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# DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR A SECOND ORDER SINGULAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION 

WENSHU ZHOU


#### Abstract

This article concerns the existence of positive solutions to the Dirichlet problem for a second order singular differential equation. To prove existence, we use the classical method of elliptic regularization.


## 1. Introduction

We study the existence of positive solutions for the second order singular differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \frac{u^{\prime}}{t-1}-\gamma \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{u}+f(t)=0, \quad 0<t<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(1)=u(0)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma>0, f(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ and $f(t)>0$ on $[0,1]$.
It is well known that boundary value problems for singular ordinary differential equations arise in the field of gas dynamics, flow mechanics, theory of boundary layer, and so on. In recent years, singular second order ordinary differential equations with dependence on the first order derivative have been studied extensively, see for example [1, 5, 7, 8, 6, 10, 11, 12] and references therein where some general existence results were obtained. We point out that the case considered here is not in their considerations since it does not satisfy some sufficient conditions of those papers. Our considerations were motivated by the model, which arises in the studies of a degenerate parabolic equation (see for instance [2, 3, 4]), considered by Bertsch and Ughi 4 in which they studied (1.1) with $\lambda=0$ and $f \equiv 1$ and the boundary boundary conditions: $u(1)=u^{\prime}(0)=0$. By ordinary differential equation theories, they obtained a decreasing positive solution. However, it is easy to see from the boundary conditions $(1.2)$ that any positive solution to the Dirichlet problem for (1.1) must not be decreasing. Recently, in [13] the authors studied the Dirichlet problem for $(1.1)$ with $\lambda=0$, and proved that if $\gamma>0$, then the problem has a positive solution $u$; moreover, if $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$, then $u$ satisfies also $u^{\prime}(1)=u^{\prime}(0)=0$.

[^0]Note that the equation considered here is more general since it is also singular at $t=1$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. Thus the existence result obtained here is not a simple extension of 4, 13 .

We say $u \in C^{2}(0,1) \cap C[0,1]$ is a solution to the Dirichlet problem 1.1, ,1.2 if it is positive in $(0,1)$ and satisfies 1.1 and 1.2 .

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Let $\lambda>-1, \gamma>\frac{1}{2}(1+\lambda), f(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ and $f>0$ on $[0,1]$. Then the Dirichlet problem (1.1), (1.2) has a solution u. Moreover, u satisfies $u^{\prime}(1)=0$. If in addition we assume that $\lambda$ is non-negative, then $u$ satisfies also $u^{\prime}(0)=0$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will use the classical method of elliptic regularization to prove Theorem 1.1. For this, we consider the following regularized problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \frac{u^{\prime}}{t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}-\gamma \frac{\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} \operatorname{sgn}_{\varepsilon}(u)}{I_{\varepsilon}(u)}+f(t)=0, \quad 0<t<1 \\
u(1)=u(0)=\varepsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\varepsilon \in(0,1), I_{\varepsilon}(s)$ and $\operatorname{sgn}_{\varepsilon}(s)$ are defined as follows:

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
s, & s \geqslant \varepsilon, \\
\frac{s^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}{2 \varepsilon}, & |s|<\varepsilon, \\
-s, & s \leqslant-\varepsilon,
\end{array} \quad \operatorname{sgn}_{\varepsilon}(s)= \begin{cases}1, & s \geqslant \varepsilon \\
\frac{2 s}{\varepsilon}-\frac{s^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}, & 0 \leqslant s<\varepsilon \\
\frac{2 s}{\varepsilon}+\frac{s^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}, & -\varepsilon \leqslant s<0 \\
-1, & s<-\varepsilon\end{cases}\right.
$$

Clearly, $I_{\varepsilon}(s), \operatorname{sgn}_{\varepsilon}(s) \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and $I_{\varepsilon}(s) \geqslant \varepsilon / 2,1 \geqslant\left|\operatorname{sgn}_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|, \operatorname{sgn}_{\varepsilon}(s) \operatorname{sgn}(s) \geqslant 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

It follows from [6, Theorem 4.1, Chapter 7] that for any fixed $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, the above regularized problem admits a classical solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{2}(0,1) \cap C^{1}[0,1]$. By the maximal principle, it is easy to see that $u_{\varepsilon}(t) \geqslant \varepsilon$ on $[0,1]$. Thus $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}-\gamma \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{u_{\varepsilon}}+f(t)=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{2.1}\\
u_{\varepsilon}(0)=u_{\varepsilon}(1)=\varepsilon
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that this system is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}-\gamma \frac{\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{u_{\varepsilon}}}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \quad+\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda} f(t)=0, \quad 0<t<1
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\left|\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)^{1+\lambda} \max _{[0,1]} f}{1+\lambda}
$$

In particular, for any $\delta \in(0,1)$ there exists a positive constant $C_{\delta}$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that $\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant C_{\delta}$, for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant \delta$.

Proof. By $u_{\varepsilon}(1)=u_{\varepsilon}(0)=\varepsilon$ and $u_{\varepsilon}(t) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for all $t \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(t)-\varepsilon}{t} \geqslant 0  \tag{2.3}\\
& u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(1)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(t)-\varepsilon}{t-1} \leqslant 0
\end{align*}
$$

From 2.2 we obtain

$$
\left[\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+A\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda} \geqslant 0, \quad 0<t<1
$$

where $A=\max _{[0,1]} f$, i.e.

$$
\left[\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\frac{A\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{1+\lambda}}{1+\lambda}\right]^{\prime} \geqslant 0, \quad 0<t<1
$$

Therefore, the function $\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\frac{A\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{1+\lambda}}{1+\lambda}$ is non-decreasing on $[0,1]$, and then, noticing $\lambda>-1$ and using (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geqslant \varepsilon^{\lambda / 2} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(1)-\frac{A \varepsilon^{(1+\lambda) / 2}}{1+\lambda} \\
& \geqslant\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)-\frac{A\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{1+\lambda}}{1+\lambda} \\
& \geqslant\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)-\frac{A\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)^{1+\lambda}}{1+\lambda} \\
& \geqslant-\frac{A\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)^{1+\lambda}}{1+\lambda}, \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{A\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{1+\lambda}}{1+\lambda} \geqslant\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{\lambda} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant-\frac{A\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)^{1+\lambda}}{1+\lambda}, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.
Obviously, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}+\gamma \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{u_{\varepsilon}}-\min _{[0,1]} f \geqslant 0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{2.4}\\
& -u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}+\gamma \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{u_{\varepsilon}}-\max _{[0,1]} f \leqslant 0, \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain uniform bounds of $u_{\varepsilon}$, the following comparison theorem will be proved to be very useful.

Proposition 2.2. Let $u_{i} \in C^{2}(0,1) \cap C[0,1]$ and $u_{i}>0$ on $[0,1](i=1,2)$. If $u_{2} \geqslant u_{1}$ for $t=0,1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& -u_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\eta \frac{u_{2}^{\prime}}{t-1-\rho}+\varrho \frac{\left|u_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{u_{2}}-\theta \geqslant 0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{2.6}\\
& -u_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\eta \frac{u_{1}^{\prime}}{t-1-\rho}+\varrho \frac{\left|u_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{u_{1}}-\theta \leqslant 0, \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho, \varrho, \theta>0, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $u_{2}(t) \geqslant u_{1}(t), t \in[0,1]$.

Proof. From 2.6 and 2.7, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{u_{2}^{1-\varrho}}{1-\varrho}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\eta}{t-1-\rho}\left(\frac{u_{2}^{1-\varrho}}{1-\varrho}\right)^{\prime} \leqslant-\frac{\theta}{u_{2}^{\varrho}}, \quad(\varrho \neq 1) \\
& \left(\ln \left(u_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\eta}{t-1-\rho}\left(\ln \left(u_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime} \leqslant-\frac{\theta}{u_{2}}, \quad(\varrho=1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{u_{1}^{1-\varrho}}{1-\varrho}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\eta}{t-1-\rho}\left(\frac{u_{1}^{1-\varrho}}{1-\varrho}\right)^{\prime} \geqslant-\frac{\theta}{u_{1}^{\varrho}}, \quad(\varrho \neq 1) \\
& \left(\ln \left(u_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\eta}{t-1-\rho}\left(\ln \left(u_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime} \geqslant-\frac{\theta}{u_{1}} . \quad(\varrho=1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\eta}{t-1-\rho} w^{\prime} \leqslant \theta\left(\frac{1}{u_{1}^{\varrho}}-\frac{1}{u_{2}^{\varrho}}\right), \quad 0<t<1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
w= \begin{cases}\frac{u_{2}^{1-\varrho}}{1-\varrho}-\frac{u_{1}^{1-\varrho}}{1-\varrho}, & (\varrho \neq 1) \\ \ln \left(u_{2}\right)-\ln \left(u_{1}\right) & (\varrho=1)\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, $w \in C^{2}(0,1) \cap C[0,1]$. To prove the proposition, we argue by contradiction and assume that there exists a point $t_{0}$ of $(0,1)$ such that $u_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-u_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$. From the assumption, it is easy to see that $w$ reaches a minimum at some point $t_{*}$ of $(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
w\left(t_{*}\right) & =\min _{t \in[0,1]} w(t)<0  \tag{2.9}\\
w^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right) & =0, \quad w^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{*}\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining 2.10 with 2.8 we have

$$
\theta\left(\frac{1}{u_{1}^{\varrho}\left(t_{*}\right)}-\frac{1}{u_{2}^{\varrho}\left(t_{*}\right)}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

This implies $u_{2}\left(t_{*}\right) \geqslant u_{1}\left(t_{*}\right)$. However, from 2.9 we find that $u_{2}\left(t_{*}\right)<u_{1}\left(t_{*}\right)$, a contradiction. Thus the proof of Proposition 2.2 is completed.

Lemma 2.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, for all $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ there exist positive constants $C_{i}(i=1,2)$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
C_{2}\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{2} \geqslant u_{\varepsilon}(t) \geqslant C_{1}\left[t(1-t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right]^{2}, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

Proof. We shall first show the right-hand side of the above inequalities. Let $w_{\varepsilon}=$ $C\left[t(1-t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right]^{2}$, where $C \in(0,1]$ will be determined later. By Proposition 2.2 and noticing $(2.4)$, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda \frac{w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}+\gamma \frac{\left|w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{w_{\varepsilon}}-\min _{[0,1]} f \leqslant 0, \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some sufficiently small positive constant $C$ independent of $\varepsilon$. Simple calculations show that

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=2 C\left[t(1-t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right](1-2 t) \\
w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}=2 C(1-2 t)^{2}-4 C\left[t(1-t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda \frac{w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}+\gamma \frac{\left|w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{w_{\varepsilon}}-\min _{[0,1]} f \\
= & -2 C(1-2 t)^{2}+4 C\left[t(1-t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right] \\
& -2 C \lambda \frac{\left[t(1-t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right](1-2 t)}{t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}+4 C \gamma(1-2 t)^{2}-\min _{[0,1]} f \\
\leqslant & 4 C(2+|\lambda|+\gamma)-\min _{[0,1]} f, \quad 0<t<1
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
C \leqslant \min \left\{1, \frac{\min _{[0,1]} f}{4(2+|\lambda|+\gamma)}\right\}
$$

we find that 2.11 holds.
Next we show the left-hand side of the inequalities. Let $v_{\varepsilon}=C\left(1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}-t\right)^{2}$, where $C \geqslant 1$ will be determined later. A calculation shows that

$$
-v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda \frac{v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}+\gamma \frac{\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{v_{\varepsilon}}-\max _{[0,1]} f=2 C(2 \gamma-1-\lambda)-\max _{[0,1]} f, \quad 0<t<1
$$

Choosing a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
C \geqslant \max \left\{1, \frac{\max _{[0,1]} f}{2(2 \gamma-1-\lambda)}\right\}
$$

and noticing $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}(1+\lambda)$, we find that

$$
-v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda \frac{v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}+\gamma \frac{\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{v_{\varepsilon}}-\max _{[0,1]} f \geqslant 0, \quad 0<t<1
$$

and then, by Proposition 2.2 and noticing 2.5 , we obtain $u_{\varepsilon} \leqslant v_{\varepsilon}$ on $[0,1]$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3

From 2.4, 2.5), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, we derive that for any $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ there exists a positive constant $C_{\delta}$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leqslant C_{\delta}, \quad \delta \leqslant t \leqslant 1-\delta . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.1) with respect to $t$ we get

$$
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-\left(t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}}{\left(t-1-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}}+\gamma \frac{2 u_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{3}}{u_{\varepsilon}^{2}}-f^{\prime}(t), \quad 0<t<1
$$

By 2.12, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, we derive that for any $\delta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, there exists a positive constant $C_{\delta}$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| \leqslant C_{\delta}, \quad \delta \leqslant t \leqslant 1-\delta
$$

From this and Lemma 2.1 and using Arzelá-Ascoli theorem and diagonal sequential process, we see that there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ and a function $u \in$ $C^{2}(0,1) \cap C[0,1)$ such that, as $\varepsilon_{j} \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\varepsilon_{j}} & \rightarrow u, \quad \text { uniformly in } C[0,1-\delta] \\
u_{\varepsilon_{j}} & \rightarrow u, \quad \text { uniformly in } C^{2}[\delta, 1-\delta] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with 2.1) and $u_{\varepsilon_{j}}(0)=\varepsilon_{j}$, we find that $u$ satisfies (1) and $u(0)=0$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(1-t)^{2} \geqslant u(t) \geqslant C_{1}[t(1-t)]^{2}, \quad t \in[0,1) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore $u>0$ in $(0,1)$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} u(t)=0$. Define $u(1)=0$. Thus $u$ is a solution to the Dirichlet problem (1.1), 1.2 , and it follows from $\left(2.13\right.$ that $u^{\prime}(1)=0$.

It remains to show that for $\lambda \geqslant 0, u$ satisfies $u^{\prime}(0)=0$. Let $h_{\varepsilon_{j}}=C\left(t+\varepsilon_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}$, where $C \geqslant \max \left\{1, \frac{\max _{[0,1]} f}{2(2 \gamma-1)}\right\}$. Noticing $\lambda \geqslant 0$ and $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}(1+\lambda)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -h_{\varepsilon_{j}}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda \frac{h_{\varepsilon_{j}}^{\prime}}{t-1-\varepsilon_{j}^{1 / 2}}+\gamma \frac{\left|h_{\varepsilon_{j}}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{h_{\varepsilon_{j}}}-\max _{[0,1]} f \\
& =2 C(2 \gamma-1)-2 C \lambda \frac{t+\varepsilon_{j}^{1 / 2}}{t-1-\varepsilon_{j}^{1 / 2}}-\max _{[0,1]} f \\
& \geqslant 2 C(2 \gamma-1)-\max _{[0,1]} f \geqslant 0, \quad 0<t<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 2.2 and noticing 2.5, we obtain $u_{\varepsilon_{j}} \leqslant h_{\varepsilon_{j}}$ on $[0,1]$. Letting $\varepsilon_{j} \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
u(t) \leqslant C t^{2}, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

Combining this with the right-hand side of (2.13), we obtain $u^{\prime}(0)=0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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