Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2006(2006), No. 71, pp. 1–17. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu (login: ftp)

ENERGY QUANTIZATION FOR YAMABE'S PROBLEM IN CONFORMAL DIMENSION

FETHI MAHMOUDI

ABSTRACT. Rivière [11] proved an energy quantization for Yang-Mills fields defined on n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, when n is larger than the critical dimension 4. More precisely, he proved that the defect measure of a weakly converging sequence of Yang-Mills fields is quantized, provided the $W^{2,1}$ norm of their curvature is uniformly bounded. In the present paper, we prove a similar quantization phenomenon for the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$-\Delta u = u|u|^{4/(n-2)},$$

in a subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^n .

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 3$. We consider the equation

$$-\Delta u = u|u|^{4/(n-2)} \qquad \text{in} \quad \Omega \tag{1.1}$$

We will say that u is a weak solution of (1.1) in Ω , if, for all $\Phi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with compact support in Ω , we have

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta \Phi(x) u(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) u(x) |u(x)|^{4/(n-2)} dx$$
 (1.2)

If in addition u satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \Phi^j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \frac{\partial \Phi^i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{n-2}{2n} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} \frac{\partial \Phi^i}{\partial x_i} \right] dx = 0 \qquad (1.3)$$

for any $\Phi = (\Phi^1, \Phi^2, \dots, \Phi^n) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with compact support in Ω , we say that u is stationary. In other words, a weak solution u in $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{L}^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)$ of (1.1) is stationary if the functional E defined by

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}$$

is stationary with respect to domain variations, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt}(E(u_t))|_{t=0} = 0$$

where $u_t(x) = u(x + t\Phi)$. It is easy to verify that a smooth solution is stationary.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B33, 46E30, 46L65.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Critical exponents; Lorentz spaces; quantization phenomena.

^{©2006} Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted February 20, 2006. Published July 7, 2006.

In this paper we prove a monotonicity formula for stationary weak solution u in $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{L}^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)$ of (1.1) by a similar idea as in [6]. More precisely we have the following result.

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that $u \in \mathbf{L}^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ is a stationary weak solution of (1.1). Consider the function

$$E_u(x,r) = \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy + \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds + r^{-1} \int_{B(x,r)} u^2 ds.$$

Then $r \mapsto E_u(x,r)$ is positive, nondecreasing and continuous.

This monotonicity formula together with ideas which go back to the work of Schoen [12], allowed to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ depend only on n such that, for any smooth solution $u \in H^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)$ of (1.1), we have: For any $x_0 \in \Omega$, if

$$\int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{2n/(n-2)} \le \varepsilon,$$

then

$$||u||_{\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0))} \leq \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{r^{(n-2)/2}}$$
 for any $r < r_0$,

where $B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)$ is the ball centered at x_0 with radius $\frac{r}{2}$, and $C(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Zongming Guo and Jiay Li [5] studied sequences of smooth solutions of (1.1) having uniformly bounded energy, they proved the following result.

Theorem 1.3. Let u_i be a sequence of smooth solutions of (1.1) such that

$$||u_i||_{H^1(\Omega)} + ||u_i||_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)}$$

is bounded. Let u_{∞} be the weak limit of u_i in $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{L}^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)$. Then u_{∞} is smooth and satisfies equation (1.1) outside a closed singular subset Σ of Ω . Moreover, there exists $r_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Sigma = \bigcap_{0 < r < r_0} \left\{ x \in \Omega : \liminf_{i \to \infty} E_{u_i}(x, r) \ge \varepsilon_0 \right\}.$$

We define the sequence of Radon measures

$$\eta_i := \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_i|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} |u_i|^{2n/(n-2)}\right) dx$$

Assumption that the sequence $(\|\nabla u_i\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + \|u_i\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)})_i$ is bounded, and up to a subsequences, we can assume that $\eta_i \rightharpoonup \eta$ in the sense of measures as $i \to \infty$. Namely, for any continuous function ϕ with compact support in Ω

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\eta_i = \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\eta.$$

Fatou's Lemma then implies that we can decompose

$$\eta = (\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}) dx + \nu$$

where ν is a nonnegative Radon measure. Moreover, we prove that ν satisfies the following lemma.

Lemma 1.4. Let $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{\delta} \subset \Omega$. Then we have

- (i) $\Sigma \subset spt(\nu)$
- (ii) There exists a measurable, upper-semi-continuous function Θ such that

$$\nu(x) = \Theta(x)\mathcal{H}^0 | \Sigma, \quad \text{for } x \in \Sigma.$$

Moreover, there exists some constants c and C>0 (only depending on n and Ω) such that

$$c\varepsilon_0 < \Theta(x) < C \quad \mathcal{H}^0 - a.e. \text{ in } \Sigma$$

where $H^0 \mid \Sigma$ is the restriction to Σ of the Hausdorff measure and Θ is a measurable function on Σ .

The main question we would like to address in the present paper concerns the multiplicity Θ of the defect measure which has been defined above. More precisely, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. Let ν be the defect measure of the sequence $(|\nabla u_i|^2 + |u_i|^{2n/(n-2)})dx$ defined above. Then ν is quantized. That is, for a.e $x \in \Sigma$,

$$\Theta(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=N_x} \|\nabla v_{x,j}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_{x,j}\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)}^{2n/(n-2)}$$
(1.4)

where N_x is a positive integer and where the functions $v_{x,j}$ are solutions of $\Delta v + v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0$ which are defined on \mathbb{R}^n , issued from $(u_{i'})$ and that concentrate at x as $i \to \infty$.

The sentence "issued from $(u_{i'})$ and that concentrate at x as $i \to \infty$ " means that there are sequences of conformal maps ψ^i_j , a finite family of balls $(B^l_{i,j})_l$ such that the pulled back function

$$\tilde{u}_{i,j} = (\psi_j^i)^* u_{i'}$$

satisfies

$$\tilde{u}_{i,j} \to v_j$$
 strongly in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_l B_{i,j}^l)),$
 $\nabla \tilde{u}_{i,j} \to \nabla v_j$ strongly in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_l B_{i,j}^l))$

In the context of Yang-Mills fields in dimension $n \geq 4$ a similar concentration result has been proven by Rivière [11]. More precisely, Rivière has shown that, if $(A_i)_i$ is a sequence of Yang-Mills connections such that $(\|\nabla_A\nabla_AF_A\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(B_1^n)})_i$ is bounded, then the corresponding defect measure $\nu = \Theta \mathcal{H}^{n-4} \lfloor \Sigma$ of a sequence of smooth Yang-Mills connections is quantized.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 uses technics introduced by Lin and Rivière in their study of Ginzburg-Landau vortices [10] and also the technics developed by Rivière in [5]. These technics use as an essential tool the Lorentz spaces, more specifically the $\mathbf{L}^{2,\infty}$ - $\mathbf{L}^{2,1}$ duality [14].

This paper is organized in the following way: In Section 2 we establish first a monotonicity formula for smooth solutions of problem (1.1) which allows us to prove an ε -regularity Theorem. Then, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 1.4. While Section 3 is devoted to the proof of our main result, Theorem 1.5.

2. A monotonicity Inequality

In this section, we establish a monotonicity formula for smooth solutions of problem (1.1). Using Pohozaev identity: Multiplying (1.1) by $x_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ (summation over i is understood) and integrating over B(x,r), the ball centered at x of radius r, we obtain

$$-\int_{B(x,r)} x_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \Delta u \, dy = -\int_{B(x,r)} x_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} u |u|^{4/(n-2)} \, dy$$

By Green formula, we get

$$\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy - \frac{n-2}{2} \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^2 dy$$

$$- \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} ds + \frac{1}{2} r \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^2 ds$$

$$= r \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2 dy$$
(2.1)

On the other hand, multiplying (1.1) by u and integrating over B(x,r), we get

$$\int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^2 dy - \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} ds = \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy$$
 (2.2)

Deriving (2.2) with respect to r, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^2 dy - \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} ds = \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy$$
 (2.3)

Combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$-\frac{r}{n}\int_{\partial B(x,r)}|u|^{2n/(n-2)}\,ds$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}r\frac{d}{dr}\int_{\partial B(x,r)}u\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\,ds-r\int_{\partial B(x,r)}|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2\,dy+r^{-1}u\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\,ds.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} (\int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 \, ds) &= \frac{d}{dr} (2 \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \, ds + \frac{n-1}{r} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 \, ds) \\ &= (n-1) \Big[\frac{2}{r} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \, ds + (\frac{n-1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2}) \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 \, ds \Big] \\ &+ 2 \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \, ds \\ &= \frac{n-1}{r} \Big[2 \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \, ds + \frac{n-2}{r} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 \, ds \Big] \\ &+ 2 \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \, ds. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} \, dy + \frac{1}{n} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 \, ds \\ & = \int_{\partial B(x,r)} (|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2 + \frac{2n-3}{2r} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{4} r^{-2} u^2) \, ds. \end{split}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d}{dr}(\frac{1}{r}\int_{\partial B(x,r)}u^2ds)\\ &=-\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{\partial B(x,r)}u^2ds+\frac{2}{r}\int_{\partial B(x,r)}u\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}ds+\frac{n-1}{r^2}\int_{\partial B(x,r)}u^2ds\\ &=\frac{n-2}{r^2}\int_{\partial B(x,r)}u^2ds+\frac{2}{r}\int_{\partial B(x,r)}u\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}ds. \end{split}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy + \frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds - \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{r} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds \right] \\ &= \int_{\partial B(x,r)} (|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|^2 + (n-2)r^{-1}u|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}| + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}r^{-2}u^2) ds \\ &= \int_{\partial B(x,r)} (\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{n-2}{2}r^{-1}u)^2 ds \geq 0 \end{split}$$

We conclude that

$$E_u(x,r) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy + \frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{B(x,r)} u^2 ds + \frac{1}{n} r^{-1} \int_{B(x,r)} u^2 ds \quad (2.4)$$

is a nondecreasing function of r. Using the fact that

$$\int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy - \int_{\partial B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^2 dy = -\int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} ds,$$

one can easily get

$$\begin{split} E_u(x,r) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy + \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds - \frac{1}{4} r^{-1} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds \\ &= \frac{\frac{n}{2}}{n} \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy + \frac{1 - \frac{n}{2}}{n} \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds - \frac{1}{4} r^{-1} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^2 dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} ds - \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds - \frac{1}{4} r^{-1} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x,r)} (|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{n-2}{2n} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) dy + \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds \\ &- \frac{1}{4} r^{-1} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} ds. \end{split}$$

We obtain an equivalent formulation of $E_u(x,r)$

$$E_u(x,r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x,r)} (|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{n-2}{2n} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy + \frac{n-2}{4} r^{-1} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, using the fact that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds = 2 \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} ds + \frac{n-1}{r} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{r} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 \, ds &= \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 \, ds - \frac{2}{n-1} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 \, ds \\ &+ \frac{2}{n-1} \Big[\int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} \, dy - \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^2 \, dy \Big] \end{split}$$

Then $E_u(x,r)$ can also be written

$$E_u(x,r) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_{B(x,r)} (|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{n} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) \, dy + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 \, ds.$$

Proof of Lemma 1.1. To prove that $(x,r) \mapsto E_u(x,r)$ is continuous it suffices to prove that

$$(x,r) \mapsto \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u^2 ds$$

is continuous with respect to x and r. We have

$$\int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} ds = \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{B(x,r)} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} dy$$

Thus $(x,r) \mapsto \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$ is continuous, and this allows to get the conclusion.

Now, to prove that E_u is positive, we proceed by contradiction. If the result is not true, then there would exists $x \in \Omega$ and R > 0 such that $E_u(x, R) < 0$. For almost every y in some neighborhood of x, we have

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \, ds = 0$$

integrating $E_u(x,r)$ over the interval [0,R] and using the fact that $r \mapsto E_u(x,r)$ is increasing, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{R} E_{u}(y,r)dr = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_{0}^{R} dr \int_{B(y,r)} (|\nabla u|^{2} + \frac{n-2}{2n} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) dx + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_{\partial B(y,R)} u^{2} ds$$

$$< RE_{u}(y,R) < 0$$

which is not possible. This proves Lemma 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. There exist $r_0 > 0$ and some constant c > 0, depending only on n, such that

$$\int_{B(x,r)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) \, dy < cE_u(x,r)$$

for any $r < r_0/2$.

Proof. Using the fact that $(x,r) \mapsto E_u(x,r)$ is nondecreasing, we have

$$\begin{split} rE_u(x,r) &\geq \int_0^r E_u(x,s) \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2n-2} \int_0^r ds \int_{B(x,s)} (|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{n} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) \, dy \\ &+ \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_0^r ds \int_{\partial B(x,s)} u^2 \, d\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \frac{n-2}{n} \int_{\frac{r}{2}}^r ds \int_{B(x,s)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) \, dy \\ &\geq C(n) \frac{r}{2} \int_{B(x,\frac{r}{2})} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) \, dy \end{split}$$

where C(n) is a positive constant depending only on n. This gives the desired result.

As a consequence of Lemma 2.1, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.2. Assume that there exist x_0 and $r_0 > 0$ such that $E_u(x_0, r_0) \leq \varepsilon$ then

$$\int_{B(x,r)} (|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{n} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) \, dy \le C\varepsilon \quad \forall \quad 0 < r < 2r_0$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n.

Proof. Let x_0 and r_0 be such that $E_u(x_0, r_0) \le \varepsilon$ and let $0 < r < r_0$, then for all $x \in B(x_0, \frac{r}{2})$ we have

$$B(x, \frac{r}{2}) \subset B(x_0, r) \subset B(x_0, r_0)$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} E_u(x_0,r_0) &\geq \frac{n-2}{2n(n-1)} \int_{B(x,\frac{r}{2})} |u|^{2n/(n-2)} \, dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_{B(x,\frac{r}{2})} |\nabla u|^2 dy + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x_0,r)} u^2 \, ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_{B(x,\frac{r}{2})} \left(|u|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u|^2 \right) dy + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial B(x_0,r)} u^2 \, ds \end{split}$$

Integrating between 0 and r, we obtain

$$rE_{u}(x_{0}, r_{0})$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_{0}^{r} ds \int_{B(x, \frac{s}{2})} (|u|^{2n/(n-2)} + \nabla u|^{2}) dy + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_{\partial B(x_{0}, r)} u^{2} ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_{0}^{r} ds \int_{B(x, \frac{s}{2})} (|\nabla u|^{2} + |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) dy$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_{\frac{r}{2}}^{r} ds \int_{B(x, \frac{s}{2})} (|\nabla u|^{2} + |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) dy$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \frac{r}{2} \int_{B(x, \frac{s}{2})} (|\nabla u|^{2} + |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) dy.$$

Then

$$E_u(x_0, r_0) \ge \frac{1}{4(n-1)} \int_{B(x, \frac{r}{n})} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) \, dy,$$

thus

$$\int_{B(x,r)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^{2n/(n-2)}) \, dy \le C\varepsilon \quad \forall r < 2r_0.$$

This proves the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x_0 = 0$ and we denote by B_{r_0} the ball of radius r_0 centered at $x_0 = 0$.

We use the idea of Schoen [12]. For $r < r_0$, we define

$$F(y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - |y|\right)^{(n-2)/2} u(y)$$

Clearly F is continuous over $B_{\frac{r}{2}}$, then there exist $y_0 \in B_{\frac{r}{2}}$ such that

$$F(y_0) = \max_{y \in B_{\frac{r}{2}}} \left(\frac{r}{2} - |y|\right)^{(n-2)/2} u(y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - |y_0|\right)^{(n-2)/2} u(y_0)$$

Let $0 < \sigma < \frac{r}{2}$, for all $y \in B_{\sigma}$, we have

$$u(y) \le \frac{(\frac{r}{2} - |y_0|)^{(n-2)/2}}{(\frac{r}{2} - |y|)^{(n-2)/2}} u(y_0)$$

Then

$$\sup_{y \in B_{\sigma}} u(y) \le \frac{\left(\frac{r}{2} - |y_0|\right)^{(n-2)/2}}{\left(\frac{r}{2} - |y|\right)^{(n-2)/2}} \sup_{y \in B_{\sigma_0}} u(y)$$

where $\sigma_0 = |y_0|$. Let $y_1 \in B_{\sigma_0}$ be such that

$$u(y_1) = \sup_{y \in B_{\sigma_0}} u(y)$$

We claim that

$$u(y_1) \le \frac{2^{(n-2)/2}}{(\frac{r}{2} - |y_0|)^{(n-2)/2}}.$$

Indeed, on the contrary case, we get

$$(u(y_1))^{-2/(n-2)} \le \frac{1}{2}(\frac{r}{2} - |y_0|)$$

Let $\mu = (u(y_1))^{-2/(n-2)}$. We have

$$B_{\mu}(y_1) \subset B_{\frac{\sigma_0 + \frac{r}{2}}{2}}$$

 $(|z - y_1| < \mu \text{ take } |z| < \frac{\frac{r}{2} + |y_0|}{2}).$ Hence

$$\sup_{y \in B_{\mu}(y_1)} u(y) \le \frac{\left(\frac{r}{2} - |y_0|\right)^{(n-2)/2}}{\left(\frac{r}{2} - |y_0|\right)^{(n-2)/2}} u(y_1) = 2^{(n-2)/2} u(y_1)$$

Let $v(x) = \mu^{(n-2)/2}u(\mu x + y_1)$. Easy computations shows that v satisfies

$$\Delta v^{2n/(n-2)} = \frac{2n}{n-2} \left[\frac{n+2}{n-2} v^{4/(n-2)} |\nabla v|^2 + v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \triangle v \right]$$
$$\geq \frac{2n}{n-2} v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \triangle v = -\frac{2n}{n-2} v^{2\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$$

On the other hand

$$v^{2n/(n-2)}(0) = \mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}\frac{2n}{n-2}}u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(y_1) = 1.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{B_1} v(x) &= \mu^{(n-2)/2} \sup_{B_1} u(\mu x + y_1) \\ &= \mu^{(n-2)/2} \sup_{B_{\mu}(y_1)} u(x) \\ &\leq \mu^{(n-2)/2} 2^{(n-2)/2} u(y_1) = 2^{(n-2)/2}. \end{split}$$

Then $\sup_{B_1} v^{2n/(n-2)} \leq 2^n$. Therefore,

$$-\Delta v^{2n/(n-2)} < C(n)v^{2n/(n-2)}.$$

We conclude that

$$1 = v^{2n/(n-2)}(0) \le C \int_{B_1} v^{2n/(n-2)}(x) dx = C \mu^n \int_{B_n} u^{2n/(n-2)}(x) dx \le C \varepsilon.$$

For ϵ sufficiently small, we derive a contradiction. It follows that

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}} u(y) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{r}{2} - |y_0|\right)^{(n-2)/2}}{\left(\frac{r}{2} - |y|\right)^{(n-2)/2}} \cdot \frac{2^{(n-2)/2}}{\left(\frac{r}{2} - |y_0|\right)^{(n-2)/2}} = \frac{2^{(n-2)/2}}{\left(\frac{r}{2} - |y|\right)^{(n-2)/2}}.$$

For |y| < r/4, we have

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{r}{A}}} u(y) \le C(n)/r^{(n-2)/2}$$

This in turns proves the Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Lemma 1.4. We keep the above notations. To show (i), suppose $x_0 \in B_1 \setminus \Sigma$, then there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} E_{u_i}(x_0,r_1) < \varepsilon_0.$$

Then, we may find a sequence $n_j \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$ such that

$$\sup_{n_j} E_{u_{n_j}}(x_0, r_1) < \varepsilon_0.$$

We deduce from the ε -regularity Theorem (Theorem 1.2) that

$$\sup_{n_j} \sup_{x \in B_{\frac{r_1}{16}}(x_0)} |u_{n_j}| \le \frac{C}{r_1^{(n-2)/2}}.$$

for some constant C depending only on n. Then

$$u_{n_j} \to u \text{ in } C^1(B_{\frac{r_1}{16}}(x_0))$$

a similar argument allows to show that

$$\nabla u_{n_j} \to \nabla u$$
 in $C^1(B_{\frac{r_1}{16}}(x_0))$

Then

$$\mu_{n_j} := \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{n_j}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} u_{n_j}^{2n/(n-2)}\right) dx \to \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} u^{2n/(n-2)}\right) dx$$

as radon measure. Hence $\nu=0$ on $B_{\frac{r_1}{16}}(x_0)$ i.e $x_0\notin \operatorname{supp}(\nu)$ and then we deduce that $\operatorname{supp}(\nu)\subset \Sigma$.

To show (ii), let us first recall some properties of the function $E_u(x,r)$ that has been defined above:

• For all $x \in \Omega$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ and a constant C > 0 such that

$$\int_{B(x,r)} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}\right) < CE_u(x,r_0) \quad \forall r < \frac{r_0}{2}.$$

This is explained in the proof of Lemma 1.1.

• Using the fact that $E_u(x,.)$ is increasing on r together with the fact that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} E_u(x, r) = 0 \quad \mathcal{H}^0 - \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

we deduce that for \mathcal{H}^0 -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$, $\lim_{r \searrow 0} \int_{B(x,r)} \nu$ exists. and the density $\Theta(\eta,.)$ defined by

$$\Theta(\eta, x) := \lim_{r \to 0} \eta(B_r(x)) \tag{2.6}$$

exists for every $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, for \mathcal{H}^0 -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $\Theta_u(x) = 0$, where

$$\Theta_u(x) := \lim_{r \searrow 0} \int_{B(x,r)} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right) dy. \tag{2.7}$$

Now, for r sufficiently small and i sufficiently large

$$\int_{B(x,r)} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_i|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} u_i^{2n/(n-2)} \le C E_{u_i}(x,r) \le C(\Lambda,\Omega)$$
 (2.8)

where Λ is given above and $C(\Lambda, \Omega)$ is a constant depending only on Λ and Ω . Hence

$$\eta(B(x,r)) \le C(\Lambda,\Omega) \quad \text{for } x \in B_1^n$$
(2.9)

In particular, this implies that $\eta[\Sigma]$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathcal{H}^0[\Sigma]$. Applying Radon-Nikodym's Theorem [4], we conclude that

$$\eta | \Sigma = \Theta(x) \mathcal{H}^0 | \Sigma \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^0 \text{-a.e. } x \in \Sigma$$
 (2.10)

Using 2.8 we conclude that

$$\nu(x) = \Theta(x)\mathcal{H}^0 | \Sigma \tag{2.11}$$

for a \mathcal{H}^0 -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$ (recall that $\eta = (\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n}|u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}) dx + \nu$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\nu) \subset \Sigma$). The estimate on Θ follows from 2.9.

For any $y \in B_1^n$ and any sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$, we define the scaled measure $\eta_{y,\lambda}$ by

$$\eta_{y,\lambda}(x) := \eta(y + \lambda x) \tag{2.12}$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $(\lambda_j)_j$ satisfies $\lim_{j\to\infty} \lambda_j = 0$. Then, there exist a subsequence $(\lambda_{j'})_{j'}$ and a Radon measure χ defined on Ω , such that $\eta_{y,\lambda_{j'}} \rightharpoonup \chi$ in the sense of measures.

Proof. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the scaled function $u_{i,y,\lambda}$ by

$$u_{i,y,\lambda}(x) := \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_i(\lambda x + y) \quad \text{for } y \in B_1^n.$$
 (2.13)

Then $u_{i,y,\lambda}$ is a solution of

$$-\Delta u = u|u|^{4/(n-2)}$$
 on B_1^n .

In addition, for any r > 0 sufficiently small, we have

$$\int_{B_{r}(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{i,y,\lambda}|^{2} + \frac{n-2}{2n} |u_{i,y,\lambda}|^{\frac{2k}{k-2}} \right) dx
= \int_{B_{\lambda r}(y)} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{i}|^{2} + \frac{n-2}{2n} |u_{i}|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right) dx \le C(\Lambda, \Omega).$$
(2.14)

Finally for fixed λ ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_{i,y,\lambda}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n}|u_{i,y,\lambda}|^{2n/(n-2)}\right)(x) dx$$

$$= \lambda^n \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_i|^2 - \frac{n-2}{2n}|u_i|^{2n/(n-2)}\right)(\lambda x + y) dx$$

$$\rightharpoonup \eta(\lambda x + y) = \eta_{y,\lambda}(x)$$

in the sense of measures as $i \to \infty$. On the other hand letting i tends to infinity in (2.14), we conclude that for any r > 0

$$\eta_{u,\lambda}(B_r(0)) \le C(\Omega, \Lambda).$$
(2.15)

Hence, we may find a subsequence $\{\lambda_j'\}$ of $\{\lambda_j\}$ and a Radon measure χ such that $\eta_{y,\lambda_j'}$ converge weakly to χ as Radon measure on Ω . Then

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\lim_{i\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_{i,y,\lambda_j'}|^2+\frac{n-2}{2n}|u_{i,y,\lambda_j'}|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\right)\,dx=\lim_{j\to\infty}\eta_{y,\lambda_j'}(x)=\chi$$

Using a diagonal subsequence argument, we may find a subsequence $i_j \to \infty$, such that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{i_j, y, \lambda'_j}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} |u_{i_j, y, \lambda'_j}|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right) dx = \chi$$

This proves the Lemma.

Remark 2.4. Observe that

$$\chi(B_r(0)) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \eta_{y, \lambda'_j}(B_r(0)) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \eta(B_{\lambda'_j r}(y)) = \Theta(\eta, y)$$

In particular, we deduce that $\chi(B_r(0))$ is independent of r.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

The idea of the proof comes from Rivière [11] in the context of Yang-Mills Fields. To simplify notation and since the result is local, we assume that Ω is the unit ball B^n of \mathbb{R}^n . Let (u_k) be a sequence of smooth solutions of (1.1) such that

$$\left(\|u_k\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + \|u_k\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)}\right)$$

is bounded and let ν be the defect measure defined above. We claim that for $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{y \in B_1(x_0)} \int_{B_{\delta}(y_0)} \left(|u_k|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_k|^2 \right) \ge \varepsilon(n) \tag{3.1}$$

where $\varepsilon(n)$ is given by Theorem 1.5. Indeed if (3.1) would not hold, we have for $\delta > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough

$$\sup_{y \in B_1(x_0)} \int_{B_{\delta}(y_0)} \left(|u_k|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_k|^2 \right) \le \varepsilon(n)$$

and by Theorem 1.2 we have

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_{\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(y))} \le C(\epsilon)/r^{n/2}$$

This contradict the concentration phenomenon and the claim is proved. We then conclude that there exists sequences $\delta_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ and $(y_k) \subset B_1(x_0)$ such that

$$\int_{B_{\delta_k}(y_0)} \left(|u_k|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_k|^2 \right) dx = \sup_{y \in B_1(x_0)} \int_{B_{\delta_k(y_0)}} \left(|u_k|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_k|^2 \right) dx$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{2}.$$
(3.2)

In other words, y_k is located at a bubble of characteristic size δ_k . More precisely, if one introduces the function

$$\widetilde{u}_k(x) = \delta_k^{(n-2)/2} u_k(\delta_k x + y_k);$$

we have, up to a subsequence, that

$$\widetilde{u}_k \to u_\infty \quad \text{in } \mathbf{C}^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{as } k \to \infty,$$

$$\nabla \widetilde{u}_k \to \nabla u_\infty \quad \text{in } \mathbf{C}^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$-\Delta u_{\infty} = u_{\infty} |u_{\infty}|^{4/(n-2)} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This is the first bubble we detect. On the other hand, we have clearly that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|u_{\infty}|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_{\infty}|^2 \right) dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{B_{R\delta_k}(y_k)} \left(|u_k|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_k|^2 \right) dx.$$
(3.3)

Indeed:

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{B_{R}\delta_{k}}(y_{k}) \left(|u_{k}|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_{k}|^{2} \right) dx$$

$$= \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{B_{R}(0)} \left(|u_{k}|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla (u_{k})|^{2} \right) (\delta_{k}x + y_{k}) \, \delta_{k}^{n} \, dx$$

$$= \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{B_{R}(0)} \left(|\delta_{k}|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \widetilde{u}_{k}(x)|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\delta_{k}|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \delta_{k}^{-1} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{k}(x)|^{2} \right) \delta_{k}^{n} \, dx$$

$$= \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{B_{R}(0)} \left(|\widetilde{u}_{k}(x)|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla \widetilde{u}_{k}(x)|^{2} \right) \, dx$$

$$= \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{B_{R}(0)} \left(|u_{\infty}(x)|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_{\infty}(x)|^{2} \right) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \left(|u_{\infty}(x)|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_{\infty}(x)|^{2} \right) \, dx.$$

Assume first that we have only one bubble of characteristic δ_k . We have shown that

$$\Theta = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{B_1^n(0)} \left(|\nabla u_k|^2 + |u_k|^{2n/(n-2)} \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\nabla u_\infty|^2 + |u_\infty|^{2n/(n-2)} \right) dx, \tag{3.4}$$

where Θ is defined above. It suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{B_1^n(0) \setminus B_{R\delta_k(y_k)}} \left(|u_k(x)|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 \right) dx = 0.$$
 (3.5)

In other words there is no "neck" of energy which is quantized.

To simplify notation, we assume that $y_k=0$. We claim that for any $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, there exists R>0 and $k_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k\geq k_0$ and $R\delta_k\leq r\leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\int_{B_{2r}^{n}(0)\setminus B_{r(0)}} \left(|u_k(x)|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_k(x)|^2 \right) dx \le \varepsilon$$
 (3.6)

Indeed, if is not the case, we may find $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, a subsequence $k' \to \infty$ (Still denoted k) and a sequence r_k such that

$$\int_{B_{2r}^{n}(0)\backslash B_{r}(0)} \left(|u_{k}(x)|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_{k}(x)|^{2} \right) dx > \varepsilon_{0},$$

$$\frac{r_{k}}{\delta_{k}} \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty$$
(3.7)

Let $\alpha_k \to 0$ such that $r_k/\alpha_k = o(1)$ and $\alpha_k r_k/\delta_k \to \infty$ and let

$$v_k(x) = r_k^{(n-2)/2} u_k(r_k x)$$

clearly v_k satisfies

$$-\Delta v_k = v_k |v_k|^{4/(n-2)} \quad \text{in } B_{2\alpha_k} \setminus B_{\alpha_k}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{B_{n}^{n}(0)\backslash B_{1}(0)} \left(|v_{k}(x)|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla v_{k}(x)|^{2} \right) dx > \varepsilon(n)$$

and then we have a second bubble. This contradict our assumption.

We deduce from (3.7) and Theorem 1.2 that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(n)$, there exist R > 0 and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$ and $|x| \geq R\delta_k$

$$|\nabla u_k|(x) \le C(\epsilon)/|x|^{n/2}$$

where $C(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Then

$$|\nabla u_k|^2(x) \le C(\varepsilon)/|x|^n. \tag{3.8}$$

We define E_{λ}^{k} by

$$E_{\lambda}^{k} = \max\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : |\nabla u_{k}|(x) \ge \lambda\}$$

We have $E_{\lambda}^{k} \leq C(\varepsilon)/\lambda^{2}$; indeed

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\nabla u_k|(x) \ge \lambda\} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|^n \le \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{\lambda^2}\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{meas}\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|^n \le \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{\lambda^2}\right\} \le \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{\lambda^2}$$

We deduce from (3.8) that

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2,\infty}(C_{B_{R\delta_*}})} \le C(\varepsilon) \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathbf{L}^{2,\infty}$ is the Lorentz space defined in [14], the weak \mathbf{L}^2 space, and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2,\infty}}$ is the weak norm defined by

$$||f||_{\mathbf{L}^{2,\infty}} = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{1/2} f^*(t)$$

where f^* is the nonincreasing rearrangement of |f|. Indeed

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2,\infty}(C_{B_{R\delta_k}})} = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} t^{1/2} (\nabla u_k)^*(t)$$

by definition,

$$(\nabla u_k)^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0/E_\lambda^k \le t\}$$

For all t>0 such that $\frac{C(\varepsilon)}{\lambda^2}\leq t$, we have $E^k_{\lambda}\leq t$. Then

$$\inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : E_{\lambda}^{k} \le t \right\} \le \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{\lambda^{2}} \le t \right\}$$
$$\le \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \lambda \ge \frac{(C(\varepsilon))^{1/2}}{t^{1/2}} \right\}$$
$$= \frac{(C(\varepsilon))^{1/2}}{t^{1/2}}$$

Hence $t^{1/2}(\nabla u_k)^*(t) \leq C(\varepsilon)$ and so

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2,\infty}(C_{B_{R\delta_L}})} \le C(\varepsilon) \tag{3.10}$$

We claim that the sequence (∇u_k) is uniformly bounded in the Lorentz space $\mathbf{L}^{2,1}(B_1^n)$ (see [14] for the definition). We prove this claim using an iteration proceeding; Indeed, the sequence (u_k) is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(B_1^n)$. Then

$$\Delta u_k = -u_k |u_k|^{4/(n-2)}$$

is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(B_1^n)$ which implies by the elliptic regularity Theorem that the sequence (u_k) is bounded in $\mathbf{W}^{2,\frac{2n}{n+2}}(B_1^n)$. Using the imbedding Theorem for Sobolev spaces

$$\mathbf{W}^{m,p}(B_1^n) \subset \mathbf{W}^{r,s}(B_1^n)$$
 if $m \ge r, \ p \ge s$ and $m - \frac{n}{p} = r - \frac{n}{s}$.

In particular, $\mathbf{W}^{2,\frac{2n}{n+2}}(B_1^n)$ is continuously imbedded in $\mathbf{W}^{1,2}(B_1^n)$. On the other hand by Proposition 4 in [14], we have

$$\mathbf{W}^{1,2}(B_1^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}^{2^*,2}(B_1^n) = \mathbf{L}^{\frac{2n}{n-2},2}(B_1^n)$$

continuously. We then deduce that

$$\Delta u_k = -u_k |u_k|^{4/(n-2)}$$

is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{\frac{2n}{n+2},\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2}}(B_1^n)$. Here, we have used the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. If $f \in L^{p,q}(B_1^n)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, then $f^{\alpha} \in L^{\frac{p}{\alpha},\frac{q}{\alpha}}(B_1^n)$.

Proof. In the case where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, the result follows from the fact that

$$f \in \mathbf{L}^{a,b}(B_1^n)$$
 and $g \in \mathbf{L}^{c,d}(B_1^n) \Rightarrow f,g \in \mathbf{L}^{q,r}(B_1^n)$,

where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}$ and $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{b}$ (see [2]). The general case is a consequence of the fact that the increasing rearrangement of the function $|f|^{\beta}$ is equal to the puissance β of the increasing rearrangement of |f| since $(f^{\beta})^*$ is the only one function verifying

$$\max\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f^{\beta}(x) \ge \lambda\} = \max\{t > 0 : (f^{\beta})^*(x) \ge \lambda\}$$

This in turns proves Lemma 3.1.

Now, using in [14, Theorem 8], we deduce from (3.7) that (∇u_k) is uniformly bounded in the space $\mathbf{L}^{(\frac{2n}{n+2})^*,\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2}}(B_1^n) = \mathbf{L}^{2,\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2}}(B_1^n)$. Hence (u_k) is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{2^*,\frac{2(n-2)}{n+2}}(B_1^n)$. Then

$$\Delta u_k = -u_k |u_k|^{4/(n-2)}$$

is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{\frac{2n}{n+2},\frac{2(n-2)^2}{(n+2)^2}}(B_1^n)$. Hence, again by [14, Theorem 8], the sequence (∇u_k) is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{2,\frac{2(n-2)^2}{(n+2)^2}}(B_1^n)$ and by elliptic regularity Theorem

$$\Delta u_k = -u_k |u_k|^{4/(n-2)}$$

is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{\frac{2n}{n+2},\frac{2(n-2)^3}{(n+2)^3}}(B_1^n)$. We obtain after p iterations that

$$\Delta u_k = -u_k |u_k|^{4/(n-2)}$$

is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{\frac{2n}{n+2},\frac{2(n-2)^p}{(n+2)^p}}(B_1^n)$. We choose p>0 such that 6p>n, we have in particular $\frac{2(n-2)^p}{(n+2)^p}<1$ which gives

$$\Delta u_k = -u_k |u_k|^{4/(n-2)}$$

is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{\frac{2n}{n+2},1}(B_1^n)$. Here we have used the fact that

$$\mathbf{L}^{p,q_1}(B_1^n) \subset \mathbf{L}^{p,q_2}(B_1^n)$$
 if $q_1 < q_2$

We use also [14, Theorem 8] to deduce that (∇u_k) is bounded in $\mathbf{L}^{(\frac{2n}{n+2})^*,1}(B_1^n) = \mathbf{L}^{2,1}(B_1^n)$. In particular, there exist a constant C > 0 depending only on n such that

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2,1}(B_1^n)} \le C \tag{3.11}$$

We deduce from (3.10), (3.11) together with the $\mathbf{L}^{2,1} - \mathbf{L}^{2,\infty}$ duality that

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(B_1^n \setminus B_{R\delta_k})} \le \|\nabla u_k\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2,1}(B_1^n \setminus B_{R\delta_k})} \|\nabla u_k\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2,\infty}(B_1^n \setminus B_{R\delta_k})} \le C(\epsilon)$$

for a constant $C(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Now, we use the embedding $\mathbf{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}^{2n/(n-2)}$ continuously, we obtain

$$||u_k||_{\mathbf{L}^{2n/(n-2)}(B_1^n \setminus B_{R\delta_k})} \le C||\nabla u_k||_{\mathbf{L}^2(B_1^n \setminus B_{R\delta_k})}$$

$$< C(\varepsilon) \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

We deduce that

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{B_1^n(0) \backslash B_{R\delta_k(y_k)}} (|u_k|^{2n/(n-2)} + |\nabla u_k|^2)(x) \, dx = 0$$

This proves Theorem 1.5 in the case of one bubble.

The case of more than one bubble can be handled in a very similar way and we just give few details for m=2. The proof starts the same until (3.4) which cannot hold any more otherwise we would have had one bubble only as it is (3.4) holds. It remains to show that: for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, there are sufficiently large R>0 and a sequence $r_i \to 0$ such that for any $R\delta_i \leq r_i \leq 1/2$,

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\{0\} \times B_{r_i}^n \setminus B_{R\delta_i}^n(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v_i|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} |v_i|^{2n/(n-2)}\right) dx = 0,$$

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\{0\} \times B_{1/2}^n \setminus B_{r_i}^n(0)} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v_i|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} |v_i|^{2n/(n-2)}\right) dx = 0$$
(3.12)

where v_i is defined by $v_i(y) = r_i^{(n-2)/2} u_i(r_i y)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The proof of (3.12) can be done exactly as the proof of (3.4), the case of 2 bubbles is then proved. To prove the general case, for any number $m \ge 2$, one can follow exactly the same strategy.

References

- W. Allard, An integrity Theorem a regularity Theorem for surfaces whose first variation with respect to a parametric elliptic integrand is controlled, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 44, (1986), 1-28
- [2] H. Brezis and S. Wainger, A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embedding and convolution inequalities, Comm. P.D.E, 5, (1980), 773-789.
- [3] S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas, Gauge theory in higher dimensions in The geometric Universe (Oxford, 1996), Oxford Univ. Press, 1998, 31-47.
- [4] L.C. Evans and R.F. Gariepy, Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [5] Z. Guo and Jiayu-Li, The blow-up locus of semilinear elliptic equations with subcritical exponent, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 15 (2002), no. 2, 133-153.
- [6] F. Pacard, Partial regulatity for weak solutions of a nonlinear elliptic equation, Manuscripta Math. 79 (1993), 161-172.
- [7] T. Parker, Bubble tree convergence for harmonic maps, J. Diff. Geom., 44, (1996), 545-633.
- [8] J. Peetre, Espaces d'interpolations et théorème de Sobolev, Ann. Instit. Fourier, Grenoble, 16, (1966), 279-317.

- [9] F. G. Lin, Gradient estimates and blow-up analysis for stationary harmonic maps, Ann. Math., 149, (1999), 785-829.
- [10] F. G. Lin and T. Rivière, A Quantization Property for Static Ginzburg-Landau vortices, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 54 (2001), no. 2, 206–228.
- [11] T. Rivière, Interpolation Spaces and Energy Quantization for Yang-Mills Fields, Comm. Anal. Geom. 10 (2002), no. 4, 683–708.
- [12] R. Schoen, Analytic aspects for the harmonic map problem, Math. Sci. Res. Insti. Publi. 2, Springer, Berlin (1984), 312-358.
- [13] L. Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory, Proc. of Math. Anal.3, Australian National Univ. (1983).
- [14] L. Tartar, Imbedding Theorems of Sobolev Spaces into Lorentz Spaces, Boll. U.M.I. 1, B, (1998) 479-500.

Fethi Mahmoudi

Scuola Internazionale Superiore Di Studi Avanzati (Sissa), Via Beirut 2-4, 34014 Trieste, Italy

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: mahmoudi@sissa.it}$