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# POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF SINGULAR BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS 

LI XIA, ZHENGAN YAO

Abstract. Using regularization and the sub-super solutions method, this note shows the existence of positive solutions for singular differential equation subject to four-point boundary conditions.

## 1. Introduction

This note concerns the existence of positive solutions to the boundary-value problem (BVP)

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{\beta}{t} y^{\prime}+\frac{\gamma}{y}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}-f(t, y), \quad 0<t<1  \tag{1.1}\\
y(0)=y(1)=0  \tag{1.2}\\
y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\beta>0, \gamma>\beta+1$ are constants, and $f$ satisfies
(H1) $f(t, y) \in C^{1}\left([0,1] \times[0, \infty),\left[c_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$ for sufficiently small $c_{0}>0$, and $f$ is non-increasing with respect to $y$.
Equation (1.1) with the nonlinear right-hand side independent of $y^{\prime}$ has been discussed extensively in the literature; see for example [1, 7] and the references therein. Because of its background in applied mathematics and physics, problem (1.1) with right-hand side depending on $y^{\prime}$ has attracted the attention of many authors; see for instance [6, 8] and their references.

Guo et al. 6] studied the existence of positive solutions for the singular boundaryvalue problem with nonlinear boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime \prime}+q(t) f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad 0<t<1 \\
y(0)=0, \quad \theta\left(y^{\prime}(1)\right)+y(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$ is singular at $y=0$. They use a nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and Urysohn's lemma.

[^0]This work is motivated by [4] where the authors studied the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{N-1}{t} y^{\prime}-\frac{\gamma}{y}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}+1=0, \quad 0<t<1 \\
y(1)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

There $N$ is a positive integer, and the problem corresponds to $\beta=N-1, f \equiv$ 1 in 1.1. Applying ordinary differential equation techniques, they obtained a decreasing positive solution which, subsequently, was used in [5] to study some properties of solutions for a class of degenerate parabolic equations (see [3] for further information).

In this note, we study problem (1.1) under boundary conditions that are mote complicated than those in [4]. By using a regularization method and constructing sub- and supersolutions, we obtain an existence result.

A function $y \in C^{2}(0,1) \cap C[0,1]$ is called a solution for 1.1$)$ if is positive in $(0,1)$ and satisfies 1.1 pointwise.

The main result of this note is as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Under assumption (H1), the boundary-value problem (1.1)-1.3) admits at least one solution.

Since we need to calculate the derivatives of $f$, we assume that $f \in C^{1}([0,1] \times$ $\left.[0, \infty),\left[c_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$. However, if $f \in C\left([0,1] \times[0, \infty),\left[c_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$, Theorem 1.1 remains valid.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since problem (1.1) is singular at point $t=0$, or $y(t)=0$, we need to regularize it. Precisely, we discuss positive solutions of the regularized problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{\beta}{t+\varepsilon} y^{\prime}+\frac{\gamma}{|y|+\varepsilon^{2}}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}-f(t, y)=0, \quad 0<t<1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions 1.2 , where $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$.
Denote $A y=-y^{\prime \prime}$ and

$$
b_{\varepsilon}(t, \xi, \eta)=\frac{\beta}{t+\varepsilon} \eta-\frac{\gamma}{|\xi|+\varepsilon^{2}}|\eta|^{2}+f(t, \xi)
$$

Note that $b_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \xi, \eta) \in C^{\mu}[0,1]$ uniformly for $(\xi, \eta)$ in bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ for some $\mu \in(0,1], \partial b_{\varepsilon} / \partial \xi, \partial b_{\varepsilon} / \partial \eta$ exist and are continuous on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Moreover, there exists some positive constant $C$ dependent of $\varepsilon^{-1}, \sigma$ such that

$$
\left|b_{\varepsilon}(t, \xi, \eta)\right| \leq C\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)
$$

for every $\sigma \geq 0$ and $(t, \xi, \eta) \in[0,1] \times[-\sigma, \sigma] \times \mathbb{R}$.
A function $y$ is called a subsolution for BVP 2.1 1.2 if $y \in C^{2+\mu}[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
A y \leq b_{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, y, y^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in }[0,1] \\
y(0) \leq 0, \quad y(1) \leq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Supersolutions are defined by reversing the above inequality signs. We call $y$ a solution for (2.1) 1.2), if $y$ is a subsolution and a supersolution of (2.1) 1.2).

Let $v(t)=\frac{1}{2} t-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}$, it is easy to see that $v$ is a nonnegative solution for problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-v^{\prime \prime}=1, \quad 0<t<1 \\
v(0)=v(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $y=C_{1} v^{2}, y_{1 \varepsilon}=C_{2}(t+\varepsilon)^{2}, y_{2 \varepsilon}=C_{2}(1+\varepsilon-t)^{2}, \bar{y}_{\varepsilon}=$ $\min \left\{y_{1 \varepsilon}, y_{2 \varepsilon}\right\}$, then 2.1 1.2 admits at least one solution $y_{\varepsilon} \in\left[\underline{y}, \bar{y}_{\varepsilon}\right]$. Here $C_{1}$ and $C_{2} \geq 1$ are some positive constants.

Proof. By [2, Theorem 1.1], it suffices to prove $\underline{y}(\bar{y})$ is a subsolution (supersolution) for (2.1) (1.2). Hence we need to prove $A \underline{y} \leq b_{\varepsilon}\left(t, \underline{y}, \underline{y^{\prime}}\right), A y_{i \varepsilon} \geq b_{\varepsilon}\left(t, y_{i \varepsilon}, y_{i \varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)$ ( $i=1,2$ ).

From $0 \leq v(t) \leq t$ and $\underline{y}^{\prime}=2 C_{1} v v^{\prime}, \underline{y}^{\prime \prime}=2 C_{1}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}-2 C_{1} v$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \underline{y}-b_{\varepsilon}\left(t, \underline{y}, \underline{y}^{\prime}\right) & =2 C_{1}\left[v-\frac{\beta}{t+\varepsilon} v v^{\prime}+\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left(2 \gamma \frac{C_{1} v^{2}}{C_{1} v^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}-1\right)\right]-f(t, \underline{y}) \\
& \leq 2 C_{1}\left[v+\beta\left|v^{\prime}\right|+(2 \gamma+1)\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right]-f(t, \underline{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f(t, \xi) \geq c_{0}>0$, we can choose

$$
C_{1} \leq \min \left\{\frac{c_{0}}{2 \max _{[0,1]}\left[v+\beta\left|v^{\prime}\right|+(2 \gamma+1)\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right]}, 1 / 2\right\}
$$

hence

$$
A \underline{y} \leq b_{\varepsilon}\left(t, \underline{y}, \underline{y}^{\prime}\right), \quad 0<t<1 .
$$

Since $C_{2}(t+\varepsilon)^{2} \geq \varepsilon^{2}$, it is easy to calculate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A y_{1 \varepsilon}-b_{\varepsilon}\left(t, y_{1 \varepsilon}, y_{1 \varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) & =2 C_{2}\left[\gamma \frac{2 C_{2}(t+\varepsilon)^{2}}{C_{2}(t+\varepsilon)^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}-\beta-1\right]-f\left(t, y_{1 \varepsilon}\right) \\
& \geq 2 C_{2}(\gamma-\beta-1)-f\left(t, y_{1 \varepsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing

$$
C_{2} \geq \max \left\{\frac{1}{2(\gamma-\beta-1)} \max _{[0,1]} f(t, \underline{y}(t)), 1\right\}
$$

we see that $y_{1 \varepsilon} \geq \underline{y}$ in $[0,1]$. It follows from (H1) that

$$
A y_{1 \varepsilon} \geq b_{\varepsilon}\left(t, y_{1 \varepsilon}, y_{1 \varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), \quad 0<t<1
$$

as asserted. The other inequality can be proved similarly. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.2. For any $\tau \in(0,1)$, there exists a positive constant $C_{\tau}$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \leq C_{\tau}, \quad\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq C_{\tau}, \quad \tau \leq t \leq 1-\tau \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, BVP (2.1) 1.2) admits a solution $y_{\varepsilon} \in C^{2+\mu}[0,1]$ which satisfies 2.1 1.2 pointwise, hence it is also a solution of

$$
\left[(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}=\frac{\gamma(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta}}{y_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}}\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}-(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta} f\left(t, y_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Since $\gamma>0$, from (H1) and Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$
\left[(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime} \geq-(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta} f\left(t, y_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq-2^{\beta} \max _{[0,1]} f(t, \underline{y}(t)):=-M
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left[(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+M t\right]^{\prime} \geq 0, \quad 0<t<1
$$

which implies that the function $\varphi(t):=(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+M t$ is non-decreasing on $[0,1]$.

Since $y_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ and $y_{\varepsilon}(0)=y_{\varepsilon}(1)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{y_{\varepsilon}(t)}{t} \geq 0 \\
& y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(1)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{y_{\varepsilon}(t)}{t-1} \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

From which, it follows that

$$
0 \leq \varphi(0) \leq \varphi(t) \leq \varphi(1) \leq M, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq M \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence for any $\tau \in(0,1)$ there exists a positive constant $C_{\tau}$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \leq C_{\tau}, \quad \tau \leq t \leq 1
$$

Multiplying 2.1 by $(t+\varepsilon)^{2 \beta+1}$, from (2.3) (H1) and Lemma 2.1 it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(t+\varepsilon)^{2 \beta+1} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
= & \left|\gamma \frac{(t+\varepsilon)}{y_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}}\left[(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right]^{2}-(t+\varepsilon)^{2 \beta+1} f\left(t, y_{\varepsilon}\right)-(2 \beta+1)(t+\varepsilon)^{\beta}\left((t+\varepsilon)^{\beta} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
\leq & C\left(1+\frac{t+\varepsilon}{\underline{y}+\varepsilon^{2}}+f(t, \underline{y})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon$. The second conclusion follows easily from the above inequality.

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Differentiating formally (2.1) with respect to $t$, from (H1) and Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|= & \left|\frac{\beta}{t+\varepsilon}\left(\frac{y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{t+\varepsilon}-y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\gamma \frac{2\left(y_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}\right) y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\left(y_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}-f_{t}^{\prime}\left(t, y_{\varepsilon}\right)-f_{y}^{\prime}\left(t, y_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{\beta}{t+\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}{t+\varepsilon}+\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)+\gamma\left[\frac{2\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right|}{\underline{y}+\varepsilon^{2}}+\frac{\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{3}}{\left(\underline{y}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& +\max _{t \in[0,1], y \in[a, b]}\left|f_{t}^{\prime}(t, y)\right|+\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \cdot \max _{t \in[0,1], y \in[a, b]}\left|f_{y}^{\prime}(t, y)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a=\min _{t \in[0,1]} \underline{y}(t), b=\left.\max _{t \in[0,1]} \bar{y}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|_{\varepsilon=1}$. From 2.2) one infers that for any $\tau \in(0,1)$ there exists a positive constant $C_{\tau}$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\left|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \leq C_{\tau}, \quad \tau \leq t \leq 1-\tau
$$

This implies that

$$
\left\|y_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{2,1}[\tau, 1-\tau]} \leq C_{\tau}
$$

Using Arzelá-Ascoli theorem and diagonal sequential process, we obtain that there exists a subsequence $\left\{y_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right\}$ of $\left\{y_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ and a function $y \in C^{2}(0,1)$ such that

$$
y_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow y, \quad \text { uniformly in } C^{2}[\tau, 1-\tau]
$$

as $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1} t^{2}(1-t)^{2} \leq y(t) \leq C_{2} t^{2}, \quad t \in[0,1] \\
C_{1} t^{2}(1-t)^{2} \leq y(t) \leq C_{2}(1-t)^{2}, \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{gathered}
$$

From this, it is not difficult to show that $y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)=0$ and $y \in C[0,1]$. Clearly, $y$ solves BVP (1.1)- 1.3 , hence Theorem 1.1 is proved.

Example. Consider boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{N-1}{t} y^{\prime}-\frac{N+1}{y}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}+t^{2}+e^{-y}+1=0, \quad 0<t<1,  \tag{2.4}\\
y(0)=y(1)=y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(1)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $N \geq 1, \beta=N-1, \gamma=N+1, f(t, y)=t^{2}+e^{-y}+1, c_{0}=1$. Clearly, all assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Hence the problem 2.4 has at least one positive solution $y \in C^{2}(0,1) \cap C[0,1]$. But the theorems in [6, 8 are not applicable to this example.
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