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# POSITIVE SOLUTIONS AND EIGENVALUES OF NONLOCAL BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS 

JIFENG CHU, ZHONGCHENG ZHOU


#### Abstract

We study the ordinary differential equation $x^{\prime \prime}+\lambda a(t) f(x)=0$ with the boundary conditions $x(0)=0$ and $x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{\eta}^{1} x^{\prime}(s) d g(s)$. We characterize values of $\lambda$ for which boundary-value problem has a positive solution. Also we find appropriate intervals for $\lambda$ so that there are two positive solutions.


## 1. Introduction

This paper concerns the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\lambda a(t) f(x)=0, \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0,1] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(0)=0  \tag{1.2}\\
x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{\eta}^{1} x^{\prime}(s) d g(s) \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda>0, \eta \in(0,1)$ and the integral in 1.3 is meant in the sense of RiemannStieljes. In this paper it is assumed that
(H1) The function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous.
(H2) The function $a:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and does not vanish identically on any subinterval.
(H3) The function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing and such that $g(\eta)=0<g\left(\eta^{+}\right)$ and $g(1)<1$.
In recent years, nonlocal boundary-value problems of this form have been studied extensively in the literature [6, 7, 8, 9, 10. This class of problems includes, as special cases, multi-point boundary-value problems considered by many authors (see [4, 12 ] and the references therein). In fact, condition $1.2-1.3$ is the continuous version of the multi-point condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} x^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]which happens when $g$ is a piece-wise constant function that is increasing and has finitely many jumps, where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots \alpha_{m} \in \mathbb{R}$ have the same sign, $m \geq 1$ is an integer, $0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m}<1$.

In the sequel, in this paper we shall denote by $\mathbb{R}$ the real line and by $I$ the interval $[0,1], C(I)$ will denote the space of all continuous functions $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$
C_{0}^{1}(I)=\left\{x \in C(I): x^{\prime} \text { is absolutely continuous on } I \text { and } x(0)=0\right\}
$$

Then $C_{0}^{1}(I)$ is a Banach space when it is furnished with the super-norm $\|x\|=$ $\sup _{t \in I}|x(t)|$.

By a solution $x$ of (1.1)-(1.3) we mean $x \in C_{0}^{1}(I)$ satisfying equation (1.1) for almost all $t \in I$ and condition (1.3). By a positive solution $x$ of (1.1)-(1.3) if $x$ is nonnegative and is not identically zero on $I$. If, for a particular $\lambda$, the boundaryvalue problem $\sqrt{1.1}-1.3$ has a positive solution $x$, then $\lambda$ is called an eigenvalue and $x$ a corresponding eigenfunction. Recently, several eigenvalue characterizations for kinds of boundary-value problems have been carried out, for this we refer to [1, 2, 3, 3, 5, 14, 15].

In this paper, we will use the notation

$$
f_{0}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(x)}{x}, \quad f_{\infty}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} .
$$

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 , we will present some preliminary results, including a fixed point theorem due to Krasnosel'skii [11], which is the basic tool used in this paper. We shall establish the eigenvalue intervals in terms of $f_{0}$ and $f_{\infty}$ in section 3 . The investigation of the existence of double positive solutions is carried out in section 4 .

## 2. Preliminaries

First, we present a fixed point theorem in cones due to Krasnosel'skii, which can be found in 11 .

Theorem 2.1. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $K(\subset X)$ be a cone. Assume that $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ are open subsets of $X$ with $0 \in \Omega_{1}, \bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$, and let

$$
T: K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow K
$$

be a continuous and compact operator such that either
(i) $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|$, $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|$, $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$; or
(ii) $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.

Then $T$ has a fixed point in $K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.
We will apply Theorem 2.1 to find positive solutions to boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.3). To do so, we need to re-formulate the problem as an operator equation of the form $x=T_{\lambda} x$, for an appropriate operator $T_{\lambda}$. In fact, following from 7, we have:

Lemma 2.2. A function $x \in C_{0}^{1}(I)$ is a solution of the boundary-value problem 1.1)-(1.3) if and only if $x$ is a solution of the operator equation $x=T_{\lambda} x$, where $T_{\lambda}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{\lambda} x\right)(t)=\frac{\lambda t}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{0}^{t} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to apply Theorem 2.1, we define

$$
K=\left\{x \in C_{0}^{1}(I): x(t) \geq 0, x^{\prime}(t) \geq 0 \text { and } x \text { is concave }\right\}
$$

One may readily verify that $K$ is a cone in $C_{0}^{1}(I)$. Moreover, we have the following elementary fact.

Lemma 2.3. If $x \in K$, then, for any $\tau \in[0,1]$ it holds $x(t) \geq \tau\|x\|, t \in[\tau, 1]$.
Theorem 2.4. Assume that $(\mathrm{H} 1)-(\mathrm{H} 3)$ hold, then $T_{\lambda}(K) \subseteq K$ and $T_{\lambda}$ is continuous and completely continuous.

## 3. Eigenvalue intervals

For the sake of simplicity, let

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\frac{1}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d g(s)+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d s  \tag{3.1}\\
B & =\frac{1}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d g(s)+\int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d s \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (H1)-(H3) hold, then the boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.3) has at least one positive solution for each

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \in\left(1 / \eta f_{\infty} B, 1 / f_{0} A\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We construct the sets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in order to apply Theorem 2.1. Let $\lambda$ be given as in 3.3) and choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\eta\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) B} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) A}
$$

First, there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
f(x) \leq\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) x, \quad 0<x \leq r
$$

So, for any $x \in K$ with $\|x\|=r$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{\lambda} x\right)(t) \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d s \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r)\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) x(r) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r)\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) x(r) d r d s \\
& \leq \lambda\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) r\left\{\frac{1}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d g(s)+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d s\right\} \\
& \leq \lambda\left(f_{0}+\varepsilon\right) A r \leq r=\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\left\|T_{\lambda} x\right\| \leq\|x\|$. So, if we set $\Omega_{1}=\{x \in K:\|x\|<r\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\lambda} x\right\| \leq\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we choose $R_{1}$ such that

$$
f(x) \geq\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) x, \quad x \geq R_{1} .
$$

Let $R=\max \left\{2 r, \eta^{-1} R_{1}\right\}$ and set

$$
\Omega_{2}=\{x \in K:\|x\|<R\} .
$$

If $x \in K$ with $\|x\|=R$, then

$$
\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} x(t) \geq \eta\|x\| \geq R_{1}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{\lambda} x\right)(1) \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d s \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d s \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r)\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) x(r) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r)\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) x(r) d r d s \\
& \geq \lambda\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) \eta\|x\|\left\{\frac{1}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d g(s)+\int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d s\right\} \\
& =\lambda\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon\right) B \eta R \geq R=\|x\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda} x\right\| \geq\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}
$$

From this inequality, $\left(3.4\right.$, and Theorem 2.1 it follows that $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point $x \in K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$ with $r \leq\|x\| \leq R$. Clearly, this $x$ is a positive solution of (1.1)-1.3).

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that (H1)-(H3) hold, then the boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.3) has at least one positive solution for each

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \in\left(1 / \eta f_{0} B, 1 / f_{\infty} A\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We construct the sets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in order to apply Theorem 2.1. Let $\lambda$ be given as in 3.5 and choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\eta\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) B} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) A}
$$

First, there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
f(x) \geq\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) x, \quad 0<x \leq r
$$

So, for any $x \in K$ with $\|x\|=r$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{\lambda} x\right)(1) \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d s \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r)\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) x(r) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r)\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) x(r) d r d s \\
& \geq \lambda\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) \eta r\left\{\frac{1}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d g(s)+\int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d s\right\} \\
& \geq \lambda\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right) B \eta r \geq r=\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\left\|T_{\lambda} x\right\| \geq\|x\|$. So, if we set $\Omega_{1}=\{x \in K:\|x\|<r\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\lambda} x\right\| \geq\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we can choose $R_{1}$ such that

$$
f(x) \leq\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) x, x \geq R_{1}
$$

Here are two cases to be considered, namely, where $f$ is bounded and where $f$ is unbounded.
Case 1: $f$ is bounded. Then, there exists some constant $M>0$ such that $f(x) \leq M, x \in(0, \infty)$. Let $R=\max \{2 r, \lambda M A\}$ and set

$$
\Omega_{2}=\{x \in K:\|x\|<R\} .
$$

Then, for any $x \in K$ with $\|x\|=R$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{\lambda} x\right)(t) & \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d s \\
& \leq \lambda M\left\{\frac{1}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d g(s)+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d s\right\} \\
& \leq \lambda M A \leq R=\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\lambda} x\right\| \leq\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: $f$ is unbounded. Then, there exists $R>\max \left\{2 r, R_{1}\right\}$ such that

$$
f(x) \leq f(R), 0<x \leq R
$$

For $x \in K$ with $\|x\|=R$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{\lambda} x\right)(t) \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d s \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(R) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(R) d r d s \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r)\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) R d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r)\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) R d r d s \\
& =\lambda\left(f_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) R A \leq R=\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $(3.7)$ is also true in this case.
Now (3.6), 3.7, and Theorem 2.1 guarantee that $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point $x \in$ $K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$ with $r \leq\|x\| \leq R$. Clearly, this $x$ is a positive solution of (1.1)(1.3).

Example. Let the function $f(x)$ in 1.1 be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{\alpha}+x^{\beta}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then problem (1.1)-1.3 has at least one positive solution for all $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$ if $0<\alpha<1,0<\beta<1$ or $\alpha>1, \beta>1$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $f_{0}=\infty, f_{\infty}=0$ if $0<\alpha<1,0<\beta<1$ and $f_{0}=0, f_{\infty}=\infty$ if $\alpha>1, \beta>1$. Then the results can be easily obtained by using Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 3.2 directly.

## 4. Twin positive solutions

In this section, we establish the existence of two positive solutions to problem (1.1)- (1.3).

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that (H1)-(H3) hold. In addition, assume there exist two constants $R>r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leq x \leq r} f(x) \leq r / \lambda A, \quad \min _{\eta R \leq x \leq R} f(x) \geq R / \lambda B \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.3) has at least one positive solution $x \in K$ with $r \leq\|x\| \leq R$.

Proof. For $x \in \partial K_{r}=\{x \in K:\|x\|=r\}$, we have $f(x(t)) \leq r / \lambda A$ for $t \in[0,1]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{\lambda} x\right)(t) & \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d s \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \frac{r}{\lambda A} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d g(s)+\lambda \frac{r}{\lambda A} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d s=r
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, $\left\|T_{\lambda} x\right\| \leq\|x\|, \forall x \in \partial K_{r}$. For $x \in \partial K_{R}$, we have $f(x(t)) \geq R / \lambda B$ for $t \in[\eta, 1]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{\lambda} x\right)(1) & \geq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d g(s)+\lambda \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) f(x(r)) d r d s \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda}{1-g(1)} \frac{R}{\lambda B} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d g(s)+\lambda \frac{R}{\lambda B} \int_{\eta}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} a(r) d r d s=R
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, $\left\|T_{\lambda} x\right\| \geq\|x\|$, for all $x \in \partial K_{R}$. Then we can obtain the result by using Theorem 2.1.

Remark 4.2. In Theorem 4.1, if condition (4.1) is replaced by

$$
\max _{0 \leq x \leq R} f(x) \leq R / \lambda A, \quad \min _{\eta r \leq x \leq r} f(x) \geq r / \lambda B
$$

Then (1.1) has also a solution $x \in K$ with $r \leq\|x\| \leq R$.
For the remainder of this section, we need the following condition:
(H4) $\sup _{r>0} \min _{\eta r \leq x \leq r} f(x)>0$.
Let

$$
\lambda^{*}=\sup _{r>0} \frac{r}{A \max _{0 \leq x \leq r} f(x)}, \quad \lambda^{* *}=\inf _{r>0} \frac{r}{B \min _{\eta r \leq x \leq r} f(x)}
$$

We can easily obtain that $0<\lambda^{*} \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda^{* *}<\infty$ by using (H1) and (H4).
Theorem 4.3. Suppose that (H1)-(H4) hold. In addition, assume that $f_{0}=\infty$ and $f_{\infty}=\infty$. Then the boundary-value problem (1.1)-(1.3) has at least two positive solutions for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Define

$$
h(r)=\frac{r}{A \max _{0 \leq x \leq r} f(x)} .
$$

Using the condition (H1), $f_{0}=\infty$ and $f_{\infty}=\infty$, we can easily obtain that $h$ : $(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is continuous and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} h(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} h(r)=0
$$

So there exists $r_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $h\left(r_{0}\right)=\sup _{r>0} h(r)=\lambda^{*}$. For $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, there exist two constants $r_{1}, r_{2}\left(0<r_{1}<r_{0}<r_{2}<\infty\right)$ with $h\left(r_{1}\right)=h\left(r_{2}\right)=\lambda$. Thus

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(x) \leq r_{1} / \lambda A, & 0 \leq x \leq r_{1} \\
f(x) \leq r_{2} / \lambda A, & 0 \leq x \leq r_{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand, by using the condition $f_{0}=\infty$ and $f_{\infty}=\infty$, there exist two constants $r_{3}, r_{4}\left(0<r_{3}<r_{1}<r_{2}<\eta r_{4}<\infty\right)$ with

$$
\frac{f(x)}{x} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda \eta B}, \quad x \in\left(0, r_{3}\right) \cup\left(\eta r_{4}, \infty\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\min _{\eta r_{3} \leq x \leq r_{3}} f(x) \geq r_{3} / \lambda B \\
\min _{\eta r_{4} \leq x \leq r_{4}} f(x) \geq r_{4} / \lambda B . \tag{4.5}
\end{array}
$$

It follows from Remark 4.2 and 4.2 , 4.4) that problem 4.1 - 1.3 has a solution $x_{1} \in K$ with $r_{3} \leq\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq r_{1}$. Also, it follows from Theorem 4.1 and 4.3, 4.5) that problem (1.1)- (1.3) has a solution $x_{2} \in K$ with $r_{2} \leq\left\|x_{2}\right\| \leq r_{4}$. As a results, problem (1.1)-1.3 has at least two positive solutions

$$
r_{3} \leq\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq r_{1}<r_{2} \leq\left\|x_{2}\right\| \leq r_{4}
$$

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that (H1)-(H4) hold. In addition, assume that $f_{0}=0$ and $f_{\infty}=0$. Then, the boundary-value problem (1.1)-1.3 has at least two positive solutions for all $\lambda \in\left(\lambda^{* *}, \infty\right)$.
Proof. Define

$$
g(r)=\frac{r}{B \min _{\eta r \leq x \leq r} f(x)}
$$

Using the conditions (H1), $f_{0}=0$ and $f_{\infty}=0$, we can easily obtain that $g$ : $(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is continuous and

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} g(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} g(r)=+\infty
$$

So there exists $r_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $g\left(r_{0}\right)=\inf _{r>0} g(r)=\lambda^{* *}$. For $\lambda \in\left(\lambda^{* *}, \infty\right)$, there exist two constants $r_{1}, r_{2}\left(0<r_{1}<r_{0}<r_{2}<\infty\right)$ with $g\left(r_{1}\right)=g\left(r_{2}\right)=\lambda$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x) \geq r_{1} / \lambda B, \quad \eta r_{1} \leq x \leq r_{1}  \tag{4.6}\\
& f(x) \geq r_{2} / \lambda B, \quad \eta r_{2} \leq x \leq r_{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since $f_{0}=0$, there exists a constant $r_{3}\left(0<r_{3}<r_{1}\right)$ with

$$
\frac{f(x)}{x} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda A}, \quad x \in\left(0, r_{3}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leq x \leq r_{3}} f(x) \leq r_{3} / \lambda A \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, using the condition $f_{\infty}=0$, there exists a constant $r\left(r_{2}<r<+\infty\right)$ with

$$
\frac{f(x)}{x} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda A}, x \in(r, \infty)
$$

Let $M=\sup _{0 \leq x \leq r} f(x)$ and $r_{4} \geq A \lambda M$. It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leq x \leq r_{4}} f(x) \leq r_{4} / \lambda A \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Theorem 4.1, (4.6) and (4.8) that 1.1 - 1.3 has a solution $x_{1} \in K$ with $r_{3} \leq\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq r_{1}$. Also, it follows from Remark 4.2 and 4.7), 4.9) that problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a solution $x_{2} \in K$ with $r_{2} \leq\left\|x_{2}\right\| \leq r_{4}$. Therefore, problem (1.1)- (1.3) has two positive solutions

$$
r_{3} \leq\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq r_{1}<r_{2} \leq\left\|x_{2}\right\| \leq r_{4}
$$

Example. Assume in (3.8) that $0<\alpha<1<\beta$, then problem 1.1)-1.3 has at least two positive solution for each $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, where $\lambda^{*}$ is some positive constant.

Proof. It is easy to see that $f_{0}=\infty, f_{\infty}=\infty$ since $0<\alpha<1<\beta$. Then the result can be easily obtained using Theorem 4.3 .
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