu_{T}=\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{0} C_{0}}}\right)\left(1+b_{0} C_{0}\right) \sqrt{T K_{T}^{(2)} \exp \left(T K_{T}^{(1)}\right)}
$$

and $\beta=4 M \mu_{T}<1$.
Note that the last condition is always satisfied by taking a suitable $T>0$.
Proof. First, we shall prove that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W_{1}(T)$. For this, set $v_{m}=u_{m+1}-u_{m}$. Then $v_{m}$ satisfies the variational problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\ddot{v}_{m}(t), w\right\rangle+b_{m+1}(t) a\left(v_{m}(t), w\right)+\left(b_{m+1}(t)-b_{m}(t)\right)\left\langle A u_{m}(t), w\right\rangle \\
=\left\langle F_{m+1}(t)-F_{m}(t), w\right\rangle, \quad \forall w \in V_{1},  \tag{4.6}\\
v_{m}(0)=\dot{v}_{m}(0)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{m+1}-F_{m}= f\left(r, u_{m}\right)-f\left(r, u_{m-1}\right)+\left(u_{m+1}-u_{m}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\left(r, u_{m}\right) \\
&-\left(u_{m}-u_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\left(r, u_{m-1}\right) \\
&= v_{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\left(r, u_{m}\right)+\frac{1}{2} v_{m-1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial u^{2}}\left(r, \lambda_{m}\right) \\
& \lambda_{m}=u_{m-1}+\theta v_{m-1}, \quad(0<\theta<1) \\
& b_{m+1}(t)-b_{m}(t)=\left\|\nabla u_{m+1}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}-\left\|\nabla u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $w=\dot{v}_{m}$ in 4.6) and integrating in $t$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+b_{m+1}(t) a\left(v_{m}(t), v_{m}(t)\right) \\
&= 2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\nabla u_{m+1}(s), \nabla \dot{u}_{m+1}(s)\right\rangle a\left(v_{m}(s), v_{m}(s)\right) d s \\
&-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{m+1}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}-\left\|\nabla u_{m}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)\left\langle A u_{m}(s), \dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\rangle d s \\
&+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle v_{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\left(r, u_{m}\right), \dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\rangle d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle v_{m-1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial u^{2}}\left(r, \lambda_{m}\right), \dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\rangle d s \\
&= J_{1}+\cdots+J_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can estimate herein the integrals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{4}$ step by step as

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{1} \leq 2 M^{2} \int_{0}^{t} a\left(v_{m}(s), v_{m}(s)\right) d s \leq 2 C_{1} M^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s \\
\left.J_{2} \leq 4 M^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s, \quad(\text { by } 4.5)\right) \\
J_{3} \leq 4 \bar{K}_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{0}\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s \leq 4 \bar{K}_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s \\
J_{4} \leq 4 \bar{K}_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle v_{m-1}^{2}(s),\right| \dot{v}_{m}(s)| \rangle d s \leq \bar{K}_{2} \sqrt{2}\left(1+K_{1}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m-1}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2}\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s,
\end{gathered}
$$

where the last inequality follows from 4.5 and Lemma 2.8. Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+b_{0} C_{0}\left\|v_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \leq 2 C_{1} M^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s+2\left(2 M^{2}+\bar{K}_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s \\
&+\bar{K}_{2} \sqrt{2}\left(1+K_{1}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m-1}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2}\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $Z_{m}(t)=\left\|\dot{v}_{m}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}+b_{0} C_{0}\left\|v_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2}$, the above inequality can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{m}(t) \leq K_{T}^{(1)} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{m}(s) d s+K_{T}^{(2)} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{m-1}^{2}(s) d s \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K_{T}^{(1)}=\frac{2 C_{1} M^{2}}{b_{0} C_{0}}+\frac{2 M^{2}+\bar{K}_{1}}{\sqrt{b_{0} C_{0}}}+\frac{\left(1+K_{1}^{2}\right) \bar{K}_{2}}{\sqrt{2} b_{0} C_{0}}, \quad K_{T}^{(2)}=\frac{\left(1+K_{1}^{2}\right) \bar{K}_{2}}{\sqrt{2} b_{0} C_{0}} .
$$

Hence, we deduce from (4.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{m}\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \leq \mu_{T}\left\|v_{m-1}\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}^{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{T}$ is the constant

$$
\mu_{T}=\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{0} C_{0}}}\right)\left(1+b_{0} C_{0}\right) \sqrt{T K_{T}^{(2)} \exp \left(T K_{T}^{(1)}\right)} .
$$

From (4.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{m}-u_{m+p}\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \leq \frac{\beta^{2^{m}}}{\mu_{T}(1-\beta)} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m$ and $p$ where $\beta=4 M_{\mu_{T}}<1$. It follows that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W_{1}(T)$. Then there exists $u \in W_{1}(T)$ such that $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $W_{1}(T)$. Thus, and by applying a similar argument as used in the proof of Theorem 3.2 , $u \in W_{1}(M, T)$ is the unique weak solution of problem 1.1) corresponding to $f=$ $f(r, u)$ and $B(\eta)=b_{0}+\eta$. Passing to the limit as $p \rightarrow+\infty$ for $m$ fixed, we obtain estimate $\sqrt{4.5}$ from 4.9 . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2
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