Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2007(2007), No. 137, pp. 1–9. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu (login: ftp)

# ON THE SOLVABILITY OF A FOURTH ORDER OPERATOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

#### AHMED NOUAR

ABSTRACT. We establish sufficient conditions for the solvability of a fourth order operator differential equation. These conditions are expressed in terms of operator coefficients.

## 1. Preliminaries

In this paper we consider the problem

$$P(d/dt)u = \frac{d^4u}{dt^4} + A^4u + \sum_{j=0}^4 A_j \frac{d^{4-j}u}{dt^{4-j}} = f,$$
(1.1) e1

$$u^{(s_i)}(0) = 0, i = 0, 1, \quad s_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\},$$
(1.2) e2

where  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ , and  $A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$  and A are unbounded linear operators in a separable Hilbert space H. By  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$  we denote the space of H-valued vector functions such that  $\int_0^{+\infty} \|f(t)\|_H^2 dt < +\infty$ , endowed with the inner product

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)} = \int_0^{+\infty} \langle f(t),g(t) \rangle_H dt,$$

and  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ . Let

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^{4}I + A^{4} + \sum_{j=0}^{4} \lambda^{4-j}A_{j}, \qquad (1.3) \quad \boxed{e3}$$

be the operator pencil associated with the operator differential equation (1.1).

Our aim is to establish sufficient conditions for the solvability of problem (1.1), (1.2), expressed in terms of the norms of the operator coefficients of the pencil (1.3). For the general theory of operator pencils see [9, 11]. In particular, unbounded operator pencils of second and fourth orders are treated in [12, 13].

Interest for such equations is justified not only by the relation which they have with the practical problems described by ordinary or partial differential equations but also by their important applications in many theoretical problems. Thus, the study of such equations is connected with the multicompleteness of the eigenvectors and the generalized eigenvectors of the corresponding operator pencil. This relation

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 47E05, 35K20.

Key words and phrases. Operator Pencil; operator differential equation; normal operator.

 $<sup>\</sup>textcircled{O}2007$  Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted February 24, 2007. Published October 17, 2007.

has been proved in [1] and permits to answer some questions arising while studying non self-adjoint differential operators. The notion of multicompleteness has been introduced by Keldysh in [2, 3] and many interesting results have been obtained during the last decades by Gasymov [1], Yakubov [9, 10, 11, 13], Markus [5], Mirzoev [6, 7] and others.

### 2. Definitions

**Definition 2.1.** Let A be a normal operator in a Hilbert space H such that  $\sigma(A)$ def1 is contained in the sector

$$S_{\varepsilon} = \{\lambda : |\arg \lambda| < \varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{12}\}, \quad \inf \operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) = \sigma_0 > 0.$$

We assume also that the operators  $B_j = A_j A^{-j}$ ,  $j = \overline{0, 4}$  are bounded. Under these conditions we will say that the pencil (1.3) belongs to the class  $\Gamma$ .

It is clear that if the operator A satisfies the conditions of the above definition it admits a polar decomposition in the form: A = UC, where C is a positively defined self-adjoint operator and U is a unitary operator, therefore C and U commute and we have for any rational k

$$D(C^{k}) = D(A^{k}),$$
$$\|A^{k}x\|_{H} = \|C^{k}x\|_{H}, \quad x \in D(A^{k}).$$

In  $D(C^k)$  we define the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{H_k} = \langle C^k x, C^k y \rangle_H, \quad x, y \in D(A^k).$$

Then, we obtain a Hilbert space which we denote by  $H_k$ . By  $D(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$  we denote the pre-Hilbertian space of the infinitely differentiable  $H_4$ -valued functions with a compact support in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , relative to the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_W = \langle u^{(4)}, v^{(4)} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} + \langle C^4 u, C^4 v \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}.$$

By  $W(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$  we denote the completion of  $D(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$  with respect to the above inner product. We introduce also the following notation

$$W(\mathbb{R}_{+}, H, s_{0}, s_{1}) = \{ u \in W(\mathbb{R}_{+}, H), u^{(s_{0})}(0) = u^{(s_{1})}(0) = 0 \},\$$
$$M_{4,j}(s_{0}, s_{1}) = \sup_{u \in W(\mathbb{R}_{+}, H, s_{0}, s_{1})} \frac{\|C^{4-j}u^{(j)}\|_{L_{2}}}{\|u\|_{W}}.$$

def2 **Definition 2.2.** We say that the couple  $(s_0, s_1)$  belongs to the class S if it takes one of these values: (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3).

def3 **Definition 2.3.** We say that the problem (1.1), (1.2) is regular if for any function  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$  there exists a unique function  $u \in W(\mathbb{R}_+, H, s_0, s_1)$  which satisfies the equation (1.1) a.e. and the conditions (1.2). We call such a function u a regular solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2).

#### 3. Main Results

We consider now the pencil operator

$$S_j(\lambda,\gamma,C) = \lambda^8 I + C^8 - \gamma(i\lambda)^{2j} C^{8-2j},$$

defined in  $H_8$  and where  $\gamma$  is a real parameter. We use the notation

$$d_{4,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 0, 4, \\ \left(\frac{4}{j}\right)^{j/4} \left(\frac{4}{4-j}\right)^{\frac{4-j}{4}}, & j = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

**thm1** Theorem 3.1. For  $\gamma \in (0, d_{4,j})$ ,  $j \in \{0, \ldots, 4\}$ , the pencil operator  $S_j(\lambda, \gamma, C)$  can be represented in the form

$$S_j(\lambda, \gamma, C) = S_j^-(\lambda, \gamma, C)S_j^+(\lambda, \gamma, C),$$

where

$$S_j^{-}(\lambda, \gamma, C) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \alpha_{i,j}(\gamma) \lambda^i C^{4-i},$$
  
$$S_j^{+}(\lambda, \gamma, C) = S_j^{-}(-\lambda, \gamma, C).$$

Moreover, the coefficients  $\alpha_{i,j}$  are real and satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{0,0} &= \sqrt{1 - \gamma}, \\
\alpha_{4,0} &= 1, \\
\alpha_{1,0}^2 - 2\alpha_{0,0}\alpha_{2,0} &= 0, \\
\alpha_{2,0}^2 - 2\alpha_{1,0}\alpha_{3,0} + 2\alpha_{0,0}\alpha_{4,0} &= 0, \\
\alpha_{3,0}^2 - 2\alpha_{2,0}\alpha_{4,0} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$
(3.1) e4

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{0,4} &= 1 \\ \alpha_{4,4} &= \sqrt{1 - \gamma} \\ \alpha_{1,4}^2 - 2\alpha_{0,4}\alpha_{2,4} &= 0 \\ \alpha_{2,4}^2 - 2\alpha_{1,4}\alpha_{3,4} + 2\alpha_{0,4}\alpha_{4,4} &= 0 \\ \alpha_{3,4}^2 - 2\alpha_{2,4}\alpha_{4,4} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and for j = 1, 2, 3

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{0,j} &= \alpha_{4,j} = 1, \\ \alpha_{1,j}^2 - 2\alpha_{2,j} &= \begin{cases} -\gamma, & j = 1, \\ 0, & j \neq 1. \end{cases} \\ \alpha_{2,j}^2 - 2\alpha_{1,j}\alpha_{3,j} + 2 &= \begin{cases} -\gamma, & j = 2, \\ 0, & j \neq 2. \end{cases} \\ \alpha_{3,j}^2 - 2\alpha_{2,j} &= \begin{cases} -\gamma, & j = 3, \\ 0, & j \neq 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$
(3.3)

*Proof.* For  $\gamma \in (0, d_{4,j})$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, 4\}$  the polynomial  $Q_j(\lambda, \gamma) = \lambda^8 + 1 - \gamma(i\lambda)^{2j}$  has not purely imaginary roots, its roots are simple and symmetrically situated relatively to the real axis and the origin. So it can be represented in the form

$$Q_j(\lambda,\gamma) = S_j(\lambda,\gamma)S_j(-\lambda,\gamma). \tag{3.4}$$

The roots of  $S_j(\lambda, \gamma)$  belong to the left half-plane and we can denote them by  $\omega_1(\gamma)$ ,  $\omega_2(\gamma)$ ,  $\overline{\omega_1(\gamma)}$ ,  $\overline{\omega_2(\gamma)}$  where  $\overline{\omega_1(\gamma)}$  and  $\overline{\omega_2(\gamma)}$  are the conjugate of  $\omega_1(\gamma)$  and  $\omega_2(\gamma)$ 

respectively. In this case

$$S_j(\lambda,\gamma) = (\lambda - \omega_1(\gamma))(\lambda - \omega_2(\gamma))(\lambda - \overline{\omega_1(\gamma)})(\lambda - \overline{\omega_2(\gamma)}).$$

This proves that if we write  $S_j(\lambda, \gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \alpha_{i,j} \lambda^i$ , then the coefficients  $\alpha_{i,j}$  are real.

The relations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) can be obtained by using the Viete theorem and identifying the coefficients in the left and right parts of the relation (3.4). Let  $E_{\sigma}$  be the spectral decomposition of the operator C. We have

$$\begin{split} S_{j}(\lambda,\gamma,C) &= \lambda^{8}I + C^{8} - \gamma(i\lambda)^{2j}C^{8-2j} \\ &= \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} (\lambda^{8} + \sigma^{8} - \gamma(i\lambda)^{2j}\sigma^{8-2j})dE_{\sigma} \\ &= \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} \sigma^{8}(\frac{\lambda^{8}}{\sigma^{8}} + 1 - \gamma\frac{(i\lambda)^{2j}}{\sigma^{2j}})dE_{\sigma} \\ &= \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} \sigma^{8}Q_{j}(\frac{\lambda}{\sigma},\gamma)dE_{\sigma} \\ &= \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} \sigma^{8}S_{j}(\frac{\lambda}{\sigma},\gamma)S_{j}(-\frac{\lambda}{\sigma},\gamma)dE_{\sigma} \\ &= \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} \sigma^{4}S_{j}(\frac{\lambda}{\sigma},\gamma)dE_{\sigma} \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} \sigma^{4}S_{j}(-\frac{\lambda}{\sigma},\gamma)dE_{\sigma} \\ &= (\sum_{i=0}^{4} \alpha_{i,j}\lambda^{i}C^{4-i})(\sum_{i=0}^{4} \alpha_{i,j}(-\lambda)^{i}C^{4-i}). \end{split}$$

Then taking

$$S_j^-(\lambda,\gamma,C) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \alpha_{i,j} \lambda^i C^{4-i},$$

we obtain  $S_j(\lambda, \gamma, C) = S_j^-(\lambda, \gamma, C)S_j^+(\lambda, \gamma, C).$ 

**thm2** Theorem 3.2. Let  $\gamma \in (0, d_{4,j})$  and  $u \in W(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ . Then for  $j \in \{0, \dots, 4\}$ ,

$$\|S_j^-(d/dt,\gamma,C)u\|_{L_2}^2 = \|u\|_W^2 - \gamma \|C^{4-j}u^{(j)}\|_{L_2}^2 - \langle G_j\widetilde{f},\widetilde{f}\rangle_{H^4},$$

where

$$\widetilde{f} = \left(C^{7/2}u(0), C^{5/2}u'(0), C^{3/2}u''(0), C^{1/2}u^{'''}(0)\right) \in H \oplus H \oplus H \oplus H = H^4,$$

$$G_j = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1\alpha_0 & \alpha_2\alpha_0 & \alpha_3\alpha_0 & \alpha_4\alpha_0\\ \alpha_2\alpha_0 & \alpha_2\alpha_1 - \alpha_3\alpha_0 & \alpha_3\alpha_1 - \alpha_4\alpha_0 & \alpha_4\alpha_1\\ \alpha_3\alpha_0 & \alpha_3\alpha_1 - \alpha_4\alpha_0 & \alpha_3\alpha_2 - \alpha_4\alpha_1 & \alpha_4\alpha_2\\ \alpha_4\alpha_0 & \alpha_4\alpha_1 & \alpha_4\alpha_2 & \alpha_4\alpha_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $\alpha_i \equiv \alpha_{i,j}(\gamma)$ .

5

*Proof.* By a theorem of the intermediate derivatives [4, pp.19-25],  $C^{4-j}u^{(j)}(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$  and  $u^{(j)}(0) \in D(C^{4-j-\frac{1}{2}})$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \|S_{j}^{-}(d/dt,\gamma,C)u\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} &= \|\sum_{i=0}^{4} \alpha_{i,j}C^{4-i}u^{(i)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{4} \alpha_{i,j}^{2} \|C^{4-i}u^{(i)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} \\ &+ 2\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} \alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{s,j}\operatorname{Re}\langle C^{4-i}u^{(i)}, C^{4-s}u^{(s)}\rangle_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}. \end{split}$$

Calculating the expressions  $\langle C^{4-i}u^{(i)}, C^{4-s}u^{(s)}\rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}$  by using successive integrations by parts and taking into account that C is a selfadjoint operator we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|S_{j}^{-}(d/dt,\gamma,C)u\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} \\ &= \alpha_{0,j}^{2}\|C^{4}u\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} + \alpha_{4,j}^{2}\|u^{(4)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} + (\alpha_{3,j}^{2} - 2\alpha_{2,j}\alpha_{4,j})\|Cu^{(3)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} \\ &+ (\alpha_{2,j}^{2} - 2\alpha_{1,j}\alpha_{3,j} + 2\alpha_{0,j}\alpha_{4,j})\|C^{2}u^{(2)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} \\ &+ (\alpha_{1,j}^{2} - 2\alpha_{2,j}\alpha_{0,j})\|C^{3}u^{(1)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} - \langle G_{j}\tilde{f},\tilde{f}\rangle_{H^{4}}. \end{split}$$

Then using the relations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$\|S_{j}^{-}(d/dt,\gamma,C)u\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} = \|u\|_{W}^{2} - \gamma \|C^{4-j}u^{(j)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} - \langle G_{j}\widetilde{f},\widetilde{f}\rangle_{H^{4}}.$$

**Remark 3.3.** Theorem 3.2 shows that  $M_{4,j}(s_0, s_1)$  can be written with respect to  $S_j^-(d/dt, \gamma, C)$  and  $G_j$ . So, according to Theorem 3.1 and the definition of  $G_j$ , it can be written with respect to  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha_{i,j}$ . In fact, the pencil  $S_j(\lambda, \gamma, C)$  from which we have obtained the pencil  $S_j^-(d/dt, \gamma, C)$  has been especially defined to this end.

Let  $G_j(s_0, s_1, \gamma)$  be the matrix obtained from the matrix  $G_j$  by deleting the lines  $s_0 + 1$  and  $s_1 + 1$  and the columns  $s_0 + 1$  and  $s_1 + 1$ .

thm3 | Theorem 3.4 ([8]). Let  $j \in \{0, ..., 4\}$ , then

$$M_{4,j}(s_0, s_1) = \begin{cases} d_{4,j}^{-1/2}, & \text{if } \det G_j(s_0, s_1, \gamma) \neq 0, \text{ for any } \gamma \in (0, d_{4,j}) \\ \mu_{4,j}^{-1/2}(s_0, s_1), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu_{4,j}(s_0, s_1)$  is the smallest positive root from  $(0, d_{4,j})$  of the equation

 $\det G_i(s_0, s_1, \gamma) = 0$ 

with respect to  $\gamma$ .

- **Remark 3.5.** Theorem 3.4 implies that to calculate  $M_{4,j}(s_0, s_1)$ , one must determine the matrix  $G_j(s_0, s_1, \gamma)$  from the matrix  $G_j$  obtained in Theorem 3.2 and resolve the equation det  $G_j(s_0, s_1, \gamma) = 0$  with respect to  $\gamma$  by using the relations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) from Theorem 3.1.
- **Lemma 3.6.** Let the operator A be as in Definition 2.1 and  $u \in W(\mathbb{R}_+, H, s_0, s_1)$ with  $(s_0, s_1) \in S$ , then

$$||u||_W \le (1 - 2\sin 2\varepsilon)^{-1/2} ||P_0 u||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)},$$

1 /0

where

$$P_0 u = \frac{d^4 u}{dt^4} + A^4 u.$$

*Proof.* If  $u \in W(\mathbb{R}_+, H, s_0, s_1)$ , we have

$$\|P_0 u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}^2 = \|A^4 u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}^2 + \|u^{(4)}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle A^4 u, u^{(4)}\rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}.$$

Using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^4 u, u^{(4)} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \\ &= \langle A^{\frac{7}{2}} u, A^{*\frac{1}{2}} u^{(3)} \rangle \big|_0^\infty - \langle A^{\frac{5}{2}} u', A^{*\frac{3}{2}} u^{(2)} \rangle \big|_0^\infty + \langle A^2 u^{(2)}, A^{*2} u^{(2)} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, for  $(s_0, s_1) \in S$ , we obtain

$$||P_0u||^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)} = ||u||^2_W + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle A^2u^{(2)}, A^{*2}u^{(2)}\rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^2 u^{(2)}, A^{*2} u^{(2)} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \\ &= \langle A^2 u^{(2)}, (A^{*2} A^{-2} - I) A^2 u^{(2)} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} + \|A^2 u^{(2)}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}^2 \\ &\geq \|A^2 u^{(2)}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}^2 - \|A^{*2} A^{-2} - I\| \|A^2 u^{(2)}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have also

$$\|A^{*2}A^{-2} - I\| = \| \int_{\sigma(A)} \left( \frac{\overline{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} - 1 \right) dE_{\sigma} \|$$
$$= \| \int_{\sigma(A)} \frac{\overline{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2}{\sigma^2} dE_{\sigma} \|$$
$$= \| \int_{\sigma(A)} \left( \frac{e^{-2i\theta} - e^{2i\theta}}{e^{2i\theta}} \right) dE_{\sigma} \|$$

where  $\theta = \arg \sigma$ . The spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of the operator A is contained in the sector  $S_{\varepsilon}$  defined by Definition 2.1 and this means that

$$\Big|\frac{e^{-2i\theta}-e^{2i\theta}}{e^{2i\theta}}\Big| \le 2\sin 2\varepsilon,$$

this proves that

$$\langle A^2 u^{(2)}, A^{*2} u^{(2)} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \ge (1 - 2\sin 2\varepsilon) \|A^2 u^{(2)}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}^2.$$

Hence

$$\|P_0 u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}^2 \ge \|u\|_W^2 + 2(1-2\sin 2\varepsilon) \|A^2 u^{(2)}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}^2,$$

and so

$$\|P_0 u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}^2 \ge \|u\|_W^2 + 2\|C^2 u^{(2)}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}^2 - 4\sin 2\varepsilon \|C^2 u^{(2)}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}^2.$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \|C^{2}u^{(2)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle C^{2}u^{(2)}, C^{2}u^{(2)} \rangle dt \\ &= \langle C^{\frac{5}{2}}u', C^{\frac{3}{2}}u^{(2)} \rangle \big|_{0}^{\infty} - \langle C^{\frac{7}{2}}u, C^{\frac{1}{2}}u^{(3)} \rangle \big|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle C^{4}u, u^{(4)} \rangle dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle C^{4}u, u^{(4)} \rangle dt \\ &\leq \|C^{4}u\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)} \|u^{(4)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \big(\|C^{4}u\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} + \|u^{(4)}\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+},H)}^{2} \big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{W}^{2} \end{split}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_0 u||^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)} &\geq (1-2\sin 2\varepsilon) ||u||^2_W + 2 ||C^2 u^{(2)}||^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)} \\ &\geq (1-2\sin 2\varepsilon) ||u||^2_W, \end{aligned}$$

and finally, we have

$$||u||_W \le (1 - 2\sin 2\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ||P_0 u||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}.$$

**Lemma 3.7.** Let the operator A be as in Definition 2.1, then for  $(s_0, s_1) \in S$ , the operator  $P_0$  is a bijection between  $W(\mathbb{R}_+, H, s_0, s_1)$  and  $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the problem

$$P_0 u = g,$$
  
 $u^{(s_0)}(0) = u^{(s_1)}(0) = 0,$ 

where  $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$  and  $(s_0, s_1) \in S$ . The unique solution of this problem is given by (see [1])

$$u(t) = V_1(t)\Psi_0 + U_1(t)\Psi_1 + \int_0^\infty G(t,x)g(x)dx,$$

where G(t, x) is the Green function of the problem

$$P_0 u = g,$$
  
 $u(0) = u'(0) = 0,$ 

and  $V_1(t)$  and  $U_1(t)$  are analytic semigroups generated by the operators  $w_1A$  and  $w_2A$  respectively, where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are the roots on the left half plane of the equation  $w^4 = -1$ . The elements  $\Psi_0$  and  $\Psi_1$  can be obtained by solving the system

$$V_1^{(s_0)}(t)\Psi_0 + U_1^{(s_0)}(t)\Psi_1 + \int_0^\infty G^{(s_0)}(t,x)g(x)dx = 0,$$
  
$$V_1^{(s_1)}(t)\Psi_0 + U_1^{(s_1)}(t)\Psi_1 + \int_0^\infty G^{(s_1)}(t,x)g(x)dx = 0.$$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let pencil (1.3) belongs to the class  $\Gamma$  and the couple  $(s_0, s_1)$  be in the class S and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{4} \beta_j \|A_{4-j} A^{j-4}\| < 1,$$

where  $\beta_j = M_{4,j}(s_0, s_1)(1 - 2\sin 2\varepsilon)^{-1/2}$ . Then, problem (1.1), (1.2) admits a unique regular solution.

*Proof.* According to the Lemma 3.7 we can look for the solution of (1.1), (1.2) in the form  $u = P_0^{-1}v$ , where  $v \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ . In this case, problem (1.1), (1.2) takes the form

$$(I + P_1 P_0^{-1})v = f, (3.5) ext{e8}$$

where  $v \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ ,

$$P_0 = \frac{d^4}{dt^4} + A^4,$$
  

$$D(P_0) = W(\mathbb{R}_+, H, s_0, s_1),$$
  

$$P_1 = \sum_{j=0}^4 A_{4-j} \frac{d^j}{dt^j}.$$

A sufficient condition for the existence of a unique solution of (3.5) is that

$$\|P_1 P_0^{-1}\| < 1.$$

Let  $h \in L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|P_1 P_0^{-1} h\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} &= \|\sum_{j=0}^4 A_{4-j} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} (P_0^{-1} h)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \\ &= \|\sum_{j=0}^4 A_{4-j} A^{j-4} A^{4-j} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} (P_0^{-1} h)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^4 \|A_{4-j} A^{j-4}\| \|A^{4-j} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} (P_0^{-1} h)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^4 \|A_{4-j} A^{j-4}\| \|C^{4-j} \frac{d^j}{dt^j} (P_0^{-1} h)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \end{split}$$

Since  $P_0^{-1}h \in W(\mathbb{R}_+, H, s_0, s_1),$ 

$$\|C^{4-j}\frac{d^j}{dt^j}(P_0^{-1}h)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)} \le M_{4,j}(s_0,s_1)\|P_0^{-1}h\|_W.$$

Moreover, according to Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\|P_0^{-1}h\|_W \le (1 - 2\sin 2\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}.$$

In this case

$$\|P_1P_0^{-1}h\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)} \le \sum_{j=0}^4 \|A_{4-j}A^{j-4}\|M_{4,j}(s_0,s_1)(1-2\sin 2\varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|h\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+,H)}.$$

ON THE SOLVABILITY

Then

Gas1

$$\|P_1 P_0^{-1}\| \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{4,j}(s_0, s_1)(1 - 2\sin 2\varepsilon)^{-1/2} \|A_{4-j} A^{j-4}\|$$

In view of the corresponding condition of the theorem, the above inequality implies  $\|P_1P_0^{-1}\| < 1.$ 

**rmk3** Remark 3.9. The value of  $M_{4,j}(s_0, s_1)$  obtained in Theorem 3.4 gives us the best estimation for the norm of the operator  $P_1P_0^{-1}$  in the sense that the coefficients  $\beta_j$  are optimal in the inequality

$$\sum_{j=0}^{4} \beta_j \|A_{4-j} A^{j-4}\| < 1.$$

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their constructive and helpful comments which improved the quality of this paper.

# REFERENCES [1] M. G. Gasymov, On multiple Completeness of a part of eigenvectors of polynomial operator

- pencils, Izv. Akad. Nauk Armayan. SSR Ser. Matem., 6, 2-3 (1971), 131-147. keld1 [2]M. V. Keldysh, On eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of some classes of nonselfadjoint equations, Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR, 77, 1 (1951), 11-14. keld2 [3] M. V. Keldysh, On eigenfunction completeness of some classes of nonselfadjoint linear operators, Uspekhi. Matem. Nauk, 27, 4 (1971), 15-47. Lion J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications, [4]V. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1972. markus [5] A. S. Markus, Introduction to the spectral theory of polynomial operator pencils. Translated from the Russian by H. H. McFaden. Translation edited by Ben Silver. With an appendix by M. V. Keldysh. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 71. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988. iv+250 pp. mirz1 [6]S. S. Mirzoev, Conditions for the correct solvability of boundary value problems for operatordifferential equations. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 273 (1983), no. 2, 292–295. mirz2 [7]S.S. Mirzoev and A. Nouar, Sur la résolvabilité de certains problèmes aux limites pour les équations opé rationnelles différentielles du 4ème ordre. AZNINTI N 281.Az.84. mirz3 S. S. Mirzoev, The questions of theory of solvability of boundary value problems for operatordifferential equations in Hilbert space and spectral problems connected with it. Authoref. doc. dissert., Baku, (1993), 32p. yak3 S. Yakubov, Completeness of root functions of regular differential operators. Pitman Mono-[9] graphs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 71. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994. x+245 pp. yak2 [10]S. Yakubov, Multiple completeness of root vectors of a system of operator pencils and its applications. Differential Integral Equations 10 (1997), no. 4, 649–686. yak1 [11] S. Yakubov and Y. Yakubov, Differential-operator equations. Ordinary and partial differential equations. Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Math-
  - tial equations. Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 103. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2000. xxvi+541 pp.
     Y. Yakubov, Completeness of elementary solutions of second order elliptic equations in a
  - yak5 [12] Y. Yakubov, Completeness of elementary solutions of second order elliptic equations in a semi-infinite tube domain. Electron. J. Differential Equations 2002, No. 03, 21 pp. (electronic).
  - yak4 [13] Y. Yakubov, Two-fold completeness of root vectors of a system of quadratic pencils. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 84 (2005), no. 10, 1427–1454.

Département des Sciences Fondamentales, Faculté des Sciences et des Sciences de L'Ingenieur, Université de Skikda, 21000 Skikda, Algeria *E-mail address*: hamdensk210Yahoo.fr